

# **Investigating Teachers' Technology Use to Enhance Student Understanding of Algebra**

**Michael Paddy Masige**

Cert. IV, Small Business Management—Small Business Centre,  
New England/North West

Certificate of Religious Education (NSW)-Catholic Diocese of Armidale

Bachelor of Science with Education (Hons)—Makerere University

Bachelor of Education—University of New England

Master of International and Community Development—Deakin University

A thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Education (Honours) of the  
University of New England.

January, 2011

*I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.*

*I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis and all sources used have been acknowledged in this thesis.*

M. Masigi

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the support and advice provided to me while I worked on this thesis.

My deepest appreciation go to my two supervisors—Associate Professor Steve Tobias, and Dr. Pep Serow. Their contribution has been invaluable

Thank you to Associate Professor Debra Panizzon, Professor John Pegg, Associate Professor Neil Taylor, Dr Michael Littlelyke, and Dr. Howard Smith for your assistance and encouragement in the early stages of this learning journey.

Thank you to the Director, Catholic Schools Office, Armidale Diocese, Mr John Mula and principals of the participating schools for making it possible for me to access the teachers that I interviewed.

To Dr. Tony Moran, the Principal, St. Mary's College, Gunnedah, where I am a teacher, thank you for your support of my application for permission to collect data in the schools run by the Catholic Schools Office, Armidale, New South Wales.

To the teachers who agreed to be the interviewed, sometimes waiting for me to arrive at the school past normal school time, I would like to express my deep gratitude.

Thank you to the administrative staff at the School of Education, Faculty of the Professions, University of New England. In particular, I would like to thank Diane Mitchell for her support in helping me to get the materials I needed for the course.

Lastly, my thanks go to my wife Anne and children Edwin, Norah and Sharon for their patience during the many times when I had to be away from home while working on this thesis.

## **ABSTRACT**

In Australia, as in other countries, algebra occupies a central role in school mathematics. Like other mainstream mathematics programs, algebra is affected by the growing presence of digital tools in schools. Not only do technological tools change the nature of the tasks that are presented to students, they change the nature of the teaching as well. To take advantage of the potential of technology to enhance students' understanding of algebra requires not only tasks that are designed to push them beyond the limits of their current algebraic thinking and encourage further development of that thinking, but also approaches to teaching that facilitate such growth in students. Using a case study approach, teachers' use of digital tools was investigated with a view to enhancing students' understanding of algebra in secondary schools in New South Wales, Australia. In particular, teachers' roles, approaches, reasons for those approaches and ways to overcome any shortcomings were examined.

A number of findings emerged from the study. Firstly, the participants viewed their role as that of 'facilitators' in a digital technology-enabled algebra classroom. To enhance students understanding of algebra concepts in technology-enabled lessons, there is a need to further analyse and lift the quality of this 'facilitator' role by addressing teachers' pedagogical technology knowledge in relation to their roles as: allocator of time, catalyst, evaluator of student learning, explainer, manager, planner and conductor of classroom activities, a resource, a task setter, and a technical assistant. Secondly, respondents used particular tools in algebra because they found them convenient to use (easy to use, easily

accessible) or on the recommendation of peers or a faculty coordinator. These issues need to be taken advantage of at the school level to improve digital tool use in algebra. Finally, all the respondents supported the use of training in technology integration in algebra at professional development workshops to address weaknesses in teachers' skills and show them how to address their concerns in relation to the integration of digital technology in algebra. The study can serve as a base for other larger studies aimed at statistical generalisation in relation to these findings.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.....	i
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
ABSTRACT.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	x
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
ACRONYMS.....	xii

## CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

1.0	CHAPTER OVERVIEW.....	1
1.1	THE FOCUS OF THE INVESTIGATION.....	2
1.2	THE SCHOOL ALGEBRA CONTEXT.....	2
1.3	RATIONALE FOR THE STUDY.....	5
1.4	THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY .....	5
1.5	BACKGROUND TO TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION INTO MATHEMATICS.....	7
	1.5.1 TECHNOLOGY AND ALGEBRA LEARNING AND TEACHING.....	8
1.6	CONCLUSION: OVERVIEW OF THE RESEARCH.....	9

## CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	INTRODUCTION.....	12
2.1	OVERVIEW OF TECHNOLOGY USE IN SCHOOL MATHEMATICS.....	13
2.2	TEACHERS' ROLES IN TECHNOLOGY-BASED ALGEBRA CLASSROOMS.....	14
2.2.1	TYPOLOGIES OF TEACHERS' ROLES.....	15
2.2.1.1	TEACHER AS ALLOCATOR OF TIME.....	17
2.2.1.2	TEACHER AS CATALYST.....	17
2.2.1.3	TEACHER AS COLLABORATOR OR FELLOW INVESTIGATOR.....	18
2.2.1.4	TEACHER AS COUNSELLOR.....	18
2.2.1.5	TEACHER AS AN EVALUATOR.....	19
2.2.1.6	TEACHER AS AN EXPLAINER.....	19
2.2.1.7	TEACHER AS MANAGER.....	19
2.2.1.8	TEACHER AS PLANNER AND CONDUCTOR.....	20
2.2.1.9	TEACHER AS A RESEOURCE.....	20
2.2.1.10	TEACHER AS A TASK SETTER.....	21
2.2.1.11	TEACHER AS A TECHNICAL ASSISTANT.....	21
2.2.2	TECHNOLOGY AS MASTER, SERVANT, PARTNER AND EXTENSION OF SELF.....	21
2.3	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE WAY ALGEBRA IS TAUGHT IN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY ENVIRONMENTS.....	23

2.3.1	SOCIAL, POLITICAL, ECONOMIC AND CULTURAL FACTORS.....	24
2.3.1.1	THE POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC FACTORS.....	24
2.3.1.2	THE SOCIAL AND CULTURAL FACTORS.....	26
2.3.2	THE MATHEMATICAL AND EPISTEMOLOGICAL FACTORS.....	27
2.3.3	THE SCHOOL OR INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS.....	32
2.3.4	THE CLASSROOM AND DIDACTICAL FACTORS.....	33
<b>2.4</b>	<b>TEACHERS' INTERVENTIONS AND CONCERNS.....</b>	<b>35</b>
2.4.1	TEACHERS' CONCERNS.....	36
2.4.1.1	PERSONAL CONCERNS.....	36
2.4.1.2	MANAGEMENT CONCERNS.....	38
2.4.1.3	TECHNOLOGY CONCERNS.....	40
2.4.2	MODES OF USE OF TECHNOLOGY.....	41
2.4.2.1	HALL AND HORD'S LEVELS OF USE MODEL.....	42
2.4.2.2	THE PLAY, USE, RECOMMEND, INCORPORATE, ASSESS (PURIA) MODE.....	43
<b>2.5</b>	<b>ADDRESSING PTK SHORTCOMINGS: FROM PCK TO PTK.....</b>	<b>45</b>
2.5.1	PEDAGOGICAL TECHNOLOGY KNOWLEDGE.....	45
<b>2.6</b>	<b>THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS ON THE ROLES OF DIGITAL TOOLS.....</b>	<b>47</b>
2.6.2	TECHNOLOGY AS AMPLIFIER AND ORGANISER.....	47
2.6.2	TECHNOLOGY AS TOOL, TUTOR, TUTEE.....	48
2.6.3	WHITE BOX-BLACK BOX.....	49
2.6.4	REPRESENTATION.....	50
<b>2.7</b>	<b>THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS BASED ON VYGOTSKY'S THEORETICAL WRITINGS.....</b>	<b>51</b>
2.7.1	VALSINER'S ZONE THEORY.....	52
2.7.2	INSTRUMENTAL APPROACHES.....	54
2.7.2.1	THE INSTRUMENTAL DIMENSION.....	55
2.7.2.2	ORCHESTRATION.....	58
2.7.2.3	MEDIATION AND SEMIOTIC MEDIATION.....	59
<b>2.8</b>	<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>61</b>
 <b>CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY</b>		
<b>3.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>DEFINITION AND PURPOSE.....</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1.1	PARADIGM CONSIDERATIONS.....	64
3.1.2	THE RESEARCH STRATEGY.....	65
3.1.3	CASE STUDY RESEARCH.....	66
3.1.4	TYPES OF CASE STUDY RESEACRH AND THE DESIGN SELECTED FOR THIS STUDY.....	67
<b>3.2</b>	<b>COMPONENTS OF THE RESEARCH DESIGN.....</b>	<b>70</b>
3.2.1	THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	71
3.2.2	RATIONALE FOR USING A CASE STUDY RESEARCH STRATEGY.....	71
3.2.2.1	RATIONALE FOR USING A MULTIPLE CASE STUDY DESIGN.....	72

