Application of qRT-PCR for improved understanding and control of infectious bursal disease in chickens

Thesis

Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

School of Environmental and Rural Science

University of New England, Australia



Jayasundara Mudiyanselage Kanchana Ganga Kumari Jayasundara

BVSc, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

MSc (Animal Science), Postgraduate Institute of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

October 2016

Declaration

I hereby endorse that this work is my own original work. All other resourced I used and any help I received in preparing this thesis, have been kindly acknowledged in this thesis. The materials in this thesis have not been submitted for any other degree, either in full or in partial.



J M K G K Jayasundara

Oct/2016

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to thank my principal supervisor, Professor Stephen Walkden-Brown, for his continual guidance, encouragements, academic support and his patience throughout my PhD journey. This study wouldn't have been possible without him. I also thank to co-supervisor, Professor Margaret Katz, for her constant interest and valuable advice on my research study. Both of their valuable advices and assistance enriched development of my skills as a researcher. Sincere thanks also to one of my co-supervisor, Dr. Fakhrul Islam, for his support during experiments and valuable feedback to my experimental chapters. I would like to thank Dr. Katrin Renz as well for being a member of my supervisory team.

I would like to thank University of New England for providing me IPRS, UNERA and PVCR PhD completion scholarships for my study and also for school of Environmental and Rural science, UNE for providing funds for experiments. I also thank the Animal Ethic Committee, UNE for approval of my research experiments. I sincerely thank to the Department of Animal production and Health, Sri Lanka for granting me overseas study leave.

I sincerely thank Dr. Sandra Sapats and Ms. Gaylene Gould, Australian Animal Health Laboratory, CSIRO for providing us with the IBDV strains, Mrs. Susan Burgess for her technical assistance at the laboratory and purchasing chemicals, reagents and laboratory utensils for my laboratory work. I also thank to PhD student Mr. Mamdouh Alcharari for his assistance during experiments especially during euthanasia.

I would like to thank my ever loving husband Wijaya. He is the only person who can bear my quirkiness and sense of humour. There are no words to convey, how much I appreciate him. Wij has been a true and great supporter and unconditionally loved me during my good and bad times. Those helped me to walk through my PhD journey. I also thank to my gorgeous well-behaved son, Oshada and daughter, Janani for their sacrifices and wonderful love. Both of their innocent smiles from their bottom of the heart always provided me a great encouragement to finish my study. Thanks again my dear kids for your wonderful support during my study. I sincerely thanks to my parents, brothers and sisters as well as Wij's mum, sitter and brothers for their big supportive hands far away from Sri Lanka.

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my beloved son, Oshada Attanayake, daughter, Janani Attanayake and my husband, Wijaya Attanayake for their unconditional love and being part of my life and also to my principal supervisor prof. Stephen Walkden-Brown for his great guidance, patience and support during this study.

Preface

This thesis has been written and structured in journal-article format. I have attempted to minimise the repetition of materials between chapters. However, some repetition remains, particularly in the methodology and introduction sections used in manuscripts.

List of publications for this thesis

Journal articles

- 1. **Jayasundara, J. M. K. G. K.,** Walkden-Brown, S. W., Margaret E, K., Islam, A. F. F., Renz, K. G., McNally, J., & Hunt, P. (2016). Pathogenicity, tissue distribution, shedding and environmental detection of two strains of IBDV following infection of chickens at 0 and 14 days of age. *Avian Pathology, Accepted for publication*.
- 2. **Jayasundara, J. M. K. G. K.,** Walkden-Brown, S. W., Islam, A. F. F., Margaret E, K., & Renz, K. G. (2016). Effects of oral infection of commercial meat chickens at days 0 and 16 of age with infectious bursal disease virus on disease outcome and the distribution, shedding and detection in environmental samples of viral genome. *Australian Veterinary Journal, Submitted on 04/08/2016*.
- 3. **Jayasundara, J. M. K. G. K.,** Walkden-Brown, S. W., Islam, A. F. F., Margaret E, K., & Renz, K. G. (2016). Inactivation of IBDV in chicken litter: Temperature time relationships and prediction using qRT-PCR. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research, Submitted on 12/09/2016*.

