

**Growth and nutritional responses of *Eucalyptus* and *Corymbia*
species during plantation establishment using
effluent irrigation and organic compost on mine overburden
in the Hunter Valley, NSW**

Angela Cummings



A thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of New England

June 2007

Ecosystem Management
University of New England
Armidale, NSW
Australia

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Angela Cummings

ABSTRACT

In an attempt to make the communities which have developed in the Hunter Valley sustainable in the long-term, local government, community groups, regulators and mining operators want to ensure that the long-term legacy of mining in the region is minimised and that potential benefits are maximised. Rehabilitation efforts are focused on activities that will benefit the community after the extraction process has been completed. Regional planning has identified that commercial native forest plantations are considered as an opportunity for mine rehabilitation. While current rates of tree growth are not considered to be commercially viable, practical solutions are available to reduce current limitations and improve the viability of overburden stockpiles for production of forests with enhanced growth rates. In 1999, Muswellbrook Shire Council and the University of New England formed a partnership to research the feasibility of establishing commercial forests on coal mine overburden using effluent irrigation and organic compost, at a site in the Upper Hunter Valley. This thesis examines the effectiveness of using these techniques to enhance the establishment of *Corymbia maculata*, and seven *Eucalyptus* species, on coal mine overburden.

The establishment of *C. maculata* was examined in an irrigation and compost experiment. Response variables, including tree survival, height, diameter, volume, above ground biomass production, stem form and tree health, were sampled at intervals up to 36 months post-planting. Substrate, tree and weed nutrient status was also assessed at 12 and 24 months. Nutrient use efficiencies and nutrient budgets were developed for *C. maculata* in relation to different treatments which included irrigation and compost ($IC_{IRR+COMP}$), irrigation ($I_{IRRIGATION}$), compost ($C_{COMPOST}$) and control ($N_{CONTROL}$).

After 36 months, survival, height and diameter of *C. maculata* was not influenced by treatment. Survival ranged from 39 % ($C_{COMPOST}$) to 68 % ($I_{IRRIGATION}$). Heights and diameters ranged from an average of 2.7 to 3.5 m, and 41 mm to 56 mm, respectively. Above ground biomass production was significantly greater for $I_{IRRIGATION}$ in comparison to other treatments and production was ten times greater than for $N_{CONTROL}$. The $IC_{IRR+COMP}$ treatment resulted in healthier, better formed trees. Based on the results of this experiment $IC_{IRR+COMP}$ and $I_{IRRIGATION}$ were recommended for future use. $C_{COMPOST}$ can also provide a benefit if effluent irrigation is not available but future use of $N_{CONTROL}$ was not recommended.

A positive effect of treatment application on substrate quality and tree growth was expected given the initial poor qualities of the overburden. Nitrogen (total) increases in the substrate material were associated with the addition of compost, with greatest concentrations of nitrate present in $IC_{IRR+COMP}$, highlighting the additional benefits of providing sufficient water with nutrients to enhance availability. Nutrient concentrations in trees did not differ between treatments, and were mostly within normal ranges for young plantation trees of *C. maculata*. Despite intense competition from weeds, significant

differences between treatments for the accumulation of N and K in trees were evident, highlighting the benefits of treatments for enhanced nutrient absorption. At 24 months, nitrogen accumulation in wood tissue was greatest for I_{IRRIGATION} (2.2 kg ha⁻¹), which was more than double that recorded for IC_{IRR+COMP} (0.9 kg ha⁻¹), C_{COMPOST} (0.6 kg ha⁻¹) and N_{CONTROL} (0.5 kg ha⁻¹). Trees growing under I_{IRRIGATION} were commonly the most efficient user of nutrients. Due to lower nutrient application which enabled a high renovation capacity, I_{IRRIGATION} was a lower risk treatment and was therefore recommended for future use. The nutrient budgets developed for each treatment largely reflected differences in nutrient inputs, with little evidence that effluent irrigation and compost allocation were unsustainable.

Given the natural distribution patterns of eucalypts with respect to soil types and climatic conditions, it was anticipated that growth rates of other species could also be enhanced with effluent irrigation and compost application. A species selection experiment was established using the IC_{IRR+COMP} treatment to compare growth and nutrition variables of *C. maculata*, *Eucalyptus grandis*, *Eucalyptus nitens*, *Eucalyptus badgensis*, *Eucalyptus saligna*, *Eucalyptus botryoides*, *Eucalyptus dunnii* and *Eucalyptus punctata*.