3.2.3	THE UNIT OF ANALYSIS.....	74
3.2.4	LINKING THE DATA TO THE RATIONALE.....	75
3.2.4.1	THE STUDY'S RATIONALE.....	75
3.2.5	CREDIBILITY OF THE RESEARCH.....	77
3.2.6	SELECTION OF CANDIDATES FOR CASE STUDIES.....	77
3.2.7	THE PILOT CASE STUDY.....	79
3.2.8	THE PROCESS OF INQUIRY.....	79
3.2.9	THE PROCESS OF GAINING ACCESS TO RESEARCH DATA.....	80
3.2.10	TIME AND DURATION OF DATA COLLECTION.....	82
<b>3.3</b>	<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS</b>		
<b>4.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>THE SCHOOL CONTEXT OF THE PARTICIPANTS.....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>DATA COLLECTION INTERVIEWS.....</b>	<b>86</b>
4.2.1	CASE STUDY: TEACHER 1(T1)-SCHOOL A.....	87
4.2.2	CASE STUDY: TEACHER 2(T2)-SCHOOL B.....	90
4.2.3	CASE STUDY: TEACHER 3(T3)-SCHOOL C.....	91
4.2.4	CASE STUDY: TEACHER 4(T4) SCHOOL D.....	93
<b>4.3</b>	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS.....</b>	<b>94</b>
4.3.1	INFORMANTS' USE OF INTERACTIVE WHITEBOARDS.....	96
4.3.2	INFORMANTS' USE OF SCIENTIFIC CALCULATORS.....	96
4.3.3	INFORMANTS' USE OF GRAPHICS CALCULATORS.....	97
4.3.4	INFORMANTS' USE OF TUTOR PROGRAMS AND GRAPHING SOFTWARE.....	97
4.3.5	HOW THE INFORMANTS' VIEWED THEIR ROLES WHEN USING TECHNOLOGY.....	98
4.3.6	INFORMANTS' CONCERNS WHEN USING TECHNOLOGY.....	99
4.3.7	INFORMANTS' SUGGESTIONS OF HOW IN-SERVICE TEACHERS CAN BE SUPPORTED.....	99
<b>4.4</b>	<b>CHAPTER SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS</b>		
<b>5.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>THE TEACHERS' ROLE IN A TECHNOLOGY-ENABLED ALGEBRA CLASSROOM....</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>TEACHERS CHOICES OF APPROACHES TO THE USES OF TECHNOLOGY.....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>HOW TEACHERS INCORPORATE TECHNOLOGY INTO ALGEBRA.....</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>OVERCOMING TEACHERS' SHORTCOMINGS IN THE USE OF TECHNOLOGY.....</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>5.5</b>	<b>LINKING THE RESULTS AND THEORIES ON TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION.....</b>	<b>108</b>
5.5.1	THE USE OF THE INTERACTIVE WHITEBOARD.....	108
5.5.2	THE USE/NON-USE OF THE GRAPHICS CALCULATOR.....	109
5.5.3	GRAPHING SOFTWARE.....	111



