### Impacts of livestock grazing on bird communities in the eucalypt woodlands (Australia) and the forests in Bhutan

## Tshering Dorji

Submitted in partial completion of Master of Science, University of New England, 2004

#### **DECLARATION**

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently submitted for any other degree.

I declare that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Tshering Dorji

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The completion of this thesis would be difficult if not for the assistance and encouragement received from the numerous people.

In particular, my profound and heartfelt thanks to my supervisor Professor Hugh A. Ford for his invaluable support and encouragement through out the study. I am indebted to him for his advice on many aspects of the project and particularly for his kind attention and consideration that I have enjoyed.

My sincere thanks to my family, particularly my mother, my wife and her parents for their constant love and care through the years, though they have been far away from me. Heartfelt thanks also goes to my host family Richard and Pam Sheridan for being very supportive and kind to me in many ways during my stay in Armidale.

My heartfelt gratitude also goes to Stuart Cairns, my co-supervisors for pulling me through the hard times in statistics, Peter Clarke for his assistance in further analysis and producing beautiful ordination diagrams and Henry Tan for his beautiful work on designing the pictures in my thesis and particularly for his enormous help in proof reading and encouragement through out the write up.

My enormous gratitude also extends to Rebacca Pradhan, ecologist (RSPN) and Gyem Tshering, lecturer (NRTI) for making time to share their expertise on bird identification during my fieldwork in Bhutan and to NRTI (Natural Resources Training Institute) for allowing me to access some of their facilities during the fieldwork. I am also indebted to my friends Rinzin Gyeltshen, Karma, Kuenzang and Lhap Tshering for providing me with free accommodation during the fieldwork in Bhutan. I am thankful to Steve Debus, David Dye for the ride to the study sites in New England, David Woodland for his advice and proof reading my work.

My heartfelt thanks to Peter Burr at Newling Campus, UNE for giving me climatic data for each month and to Raling Ngawang, District Forestry Officer, Thimphu and Govinda Sharma, lecturer NRTI for giving me meteorological information on the study sites in Bhutan.

I am also thankful to my Bhutanese friends for their genuine support and encouragement rendered to me through out my write-up. I am thankful to Trin Truscett for technical advice and assistance during the write-up.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all the friends, other postgraduates students and members of Zoology department for their warm gestures and kind words during my stay in Australia.

### **Table of Contents**

Declaration		1
Acknowledgemen	ts	2
Table of Contents.		3
List of Tables		5
List of Figures		7
Abstract		10
CHAPTER 1	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
1.1. Background		11
	food chain in an ecosystem	
	pitat complexity	
	erohabitat diversity	
	of grazing	
CHAPTER 2	CASE STUDY I	16
2.1. Introduction		16
CHAPTER 3	METHODS	18
3.1. Introduction		18
	ption	
	••••	
-	rement	
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS	30
4.1. Composition of	of bird assemblages	30
	ges structure along habitat variables	
	ess and seasonal variation	
4.4. Abundance of	birds and seasonal variation	42
CHAPTER 5	DISCUSSION	44
5.1. Composition of	of bird assemblages	<b>4</b> 4
	birds and species	

CHAPTER 6	CASE STUDY II	
<ul><li>6.2. Major vegetatio</li><li>6.3. Avifauna of Bh</li><li>6.4. Forest and lives</li></ul>	51       51         52       53         30       54         50       56         30       56         31       56         32       57         33       57         34       57         35       57         36       57         37       57	
CHAPTER 7	METHODS59	
<ul><li>7.2. Location</li><li>7.3. Climate</li><li>7.4. Habitat descript</li><li>7.5. Bird survey</li><li>7.6. Habitat measure</li></ul>		
CHAPTER 8	RESULTS72	
<ul><li>8.2. Bird assemblage</li><li>8.3. Species richness</li></ul>	bird assemblages	
<ul><li>9.2. Abundance of b</li><li>9.3. Seasonal variati</li></ul>	DISCUSSION94bird assemblages94rds and species97on99101	
CHAPTER 10	GENERAL DISCUSSION102	2
CONCLUSION		)
References	111	
Appendix	123	į

