

Ecology of *Phyla canescens* (Verbenaceae) in Australia

Matthew John Macdonald
BSc (Hons) La Trobe University

Botany
University of New England



A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the
University of New England

May 2008

Acknowledgments

Thank you to my supervisors, Wal Whalley, John Duggin, Brian Sindel and Mic Julien. Duggo for getting the ball rolling, Brian and Mic for keeping it on track, and Wal for picking it back up out of the mud. Particularly thanks to Wal for being incessantly positive, without which I would never have finished. Wal provided feedback on drafts of all chapters, while Brian and Mic did so for Chapters 2–8. I also thank Liisa Atherton for proof-reading a thesis draft.

Rieks van Klinken, Jodi Price, Mohammad Fatemi and Caroline Gross provided food for thought. Duggo, Mic, Rieks, Caroline, Judy Earl, Rob McCosker, Steven Peart and Dan Macdonald provided specimens and/or specimen data. Andrew Boulton provided some advice on statistics.

Thanks also to the UNE technical staff, particularly Trevor Stace for his enthusiasm and ingenuity, Paul Lisle and Jim Fittler for robust field assistance, Richard Willis for laboratory help, Cate McGregor for GIS and Jim O’Neil for IT support. Thanks also to Tom Hillard, UNE Facilities Management Services, for lending field equipment. I was fortunate to benefit from their experience and generosity before many were ‘rationalised’.

Landholders, John & Robyn Watson, James Kahl, Bruce & Jen Southeron and Phillip & Terri Fleming, kindly allowed access to their properties. The members of the Murray Darling Basin Lippia Working Group successfully lobbied for this project to be funded. Its chair, Tony Woods, I thank for his unquenchable enthusiasm and good humour (though not always in good taste).

The herbaria AD, BRI, CANB, DNA, HO, MEL, NE, NSW and PERTH provided access to specimens and data. The Australian Botanical Liaison Officers, Annette Wilson, arranged for digital scans of specimens held at KEW, and Alex George, obtained an image of the type specimen from P.

Acknowledgements

Thanks also to fellow students and friends for various combinations of discussion, coffee, and accommodation. Thanks particularly to Kim, Austin, Layla, Mick, Kate, Keano, Meg, Nick, Doug, Jo, Darrell, Toro, Jodi and Orange for graciously suffering impositions. My family, as always, provided support and perspective. Finally, I would like to thank Emma for her patience and love throughout, particularly when I was most losing the plot.

This project was funded by the CRC for Australian Weed Management, Australian Cotton CRC, UNE, CSIRO and the Wal Whalley discretionary fund.

All photographs and mistakes are my own.

Abstract

Phyla canescens has invaded large areas of wetland and grassland on floodplains in Australia, particularly the Murray Darling Basin, where *P. canescens* threatens the sustainability of internationally significant wetlands and the viability of grazing enterprises. The species was introduced into Australia from South America, where it is a common and widespread species of floodplains and waterways, particularly in areas subject to constant grazing, or otherwise continuously disturbed. It has been promoted in Australia and elsewhere as a hardy groundcover for its perceived aesthetic and soil conservation values. *Phyla canescens* continues to be sold in the horticulture industry, invariably labelled '*Phyla nodiflora*'; a closely related species with which it is frequently confused. Both species are generally associated with waterways and floodplains and share a prostrate habit, with adventitious roots developing at each node along the numerous, intertwining stolons. Both species form dense mats to the exclusion of other vegetation. While *P. canescens* has typically smaller features and inhabits more southerly, inland areas with heavy clay soils, the coarser *P. nodiflora* is more common in northern, coastal regions with sandy soils.

The general lack of quantitative information on fundamental aspects of the life-history of *P. canescens* is a substantial impediment to the development of sustainable and ecologically sound management protocols for this species, including biological control, and the communities which it invades. Experiments were devised to help address this information gap, the results of which are presented in this thesis.

A thermogradient plate was used to examine the germination response of *P. canescens* seed to a variety of temperature regimes. *Phyla canescens* seed from two separate populations was found to require temperatures that alternated by at least 5°C, a response for which the term homothermophobia is suggested. The presence of light and high water availability were also necessary for germination. This particular combination of germination requirements is common to a number of invasive species of wetland and

floodplain habitats. The conditions to fulfil this set of germination requirements are likely to be met most abundantly following the recession of floodwaters.

Four field populations were selected in two adjacent catchments (Gwydir River and Namoi River) in the northern New South Wales section of the Murray Darling Basin. At each site, permanent quadrats were established inside livestock exclosures, with experiments designed to estimate seed production, seedbank density and longevity, and response to seasonal disturbances.

