

**PROMOTING BRANDED PRODUCTS AS INNOVATION IN
THE AUSTRALIAN BEEF MARKETING SYSTEM**

**A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of the
University of New England**

LUIS EMILIO EDUARDO MORALES VASQUEZ

(MSc. Agr. Econ. and Agr. Eng., Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile)

**School of Business, Economics and Public Policy
Faculty of The Professions
University of New England
Armidale, NSW 2351
Australia**

December 2010

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I dedicate this PhD thesis to all those who supported me:

To God, for supporting each step in my life.

To my family, for encouraging me to pursue my dreams even during tough times. Especially to my wife Claudia who gave me love and fed my spirit every day. Also to my mother Sylvia and grandmother Marta, who had a lot of patience and dedication during all these years and to whom I owe what I have achieved.

To my principal supervisor, Dr. Garry Griffith, and co-supervisors, Dr. Euan Fleming and Dr. Victor Wright. Without their guidance, support and feedback the design and development of this thesis would not be possible.

To Beef CRC for supporting activities developed in the research and providing constant feedback about ways to analyse the research problem to get results highly useful for the industry. A special mention to Dr. Wendy Umberger from the University of Adelaide, who gave constant support and key comments to improve the focus and development of the research.

To the School of Business, Economics and Public Policy of the University of New England, for The Faculty of the Professions Scholarship and their constant support. To Dr. Ray Cooksey, Dr. Nam Hoang and Dr. Fredy-Roberto Valenzuela, for their guidance in the fields of survey development, econometrics and marketing, critical at the moment to take decisions to achieve a research with high quality and useful to the beef industry. To Dr. Phil Simmons, Ms. Pauline Fleming and Dr. Rene Villano, who gave me good advices to develop my research in the best way possible. A special thanks to Kylie Powell and Honey Greenwood, for their friendship and help through these years.

To former teachers and lecturers who contributed to my development and gave me the motivation to pursue further studies.

To all my friends who gave me their support and have made my life a beautiful journey.

ABSTRACT

The Australian beef industry has evolved in its processing and quality evaluation systems into a more integrated supply chain via the introduction of Meat Standards Australia (MSA) grades. Even though the eating quality consistency of each cut may be guaranteed using the MSA scheme, consumers do not have much information about its attributes when they want to buy a piece of meat, so their purchase could be far from their preferences.

Quality differentiation of fresh beef is rare in Australia; therefore, the capacity of consumers to express their preferences is constrained and the profitability of the entire supply chain is reduced. Some small specialised companies have received higher prices by introducing MSA grades and offering products that are differentiated, branded and guaranteed. But large Australian beef-producing companies are not currently selling differentiated and branded products to important retailers such as supermarkets.

The objectives of this study are to identify the characteristics of the demand for branded beef products and the potential for large-scale differentiation using brands in the Australian beef marketing system, and how this differentiation may best be done given the structure of the supply chain.

Beef consumers show differences in beef preferences and sensitivity to price, explained by the characteristics and environmental influences of each consumer, the effect on expected quality of cues related to eating experience, health and production process, and the perceived risk of choosing the wrong product with possible adverse health implications. Eating experience is difficult to predict as consumers generally do not have enough information to form reliable expectations, while in the case of credence quality dimensions consumers cannot assess them by themselves. They therefore need to trust in the information provided by the producer or retailer. As the perceived risk to choose the wrong cut increases, the willingness to pay for a branded product will be greater. Then, the value of a brand is to become an extrinsic quality cue that can help to predict eating and credence quality dimensions, reduce the degree of uncertainty in the purchase decision process, increase the probability to fulfil consumers' purchase motives and make the choice easier allowing them to use information from past experiences at the purchase moment.

This study entails pluralistic research, composed of qualitative and quantitative methods. The qualitative component uses focus groups to obtain knowledge about beef buyers' perceptions. The quantitative part includes a survey and multivariate data analysis to test the focus group's results and quantify relationships between consumers' characteristics and beef attributes, including brands. The multivariate data analyses applied were: i) cluster analysis, to identify consumer segments with different characteristics, behaviours and interests; ii) factor analysis, to determine factors grouping attributes and psychographics that are highly correlated; iii) discrete choice analysis, to determine the propensity to buy branded products, given the consumer characteristics, preferences and behaviour, and product attributes relevant for the consumer; and iv) multiple regression analysis, to estimate the potential willingness to pay for branded beef products, given the product attributes relevant for the customer, preferences, behaviours and consumer characteristics.