Conference presentations

- 1. **Jayasundara, J. M. K. G. K.,** Islam, A. F. M. F., Walkden-Brown, S. W., Katz, E. M., Renz, K., Burgess, S., McNally, J., & Hunt, P. (2014). Determination of shedding profile of infectious bursal disease virus in faeces of infected SPF chickens using qRT-PCR and association with levels in host tissues, dust and litter. Paper presented at the 2nd World Veterinary Poultry Association Asia Conference on "Testing and Monitoring", Bangkok.
- 2. **Jayasundara, J. M. K. G. K.,** Islam, A. F. M. F., Walkden-Brown, S. W., Katz, E. M., Renz, K., Burgess, S., McNally, J., & Hunt, P. (2015). Infectious bursal disease antibody levels and viral load in bursa, faeces, litter and dust following infection of commercial broiler chickens at 0 and 14 days of age. Proceedings of the Australian Poultry Science Symposium, Sydney, 26: 175-178.

Table of Contents

Declaration	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Dedication	iii
Preface	iv
List of publications for this thesis	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiv
List of Abbreviation	xvi
Abstract	xviii
General introduction	1
Chapter1: Literature review	6
Part 1: Application of PCR methods for the control of poultry viral diseases	6
1.1 PCR technique	7
1.2 Advantages of PCR-based methods	9
1.3 Limitations of PCR-based methods and solutions to them	13
1.4 Current application of PCR technology for diagnosis of poultry viral disease	ses 14
1.5 Opportunities for wider application of PCR in poultry virology	16
Part 2: Infectious bursal disease	18
1.1 Aetiology	18
1.1.2 Viral structure, genome and virulence	19
1.1.3 Resistance to physical and chemical conditions	20
1.1.4 Transmission	22
1.2 Pathogenesis	22
1.2.1 Target organ	23
1.2.2 IBDV viral RNA load in various tissues and shedding in faeces	23
1.3 Epidemiology and economic importance	25
1.3.1 Host range and geographical distribution	25
1.3.2 Frequency of occurrence	27
1.3.3 Economic importance	28
1.3.4 Main determinants of the disease	
1.4 Host immune response to IBDV infection	31
1 <mark>.4.1 Innate immunity</mark>	

	1.4.2 Humoral adaptive immunity	31
	1.4.3 Cellular adaptive immunity	32
	1.5 Immunosuppression induced by IBDV	32
	1.6 Clinical signs of IBD	33
	1.7 Gross Pathology	34
	1.8 Histopathology	35
	1.9 Diagnosis	36
	1.10 Differential diagnosis	37
	1.11 prevention and control	37
	1.12 Conclusion	39
C	Chapter 2: General materials and methods	41
	2.1 Animal experiments, management and facilities	
	2.1.1 Animal experiments	41
	2.1.2 Source of experimental animals	41
	2.1.3 Handling and management of experimental animals	41
	2.1.4 Isolator facility	41
	2.1.5 Isolation pens, Western campus	45
	2.2 IBDV infective materials	45
	2.2.1 Bursal extracts	45
	2.2.2. Infective litter	46
	2.2.3 Infective dust	46
	2.3 Sampling procedures and data collection	46
	2.3.1 Blood samples	46
	2.3.2 Post-mortem examination	47
	2.3.3 Body weight and relative bursal weight	47
	2.3.4 Organ sampling for qRT-PCR analysis	47
	2.3.5 Faecal sample collection	48
	2.3.6 Litter sample collection	48
	2.3.7 Dust sample collection	48
	2.4 Laboratory methods and method development	
	2.4.1 ELISA	
	2.4.2 RNA extraction from tissue/organ samples	
	2.4.3 RNA extraction from dust	
	2.4.4 RNA extraction from litter	1 0
	/	/ 1