Seed production was estimated to reach over 60 000 seeds $\text{m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$. All seeds were produced in the five-month period between the end of November and the beginning of May. Apart from time of year, seed production was also a function of recent rainfall, percentage cover of *P. canescens*, and cover of other species. Where pollinators were excluded from virgin inflorescences, fruit set was reduced from 51%, with pollinator access, to zero.

Previous estimates of the *P. canescens* seedbank are low, both in Australia and Argentina. However, by germinating seed under ideal conditions, from soil cores reduced in volume by wet sieving, seedbank density exceeded 7500 seeds m^{-2} . After 12 months of preventing seed-rain, the seedbank across the four sites had decreased by 38%. At this rate (assuming negative exponentiality) it will take 10 years for the seedbank to decline to <1% of the original density. However, a parallel seed-burial study showed no significant decline over 13 months. Such seed burial experiments have been criticised for over-estimating rates of decline, but clearly this is not the case here. Overall, seedbanks of *P. canescens* are likely to be a management concern for approximately a decade for sites where seed-rain is eliminated from areas with previously high cover of *P. canescens*. However, floods are likely to increase the rate of seedbank depletion, primarily through germination of those seeds exposed to light.

Following four seasonal disturbances at each site, germination of *P. canescens* occurred only at one site that experienced a flood during the experimental period. The exclosures

at the other sites were not flooded and no *P. canescens* germinated, despite periods of high rainfall, and germination of a range of other species. Germination density and seedling survivorship were both reduced in the presence of existing vegetation. An opportunistic survey, following the flooding of a billabong, revealed recruitment of *P. canescens* from both seed and fragments to be almost exclusively restricted to the area that had been flooded. Seedlings were also recorded germinating directly from sheep faeces.

In summary, recruitment of *P. canescens* from both seeds and vegetative fragments appear limited to periods following inundation; seed production is reduced with higher cover of other species and reduced cover of *P. canescens*; both germination and seedling survival are reduced in the presence of existing vegetation cover. It is therefore suggested that by implementing grazing regimes that maximise the cover of competing species at the time of, and following, flood events, the seed production, germination and recruitment of *P. canescens* can be minimised.

Certification

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

A large black rectangular box redacting the signature of the author.

M. J. Macdonald

Contents

Title page	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iv
Certification	vii
Contents	viii
Tables	xii
Figures	xiii
 Chapter 1: General Introduction	 1
1.1 Biological invasions	1
1.1.1 Propagule pressure	3
1.1.2 Habitat invasibility	3
1.1.3 Invasive plants	5
1.1.4 Time since introduction	8
1.1.5 Stages of invasion	9
1.2 Management of invasive species	10
1.3 <i>Phyla canescens</i>	11
1.4 Thesis structure	13
 Chapter 2: Taxonomy, distribution and biology of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	 14
2.1 Taxonomy	14
2.1.1 Verbenaceae	14
2.1.2 <i>Phyla</i> Lour.	18
2.1.3 <i>Phyla nodiflora</i> and <i>Phyla canescens</i>	20
2.2 Distribution of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	21
2.2.1 Native range: South America	21
2.2.2 World	21
2.3 Biology of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	25
2.3.1 Ecology in Australia	25
Lower River Murray	25
Northern Darling River	26
2.3.2 Ecology in South America	27
Flooding Pampa	28
Saltmarshes	29
Ant-hills	29
Vizcacha	29
Greater rhea	30
Livestock grazing	30
Grazing and flooding	32
Fertilizer	32
Fire	32
Range expansion	32

2.3.3 Phytochemistry and allelopathy	33
Medicinal uses: traditional and potential	33
<i>Phyla nodiflora</i>	33
<i>Phyla canescens</i>	33
Allelopathy	34
<i>Phyla nodiflora</i>	34
<i>Phyla canescens</i>	34
2.4 Conclusion	35
Chapter 3: Review of <i>Phyla</i> collections in Australian herbaria	37
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Specimens	37
3.3.1 Redeterminations	41
3.3.2 Australian distribution	41
3.2.3 Unique collections	42
3.2.4 Habitat preferences and substrate	45
3.2.5 Early collections	47
3.2.6 Cumulative collections	48
3.3 Conclusion	49
Chapter 4: Field sites	51
4.1 Regional context	51
4.2 Nomenclature	52
4.3 Field sites	52
4.3.1 Site 1: ‘Kilmarnock’ Boggabri, Namoi River catchment	55
4.3.2 Site 2: ‘Glen Arvon’ Wee Waa, Namoi River catchment	57
4.3.3 Site 3: ‘Old Dromana’ Moree, Gwydir River catchment	59
4.3.4 Site 4: ‘Moolabulla’ Moree, Gwydir River catchment	61
Chapter 5: Germination	63
5.1 Introduction	63
5.2 Methods	64
5.2.1 Experiment 1: Substrate	64
5.2.2 Experiment 2: Light	65
5.2.3 Experiment 3: Temperature	65
5.3 Results	67
5.3.1 Experiment 1: Substrate	67
5.3.2 Experiment 2: Light	68
5.3.3 Experiment 3: Temperature	68
5.4 Discussion	70
5.4.1 Experiment 1: Substrate	70
5.4.2 Experiment 2: Light	71
5.4.3 Experiment 3: Temperature	71
5.5 Conclusion	73

