

VARIATION IN QUALITY OF MAIZE GRAIN DUE TO SOURCE, MOISTURE CONTENT AND PROCESSING

By

Md. Momenuzzaman Bhuiyan

B. Sc. in Animal Husbandry (Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh)M. S. in Poultry Science (Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh)

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England

June 2010

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that the work contained in this thesis is my own original work and it has not been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis and all sources used, have been acknowledged.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis represents the complete summary of a number of studies, which I have conducted at the University of New England, Armidale, NSW, Australia. I experienced great help, encouragement and support from a number of people, during the last three years, to whom I would like to express my heartfelt thanks.

I'm very grateful to my supervisors Associate Professor Paul A. Iji, Dr. A. F. Islam and Dr. Lene Lind Mikkelsen, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England for their supervision, encouragement and attention during my study. While shouldering huge responsibilities, their valuable criticisms, detailed correction and inspiring suggestions for modifications made it possible for me to complete my work successfully.

I wish to thank my wife Nurjahan for her love, continuous support and encouragement, her patience and understanding for all the early morning and late night work, she had to tolerate during the course of this PhD candidature.

Special thanks to Dr. Aaron Cowieson. I'm very thankful for his initial efforts to keep me here in Australia and to support my interest in poultry research.

I'm very grateful for the financial support given to me by Danisco Animal Nutrition Ltd, United Kingdom. I wish to thank Dr. Aaron Cowieson again for his continuous interest in my work and his support in providing statistical data analysis technique in particular, for some of the regression analyses.

Special thanks to Prof. Mingan Choct and A/Prof. Juliet R Roberts, for encouraging me to work and for help with funds for conference travel.

I'm also grateful to Dr. Bob Pym, President, World's Poultry Science Association. He was my academic mentor in Australia and continuously encouraged me on this project.

Special thanks to Dr A. V. Elangovan for encouraging me to do the work, helping me lot during sampling and data analysis using SPSS during his stay at UNE, Australia.

Special thanks to Dr David Tracker, Dept of Chemistry and Patrick Littlefield, Electron Microscope Unit, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, for carrying out NMR analysis and taking the electron micrographs of maize grain samples respectively.

This thesis would not be possible without the hard work of all the technical staff at UNE; Shuyu Song, Mark Porter, Grahame Chaffey, Simon Stachiw, Ms Leanne Lisle, Gary Taylor and Jenny Wittig, who took the time to show me new methods for analyses and who helped me whenever I required it. Sincere thanks are also extended to my fellow postgraduates, Yumin Bao, Seng Huang Chee, Chen Olnood, Adam Sacranie, Aluisius E. Widodo, Funmi Adeleye, Reza Barekatain, Oliver Brooks, Barney Keqa and M. A. Hossain for their assistance, especially on the busy sampling days.

I highly appreciate the University of New England, for proving me the opportunity and the financial assistance in the form of The University of New England Research Scholarship (UNERS) to undertake this degree. I would like to extend my appreciation to Professor Geoff Hinch, Deputy Head, School of Environmental and Rural Science and other staff of this school, especially Shirley Fraser; School Resource Coordinator, Chris Cooper, resource Officer and Ilona Schmidt, Administrative Assistant for their precious assistance and suggestions during my candidature. I would also like to extend my thanks to Craig Birchall, Lecturer, Department of Agronomy and Soil Science of this school for helping me lot to do the proper format of my thesis.

My higher study was greatly encouraged by my late father Md. Mofiz Uddin Bhuiyan and mother Mrs. Feroza Mofiz, Their blessings and continuous encouragement helped me a lot to reach this stage of life.

Finally, I wish to thanks my mother Mrs. Feroza Mofiz, my elder brother Dr. Md. Mosharraf Hossain Bhuiyan, Senior Scientist of Bangladesh Atomic Energy Commission for his guidance, continues encouragement and mental support for higher study in Australia and my three children, Tashneem Momen, Hasan Momen and Nabhan Momen for their love and moral support throughout my studies.

