# Towards in vitro produced germline stem cells in the bovine

### Mary Elizabeth McMillan

BSc (Hons) University of New England, 2009

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England

**Submitted July 2012** 

#### **Acknowledgements**

Firstly I would like to acknowledge the financial support I have received through an F. D. McMaster PhD Scholarship. I would also like to acknowledge the project funding received through the CSIRO Food Futures National Research Flagship. In addition, I would also like to acknowledge financial support provided by the University of New England and Meat & Livestock Australia, which allowed me to attend an international conference, which was an invaluable experience.

I would like to thank my principal supervisor Dr Sabine Schmoelzl, and my associate supervisors Dr Nick Andronicos and Professor Geoff Hinch, for their constant support, advice and assistance. Without your valuable input completing this thesis would have been an impossible task. Thank you must also go to all my friends, co-workers and fellow students at the CSIRO who have provided friendship, laughter and advice on a daily basis. Although I am happy to be completing this thesis, I will miss seeing you all every day.

I would also like to thank all of my family and friends, for their constant support throughout the last three years. Your encouragement has been particularly appreciated throughout the last few months as I have finished writing this thesis. I know I have been living in my own little world for the last few years, and I am excited about joining you all in the real world again!

Finally, I owe a heartfelt thankyou to Aaron. You have been my rock throughout this whole process. Words aren't enough to express how much your encouragement, support and endless

patience mean to me. I couldn't have done it without you.

#### **Abstract**

Bovine spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) have potential to be used in advanced reproductive technologies such as testis cell transplantation, where identification and purification of large numbers of SSCs is required. There are at least two possible sources of SSCs: isolation from the testis, or *in vitro* differentiation from pluripotent stem cells. The long-term goal of this thesis was to work towards the generation of SSCs from bovine somatic cells using induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cell technology. In order to do so, it was first important to characterise molecular markers expressed by bovine SSCs to allow for their identification in culture, and secondly to explore the feasibility of producing bovine iPS cells.

In order to achieve the first goal, a screening platform was developed based on comparative analysis of gene expression levels in SSC enriched and depleted cell populations. Expression of established testis cell markers was used to confirm the validity of the screening platform. This method was then used to examine expression of candidate spermatogonial markers in the bovine testis. STRA8, KIT, GFRA1, CLDN8, DDX6 and NAP1L4 were shown to be putative markers for bovine spermatogonia. Further analysis of CLDN8 showed expression by both a subset of spermatogonia and a subset of Sertoli cells, leading to the hypothesis that CLDN8 plays a role in the maintenance of SSCs in the SSC niche.

Reprogramming of bovine somatic cells was undertaken by introducing canonical reprogramming factors through a lentiviral vector. Initial experiments found that the reprogramming protocol was sufficient to produce cells exhibiting stem cell-like characteristics. Analysis of these cells indicated partial reprogramming had been achieved. A number of small molecules were then tested for their ability to enhance the success of cell reprogramming. A combination of three small molecules was found to accelerate the kinetics

of the reprogramming process and also promoted further reprogramming where cells could differentiate to the three different germ layers. Further research is now required to define the optimal culture conditions for the maintenance and expansion of bovine pluripotent cells in long term culture, and to test whether they can be differentiated towards the germ line.

## **Table of Contents**

Acknowledg	gements	Π
Abstract	I	Π
Declaration.		V
List of Table	es	Ί
List of Figu	resX	Π
List of Abbr	reviationsXV	Π
Gene Synon	ymsXI	X
Chapter 1:	Literature Review	1
1.1. Ge	neral Introduction	1
1.2. Spe	ermatogonial Stem Cells	2
1.2.1.	Spermatogonial stem cells in mammals	3
1.2.2.	The spermatogonial stem cell niche	6
1.2.3.	Molecular markers of spermatogonial stem cells	9
1.2.4.	Spermatogonial stem cell transplantation	3
1.3. Ind	luced pluripotent stem cells1	6
1.3.1.	Factors affecting iPS generation	7
1.3.2.	Induced pluripotent stem cells from livestock species	:4
1.3.3.	Signalling pathways involved in reprogramming	0
1.3.4.	Barriers to the induction of pluripotency during reprogramming	2
1.3.5.	Small molecules and signalling pathway inhibitors in reprogramming	5