An integrated approach combining the characteristics of traditional marketing perspectives and econometric analysis was preferred for the quantitative component of this research. The procedure chosen has characteristics of a sequential analysis, where the results obtained from the cluster and factor analyses were then used in the discrete choice and multiple regression analyses.

Three focus group discussions financed by the Cooperative Research Centre for Beef Genetic Technologies (Beef CRC) were run in September 2008 to identify differences in attitudes, feelings and characteristics of beef buyers who shop in the South Melbourne area, buying beef in supermarkets, general butcher stores and specialised butcher stores. This location was chosen because in the area there are supermarkets, a local market including around 10 general butcher stores, general butcher stores on main streets and specialised butcher stores, so it is possible to find branded beef in different stores. The questioning route used for the group of specialised butcher store consumers was different from the route used for the supermarket and general butcher store consumers groups.

Focus groups indicated that consumers who do not have strict budget constraints and have an appreciation of meat quality are the potential targets for selling branded beef at supermarkets and other retailers. These consumers specifically buy beef at supermarkets for shopping convenience reasons or do not buy beef at supermarkets because they are very concerned

about consistent high quality, freshness and healthiness. It was also suggested that branded beef products would need to be sufficiently differentiated from unbranded supermarket beef to be successful.

Using the information from the focus groups and previous studies, a survey was applied online between December 2009 and February 2010, collecting information on 1,084 beef buyers across Australia who answered the complete questionnaire.

Data analysis identified six different consumer segments in the Australian beef market, recognising five beef dimensions and six psychographic groups of variables. Household income did not affect the decision to buy branded beef when respondents have medium to high incomes. Opposite effects in the decision to buy branded beef product for taste and health reasons are shown by marbling and cut. This result indicates the nature of relevant variety in preferences of sub-segments in the market and the content of shared preferences at different levels of sub-segment aggregation. These findings can inform market targeting decisions. Buyers who have tried branded beef were more likely to buy these products again and pay premiums, showing satisfaction with branded products in most of the cases.

Beef buyers concerned about quality consistency showed a higher probability of being unwilling to pay premiums, demonstrating that high quality and quality consistency are concepts that are not always linked. Most buyers were looking for a cut with a guaranteed consistent eating quality at an acceptable level instead of a high quality product. In the case of those willing to pay extra for an ideal beef cut, the expected premium was affected more by involvement and quality appreciation than by household income.

Premiums for MSA cuts have fluctuated from about \$1 to \$6 per kilogram of specific cuts in Australia. The target group of beef buyers is estimated to be 3.8 million people across Australia. If companies decide to sell those cuts to this group, taking care of attributes used by them as quality cues and guaranteeing credence attributes, the industry could receive an average premium of \$5 per kilogram and up to double it in some cases.

There appears to be significant potential to sell branded beef products across Australia. Even though beef buyers could shop in the same stores, they are looking for different product attributes and have different characteristics, motivations and attitudes towards beef. Given

this situation, beef retailers should study the information search activity done by buyers in their stores and the information required and processed by them in each case, before attempting to use ways to attract different potential branded beef buyers. Ways that could be explored include organisation and display of beef products in shelves to enable easy comparison between eating quality, potential uses, other credence attributes, prices and convenient packaging, among other possible actions. The effectiveness of these methods may be limited by the priority that supermarket patrons give to convenience, which could lead them not absorbing much information when shopping. This demonstrates the need of future research in the area to analyse whether the methods are worth pursuing.

It is suggested that further marketing studies could be undertaken to analyse specific geographic areas, identifying groups of beef buyers, variables influencing the decision to buy branded beef products and premiums to pay using revealed preferences to get more accurate results. Also, it may be worthwhile to explore the costs and expected returns involved in designing and selling highly differentiated branded beef products oriented to specific sub-segments, determining their profitability in each case, given the considerable size of the segments identified. Finally, the fit with supermarket strategies and incentives will inevitably play a defining role in the practicability of brand-based segmentation in beef. Research in this area is needed.

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degree.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis and all sources used have been acknowledged in this thesis.

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the author's signature.