Chapter 6: Fecundity	74
6.1 Introduction	74
6.2 Methods	77
6.2.1 Flowering phenology	77
6.2.2 Fecundity	77
6.2.3 Pollinator exclusion	78
6.3 Results	79
6.3.1 Flowering phenology	79
6.3.2 Fecundity	81
6.3.3 Pollinator exclusion	86
6.4 Discussion	86
6.4.1 Flowering phenology	86
6.4.2 Fecundity	87
6.4.3 Pollinator exclusion	88
6.5 Conclusion	89
 Chapter 7: Seedbank dynamics	 90
7.1 Introduction	90
7.1.1 Seedbank longevity	90
7.1.2 Seedbank density	92
7.1.3 Artificial seedbanks	94
7.1.4 Seedbank pilot study	94
7.2 Methods	95
7.2.1 Seedbank pilot study	95
7.2.2 Seedbank estimation and decline	95
7.2.3 Seed burial and exhumation	96
7.3 Results	97
7.3.1 Seedbank pilot study	97
7.3.2 Seedbank estimation and decline	98
7.3.3 Seed burial and exhumation	100
7.4 Discussion	101
7.4.1 Seedbank pilot study	101
7.4.2 Seedbank estimation and decline	102
7.4.3 Seed burial and exhumation	102
7.5 Conclusion	103
 Chapter 8: Response to disturbance	 105
8.1 Introduction	105
8.2 Methods	107
8.2.1 Seasonal disturbances	107
8.2.2 Opportunistic survey	109
8.2.3 Nomenclature	110

8.3 Results	111
8.3.1 Response to seasonal disturbances	111
Germination of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	111
Cover of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	113
Germination of species other than <i>Phyla canescens</i>	117
Cover of species other than <i>Phyla canescens</i>	119
8.3.2 Site-by-site patterns	121
Site 1	121
Site 2	124
Site 3	127
Site 4	130
8.3.3 Opportunistic survey	133
8.4 Discussion	134
8.4.1 Response of <i>Phyla canescens</i> to disturbance	134
Germination	134
Cover	135
8.4.2 Response of species other than <i>Phyla canescens</i>	135
Germination	135
Cover	136
8.4.3 Opportunistic survey	136
8.5 Conclusion	137
 Chapter 9: General discussion	 138
9.1 Ecology	138
9.1.1 Taxonomy	138
9.1.2 Flooding and grazing	140
9.1.3 Fecundity	140
9.1.4 Seedbank	141
9.1.5 Recruitment	142
9.2 Management	143
9.2.1 Prevention	143
9.2.2 Remediation	144
9.2.3 Biological control	146
9.3 Conclusion	148
 References	 149
 Appendix 1: Nomenclature of species of <i>Phyla</i> with synonyms	 171
Appendix 2: Herbarium specimens examined	173
Appendix 3: List of vascular plants in disturbance and control plots	192

Tables

Table 1.1	Baker's 'ideal weed' characters and invasive traits	7
Table 2.1	Verbenaceae: Tribes, genera and species richness	15
Table 2.2	Verbenaceae: Species in Australia	17
Table 2.3	Current world distribution of <i>Phyla</i> species	20
Table 2.4	World distribution of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	23
Table 2.5	Response of <i>Phyla canescens</i> to disturbance: Argentina	30
Table 3.1	Australian herbarium specimens of <i>Phyla</i> spp.	38
Table 3.2	Identification features	39
Table 3.3	Variation within <i>Phyla canescens</i> in Australia	40
Table 3.4	Provenance of Australian herbarium collections of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	44
Table 3.5	Provenance of Australian herbarium collections of <i>Phyla nodiflora</i>	44
Table 3.6	Habitat of <i>Phyla</i> spp. herbarium collections in Australia	46
Table 3.7	Substrate of <i>Phyla</i> spp. herbarium collections in Australia	46
Table 3.8	First collections of <i>Phyla canescens</i> by state	47
Table 3.9	First collections of <i>Phyla nodiflora</i> by state	48
Table 3.10	Summary of differences between <i>Phyla</i> spp. in Australia	50
Table 4.1	Description of field sites	53
Table 6.1	Regression of germinable seed density	83
Table 6.2	Pollinator exclusion: Fruit set and seed germinability	86
Table 7.1	Seedbank longevity predictions from traits of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	104
Table 8.1	Dates of disturbance by treatment and site	108
Table 8.2	Description of elevational zones adjacent to flooded billabong	110
Table 8.3	Cover of <i>Phyla canescens</i> following seasonal disturbances	114
Table 8.4	Density and frequency of <i>Phyla canescens</i> plant type by zone	133