With all your blessings, encouragement, support and advices, this research was made possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF PLATES	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xviii
SUMMARY	xix
CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 INTRODUCTION	5
2.2 CEREALS AS SOURCES OF ENERGY	7
2.2.1 Physical properties of cereal grains	8
2.2.2 Nutrient/Chemical composition of cereals	10
2.2.2.1 Carbohydrate content	10
Maize (Zea mays)	12
Wheat (Triticum aestivum)	12
Barley (Hordeum vulgare)	13
Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor)	13
Triticale (X-Triticosecale)/ (Triticale hexaploide Lart.)	14
Oats (Avena sativa)	15
2.2.2.2 Protein content	15
2.2.2.3 Lipid content	17
2.2.2.4 Mineral content	
2.3 FACTORS AFFECTING CEREAL QUALITY	20
2.3.1 Grain type and variety	20
2.3.2 Growing, harvest and drying conditions	22
Post-harvest drying and storage period	
Storage conditions	26

2.4 THE EFFECTS OF PROCESSING OF CEREAL GRAINS	. 27
2.4.1 Physical quality	. 27
2.4.2 Chemical composition	. 27
2.4.2.1 Starch	. 28
2.4.2.2 Protein	. 29
2.4.2.3 Interaction between carbohydrates and proteins in cereals	. 30
2.4.3 Physical processing of grain	. 31
2.4.3.1 Milling	. 32
2.4.3.2 Pelleting	. 34
2.4.3.3 Particle size and grain quality	. 35
2.5 EFFECT OF GRAIN PROCESSING ON NUTRITIVE VALUE	. 36
2.5.1 Feed consumption	. 36
2.5.2 Growth response	. 37
2.5.3 Nutrient digestibility	. 39
2.5.4 Energy utilization	. 41
2.6 ENZYME SUPPLEMENTATION OF DIETS	. 42
2.6.1 Effects of enzyme supplementation on grain and diet quality	. 45
2.6.2 Changes in microbial population	. 47
2.7 RELEVANCE OF CURRENT STUDY	. 48
CHAPTER 3 EFFECT OF HEAT TREATMENT AT FIXED TEMPERATURE ON THE NUTRIENT COMPOSITION AND ULTRA-STRUCTURE OF SUN-DRIED	
MAIZE GRAIN	. 51
3.1 INTRODUCTION	. 51
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	. 52
2.2.1 Mains samulas	
3.2.1 Maize samples	. 52
3.2.2 Drying methods	
•	. 52
3.2.2 Drying methods	. 52
3.2.2 Drying methods	. 52 . 53 . 53
3.2.2 Drying methods	. 52 . 53 . 53
3.2.2 Drying methods	. 52 . 53 . 53 . 54
3.2.2 Drying methods	. 52 . 53 . 53 . 54 . 55
3.2.2 Drying methods 3.2.3 Laboratory analyses 3.2.3.1 Proximate analyses 3.2.3.2 Phytate-P content 3.2.3.3 Starch content and composition 3.2.3.4 Amylose and amylopectin 3.2.3.5 Non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) 3.2.3.6 Amino acid composition	. 52 . 53 . 54 . 55 . 56 . 56
3.2.2 Drying methods 3.2.3 Laboratory analyses 3.2.3.1 Proximate analyses 3.2.3.2 Phytate-P content 3.2.3.3 Starch content and composition 3.2.3.4 Amylose and amylopectin 3.2.3.5 Non-starch polysaccharides (NSP)	. 52 . 53 . 54 . 55 . 56 . 56
3.2.2 Drying methods 3.2.3 Laboratory analyses 3.2.3.1 Proximate analyses 3.2.3.2 Phytate-P content 3.2.3.3 Starch content and composition 3.2.3.4 Amylose and amylopectin 3.2.3.5 Non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) 3.2.3.6 Amino acid composition	. 52 . 53 . 53 . 54 . 55 . 56 . 56

3.2.3.10 <i>In vitro</i> digestibility	60
3.2.3.11 Electron microscopy	61
3.2.4 Statistical analysis	61
3.3 RESULTS	62
3.3.1 The morphological structural changes of maize grains	62
3.3.2 Proximate composition	63
3.3.3 Starch content and composition	64
3.3.4 Amylose and amylopectin contents	66
3.3.5 NSP and free sugar contents	66
3.3.6 Amino acid contents	67
3.3.7 Nuclear magnetic resonance (H1 NMR) shifts	68
3.3.8 Mineral contents	69
3.3.9 <i>In vitro</i> digestibility	71
3.3.10 <i>In vitro</i> viscosity	71
3.4 DISCUSSION	72
3.4.1 Morphological structure of maize grains	72
3.4.2 Proximate composition	73
3.4.3 Starch composition	74
3.4.4 NSP concentrations	76
3.4.5 Nuclear magnetic resonance (H1 NMR)	76
3.4.6 Mineral contents	76
3.4.7 <i>In vitro</i> digestibility	76
3.5 CONCLUSIONS	77
CHAPTER 4 EFFECTS OF GRAIN SOURCE, MILLING TECHNIQUE A PARTICLE SIZE OF MAIZE ON FEED UTILIZATION, GUT PHYSIOLO GROWTH OF BROILER CHICKENS	OGY AND
4.1 INTRODUCTION	
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
4.2.1 Experimental design and bird management	
4.2.2 Animal ethics	
4.2.3 Measurement and analyses	
4.2.3.1 Growth performance	
4.2.3.2 Visceral organ weight	
4.2.3.3 Intestinal pH	
4.2.3.4 Acid insoluble ash	
4.2.3.5 Digestibility coefficient of nutrients	
<u> </u>	