LUIS EMILIO MORALES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 RESEARCH PROBLEM	2
1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	4
1.4 AUSTRALIAN BEEF MARKETING SYSTEM.....	4
1.5 RESEARCH QUESTIONS, RESEARCH HYPOTHESES, INFORMATION NEEDS AND EXPECTED RESULTS	10
1.5.1 Research Questions	10
1.5.1.1 <i>General Question</i>	10
1.5.1.2 <i>Specific Questions</i>	10
1.5.2 Research Hypotheses	12
1.5.2.1 <i>General Hypothesis</i>	12
1.5.2.2 <i>Specific Hypotheses</i>	12
1.5.3 Information Needs.....	12
1.5.4 Expected Results	13
1.6 OUTLINE OF THE THESIS	14
1.7 SUMMARY OF INTRODUCTION	15
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	17
2.2 INNOVATION	17
2.3 INNOVATIVE BUSINESS IN THE AGRI-FOOD SYSTEM	19
2.4 NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT	21
2.5 DIFFERENTIATION	22
2.6 BEEF MARKETING AND MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA	23
2.7 BRAND STRATEGY	26
2.8 BEEF BRANDING	27
2.9 THE POTENTIAL TO CREATE A BEST VALUE BEEF SUPPLY CHAIN.....	34
2.10 CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR	35
2.11 CONSUMER PREFERENCES AND PRODUCT ATTRIBUTES	37

2.12	MEAT QUALITY PERCEPTIONS	40
2.13	PRICE CONSCIOUSNESS AND SENSITIVITY TO PRICE IN FRESH FOOD	42
2.14	EXPECTED QUALITY AND UNCERTAINTY IN THE BEEF MARKET	44
2.15	BEEF CONSUMER SEGMENTS.....	46
2.16	CHARACTERISTICS OF BEEF CONSUMERS CHOOSING BRANDS	47
2.17	THE POTENTIAL FOR SELLING BRANDED BEEF ACROSS AUSTRALIA ..	49
2.18	SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW	50
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		52
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	52
3.2	OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	52
3.3	DATA COLLECTION METHODS	54
3.4	RESEARCH DESIGN	55
3.5	FOCUS GROUP TECHNIQUE	56
3.6	SURVEY AND MULTIVARIATE DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES	57
3.6.1	Cluster Analysis	58
3.6.2	Factor Analysis.....	59
3.6.3	Discrete Choice Analysis	60
3.6.4	Multiple Regression Analysis	62
3.7	DATA ANALYSIS APPROACH.....	64
3.8	BUILDING ECONOMETRIC MODELS	69
3.9	SUMMARY OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	70
CHAPTER 4: QUALITATIVE RESEARCH.....		72
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	72
4.2	FOCUS GROUP CHARACTERISTICS	72
4.3	FOCUS GROUP ANALYSIS.....	74
4.4	FOCUS GROUP RESULTS	76
4.5	SUMMARY OF QUALITATIVE RESEARCH	80
CHAPTER 5: QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH.....		82
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	82
5.2	SURVEY TECHNIQUE.....	82
5.3	OBJECTIVES OF THE SURVEY	83

5.4 GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS OF THE SURVEY	84
5.5 ORGANISATION AND COMPOSITION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE	85
5.6 SAMPLE SELECTION	88
5.7 DATA COLLECTION METHOD	90
5.8 CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SURVEY	95
5.9 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF SURVEY DATA.....	97
5.10 DATA CODING	111
5.11 SUMMARY OF QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH	117
CHAPTER 6: DATA ANALYSIS.....	119
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	119
6.2 CLUSTER ANALYSIS	119
6.2.1 Purpose of Analysis.....	119
6.2.2 Estimation Procedure	120
6.2.3 Cluster Descriptions	125
6.3 FACTOR ANALYSIS	139
6.3.1 Purpose of Analysis.....	139
6.3.2 Estimation Procedure for Product Attributes Using the Complete Sample	140
6.3.3 Estimation Procedure for Product Attributes Using the Potential Target Market for Branded Beef	145
6.3.4 Estimation Procedure for Product Attributes Using Each Cluster Included in the Potential Target Market for Branded Beef.....	150
6.3.5 Estimation Procedure for Psychographics Using the Complete Sample	163
6.3.6 Estimation Procedure for Psychographics Using the Potential Target Market for Branded Beef	168
6.3.7 Comparison between Groups	173
6.4 DISCRETE CHOICE ANALYSIS	177
6.4.1 Purpose of Analysis.....	177
6.4.2 Estimation Procedure	178
6.4.3 Results of Propensity to Buy Branded Beef Models.....	179
6.4.3.1 Logistic Model for the Complete Sample	179
6.4.3.2 Logistic Model for the Potential Target Market for Branded Beef.....	182
6.4.3.3 Logistic Model for Buyers in the Potential Target Market Who Would Buy Branded Beef for Taste Reasons	184