1.4. Ge	ermline differentiation	38
1.4.1.	Germline specification in vivo	38
1.4.2.	In vitro differentiation of pluripotent stem cells to germline cells	39
1.5. Co	onclusions	40
1.6. Aiı	ms	42
Chapter 2:	Characterisation of bovine spermatogonial stem cells	43
2.1. Inta	roduction	43
2.2. Ma	aterials and Methods	47
2.2.1.	Collection of testis samples	47
2.2.2.	Enzymatic isolation of testis germ cells	48
2.2.3.	SSC enrichment	48
2.2.4.	Immunocytochemistry	50
2.2.5.	Quantitative Reverse Transcribed Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (c	<sub>l</sub> RT-
PCR)	50	
2.2.6.	Statistical Analysis	53
2.3. Res	sults	53
2.3.1.	Generation of SSC enriched and depleted testis cell populations	53
2.3.2.	Expression of established bovine spermatogonial markers <i>DDX4</i> , <i>ZBTB16</i> s	and
UCHL	1 54	
2.3.3.	Expression of STRA8, GFRA1, KIT and THY1 in the bovine testis	56
2.3.4.	qRT-PCR analysis of candidate SSC marker genes	57

2.3.3.	Correlation of novel candidate marker gene expression with established marker	1
gene ex	xpression6	0
2.4. Dis	scussion6	2
2.5. Co	nclusion6	8
Chapter 3:	CLDN8 expression in the bovine testis	9
3.1. Int	roduction6	9
3.2. Ma	aterials and Methods7	1
3.2.1.	Collection of testis samples	1
3.2.2.	Enzymatic isolation of testis cells and SSC enrichment	1
3.2.3.	Quantitative Reverse Transcribed Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)7	2
3.2.4.	Immunocytochemistry	4
3.2.5.	Flow Cytometric Assays	4
3.2.6.	Statistical Analysis	5
3.3. Re	sults	5
3.3.1.	Analysis of <i>CLDN8</i> expression by qRT-PCR	5
3.3.2.	Analysis of CLDN8 expression by immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry	
	78	
3.4. Dis	scussion8	0
3.5. Co	nclusion8	4
Chapter 4:	Reprogramming of bovine fibroblasts to pluripotency	5
4.1. Int	roduction8	5
4.2. Ma	aterials and Methods8	9

4.2.	1.	Derivation of bovine fibroblasts	.89
4.2.	2.	Lentiviral production	.90
4.2.	3.	Lentiviral transfection and cell culture	.91
4.2.	4.	Alkaline phosphatase and immunofluorescent staining	.92
4.2.	5.	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Quantitative Reverse Transcribed	
Pol	ymei	rase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)	.92
4.3.	Res	ults	.95
4.3.	1.	Integration and expression of the Tet-OSKM transgene	.95
4.3.	2.	Transgene expression from inducible and constitutive OSKM vectors	.96
4.3.	3.	Characterisation of putative bovine iPS cell colonies	.97
4.3.	4.	Effect of NANOG on the reprogramming of bovine fibroblasts	.99
4.4.	Disc	cussion1	100
4.5.	Con	nclusion1	106
Chapter	5:	Use of small molecules to enhance the reprogramming of bovine fibroblasts t	Ю.
pluripote	ency	108	
5.1.	Intr	oduction1	108
5.2.	Mat	terials and Methods1	l 13
5.2.	1.	Primary cell cultures	113
5.2.	2.	Lentiviral production, transfection and cell culture	113
5.2.	.3.	Embryoid body formation	l 14
5.2.	4.	Characterisation of putative iPS cells	l 14
5.2	5	Statistical analysis	116

5.3.	Results	116
5.3.	1. Reprogramming potential of embryonic and adult fibroblasts	116
5.3.	2. Effect of a small molecule cocktail on reprogramming of bovine cell	s118
5.3.	.3. Characterisation of cell colonies obtained in NaB-PD-SB supplemen	ted media
	121	
5.3.	.4. Differentiation potential of reprogrammed bovine fibroblasts	124
5.4.	Discussion	126
5.5.	Conclusion	133
Chapter	6: General Discussion and Conclusion	134
6.1.	General Discussion	134
6.2.	Conclusions	141
Referenc	ces	142