2.4.5 RNA extraction from faeces	51
2.5 Final optimization of the faecal extraction method	52
2.6 RNA quantification	55
2.7 One-step qRT-PCR	57
2.7.1 Development of the standard curve from bursal samples for the IBDV	=
Chapter 3: Pathogenicity, tissue distribution, shedding and environmental etection of two strains of IBDV following infection of chickens at 0 and 14 ge	•
bstract	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Materials and Methods	
3.2.1 Experimental design	
3.2.2 Experimental chickens and management	
3.2.3 Infective materials	
3.2.4 Post mortem examination, body weight and lymphoid organ scoring a weights	and
3.2.5 Sampling for serology and qRT-PCR	68
3.2.6 RNA extraction	69
3.2.7 IBDV specific one-step qRT-PCR assay	70
3.2.8 ELISA	70
3.2.9 Estimation of daily virus shedding/chicken	70
3.2.10 Statistical analysis	71
3.3 Results	71
3.3.1 Freedom of the experimental chickens from IBDV infection prior to commencement of the experiment	71
3.3.2 Clinical signs, mortality and gross pathology	72
3.3.3 Bursal atrophy induced by classical and variant strains	72
3.3.4 Antibody response to classical and variant IBDV infection	72
3.3.5 IBDV classical and variant vRNA genome copy numbers in lymphoic	U
3.3.6 Faecal shedding profile of classical and variant IBDV strains	74
3.3.7 Effects of variant IBDV infection at days 0 or 14 of age on bursal atro-	ophy . 75
3.3.8 Antibody response to IBDV infection with variant 02/95 strain at 0 ardays of age	

3.3.9 Viral RNA copy number in lymphoid organs of SPF chickens infected days 0 and 14 with IBDV variant strain 02/95	
3.3.10 IBDV faecal shedding profile of chickens infected with variant strain at day 0 or 14 days of age	
3.3.11 Association between IBDV vRNA copy number in bursal tissue and bursal weight	
3.3.12 Detection of IBDV vRNA in flock-representative environmental sam	iples 81
3.3.13 <i>In vivo</i> test of infectivity of IBDV-contaminated dust samples	81
3.4 Discussion	82
Chapter 4: Effects of oral infection of commercial meat chickens at days 0 a of age with infectious bursal disease virus on disease outcome and the distributed in th	bution,
Abstract	91
4.1 Introduction	92
4.2 Materials and methods	94
4.2.1 Experimental design	94
4.2.2 Source of experimental chickens and management	95
4.2.3 IBDV strain and dose	95
4.2.4 Sample collection and processing	95
4.2.5 Post mortems and data recording	96
4.2.6 IBDV vRNA extraction and one-step qRT-PCR assay for IBDV	96
4.2.7 ELISA test to detect anti-IBDV antibodies	96
4.2.8 Estimation of daily virus shedding per chicken in faeces	96
4.2.9 Statistical analysis	97
4.3 Results	97
4.3.1 Clinical signs and body weight	97
4.3.2 Antibody response to IBDV infection	97
4.3.3 Relative bursal weight	99
4.3.4 IBDV vRNA loads in lymphoid organs	100
4.3.5 Faecal shedding profiles of IBDV vRNA	100
4.3.6 Association between relative bursal weight and vRNA load in bursa	101
4.3.7 Detection of IBD viral load in litter and dust samples	102
4.4 Discussion	103
1.5 Conclusions	107