6.4.3.4	<i>Logistic Model for Buyers in the Potential Target Market Who Would Buy Branded Beef for Health Reasons</i>	186
6.4.4	Comparison between Models and Groups	188
6.5	MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS.....	192
6.5.1	Purpose of Analysis.....	192
6.5.2	Estimation Procedure	192
6.5.3	Results of Willingness to Pay Models	195
6.5.3.1	<i>Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Model for Target Beef Buyers</i>	196
6.5.3.2	<i>Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Model for Target Beef Buyers Willing to Buy Branded Beef</i>	198
6.5.3.3	<i>Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Model for Target Beef Buyers Uncertain about Buying Branded Beef</i>	200
6.5.4	Comparison between Groups	202
6.6	SUMMARY OF MAIN FINDINGS AND COMPARISON OF RESULTS BETWEEN DATA ANALYSES	206
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS.....		210
7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	210
7.2	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	215
7.2.1	Discussion about General Research Question 1: Is There a Potential Market for Large-Scale Beef Differentiation and Branding in the Australian Domestic Beef Marketing System?.....	215
7.2.2	Discussion about Specific Research Question 1: Who Would Choose Branded Beef Over Unbranded Beef?.....	215
7.2.3	Discussion about Specific Research Question 2: What Share of Australian Beef Buyers Are Willing to Pay a Premium for Branded Beef Products?.....	215
7.2.4	Discussion about Specific Research Question 3: What are the Characteristics, Attitudes and Motivations that Influence the Decision to Buy Branded Beef Products?	216
7.2.5	Discussion about Specific Research Question 4: What Attributes Must Underpin Brands?.....	216
7.2.6	Discussion about Specific Research Question 6: How Big are the Premiums for Branded Beef Products?	216

7.2.7 Discussion about Specific Research Question 7: How Much Are the Premiums Likely to Vary Across Segments?.....	216
7.2.8 Discussion about Research Hypotheses	217
7.3 IMPLICATIONS OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS	217
7.3.1 Theoretical Implications.....	217
7.3.2 Managerial Implications.....	219
7.4 RESEARCH ACHIEVEMENTS.....	220
7.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE RESEARCH	221
7.6 FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS.....	222
LIST OF REFERENCES	223
APPENDICES.....	239
Appendix 1: Polkinghorne Pty.Ltd. Case Study	240
Appendix 2: Feast! Fine Foods Pty. Ltd. Case Study	244
Appendix 3: Focus Group Questioning Routes	249
Appendix 4: Focus Group Consultancy Report	253
Appendix 5: Survey Questionnaire	268
Appendix 6: Beef Meal Picture Included in Questionnaire	275
Appendix 7: Information Sheet for Participants	276
Appendix 8: Consent Form	277
Appendix 9: Invitation and Incentives Used in Applying the Survey	278
Appendix 10: Significance of Segmentation Variables Using 3 to 7 Clusters	279
Appendix 11: Significance of Segmentation Variables Using 4 and 6 Clusters	282
Appendix 12: Significance of Segmentation Variables Using 6 Clusters	285
Appendix 13: Correlation Matrix for Product Attributes Using the Complete Sample	286
Appendix 14: Correlation Matrix for Product Attributes Using the Potential Target Market	287
Appendix 15: Correlation Matrix for Product Attributes Using Cluster 4	288
Appendix 16: Correlation Matrix for Product Attributes Using Cluster 5	289
Appendix 17: Correlation Matrix for Product Attributes Using Cluster 6	290
Appendix 18: Correlation Matrix for Psychographics Using the Complete Sample	291

Appendix 19: Correlation Matrix for Psychographics Using the Potential Target Market	292
Appendix 20: Results of Factor Analyses for Product Attributes Using the Non-Potential Target Market	293
Appendix 21: Results of Factor Analyses for Product Attributes Using Clusters 1, 2 and 3	299