## **List of Tables**

Table 1-1: A comparison of markers for human and rodent spermatogonia. (Dym et al., 2009)
11
Table 1-2: Summary of livestock cell reprogramming experiments
Table 1-3: Small molecules and signalling pathway inhibitors used to enhance iPS cell
generation36
Table 2-1: Sequences of primer sets used in Chapter 2
Table 2-2: Summary of variation in gene expression of candidate genes in SSC enriched and
depleted testis cell samples. II: initial isolate; M(-): MACS(-); Att: attached; SN: supernatant;
M(+): MACS(+). * indicates a significant difference in group means, ns indicates no
significant difference in group means, - indicates no data is available60
Table 2-3: Summary of correlation data of 6 candidates (CLDN8, PARK7, BCL6B, DDX6,
NAP1L4 and TKTL1) when correlated with expression of DDX4, ZBTB16 and GATA462
Table 3-1: Sequences of primer sets used in Chapter 3
Table 4-1: Plasmids used in the production of lentivirus
Table 4-2 Sequences of primer sets used in Chapter 494
Table 5-1: Sequences of primer sets used in Chapter 5

# List of Figures

Figure 1-1 A schematic representation of the "A <sub>s</sub> model" of spermatogenesis. (Yoshida,
2010)5
Figure 1-2: Schematic representation of the steps involved in a typical testis cell
transplantation experiment in cattle (Hill & Dobrinski, 2006)14
Figure 1-3: Overview of the iPSC derivation process and the parameters that affect
reprogramming success (Maherali & Hochedlinger, 2008)
Figure 1-4: Signalling pathways involved in the maintenance of pluripotency. Modified from
(Sumer, Liu, & Verma, 2010)
Figure 2-1: Percentage of DBA positive cells by treatment group. * indicates significant
difference between group means when compared to the Initial Isolate, $P < 0.01$ , $n = 4$
biological repeats, 400 cells scored per repeat54
Figure 2-2: Expression of <i>DDX4</i> (A), <i>ZBTB16</i> (B), <i>UCHL1</i> (C) and <i>GATA4</i> (D) in SSC
enriched and depleted testis cell samples. * indicates a significant difference in group means
when compared to the Initial Isolate, P < 0.01. Fold expression indicates fold change over
means of two reference genes. Each data point represents data from an individual animal n =
12 biological replicates
Figure 2-3: Expression of STRA8 (A), GFRA1 (B), KIT (C) and THY1 (D) in SSC enriched
and depleted testis cell samples. * indicates a significant difference in group means when
compared to the Initial Isolate, P < 0.01. Fold expression indicates fold change over means of
two reference genes. Each data point represents data from an individual animal, n = 12
biological replicates
Figure 2-4: Expression patterns of candidate markers in SSC enriched and depleted testis cell
samples. <i>CLDN8</i> (A) and <i>PARK7</i> (B) are examples of candidates showing a similar

expression pattern to that of established markers. PRDX1 (C) is an example of a candidate that does not show any significant difference in expression across treatment groups. \* indicates a significant difference in group means when compared to the Initial Isolate, P < 0.01. Fold expression indicates fold change over means of two reference genes. Each data point represents data from an individual animal. n = 12 biological replicates for (A) and (B) and 4 biological replicates for (C). Figure 2-5: Correlation of expression of *CLDN8* with expression of *DDX4* (A), *ZBTB16* (B) and GATA4 (C). Fold expression indicates fold change over means of two reference genes. Each data point represents data from an individual animal, n = 12 biological replicates. .....61 Figure 3-1: Changes in expression of CLDN8 (A), ZBTB16 (B), DDX4 (C), GFRA1 (D), UCHL1 (E) and GATA4 (F) in SSC depleted and enriched testis cell samples. Degree of enrichment: I = MACS(-), II = Attached, III = Initial Isolate, IV = Supernatant, V = MACS(+). \* indicates a significant difference in group mean when compared to the mean of the initial isolate (P < 0.05). Fold expression indicates fold change over means of two reference genes. Each data point represents data from an individual animal,, n = 12 biological Figure 3-2: Correlation of *CLDN8* expression with expression of *ZBTB16* (A), *DDX4* (B), GFRA1 (C), UCHL1 (D) and GATA4 (E) in the bovine testis. Fold expression indicates fold change over means of two reference genes. Each data point represents data from an individual animal, n = 12 biological replicates......77 Figure 3-3: Examination of testis cells from initial isolate from pre-pubertal bull testis, stained for DBA binding activity (A), ZBTB16 (B), CLDN8 (C) and Merged image (D). Arrows indicate cells positive for DBA, ZBTB16 and CLDN8 expression. Scale bars = 50 