Figures

Figure 1.1	Life-cycle of <i>Phyla canescens</i>	12
Figure 2.1	For sale: <i>Phyla canescens</i> labelled as ' <i>Phyla nodiflora</i> '	16
Figure 2.2	Distribution of <i>Phyla canescens</i> in South America	22
Figure 3.1	Distribution of <i>Phyla</i> spp. in Australia	43
Figure 3.2	Cumulative collections of <i>Phyla</i> spp. in Australia	49
Figure 4.1	Location of field sites	52
Figure 4.2	Experimental plot layout in exclosures	54
Figure 4.3	Site 1: Photograph	55
Figure 4.4	Site 1: Monthly and mean rainfall and temperature	56
Figure 4.5	Site 1: Daily temperature and soil moisture from data logger	56
Figure 4.6	Site 2: Photograph	57
Figure 4.7	Site 2: Monthly and mean rainfall and temperature	58
Figure 4.8	Site 2: Daily temperature and soil moisture from data logger	58
Figure 4.9	Site 3: Photograph	59
Figure 4.10	Site 3: Monthly and mean rainfall and temperature	60
Figure 4.11	Site 3: Daily temperature and soil moisture from data logger	60
Figure 4.12	Site 4: Photograph	61
Figure 4.13	Site 4: Monthly and mean rainfall and temperature	62
Figure 4.14	Site 4: Daily temperature and soil moisture from data logger	62
Figure 5.1	Two-way thermogradient plate layout	66
Figure 5.2	Substrate: Germination of <i>Phyla canescens</i> seed	67
Figure 5.2	Temperature: Germination of <i>Phyla canescens</i> seed	69
Figure 5.2	Viability of <i>Phyla canescens</i> seed by initial temperature regime	70
Figure 6.1	Phenology of reproduction in <i>Phyla canescens</i>	79
Figure 6.2	Change in <i>Phyla canescens</i> inflorescence density over time	80
Figure 6.3	Rate of germinable seed production in <i>Phyla canescens</i>	81
Figure 6.4	Inflorescence, fruit and germinable seed density by site	82
Figure 6.5	Fruit and germinable seed production by inflorescence density	84
Figure 6.6	Germinable seed production as a function of fruit density	84
Figure 6.7	Germinable seeds per inflorescence by site	85
Figure 7.1	Soil depth distribution of germinable <i>Phyla canescens</i> seed	98
Figure 7.2	Germinable soil seedbank density by site	99
Figure 7.3	Decline in germinable soil seedbank density over time	99
Figure 7.4	Seeds exhumed by time of burial	100
Figure 7.5	Germinable exhumed seeds by site	101

Figure 8.1	Position of quadrats per treatment plot	109
Figure 8.2	Survivorship of <i>Phyla canescens</i> seedlings following flooding	112
Figure 8.3	Site 4: Soil moisture and rainfall for June/July 2005	113
Figure 8.4	Cover of <i>Phyla canescens</i> following disturbance treatments	115
Figure 8.5	Change in cover of <i>Phyla canescens</i> over time	116
Figure 8.6	Seedling density of other species by functional group and site	117
Figure 8.7	Seedling density of other species by treatment and season	118
Figure 8.8	Total cover of other species by site	119
Figure 8.9	Total cover of other species by treatment	120
Figure 8.10	Site 1: Seedling density by treatment and functional group	122
Figure 8.11	Site 1: Cover by treatment and functional group over time	123
Figure 8.12	Site 2: Seedling density by treatment and functional group	125
Figure 8.13	Site 2: Cover by treatment and functional group over time	126
Figure 8.14	Site 3: Seedling density by treatment and functional group	128
Figure 8.15	Site 3: Cover by treatment and functional group over time	129
Figure 8.16	Site 4: Seedling density by treatment and functional group	131
Figure 8.17	Site 4: Cover by treatment and functional group over time	132