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Australian Beef and Veal Statistics.	5
Table 1.2	Comparison of Livestock Marketing Methods in Australia.	11
Table 2.1	Typology of Innovations.	18
Table 2.2	Characteristics of Alternative Categories of Innovation.	19
Table 2.3	Differentiation Variables.	23
Table 2.4	Beef Offerings in Australia.	34
Table 2.5	Intrinsic and Extrinsic Quality Cues.	41
Table 3.1	Considerations for Choosing a Type of Research.	53
Table 3.2	Examples of Researches Using Different Approaches.	67
Table 4.1	Filters of the Recruitment Process of the Focus Groups.	73
Table 4.2	Main Concerns for Buying Beef Products.	76
Table 5.1	Objectives of Each Question, Information Needs Covered and Potential Uses in the Data Analysis.	87
Table 5.2	Forms of Data Collection Method.	92
Table 5.3	Strengths and Weaknesses of Most Used Data Collection Methods.	93
Table 5.4	Main Demographic Characteristics of Selected Respondents Who Did Not Participate in the Beef Branding Survey.	97
Table 5.5	Sample Description for Demographic Variables.	98
Table 5.6	Question 15: Would You Buy Branded Beef?	100
Table 5.7	Question 16: Why Would You Buy Branded Beef?	100
Table 5.8	Question 13: Previous Consumption of Branded Beef.	101
Table 5.9	Frequency Cross Tabulation of Propensity to Buy Branded Beef (Question 15) by Experience with Branded Beef (Question 13).	101
Table 5.10	Question 14: Perceptions about Branded Beef (Branded Beef Worth More than Unbranded Beef).	102
Table 5.11	Question 11: Perceptions about People Who Do Not Buy Beef At Supermarkets.	103
Table 5.12	Question 3: Frequency of Beef Consumption.	103
Table 5.13	Kilograms of Beef Consumption per Person Weekly	104
Table 5.14	Question 17: Willingness to Pay Extra per Kilogram of Ideal Cut of Beef	105

Table 5.15	Attributes Considered in Regular Purchase.	107
Table 5.16	Attributes Considered in Special Occasion Purchase.	108
Table 5.17	Relevance Attributes in Purchase-Decision Process (Most Important + Also Considered)	109
Table 5.18	Questions 5 and 6: Beliefs and Perceptions about Beef.	110
Table 5.19	Characteristics of Variables Used in the Data Analyses.	111
Table 6.1	Variables Included and Excluded in the Final Cluster Analysis Estimation.	122
Table 6.2	Mean Values per Cluster, Entire Sample and Variable.	129
Table 6.3	Distribution of Willingness to Pay Extra per Kilogram of Ideal Beef Cut (In Percentages).	134
Table 6.4	Clusters Versus Potential Branded Beef Buyers.	136
Table 6.5	Proportions of Beef Buyers in Each Segment Included in the Potential Target Market per Group.	138
Table 6.6	Respondents Potentially Buying Branded Beef for Different Reasons and Proportions in the Potential Target Market.	139
Table 6.7	Communalities of Product Attributes for the Complete Sample.	141
Table 6.8	Rotated Sums of Squared Loadings for Product Attributes Using the Complete Sample.	142
Table 6.9	Rotated Factor Matrix of Product Attributes for the Complete Sample.	144
Table 6.10	Communalities of Product Attributes for the Potential Target Market.	146
Table 6.11	Rotated Sums of Squared Loadings for Product Attributes Using the Potential Target Market.	147
Table 6.12	Rotated Factor Matrix of Product Attributes for the Potential Target Market	149
Table 6.13	Communalities of Product Attributes for Clusters Included in the Potential Target Market.	151
Table 6.14	Rotated Sums of Squared Loadings for Product Attributes Using Cluster 4, 'High Involvement and High Income'.	152
Table 6.15	Rotated Sums of Squared Loadings for Product Attributes Using Cluster 5, 'Low Knowledge, Busiest and Highest Income'.	154