After 36 months survival rates for *E. dunnii* (84 %), *E. botryoides* (86 %), *E. punctata* (85 %), *E. grandis* (83 %) and *E. saligna* (74 %) were significantly greater than the remaining species. Mean height was greatest for *E. botryoides* (563.9 cm) but not significantly greater than *E. dunnii* (541.9 cm), *E. badgensis* (540.0 cm), *E. grandis* (500.5 cm) and *E. punctata* (468.7 cm). Above ground biomass production was greatest for *E. grandis* (10,746 kg ha⁻¹), *E. botryoides* (8,856 kg ha⁻¹) and *E. badgensis* (8,141 kg ha⁻¹). *Eucalyptus botryoides* and *E. badgensis* acquired the most volume in this experiment, closely followed by *E. grandis*, *E. dunnii* and *E. punctata*, demonstrating that some of these are species are capable of reaching increments of 16 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (considered suitable for commercial forestry). Assessment of stem form and tree health enabled further comparison of species. After consideration of all measurement variables, *E. grandis*, *E. botryoides* and *E. badgensis* were highly recommended for future use displaying comparably good growth rates and reasonable form and health characteristics. Future use of *C. maculata* and *E. nitens* was not recommended for commercial forestry purposes. Mid-range species, *E. dunnii*, *E. punctata* and *E. saligna*, listed in order of preference, could also be suitable depending on circumstances or the number of species required.

Nutrient accumulations were directly and positively related to growth and significant differences between species were found for wood and foliage, for all nutrients. Higher accumulation of nutrients for *E. botryoides*, compared to some other species, further supported its high ranking for growth characteristics. For nutrient use efficiency, *E. badgensis* and *E. punctata* were the most efficient users of nutrients, compared to other species. Nutrient budgets provided further insight into species performances and renovation capacity. All species plots renovated the entire amounts of nitrogen and

phosphorus applied but there were differences in the amounts that were renovated by the plant components. Excellent renovation capacity recorded for the plant biomass in *E. botryoides* plots was evident in all nutrient budgets, and therefore this species was recommended as the preferred species for this circumstance, based on the results of this experiment. The ability of *E. botryoides* to rapidly produce biomass and accumulate nutrients reduced the quantities lost to subsoil.

The main limitations to the experiments were the short time frame over which plantation establishment was monitored and the extensive development of weed biomass. Weed growth considerably reduced the performance of all treatments and species, affecting growth and nutritional responses. There was some evidence that organic compost enhanced weed growth. Despite these limitations, it became apparent that establishment of commercially viable plantations on coal mine overburden is possible using effluent irrigation and organic compost. If commercially viable plantations can be established on overburden using recycled waste products, further incentives for establishing a local forest industry exist. Establishment of a forest industry will add production diversity to the landscape and will enhance resilience of local communities to changes in the economic environment. Further, correctly managed re-use of effluent in terrestrial systems will enhance local water quality conditions.

Further monitoring of these experiments should to be undertaken, and recommendations for future research have been provided. Based on the results of both experiments, the most sustainable and likely commercial management option for establishment of plantations on overburden is to use effluent irrigation with *E. botryoides*. Other treatments and species were also suitable as per the recommendations made. In all circumstances, and particularly if organic compost is used, weed control efforts should be well planned and consistently applied in order to achieve maximum growth.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	2
ABSTRACT	3
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	10
1.1 BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW	10
1.1.1 Introduction	10
1.1.2 Mine Site Rehabilitation.....	11
<i>Sustainability Issues</i>	11
<i>Mining Rehabilitation in the Hunter Valley – History and Limitations</i>	13
<i>The Emerging Role of Trees and Commercial Forestry Options</i>	15
1.1.3 Overcoming Limitations to Rehabilitation and Commercial Forestry	19
<i>Effluent Irrigation</i>	19
<i>Application of Organic Compost</i>	23
1.1.4 Conclusion	24
1.2 MUSWELLBROOK SHIRE COUNCIL OBJECTIVES.....	25
1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	26
CHAPTER 2 SITE DESCRIPTION, EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	28
2.1 DESCRIPTION OF STUDY SITE	28
2.1.1 Mining and the Hunter Valley	28
2.1.2 Climate.....	28
2.1.3 Geology and Slope Formation.....	28
2.1.4 Vegetation Characteristics	29
2.1.5 Groundwater and Hydrology	29
2.1.6 Site Selection	29
<i>Current Rehabilitation Practices</i>	29
<i>Experimental Site</i>	31
2.2 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN, METHODOLOGY AND MONITORING PROGRAM.	31
2.2.1 Introduction	31
2.2.2 Trial Establishment.....	31
2.2.3 Species Selection	32
2.2.4 Rainfall and Evaporation.....	32
2.2.5 Compost Application.....	34
2.2.6 Effluent Irrigation	36
<i>Irrigation Scheduling</i>	37
2.2.7 Weed Control.....	40
2.2.8 Introduction to PMPs and DSPs	40
2.2.9 Monitoring Program	40
CHAPTER 3 IRRIGATION AND COMPOST EXPERIMENT - PART 1 SURVIVAL, GROWTH, BIOMASS, FORM AND HEALTH.....	44
3.1 INTRODUCTION	44
3.2 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND METHODS	46
3.2.1 Experimental Design	46
3.2.2 Methods	46
<i>Effluent Irrigation and Compost Application</i>	46
<i>Measurement Techniques</i>	48
<i>Data Management and Statistical Analysis</i>	53
3.3 RESULTS	55
3.3.1 Survival.....	55