Figure 3-4: Comparison of MACS(-) (A) and MACS(+) (B) cell fractions stained for DBA
(blue), CLDN8 (green) and GATA4 (red). Arrows indicate areas of GATA4+/CLDN8- cells.
Arrowheads indicate GATA4+/CLDN8+ cells. Upper panel fluorescence micrographs, lower
panel brightfield micrographs of corresponding fields. Scale bars = $50 \mu m$
Figure 3-5: FACS analysis of MACS(-), Initial Isolate and MACS(+) cell fractions.
Percentage of total cell population positive for DBA binding activity (A); Percentage of total
cell population positive for CLDN8 (B); and Percentage of Sertoli cells (GATA4 <sup>+</sup> cells)
positive for CLDN8 (C). Degree of enrichment: I = MACS(-), II = Initial Isolate, III =
MACS(+). * indicates a significant difference in group mean when compared to the initial
isolate ( $P < 0.05$ , $n = 4$ biological replicates).
Figure 4-1: Schematic representation of the Tet-OSKM construct used for lentiviral
production. Construct consists of cDNAs for murine Pou5f1 (Oct4), Sox2, Klf4 and c-MYC
separated by self-cleaving 2A peptides (Carey, Markoulaki, & Hanna, 2009)90
Figure 4-2: FACS analysis of BDFs (A) and GFP transduced BDFs (B). Infection efficiency
of control GFP lentivirus is approximately 90%95
Figure 4-3: (A) PCR verification of integration of Tet-OSKM transgene into target cell
genomic DNA using transgene-specific primers. + indicates positive control, - indicates
uninfected BDF. (B) RT-PCR indicating expression of Tet-OSKM transgene (OSKM),
POU5F1 and SOX2. – indicates uninfected BDF, + indicates BDF + Tet-OSKM96
Figure 4-4: Expression of the inducible Tet-OSKM and constitutive OSKM transgene by
BDFs over 11 days. * indicates significant difference between group means, $P < 0.05$ , $n = 2$
biological replicates. Expression indicates fold change compared to the means of two
reference genes

Figure 4-5: Cell colonies obtained from Tet-OSKM transduced BDFs after 21 days culture.
(A) Colony showing ES cell-like morphology. (B) and (C) colonies showing non-ES cell-like
morphology. Scale bars = 50 μm98
Figure 4-6: Comparison of time taken to colony formation (A) and colonies formed per plate
(B) from BDF cultures transduced with Tet-OSKM or Tet-OSKM+N. No significant
difference was detected between the two groups ( $P > 0.5$ , $n = 5$ )
Figure 5-1: Comparison of reprogramming potential of adult and embryonic cells. No
difference was observed in time to colony formation between BDF and BEF cell types, with
colony formation occurring after an average of 21 days in iPS media and 12 days in NaB-PD-
SB supplemented media ( $P > 0.15$ , $n = 5$ biological replicates)
Figure 5-2: Comparison of reprogramming potential of adult and embryonic bovine
fibroblasts. No significant difference was observed in the number of colonies formed per
plate in cells cultured in iPS media for 25 days, or NaB-PD-SB supplemented media for 15
days (P > 0.3, n = 5 biological replicates)
Figure 5-3: Time (days) to colony formation in Tet-OSKM transduced BDFs and BEFs when
cultured in iPS media alone, or in iPS media supplemented with NaB-PD-SB. * indicates a
significant difference between group means, $P < 0.01$ , $n = 5$ biological replicates
Figure 5-4: Colony morphology of Tet-OSKM transduced BDF in iPS media alone (A); NaB-
PD-SB supplemented media at day 12 post induction (B); and in NaB-PD-SB supplemented
media at day 21 post induction (C). Scale bars = 50 μm
Figure 5-5: Alkaline phosphatase activity in Tet-OSKM transduced BDF in NaB-PD-SB
supplemented media at day 12 (A: AP activity present) and day 20 (B: AP activity absent).
Scale bars = $50 \mu m$
Figure 5-6: Expression of pluripotency markers NANOG, REX1 and ALPL in Tet-OSKM