4.2.3.6 Particle size characteristics in gizzard content	84
4.2.3.7 Enumeration of gut microbial community	84
4.2.4 Statistical analysis	85
4.3 RESULTS	86
4.3.1 Gross responses	86
4.3.2 Visceral organ weight	88
4.3.3 Intestinal pH	91
4.3.4 Nutrient digestibility	92
4.3.5 Particle size and dry matter of gizzard contents	93
4.3.6 Gut microflora	94
4.4 DISCUSSION	96
4.4.1 Gross responses	96
4.4.2 Visceral organ weight	97
4.4.3 Particle size distributions in gizzard content	97
4.4.4 Nutrient digestibility	98
4.4.5 Gut microflora	98
4.5 CONCLUSIONS	99
CHAPTER 5 THE RESPONSE OF BROILER CHICKENS TO RISING LEVELS	SOF
MAIZE GRAIN AND SUPPLEMENTATION WITH MICROBIAL ENZYME	
5.1 INTRODUCTION	100
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	102
5.2.1 Experimental design and bird management	102
5.2.2 Animal ethics	104
5.2.3 Measurement and analyses	105
5.2.3.1 Growth performance	105
5.2.3.2 Visceral organ weight	105
5.2.3.3 Intestinal pH	105
5.2.3.4 Tissue protein and digestive enzyme analysis	105
5.2.3.4 Tissue protein and digestive enzyme analysis	
	106
5.2.3.5 Titanium dioxide analysis	106 107
5.2.3.5 Titanium dioxide analysis	106 107 107
5.2.3.5 Titanium dioxide analysis	106 107 107
5.2.3.5 Titanium dioxide analysis	106 107 107 107
5.2.3.5 Titanium dioxide analysis	106 107 107 107 107

5.3.4 Tissue protein and enzyme activities	111
5.3.5 Nutrient digestibility	111
5.3.6 Gut microflora	114
5.4 DISCUSSION	114
5.4.1 Gross responses	114
5.4.2 Visceral organ weight	115
5.4.3 Tissue protein and enzymes activities	115
5.4.4 Nutrient digestibility	116
5.4.5 Gut microflora	116
5.5 CONCLUSIONS	117
CHAPTER 6 EFFECTS OF HEAT TREATMENT AT VARYING TEMPERATUON NUTRIENT COMPOSITION AND ULTRA-STRUCTURE OF HIGH-MOISTURE MAIZE GRAIN	
6.1 INTRODUCTION	
6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
6.2.1 Experimental design and drying methods	
6.2.2 Laboratory analyses	120
6.2.3 Electron microscopic images	120
6.2.4 Statistical analysis	121
6.3 RESULTS	121
6.3.1 Ultra-structure of maize grains	121
6.3.2 Proximate composition	121
6.3.3 Starch content and composition	122
6.3.4 NSP and free sugar contents	123
6.3.5 Amino acid contents	123
6.3.6 Mineral contents	125
6.3.7 <i>In vitro</i> digestibility and viscosity	125
6.4 DISCUSSION	126
6.5 CONCLUSIONS	127
CHAPTER 7 RESPONSE OF BROILER CHICKENS ON DIETS BASED ON HI MOISTURE MAIZE GRAIN SUBJECTED TO ARTIFICIAL DRYING AND	
SUPPLEMENTATION WITH MICROBIAL ENZYMES	
7.1 INTRODUCTION	
7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
7.2.1 Experimental design and bird management	
7.2.2 Animal ethics	
7.2.3 Measurements and analyses	133