Chapter 5: Inactivation of IBDV in chicken litter: Temperature – tim relationships and prediction using qRT-PCR	
Abstract	111
5.1 Introduction	112
5.2 Materials and methods	114
5.2.1 Experimental design	114
5.2.2 Generation of litter containing infective IBDV	115
5.2.3 Heat treatment of litter	116
5.2.4 Chick bioassay of infectivity	117
5.2.5 Sampling	118
5.2.6 ELISA	118
5.2.7 IBDV vRNA extraction and one-step qRT-PCR assay for IBDV	7118
5.2.8 Statistical analysis	119
5.3 Results	119
5.3.1 Anti-IBDV antibody status of bioassay chicks	119
5.3.2 Clinical signs, mortality and gross pathology	120
5.3.3 Relative bursal weight of chickens in the bioassay	120
5.3.4 IBDV seroconversion of chickens in the bioassay	122
5.3.5 Detection of IBD vRNA in heat-treated litter	124
5.3.6 Association between relative bursal weight and humoral immurinfected chickens	
5.4 Discussion	125
5.5 Conclusions and applications	128
Chapter 6: General discussion and conclusions	132
6.1 Discussion by Research Objectives	132
6.1.1 Comparison of potential immunosuppressive and clinical outcominfection with IBDV Australian classical and variant strains	
6.1.2 Age effect on susceptibility to IBDV infection	133
6.1.3 Combined effects of MAb and age on IBDV infection	133
6.1.4 Determination of IBDV in flock-representative environmental s	samples 134
6.1.5 Determination of infectivity of IBDV-contaminated dust	135
6.1.6 Determination of the rate of IBDV inactivation in litter at various temperatures representative of those found during litter pasteurisation.	n by partial
composting	135

Appendices	165
7: References	139
6.3 Limitations of experimental approaches and future research	137
6.2 Conclusions and implications	136
the infectivity of IBDV-contaminated pasteurised litter	136
6.1.7 Determination of qRT-PCR measures of IBDV vRNA can be use	ed to predict

List of Tables

Chapter 1	
Table 1. 1: Functions of IBDV proteins	21
Chapter 2	
Table 2.1: The title, timing and location of the experiments conducted during the	
doctoral research	42
Table 2. 2: IBDV qRT-PCR assays of RNA extracted from dust using Bioline and	
GeneJET kits.	50
Table 2. 3: Mean Ct values following IBDV qRT-PCR of RNA samples extracted fr	om
litter samples using 6 different methods	53
Table 2. 4: Mean C _t values, test result and VCN per mg of faces following IBDV qu	RT-
PCR of RNA samples extracted from faeces using	54
Table 2. 5: Sample details of IBDV faecal spike study	55
Table 2. 6: IBDV qRT-PCR assay details of the spike test for PCR inhibition in	
extracted faecal samples	56
Table 2.7: Individual and average fold differences of C_t values.	57
Table 2. 8: Faecal samples used in the final test for optimization of RNA extraction	n 57
Table 2. 9: Comparison of IBDV VCN/mg of faeces using faecal digestion buffer	
overnight incubation method (M30 and new PBS wash (M4)	59
Table 2. 10: Details of IBDV primers and probes used for the IBDV assay.	59
Table 2. 11: Details of positive controls	60
Table 2. 12: Details of bursal samples that were used to prepare the standard curv	e. 60
Chapter 3	
Table 3. 1: Experiment 1. Relative bursal weight (LSM \pm SEM) of SPF chickens at	6,
13 and 28 dpi or of sham infected SPF chickens at hatch with two strains of IBDV.	72
Table 3. 2: Experiment 1. Proportion of SPF chickens with positive anti IBDV anti	body
titres at 7, 14, 21 and 28 dpi with two strains of IBDV	73
Table 3. 3: Experiment 1. Proportion of lymphoid organ samples positive for present	гпсе
of IBDV vRNA by qRT-PCR at 6, 13 and 28 dpi in SPF chickens infected with IBD	V
strains 02/05 and 06 /05 at hatch	74