5.5.4	<i>HOTmaths</i> PROGRAM.....	111
5.5.5	TEACHERS' PEDAGOGICAL TECHNOLOGY KNOWLEDGE.....	112
<b>5.6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		
<b>6.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>TEACHERS' ROLES IN TECHNOLOGY-ENABLED ALGEBRA LESSONS.....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>TEACHERS' REASONS FOR USING TECHNOLOGY THE WAY THEY DID.....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>HOW TEACHERS INCORPORATED TECHNOLOGY INTO ALGEBRA.....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>6.4</b>	<b>ADDRESSING SHORTCOMINGS IN TEACHERS' PEDAGOGICAL TECHNOLOGY KNOWLEDGE.....</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>6.5</b>	<b>IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY.....</b>	<b>119</b>
	6.5.1 IMPLICATIONS FOR EDUCATORS AND POLICY MAKERS.....	119
	6.5.2 IMPLICATIONS FOR SCHOOL MANAGERS.....	119
<b>6.6</b>	<b>LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>6.7</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>120</b>
	6.7.1 FURTHER RESEARCH.....	121
	6.7.2 ENCOURAGEMENT OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT.....	121
<b>6.8</b>	<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>122</b>
	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		
<b>APPENDIX 1</b>	<b>INTERVIEW QUESTIONS.....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2</b>	<b>INFORMATION SHEET FOR PARTICIPANTS.....</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3</b>	<b>CONSENT FORM FOR PARTICIPANTS.....</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4</b>	<b>APPROVAL LETTER FROM HREC COMMITTEE OF UNE.....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>APPENDIX 5</b>	<b>APPROVAL LETTER FROM DIRECTOR, CSO, ARMIDALE, NSW.....</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>APPENDIX 6</b>	<b>LETTER OF SUPPORT FROM ST MARY'S COLLEGE, GUNNEDAH, PRINCIPAL.....</b>	<b>149</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
<i>Table 1.1</i>	The elaborated and extended PURIA model ..... 43
<i>Table 2.2</i>	Factors affecting technology use..... 53
<i>Table 3.1</i>	Criteria for selection of the participants and schools for the study..... 78
<i>Table 3.2</i>	Codes used for participants and schools..... 78
<i>Table 3.3</i>	Time frame..... 82
<i>Table 4.1</i>	Summary of data collected from participants ..... 95

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>Page</b>
<i>Figure 1.1</i>	Kieran’s GTG model of algebraic activities..... 2
<i>Figure 2.1</i>	The links between function representations..... 28
<i>Figure 2.2</i>	Symbolic, graphical and numerical representations of a family of functions represented by the general equation $y = x^2 \pm c$ ..... 30
<i>Figure 2.3</i>	The didactic tetrahedron..... 34
<i>Figure 2.4</i>	A model of the process of mediation by an artefact..... 60
<i>Figure 3.1</i>	The parameters that guide research..... 65
<i>Figure 3.2</i>	Basic types of research designs for case studies..... 69
<i>Figure 3.3</i>	The process of gaining access to research data..... 81
<i>Figure 4.1</i>	Example of a widget from <i>HOTmaths</i> ..... 88

## ACRONYMS

ACARA	Australian Curriculum and Reporting Authority
ASRMI	Availability of School Resources for Mathematics Index
B.Ed	Bachelor of Education
CAS	Computer Algebra Systems
CBAM	Concerns-Based Adoption Model
CSO	Catholic Schools Office
DET	Department of Education and Training
EMAT	Teaching Mathematics with Technology
GTG	Generational Transformational Global/Meta-Level
ICT	Information and Communication Technologies
KLA	Key Learning Area
PCK	Pedagogical Content Knowledge
PTK	Pedagogical Technology Knowledge
PME	Psychology of Mathematics Education
SCAN	Systematic Classroom Analysis Notation
TI	Texas Instruments
TIMSS	Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study
UNE	University of New England
ZFM	Zone of Free Movement
ZPA	Zone of Promoted Action
ZPD	Zone of Proximal Development
WBBB	White Box-Black Box