Table 6.16	Rotated Sums of Squared Loadings for Product Attributes Using Cluster 6, ‘High Quality Concerned and Medium Income’.	156
Table 6.17	Rotated Factor Matrix of Product Attributes for Cluster 4, ‘High Involvement and High Income’.	158
Table 6.18	Rotated Factor Matrix of Product Attributes for Cluster 5, ‘Low Knowledge, Busiest and Highest Income’.	160
Table 6.19	Rotated Factor Matrix of Product Attributes for Cluster 6, ‘High Quality Concerned and Medium Income’.	162
Table 6.20	Communalities of Psychographics for the Complete Sample.	164
Table 6.21	Rotated Sums of Squared Loadings for Psychographics Using the Complete Sample.	165
Table 6.22	Rotated Factor Matrix of Psychographics for the Complete Sample.	167
Table 6.23	Communalities of Psychographics for the Potential Target Market.	169
Table 6.24	Rotated Sums of Squared Loadings for Psychographic Variables Using the Potential Target Market.	170
Table 6.25	Rotated Factor Matrix of Psychographics for the Potential Target Market.	172
Table 6.26	Number of Beef Dimensions in Groups Analysed.	174
Table 6.27	Factor Loadings for Cluster 4, 5 and 6 in Beef Dimensions for the Potential Target Market.	176
Table 6.28	Complete Sample Binary Logistic Model.	180
Table 6.29	Potential Target Market General Binary Logistic Model.	182
Table 6.30	Potential Target Market Taste Binary Logistic Model.	185
Table 6.31	Potential Target Market Health Binary Logistic Model.	187
Table 6.32	Comparison between Complete Sample and Potential Target Market General Binary Logistic Models.	189
Table 6.33	Comparison between Specific Potential Target Market Binary Logistic Models.	190
Table 6.34	Target Beef Buyers Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Model.	196
Table 6.35	Target Beef Buyers Willing to Buy Branded Beef Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Model.	199
Table 6.36	Target Beef Buyers Uncertain about Buying Branded Beef Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Model.	201
Table 6.37	Comparison between Zero-Inflated Negative Binomial Models.	203
Table 7.1	Summary of Decisions about Research Hypotheses.	217

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Australian Beef Supply Chain.	9
Figure 1.2	Outline of the Thesis.	15
Figure 2.1	Interactive Model of Innovation.	18
Figure 2.2	Product Levels.	21
Figure 2.3	The Impact of Grading on Market Prices and Quantities (Without Cost).	26
Figure 2.4	Branding Decisions.	27
Figure 2.5	Economic Effect of Advertising on Food Products (Generic and Brand Advertising).	31
Figure 2.6	Economic Effect of Branding and Differentiation.	32
Figure 2.7	Model of Consumer Behaviour.	36
Figure 2.8	Different Consumer Indifference Curves in Given Attribute Space.	38
Figure 2.9	The Total Food Quality Model.	40
Figure 2.10	Strategies Where There is a Risk That the Product is Unacceptable.	43
Figure 3.1	Research Method.	56
Figure 3.2	Variation Within and Between Clusters.	59
Figure 3.3	Procedure to Analyse Potential Branded Beef Buyers.	68
Figure 4.1	Consumer Segments in the Australian Beef Market.	80
Figure 5.1	Population Under Analysis.	89
Figure 5.2	Comparison Proportions Beef Purchase Source.	106
Figure 6.1	Differences in Agglomeration Coefficients in First and Final Estimations.	121
Figure 6.2	Frequency Cross Tabulation of Propensity to Buy Branded Beef by Willingness to Pay Extra for an Ideal Cut.	137
Figure 6.3	Scree Plot of Eigenvalues for Product Attributes Using the Complete Sample.	143
Figure 6.4	Scree Plot of Eigenvalues for Product Attributes Using the Potential Target Market.	148
Figure 6.5	Scree Plot of Eigenvalues for Product Attributes Using Cluster 4, 'High Involvement and High Income'.	153
Figure 6.6	Scree Plot of Eigenvalues for Product Attributes Using Cluster 5, 'Low Knowledge, Busiest and Highest Income'.	155

Figure 6.7	Scree Plot of Eigenvalues for Product Attributes Using Cluster 6, 'High Quality Concerned and Medium Income'.	157
Figure 6.8	Scree Plot of Eigenvalues for Psychographics Using the Complete Sample.	166
Figure 6.9	Scree Plot of Eigenvalues for Psychographics Using the Potential Target Market.	171
Figure 6.10	Distribution of Adjusted Willingness to Pay of Target Beef Buyers	193