Table 3. 4: Experiment 1. <i>Proportion of IBDV vRNA positive faecal samples at 2, 3, 5,</i>
7, 10, 14, 18, 21 and 28 dpi from SPF chickens infected with IBDV strains 06/95 and 02
/95 at hatch by qRT-PCR76
Table 3. 5: Experiment 2. Relative bursal weights (LSM \pm SEM) of SPF chickens at 3,
6, 10, 21 and 28 dpi after infection with IBDV variant strain 02/95 or sham infection
(negative control) at 0 or 14 days of age
Table 3. 6: Experiment 2. Proportion of lymphoid organ samples positive for IBDV
vRNA by qRT-PCR at 3, 6, 10, 21 and 28 dpi in SPF chickens infected with IBDV
variant 02/95 strain at 0 or 14 days of age79
Table 3. 7: Experiment 2. Proportion of faecal samples positive for IBDV vRNA by
qRT-PCR at 3, 6, 10, 21 and 28 dpi in SPF chickens infected with IBDV variant strain
02/95 at days 0 or 14
Chapter 4
Table 4. 1: Number of chickens with IBDV antibody titres above the threshold value in
chickens infected with IBDV or not (mock infected controls) at 0 d.o and 16 d.o 98
Table 4. 2: Relative bursal weights (LSM \pm SEM) of commercial meat chickens infected
at days 0 and 16 with variant strain 02/95 and negative controls at 7, 14, 21 and 28
<i>dpi.</i>
Table 4. 3: Proportion of lymphoid organ samples positive for IBDV vRNA by qRT-
PCR at 7, 14, 21 and 28 dpi in commercial meat chickens infected with IBDV variant
strain 02/95 at 0 or 16 days of age
Table 4. 4: Proportion of faecal samples positive for IBDV vRNA by qRT-PCR at
various dpi in commercial meat chickens infected with IBDV variant strain 02/95 at 0
or 16 d.o

List of Figures

Chapter 1

Figure 1. 1: <i>a) Inflammatory bursa of Fabricius at 2 -3 dpi and b) haemorrhages on thigh muscles due to virulent IBDV infection (Source: American Association of Avian</i>
Pathologists, INC. 1985. prepared by P.D. Lukert, University of Georgia, Revised by A.
Mutalib, Mississippi State of University.)34
<u>Chapter 3</u>
Figure 3. 1: Experiment 1. Anti IBDV antibody response (LSM \pm SEM of Log ₁₀ titre) in SPF chickens infected with classical 06/95 and variant 02/95 strains of IBDV at hatch.
The dotted line represents the cut off titre between negative and positive73
Figure 3. 2: Experiment 1. IBDV vRNA load (LSM \pm SEM of Log ₁₀ vRNA copy
number/mg tissue) at 6, 13 and 28 dpi in A) bursa, B) thymus, C) spleen and D) caecal
tonsils of SPF chickens infected with IBDV strains 06/95 and 02/95 at hatch. Bars not
sharing a common letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)
Figure 3. 3: Experiment 1. Mean (LSM + SEM) $vRNA load [Log_{10} (VCN + 1)/mg]$ in
faeces of SPF chickens at 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 14, 18, 21 and 28 dpi following infection with
classical 06/95 and variant 02/95 strains of IBDV at hatch76
Figure 3. 4: Experiment 2. Interaction between the overall effects of age of infection
and or sham infection (negative control) on overall mean (LSM \pm SEM) relative bursal
weights of SPF chickens at 3, 6, 10, 21 and 28 dpi following infection with variant
IBDV strain 02/95 at hatch or 14 days of age. Bars not sharing a common letter differ
significantly ($P < 0.05$)
Figure 3. 5: Experiment 2. Anti IBDV antibody titres (LSM \pm SEM of Log ₁₀ titre) in
SPF chickens infected with IBDV variant strain 02/95 at days 0 or 14. The dotted line
represents the cut off titre between negative and positive78
Figure 3. 6: Experiment 2. IBDV vRNA copy number (LSM \pm SEM of Log ₁₀
VCN+1/mg) at 3, 6, 10, 21 and 28 dpi in A) bursa, B) thymus, C) spleen and D) caecal
tonsils of SPF chickens infected with IBDV variant strain 02/95 strain at day 0 or day
14
Figure 3. 7: Experiment 2. IBDV vRNA copy number (LSM + SEM of Log ₁₀ VCN+1) at
3, 6, 10, 21 and 28 dpi in faeces of SPF chickens infected with IBDV variant strain
02/95 at day 0 or day 14. A) VCN per mg faeces and B) Estimated total VCN shed per
chicken per day80
Figure 3. 8: Experiment 2. IBDV vRNA copy number (LSM \pm SEM of Log ₁₀
VCN+1/mg) in A) isolator litter at 7, 14, 21 and 28 dpi and B) in isolator dust at 7, 10,
14, 17, 21, 24 and 28 dpi from chickens infected with IBDV variant strain 02/95 at day
0 or day 14 of age
Chapter 4
Figure 4. 1: Plot showing the effects of IBDV infection, age at infection (0 or 16 d.o.) and dpi on IBDV antibody titres (LSM \pm SEM of Log ₁₀ titre) in commercial meat chickens infected or not with IBDV variant 02/95 strain. The dotted line represents the
cutoff titre between negative and positive. Note that the birds challenged at 16 d.o. are 16 days older at each measurement than those challenged at 0 d.o