difference in group means, $P < 0.01$ , $n = 2$ biological replicates. Expression indicates fold
change compared to the means of two reference genes
Figure 5-7: Expression of Endoderm (AFP), Ectoderm (TUBB3), Mesoderm (DES) and
fibroblast (THY1) markers in Tet-OSKM transduced BDF (A) and Tet-OSKM transduced
BEF (B) colonies. * indicates a significant difference in group means, P < 0.01, n = 2
biological replicates. Expression indicates fold change compared to the means of two
reference genes
Figure 5-8: Simple embryoid bodies formed from Tet-OSKM induced BDFs (A) and BEFs
(B), after 7 days. Scale bars = 100 μm
Figure 5-9: Differentiation potential of putative bovine iPS cells. Expression of Endoderm
(FOXA2, AFP), Ectoderm (NES, TUBB3) and Mesoderm (DES, BMP4) markers by (A) BDF
+ Tet-OSKM and (B) BEF + Tet-OSKM EBs, compared to uninfected fibroblasts. * indicates
a significant difference in group means, $P < 0.01$ , $n = 2$ biological replicates. Expression
indicates fold change compared to the means of two reference genes

#### **List of Abbreviations**

BDF: Bovine dermal fibroblast

BEF: Bovine embryonic fibroblast

bFGF: basic fibroblast growth factor

BMP: Bone morphogenetic protein

BTB: Blood-testis barrier

DBA: Dolichos biflorus agglutinin

DMEM: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium

Dox: Doxycycline

DPBS: Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline

EB: Embryoid body

ESC: Embryonic stem cell

FACS: Fluorescent activated cell sorting

FBS: Foetal bovine serum

GFP: Green fluorescent protein

HDAC: Histone deacetylase

iPSC: Induced pluripotent stem cell

LIF: Leukemia inhibitory factor

MACS: Magnetic activated cell sorting

MDF: Modified Davidsons Fixative

MEF: Mouse embryonic fibroblast

MET: Mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition

NEAA: Non-essential amino acids

OSKM: POU5F1 (OCT4); SOX2; KLF4; c-MYC

PCR: Polymerase chain reaction

PGC: Primordial germ cell

RA: Retinoic acid

RT-PCR: Reverse transcribed polymerase chain reaction

## List of Abbreviations

SEM: Standard error margin

SCF: Stem cell factor

SSC: Spermatogonial stem cell

TBS: Tris buffered saline

Tet: Tetracycline

# **Gene Synonyms**

AFP Alpha-fetoprotein ALPL ASB9 Ankyrin repeat and SOCS box containing 9 ATIC 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide methyltransferase/IMP cyclohydrolase
ALPL ASB9 Ankyrin repeat and SOCS box containing 9 ATIC 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide
ASB9 Ankyrin repeat and SOCS box containing 9 ATIC 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide
ATIC 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide
BCL6B B-cell CLL/lymphoma 6 member B
BMP4 Bone morphogenetic protein 4
CLDN8 Claudin-8
c-MYC v-myc avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog
CSF1R Colony stimulating factor 1 receptor
DDX4 VASA DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 4
DDX4 VASA DEAD (Asp-Gu-Au-Asp) box potypeptide 4  DDX6 DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 6
DES Desmin
FOXA2 Forkhead box A2
GATA4 GATA binding protein 4
GATA binding protein 4  GFRA1 GDNF family receptor alpha 1
KIT c-KIT v-KIT Hardy-Zuckerman 4 feline sarcoma viral oncogen
homolog
KLF4 Kruppel-like factor 4
MTHFD1 Methylenetetrahydofolate dehydrogenase 1
NANOG Nanog homeobox
NAP1L4 Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like-4
NES Nestin
PARK7 DJ1 Parkinson protein 7
PFN1 Profilin 1
PHGDH Phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase
POU5F1 OCT4 POU class 5 homeobox 1
PRDX1 PRX1 Peroxiredoxin 1
REX1 RNA exonuclease 1 homolog
SEPT7 CDC10 Septin 7
SOX2 SRY (Sex determining region Y) box 2
STRA8 Stimulated by retinoic acid gene 8
THY1 Thy-1 cell surface antigen
TKTL1 Transketolase-like 1
TUBB3 Tubulin, beta 3 class III
UCHL1 PGP9.5 Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal esterase L1
ZBTB16 PLZF Zinc finger and BTB domain containing 16