3.3.2 Tree Growth.....	56
<i>Height</i>	56
<i>Diameter</i>	56
<i>Stem Volume</i>	60
3.3.3 Above-Ground Biomass Production.....	60
<i>Weeds</i>	60
<i>Trees (Total Biomass)</i>	61
<i>Trees (Biomass Components and Distribution)</i>	61
3.3.4 Stem Form	64
3.3.5 Tree Health	67
3.3.6 Performance Rankings.....	68
3.4 DISCUSSION.....	69
3.4.1 Survival.....	69
3.4.2 Height and Diameter.....	70
3.4.3 MAI and Volume.....	72
3.4.4 Weeds (Above-Ground Biomass).....	73
3.4.5 Trees (Above-Ground Biomass).....	74
<i>Distribution</i>	79
3.4.6 Stem Form and Tree Health.....	81
3.4.7 Performance Rankings.....	81
3.5 CONCLUSION	82
CHAPTER 4 IRRIGATION AND COMPOST EXPERIMENT - PART 2 NUTRITION	84
4.1 INTRODUCTION	84
4.2 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND METHODS	86
4.2.1 Experimental Design	86
4.2.2 Methods	86
<i>Effluent Irrigation and Compost Application</i>	86
<i>Measurement Techniques</i>	86
<i>Data Management and Statistical Analysis</i>	89
4.3 RESULTS	89
4.3.1 Nutrient Concentration	89
<i>Substrate</i>	90
<i>Trees</i>	95
<i>Weeds</i>	95
4.3.2 Nutrient Accumulation	96
<i>Substrate</i>	96
<i>Trees</i>	96
<i>Weeds</i>	97
4.3.3 Nutrient Distribution.....	99
4.3.4 Nutrient Use Efficiency	101
4.3.5 Nutrient Budget	101
4.4 DISCUSSION.....	104
4.4.1 Nutrient Concentration	104
<i>Substrate</i>	104
<i>Trees and Weeds</i>	109
4.4.2 Nutrient Accumulation	111
4.4.3 Nutrient Distribution.....	116
4.4.4 Nutrient Use Efficiency	116
4.4.5 Nutrient Budget	120
4.5 CONCLUSION	122

CHAPTER 5 SPECIES SELECTION EXPERIMENT - PART 1 SURVIVAL, GROWTH, BIOMASS, FORM AND HEALTH	123
5.1 INTRODUCTION	123
5.2 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND METHODS	124
5.2.1 Experimental Design	124
5.2.2 Methods	126
<i>Effluent Irrigation and Compost Application</i>	126
<i>Measurement Techniques</i>	126
<i>Data Management and Statistical Analysis</i>	126
5.3 RESULTS	126
5.3.1 Survival	126
5.3.2 Tree Growth	127
<i>Height</i>	127
<i>Top Height</i>	128
<i>Diameter</i>	128
<i>Top Diameter</i>	128
<i>Volume</i>	130
5.3.3 Above-Ground Biomass Production	131
<i>Weeds</i>	131
<i>Trees (Total Biomass)</i>	131
<i>Trees (Biomass Components and Distribution)</i>	132
5.3.4 Stem Form	133
5.3.5 Tree Health	136
5.3.6 Performance Rankings	137
5.4 DISCUSSION	140
5.4.1 Survival	142
5.4.2 Height and Diameter	143
5.4.3 MAI and Volume	144
5.4.4 Weeds (Above-Ground Biomass)	145
5.4.5 Trees (Above-Ground Biomass)	146
5.4.6 Stem Form and Tree Health	148
5.4.7 Performance Rankings	150
5.5 CONCLUSION	152
CHAPTER 6 SPECIES SELECTION EXPERIMENT - PART 2 NUTRITION	153
6.1 INTRODUCTION	153
6.2 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND METHODS	154
6.2.1 Experimental Design	154
6.2.2 Methods	154
6.3 RESULTS	154
6.3.1 Nutrient Concentration	154
<i>Substrate</i>	154
<i>Trees</i>	155
<i>Weeds</i>	156
6.3.2 Nutrient Accumulation	157
<i>Trees</i>	157
<i>Weeds</i>	163
6.3.3 Nutrient Distribution	167
<i>Nitrogen and Phosphorus</i>	167
<i>Cations</i>	167
6.3.4 Nutrient Use Efficiency	168

6.3.5 Nutrient Budget	173
6.4 DISCUSSION.....	175
6.4.1 Nutrient Concentration	175
<i>Substrate</i>	175
<i>Trees</i>	175
<i>Weeds</i>	177
6.4.2 Nutrient Accumulation	178
6.4.3 Nutrient Distribution.....	180
6.4.4 Nutrient Use Efficiency	181
6.4.5 Nutrient Budget	186
6.5 CONCLUSION	187
CHAPTER 7 SYNTHESIS	189
REFERENCES	192
APPENDICES	208
APPENDIX A.....	209
APPENDIX B.....	211
APPENDIX C.....	215
APPENDIX D.....	226
APPENDIX E.....	240
DEDICATION.....	251