Figure 4. 2: Interaction plot showing the effects of IBDV injection and age at injection
with IBDV on relative bursal weight (LSM \pm SEM) of commercial meat chickens
infected or not with IBDV variant strain 02/95 at days 0 or 16 of age. Birds were
sampled at 7, 14, 21 and 28 dpi with all times included. Bars not sharing a common
letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)
Figure 4. 3: Interaction plots showing the effects of age at infection with IBDV and dpi
on vRNA load (LSM + SEM of Log ₁₀ VCN/mg) at 7, 14, 21, and 28 dpi in a) bursa b)
thymus, c) spleen and d) caecal tonsils of commercial meat chickens infected with IBDV
variant strain 02/95 at days 0 or 16 of age
Figure 4. 4: <i>IBDV vRNA copy number (LSM of Log10 VCN+1) at various dpi in faeces</i>
of commercial meat chickens infected with IBDV variant strain 02/95 at 0 d.o. and 16
$d.o. A) VCN per mg faeces and B) Estimated total VCN shed per chicken per day. \dots 102$
Figure 4. 5: Detection IBDV vRNA in environmental samples A) Viral RNA load (Log ₁₀
VCN/mg) in isolation pen litter at 7 and 14 dpi and B) viral RNA load (LSM \pm SEM of
Log ₁₀ VCN/mg) in isolation pen dust at 7, 14 and 21 dpi from commercial meat
chickens infected with IBDV variant strain 02/95 at 0 and 16 d.o
Chapter 5
Figure 5. 1: Experiment 1. Anti-IBDV antibody titres (LSM \pm SEM) in commercial
layer cockerels on the day of hatch $(n = 10)$ and on the day of exposure to litter at the
start of the bioassay when birds were $28-34$ days old $(n = 106)$
Figure 5. 2: Experiment 1. Results of overall analysis of relative bursal weight (LSM \pm
SE) of commercial layer cockerels 35 days after exposure to heat-treated IBDV
infected litters at 28-34 d.o. $(P < 0.0001)$ (A). Results of factorial analysis of the heat
treatments alone (B).
Figure 5. 3: Experiment 2. Results of overall analysis of relative bursal weight (LSM ±
SE) of specific pathogen free chickens 35 days after exposure to heat-treated IBDV
infected litters at 0 d.o. $(P = 0.16)$ (A). Results of factorial analysis of the heat
treatments alone (B).
Figure 5. 4: Experiment 3. Results of overall analysis of relative bursal weight (LSM ±
SE) of specific pathogen free chickens 35 days after exposure to heat-treated IBDV
infected litters at 0 d.o. $(P = 0.33)$ (A). Results of factorial analysis of the heat
treatments alone (B)
Figure 5. 5: Experiment 1. Results of overall analysis of log_{10} antibody titre (LSM +
SE) of commercial layer cockerels 35 days after exposure to heat-treated IBDV
infected litters at 28-34 d.o. $(P = 0.0006)$ (A). Results of factorial analysis of the heat
treatments alone (B)
Figure 5. 6: Experiment 2. Results of overall analysis of \log_{10} antibody titre(LSM +
SE) of specific pathogen free chickens 35 days after exposure to heat-treated IBDV
infected litters at 0 d.o. $(P = 0.16)$ (A). Results of factorial analysis of the heat
treatments alone (B)
Figure 5. 7: Experiment 3. IBDV log ₁₀ IBDV VCN/mg litter before and after heat
treament. SE are not presented because only one sub sample of each litter treatment
was tested
was resieu