7.2.3.1 Gross responses
7.2.3.2 Organ weight
7.2.3.3 Tissue protein and enzyme activities
7.2.3.4 Measurement of organic acids
7.2.3.5 Titanium dioxide contents
7.2.3.6 Digestibility coefficient of nutrients
7.2.3.7 Enumeration of gut microbial community
7.2.4 Statistical analysis
7.3 RESULTS
7.3.1 Gross responses
7.3.2 Visceral organ weight
7.3.3 Tissue protein content and activity of digestive enzymes
7.3.4 Digestibility of nutrients
7.3.5 Organic acids
7.3.6 Gut microflora
7.4 DISCUSSION
7.4.1 Gross responses
7.4.2 Visceral organ weight
7.4.3 Tissue protein content and activity of digestive enzymes
7.4.4 Nutrient digestibility
7.4.5 Ileal and caecal microflora and microbial activity
7.5 CONCLUSIONS
CHAPTER 8 GENERAL DISCUSSION
8.1 INTRODUCTION
8.2 GRAIN SOURCE
8.3 HEAT TREATMENT/ ARTIFICIAL DRYING
8.4 MILLING
8.5 PARTICLE SIZE
8.6 MAIZE INCLUSION LEVEL
8.7 ENZYME SUPPLEMENTATION
8.8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
REFERENCES
APPENDIX 191

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.	l The chemical and amino acid composition (g/kg DM) of major cereal grains	11
Table 2.2	2 Principal fatty acids (%) of some cereal oils (Haard and Chism, 1996)	18
Table 2.3	3 Key mineral composition of cereal grain dry matter (Singh and Panda, 1992)	19
Table 2.4	4 Milling output of different grains	33
Table 2.5	5 Effect of particle size on the performance of broilers fed mash diets	37
Table 3.	1 Proximate composition (g/kg DM) of maize of different sources as untreated or artificially heat treated at 105 °C for varying durations	65
Table 3.2	2 Available free sugar contents (g/kg DM) of maize of different sources as untreated or artificially heat treated at 105 °C for varying durations	68
Table 3.3	3 Amino acid contents (g/kg DM) of maize of different sources as untreated or artificially heat treated at 105 °C for varying durations	68
Table 3.4	4 Concentration of macro and trace minerals of maize of different sources as untreated or artificially heat treated at 105 °C for varying durations	71
Table 3.5	5 <i>In vitro</i> dry matter, starch and CP digestibility and viscosity of maize batches as untreated or artificially heat treated at 105 °C for varying durations	72
Table 4.	1 Dietary treatments	80
Table 4.2	2 Particle size distribution of roller-milled and hammer-milled grain from three cultivars of maize passed through a 2 mm screen	81
Table 4.3	3 Ingredient and nutrient composition of the diet fed	82
Table 4.4	4 Feed intake (FI), live weight (LW) and FCR of broiler chickens at 7 days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources	87
Table 4.5	5 Feed intake (FI), live weight (LW) and FCR of broiler chickens at 21 days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources	88
Table 4.0	6 Relative weight of visceral organs (g/100g of body weight) of broiler chickens at 7 days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources	90
Table 4.	7 Relative weight of visceral organs (g/100g of body weight) of broiler chickens at 21 days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources	91
Table 4.8	8 The pH values of small intestinal content of broiler chickens at 21 days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources of maize	92

Table 4.9	9 Ileal protein, gross energy and starch digestibility of broiler chickens at 21days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources of maize	. 93
Table 4.	10 Proportion of particle size classes in the gizzard content (on a dry weight basis) of broiler Chickens at day 21 days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources of maize	. 94
Table 4.	11 Bacterial counts (log ₁₀ cfu /g digesta) in ileal digesta of broiler chickens at 21 days of age given finely and coarsely ground diets (maize based) obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources of maize	. 95
Table 4.	12 Bacterial counts (log ₁₀ cfu /g digesta) in caecal digesta of broiler chickens at 21 days of age given finely and coarsely ground maize based diets obtained by hammer or roller milling from various sources of maize	. 96
Table 5.1	1 Ingredient and nutrient composition of the diets fed (g/kg)	104
Table 5.2	2 Feed intake (FI), live weight (LW) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of broiler chickens at days 7 at various maize inclusion levels (MIL) with or without microbial enzyme supplementation	108
Table 5.3	3 Feed intake (FI), live weight (LW) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of broiler chickens at days 21 at various maize inclusion levels (MIL) with or without microbial enzyme supplementation	109
Table 5.4	4 Relative weight of small intestine, proventriculus-gizzard, pancreas, liver spleen and bursa (g/100g of body weight) of broiler chickens at day 21 at various maize inclusion levels (MIL) with or without microbial enzyme supplementation	110
Table 5.5	5 The pH values of small intestinal content of broiler chickens at day 21 at various maize inclusion levels (MIL) with or without microbial enzyme supplementation	111
Table 5.6	6 Tissue protein content and enzyme activities of broiler chickens at day 21 at various maize inclusion levels (MIL) with or without microbial enzyme supplementation	112
Table 5.7	7 Protein, gross energy and starch digestibility of broiler chickens at day 21 at various maize inclusion levels (MIL) with or without microbial enzyme supplementation	112
Table 5.8	8 Bacterial counts (log ₁₀ cfu/g digesta) in ileum and caecum sections of digestive tract of broiler chickens given different levels of maize grain with or without enzyme-based diet fed as mash at day 21	
Table 6.1	1 Composition of maize batches observed under sun drying or artificially dried at different temperatures	122
Table 6.2	2 Starch content and components (g/kg DM) of maize batches after sun drying or artificial drying at different temperatures	123