#### **List of Tables**

Table 4.1.	Bird species detected exclusively in either grazed or ungrazed plots in New England study area in one or more seasons
Table 4.2.	Number of sampling points containing each species that occurred significantly more often in grazed or ungrazed plots in New England study area
Table 4.3.	CCA performed on a matrix of abundance of 48 bird species and 18 habitat variables for the New England study area
Table 4.4.	The results of repeated-measures ANOVA for overall species richness and abundance in New England grazed and ungrazed plots40
Table 4.5.	The results of repeated-measures ANOVA for canopy bird species richness and abundance in New England grazed and ungrazed plots40
Table 4.6.	The results of repeated-measures ANOVA for shrub bird species richness and abundance in New England grazed and ungrazed plots41
Table 4.7.	The results of repeated-measures ANOVA for ground bird species richness and abundance in New England grazed and ungrazed plots41
Table 8.1.	The bird species detected exclusively in either grazed or ungrazed plots in pine forest study area in Bhutan for two seasons
Table 8.2.	The bird species detected exclusively in grazed plots in broadleaf forest at the study area in Bhutan for two seasons
Table 8.3.	Number of sampling points containing each species that occurred significantly more often in grazed or and ungrazed plots in broadleaf forest study area
Table 8.4.	The bird species detected exclusively in ungrazed plots in broadleaf forest study area in two seasons
Table 8.5.	CCA performed on a matrix of abundance of 31 bird species and 9 habitat variables in the pine forest study area
Table 8.6.	CCA performed on a matrix of abundance of 71 bird species and 9 habitat variables in the broadleaf forest study area
Table 8.7.	The results of a repeated-measure ANOVA for overall species richness and abundance in grazed and ungrazed plots in pine and broadleaf forests study area in Bhutan

Table 8.8.	The results of a repeated-measure ANOVA for canopy bird species richness and abundance in Bhutan grazed and ungrazed plots in pine and broadleaf forests study area in Bhutan
Table 8.9.	The results of a repeated-measure ANOVA for shrub bird species richness and abundance in grazed and ungrazed plots in pine and broadleaf forests study area in Bhutan
Table 8.10.	The results of a repeated-measure ANOVA for ground bird species richness and abundance in grazed and ungrazed plots in pine and broadleaf forests study area in Bhutan

# **List of Figures**

Fig. 3.1.	Location map of study areas within 20 km of New England, Armidale
Fig. 3.2.	Grazed site in eucalypt woodland at Newholme Property21
Fig. 3.3.	Ungrazed site in eucalypt woodland at Newholme Property21
Fig. 3.4.	Ungrazed site in eucalypt woodland at Imbota Nature Reserve22
Fig. 3.5.	Grazed site in eucalypt woodland at private property near Imbota Nature Reserve
Fig. 3.6.	Monthly maximum and minimum temperature (°C) for Armidale from September 2000 to September 2001
Fig. 3.7.	Monthly rainfall (mm) for Armidale from September 2000 to September 2001
Fig. 4.1.	Percentage composition of species of the three ecological guilds recorded in the New England study area
Fig. 4.2a.	CCA ordination diagram with 256 sites sampling points (+) and 18 habitat variables (arrows) in the New England study area36
Fig. 4.2b.	CCA ordination diagram with 48 bird species (small triangle) and 18 habitat variables (arrows) in the New England study area
Fig. 4.3.	Mean number of bird species per sampling point in New England study area in four seasons
Fig. 4.4.	Mean number of canopy bird species per sample point in New England study area in four seasons
Fig. 4.5.	Mean number of birds per sampling point in New England study area in four seasons
Fig. 4.6.	Mean number of canopy birds per sampling point in New England study area in four seasons
Fig. 4.7.	Mean number of shrub birds per sampling point in New England study area in four seasons
Fig. 6.1.	Land cover in Bhutan (LUPP, 1955)
Fig. 6.2.	Physical map of Bhutan (Adapted from RGoB, 1997) <b>54</b>
Fig. 6.3.	The Raven Crown (Bhutan)55