List of Abbreviation

aa Amino acids

AEC Animal Ethic Committee

AI Avian influenza

Bursa of Fabricius
BrL Brown Leghorn line

CAV Chicken anaemia virus cDNA Complementary DNA

CID₅₀ Median chicken infective dose

CSIRO Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research

Organisation

Ct Critical threshold values
CV Coefficient of variation
DNA Deoxyribonucleic Acid
dpe Days post experiment

dpi Days post infection

dPCR Digital PCR

d.o. Days old

dsRNA Double stranded RNA

ELISA Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

EID₅₀ Median embryo infective dose

EMA Ethidium monoazide

FAdV Fowl adeno virus

hr. Hours

HEPA High Efficiency Particulate filtered air

HPAI Highly pathogenic avian influenza

IBD Infectious bursal disease

IBDV Infectious bursal disease virus

ILTV Infectious laryngotracheitis virus

iNOS Inducible nitric oxide synthatase

MAb Maternal antibody

MD Marek's disease

MDV Marek's disease virus

Min. Minutes

MVT Molecular viability test

MW Molecular weight

NTR Nontranslated region

OIE Office International des Epizooties

ORF Open reading frame

PBS Phosphate-buffered saline

PC Positive control

PC2 Physical containment level 2 certified

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

PVPP polyvinyl polypyrrolidone

qPCR Quantitative PCR or real time PCR

qRT-PCR Real time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

RE Restriction endonuclease

RELP Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis

RH Relative humidity
RNA Ribonucleic acid
rRNA Ribosomal RNA

RT-PCR Reverse transcription PCR

Sec. Seconds

SPF Specific pathogen free

TCID₅₀ Median tissue culture infective dose

UNE University of New England

USA United States of America

VCN Viral genome RNA copy number

VN Viral neutralization

vPCR Viable PCR vRNA Viral RNA

vv Very virulent

WL White Leghorn

Abstract

The advent of real time polymerase chain reaction test (qPCR) allows greater diagnostic and research capacity especially beneficial in the field of virology. Infectious bursal disease (IBD) is a well-known viral disease of poultry and occurs world-wide despite widespread use of vaccination. In this thesis study, the real time reverse transcription method (qRT-PCR) was used to address to a range of research questions to provide better understanding and control of IBD in chickens in Australia and world-wide.

One experiment was conducted to define the differences between Australian endemic classical and variant infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) strains by infecting maternal antibody (MAb) free specific pathogen free (SPF) chickens separately with classical strain 06/95 and variant strain 02/95 in isolators. The results revealed that the two IBDV strains did not differ in the degree of bursal atrophy induced, IBDV viral RNA (vRNA) load in bursal and non-bursal lymphoid organs and faecal shedding but variant strain 02/95 induced a greater antibody response to the infection than classical strain 06/95 which was associated with a more rapid decline in IBDV vRNA genome copy number (VCN) in lymphoid organs

Two separate experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of age and presence of IBDV MAb on IBDV infection. MAb-free SPF chickens and MAb-positive commercial chickens were infected independently with variant strain 02/95 at two ages. In SPF chickens, chickens infected on day of hatch (0 d.o.) or 14 days old became infected but infection at 14 days old (d.o.) induced greater bursal atrophy and higher VCN in bursal and non-bursal lymphoid organs than infection on the day of hatch indicating true age susceptibility in the older birds, independent of MAb states. In the experiment with commercial chickens, commercial Ross broilers infected at 16 d.o. had a higher degree of bursal atrophy, IBDV VCN in bursal and non-bursal lymphoid organs and higher active humoral response to infection than those infected at 0 d.o. Chickens infected at 0 d.o. showed no evidence of early bursal atrophy or antibody response to infection, a very low level of IBDV vRNA in bursa and no vRNA in other lymphoid organs. Bursal atrophy and an antibody response were observed at 28 dpi and significant IBDV vRNA load was detected in lymphoid organs at 21 and 28 dpi with faecal shedding at 28 dpi. It could not be ascertained if the later responses were due to cross infection from the older birds, separated only by a wire partition, when MAb levels were reduced, or due to initial infection with inhibition of virus replication followed by release of inhibition when MAb levels reduced. The results indicated that presence of high MAb titres at hatch blocked or markedly inhibited the pathogenesis of IBD but the reduced MAb titres at 16 d.o. did not prevent rapid IBDV infection and early marked bursal atrophy.