Table 6.4 Amino acid (g/kg DM) compositions of maize batches after sun drying or artificial drying at different temperatures	Table 6.3	B Non- starch polysaccharide (NSP) contents and composition of maize batches after sun drying or artificial drying at different temperatures	124
dried at different temperatures	Table 6.4		124
viscosity of maize batches after sun drying or artificial drying at different temperatures	Table 6.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	125
Table 7.2 Feed intake (FI), live weight (LW) and FCR of broiler chickens at 7 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzymes	Table 6.6	viscosity of maize batches after sun drying or artificial drying at	125
days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzymes	Table 7.1	Ingredient and nutrient composition of the diets fed (g/kg)	132
days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzymes	Table 7.2	days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially	136
chickens at 7 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzymes	Table 7.3	days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially	137
chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzymes	Table 7.4	chickens at 7 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize	138
pancreas and jejunum of broiler chickens at 7 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzymes	Table 7.5	chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without	139
pancreas and jejunum of broiler chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzyme	Table 7.6	pancreas and jejunum of broiler chickens at 7 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different	140
at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzymes		pancreas and jejunum of broiler chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different	141
of broiler chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sundried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without enzyme	Table 7.8	at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or	142
Table 7.10 Concentration of various organic acids (mg/g digesta) in caecal content of broiler chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or	Table 7.9	of broiler chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sundried maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without	143
William One Jillo	Table 7.1	10 Concentration of various organic acids (mg/g digesta) in caecal content of broiler chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based	

Table 7.11 Bacterial counts (log ₁₀ cfu /g digesta) in ileum and caeca of broiler	
chickens at 21 days of age on the different diets based on sun-dried	
maize or artificially dried at different temperature with or without	
enzyme1	46

LIST OF FIGURES

of 791 million tonnes), Source: USDA/Forei Grain: World Markets and Trade, January 20	gn Agriculture Service,
Figure 2.2 The structure of the maize grain– longitudi 1978)	
Figure 2.3 Structure of phytic acid (IP6)	20
Figure 2.4 Helical structure of amylose [Adapted from Biochemistry 2/e@ The Benjamin/Cumming	
Figure 2.5 Chemical structure (top) and side branching amylopectin	g chains (bottom) of29
Figure 3.1 Total starches, digestible starch and resistation of maize of different sources as untreated or 105 °C for varying durations	artificially heat treated at
Figure 3.2 Amylose and amylopectin contents (g/kg D sources as untreated or artificially heat treated durations	ed at 105 °C for varying
Figure 3.3 Concentration of non-starch polysaccharide different sources as untreated or artificially harving durations	neat treated at 105 °C for
Figure 3.4 Region of H1 NMR spectrum showing and maize samples with untreated or artificially l varying durations	heat treated at 105 °C for

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 3.1 Electron micrographs (× 500) of Moree (top panel), Emerald (middle	
panel) and Downs (bottom panel) maize as untreated or artificially heat	
treated at 105 °C for varying durations	63
Plate 6.1 Electron micrographs (× 1000) of maize dried under sun for three days (top left) and dried at 80 °C (top right), 90 °C (bottom left) and 100 °C	
(bottom right) for 24 hours	. 122

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEC Animal ethics committee

AME Apparent metabolizable energy

AMEn Apparent metabolizable energy corrected for zero

nitrogen

ANF Anti-nutritive factor(s)
ANOVA Analysis of Variance
AP Alkaline phosphatase

APAF Australian Proteome Analysis Facility

C. perfringensCAChymotrypsin amidaseCFUColony forming unit

CP Crude protein CP Centipoises

CV Coefficient of variation

D Day (s)