Fig. 7.1.	Location map of the two study areas at Lobesa and Gedu, Bhutan61	
Fig. 7.2.	Ungrazed site in pine forest in the NRTI (Natural Resources Training Institute) campus at Lobesa, Bhutan	
Fig. 7.3.	Grazed site in pine forest near NRTI (Natural Resources Training Institute) at Lobesa, Bhutan	
Fig. 7.4.	Ungrazed site in broadleaf forest near Forest Checkpost at Gedu, Bhutan	
Fig. 7.5.	Grazed site in broadleaf forest in Burorsasungsa at Gedu, Bhutan63	
Fig. 7.6.	Monthly average temperature (°C) at Lobesa (pine forest study area) from July 2001 to June 2002	
Fig. 7.7.	Monthly rainfall (mm) for Lobesa (pine forest study area) from July 2001 to June 2002	
Fig. 7.8.	Monthly maximum and minimum temperature (°C) at Gedu (broadleaf study area) from July 2001 to June 2002	
Fig. 7.9.	Monthly rainfall (mm) for Gedu (broadleaf study area) from July 2001 to June 2002	
Fig. 8.1.	Percentage composition of species in the three ecological guilds in the pine forest study area	
Fig. 8.2.	Percentage composition of species in the three ecological guilds in the broadleaf forest study area	
Fig. 8.3a.	CCA ordination diagram with 120 sampling points (+) and 9 habitat variables (arrows) in the pine forest study area	
Fig. 8.3b.	CCA ordination diagram with 31 bird species (small triangle) and 9 habitat variables (arrows) in the pine forest study area	
Fig. 8.4a.	CCA ordination diagram with 120 sampling points (+) and 9 habitat variables (arrows) in broadleaf study area	
Fig. 8.4b.	CCA ordination diagram with 71 bird species (small triangle) and 9 habitat variables (arrows) in broadleaf study area	
Fig. 8.5.	Mean number of overall bird species per sampling point in grazed (blue) and ungrazed (red) plots in pine and broadleaf forests84	
Fig. 8.6.	Mean number of canopy bird species per sampling point in grazed (blue) and ungrazed (red) plots in pine and broadleaf forests85	

Fig. 8.7.	Mean number of ground bird species per sampling point in grazed (blue) and ungrazed (red) plots in pine and broadleaf forests85
Fig. 8.8.	Mean number of shrub bird per sampling point in ungrazed and grazed plots irrespective of forest types
Fig. 8.9.	Mean number of overall, canopy and ground species per sampling point in winter (blue) and spring (red) irrespective of forest types88
Fig. 8.10.	Mean number of shrub species in pine (blue) and broadleaf forests (red) in the two seasons
Fig. 8.11.	Mean number of overall birds per sample point in grazed (blue) and ungrazed (red) plots in pine and broadleaf forests90
Fig. 8.12.	Mean number of canopy birds per sampling point in grazed (blue) and ungrazed (red) plots in pine and broadleaf forests91
Fig. 8.13.	Mean number of shrub bird per sampling point in grazed (blue) and ungrazed (red) plots in pine and broadleaf forests91
Fig. 8.14.	Mean number of ground birds per sampling point in grazed (blue) and ungrazed (red) plots in pine and broadleaf forests91
Fig. 8.15.	Mean number of overall, canopy, shrub and ground bird species in winter (blue) and spring (red) irrespective of forest types92

#### **ABSTRACT**

Habitat loss, fragmentation and degradation are regarded as the prime causes of bird loss and decline in agricultural regions in Australia. Livestock grazing is considered to have an impact on the bird community in remnant vegetation, but has received minimal attention to date. Livestock also graze large tracts of forest in Bhutan, and as yet no studies have been conducted to assess the impact of grazing on bird communities there. The goal of this study was to determine the species composition and abundance of birds in grazed and ungrazed sites in eucalypt woodlands in Australia, and in grazed and ungrazed chir pine and broadleaf forests in Bhutan. In both these regions, birds were surveyed by point counts. In general, I observed the following:

- 1) There was a marked difference in abundance of bird species between grazed and ungrazed sites in broadleaf forests in Bhutan but not in New England woodlands nor in chir pine forests in Bhutan.
- 2) A collection of habitat specialists remained more associated with ungrazed sites and a suite of generalists with grazed sites in both regions.
- 3) The broadleaf forest was richer in bird species than chir pine forest.

Although the impact of grazing on bird communities in New England woodland and chir pine forest was not as severe as in broadleaf forest, there were different bird assemblages at grazed and ungrazed sites. This suggests that the response of individual species or bird guilds provide a better interpretation of habitat-species relationships for conservation than do simple measures of diversity. In broadleaf forests in Bhutan, where grazing is more intense than in chir pine forests, the impact of grazing is severe. This impact is exacerbated further by lopping of tree branches by herders to supplement animal feed in winter. Although further research is needed in the forests of Bhutan, it is important that some areas of forest are maintained in an ungrazed state near villages.