IBDV vRNA was readily detected and quantified in litter and dust samples from isolators and isolation pens. This demonstrates that the use these environmental samples, especially dust, as a diagnostic tool in routine disease monitoring may be feasible. Such testing would have several advantages over diagnostic tests based on tissue samples from individual birds including a single sample representing a population of birds, the sample being non-invasive and easy to collect, not requiring skilled personnel or special equipment and having fewer requirement to maintain cold chain during transportation to the laboratory.

Transmission of IBDV infection by IBDV-contaminated dust was tested by intra-tracheal insufflation of MAb-free SPF chickens with IBDV-contaminated dust collected from isolation pens during active IBDV infection. A marked rise in antibody titres between 7 and 35 dpi revealed active infection following dust insufflation, associated with marked bursal atrophy and high IBDV vRNA load in bursal tissues at 35 dpi. The results showed that IBDV-contaminated dust could be a likely source of infection of IBDV and the role of dust in the epidemiology of IBD requires further investigation.

Three separate chick bioassay experiments were conducted to determine the effects of different temperatures (25-65°C) and times (5, 10 or 20 days) combinations on inactivation of IBDV in litter. In the first chick bioassay experiment, commercial layer cockerels were exposed to IBDV-contaminated litter at 28-34 days of age, after allowing MAb levels to subside. Chickens exposed to litter kept at 35°C and above did not seroconvert to IBDV but those exposed to litter kept at 25°C for 5 and 20 days were infected with IBDV based on serological response and bursal atrophy at 35 dpi. Similarly, in the second bioassay experiment, exposure of MAb-free SPF chickens to the same IBDV-contaminated litter kept at 25°C for 5 and 10 days induced bursal atrophy while those exposed to litter kept at 25°C for 10 days showed an antibody response. The results of these two experiments demonstrate that IBDV-contaminated litter showed no seronversion following exposure to litter kept at temperatures at 35°C and above, but those exposed to litter incubated at 25°C for 20 days could still transmit the disease

successfully to chickens. It could not be definitively concluded that the medium and higher temperatures inactivated the virus because unfortunately the positive control samples (no heat treatment) also did not induce seroconversion or bursal atrophy. In chick bioassay experiment 3, exposure of MAb-free SPF chicks to different temperature-time treated litter samples resulted in no IBDV infection including the unheated positive control litters.

The qRT-PCR analysis of litter samples from chick bioassay experiment 1 showed very low levels of vRNA in unheated positive control litter and no VCN in all other heat treated litter samples including litter treatments for 10 and 20 days at 25°C indicating a poor association between IBDV vRNA in litter and infectivity. This was further supported by results of the second chick bioassay experiment. In that experiment, low levels of vRNA were detected in litter samples prior to heat treatment, but no IBDV vRNA was detected after heat treatment. Again litter kept for 10 days at 25°C was qRT-PCR negative but induced both bursal atrophy and antibody response reinforcing the poor association between IBDV vRNA in litter and infectivity. In chick bioassay experiment 3, pre-heat treated litter had considerably higher IBDV vRNA load than litter in the previous two experiments with loss of vRNA detection following heat treatment. No chickens exposed to the untreated litter or litter given any of the heat treatments seroconverted or exhibited bursal atrophy. These findings taken together indicated that qRT-PCR enumeration of IBDV vRNA in heat-treated litter is not a good measure of the likely infection risk posed by that litter.

The failure to successfully transmit IBDV in litter in most treatments in these three experiments (including all positive controls) may have been as a result of storing the infective litter frozen (all experiments), low levels of infective virus in the litter (first two experiments), inactivation of virus due to desiccation and moderate heating in the litter in isolators prior to collection and storage (third experiment) or that IBDV is more labile in poultry litter than previously thought.