DE Digestible energy

DM Dry matter

DMRT Duncan's multiple range test

DMSO Dimethyl sulfoxide

EE Ether extract

EU European Union

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization

FCR Feed conversion ratio

FI Feed intake
FTU Phytase unit

GC Gas chromatography

GE Gross energy

GIT Gastrointestinal tract
GLM General linear model

GMD Geometric mean diameter

GSD Geometric standard deviation

H Hour(s)

HM High maize (750 g/kg diet)

HMM High-moisture maize

HPLC High performance liquid chromatography

ICP Inductive coupled plasmaIP6 Inositol hexaphosphateLM Low maize (250 g/kg diet)

LMM Low-moisture maize

LW Live weight

LWG Live weight gain

ME Metabolizable energy
MIL Maize inclusion level

Min Minutes

MM Medium maize (500 g/kg diet)

MQ MilliQ water
Mt Million tonne

NMR Nuclear magnetic resonance
NRC National Research Council

NS Non-significant

NSP Non-starch polysaccharide(s)

Ppm Parts per million

QLD Queensland

RS3 Resistant starch type three

S Second(s)

SCD Sealed chamber digestion
SCFA Short chain fatty acid
SEM Standard error of mean

TiO₂ Titanium dioxide

TME True metabolizable energy

TMEn True metabolizable energy corrected for zero nitrogen

UK United Kingdom

UNE University of New England
USA United States of America
USGC United State Grain Council

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Refereed Journal Articles-

- M. M. Bhuiyan, A. F. Islam and P. A. Iji (2010). Variation in nutrient composition and structure of high-moisture maize dried at different temperatures, South African Journal of Animal Science, 40 (3), 190-197.
- M. M. Bhuiyan, P. A. Iji and A. F. Islam (2010). Effect of drying tempeture and microbial enzyme supplementation on the nutritive value of high moisture maize, World's Poultry Science Journal, Vol **66**, Supplement, p363.
- M. M. Bhuiyan, A. F. Islam and P. A. Iji (2010). Response of broiler chickens on diets based on high-moisture maize grain subjected to artificial drying and supplementation with microbial enzymes, South African Journal of Animal Science (In Press).

Conferences Proceedings-

- M. M. Bhuiyan, P. A. Iji and A. F. Islam (2010). Effect of drying tempeture and microbial enzyme supplementation on the nutritive value of high moisture maize, Proceedings of the XIIIth European Poultry Conference, Tours, France, p 363.
- M. M. Bhuiyan, P. A. Iji, A. F. Islam and L. L. Mikkelsen (2010). Variation in nutrient composition and structure of high-moisture maize dried at different temperatures, Proceedings of the Australian Poultry Science Symposium, Vol 21: pp 99-102.
- M. M. Bhuiyan, P. A. Iji, A. F. Islam and L. L. Mikkelsen (2009). Response of broiler chickens to increasing levels of maize grain and supplementation with a microbial enzyme. Proceedings of the Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition, Australia, Vol 17: p196.
- M. M. Bhuiyan, P. A. Iji, and L. L. Mikkelsen (2009). Effects of grain source, comminution technique and particle size on nutritive value, feed utilization and growth of broiler chickens. Proceedings of the Australian Poultry Science Symposium, Vol 20: p123.

SUMMARY

The aim of this project was to identify the major changes in the physiochemical composition of maize grain when subjected to different processes and response of broiler chickens on diets containing such grains. Source of grain, stage of harvest, milling technique, particle size, dietary inclusion level, and supplementation with microbial enzymes were investigated, in terms of grain physicochemical quality and nutritive value to broiler chickens. Feeding trials were conducted on male Cobb broiler chicks from day-old through to 21-day of age in each instance. All feed was provided as mash and experiments were conducted in environmentally controlled housing. Each experimental chapter has been presented as a stand-alone research paper. This summary provides an outline of the thesis and an overview of the key findings of the research.

Chapter 1 provides background information and highlights the importance of the topic of research. This is followed by a review of literature in Chapter 2, covering the use of cereal grains, with particular emphasis on maize, as a source of energy in broiler chicken diets. The review covered factors that affect grain quality, namely, grain type, source, variety, post-harvest processing, and microbial enzyme supplementation.

In Chapter 3, the chemical composition and ultra-structure of maize from three sources were assessed, as received or after heat treatment over varying time periods. These variables were affected by heat treatment, primarily as a result of the reduction in moisture content. In particular, the maize starch quality declined, as indicated by an increase in amylose and decrease in amylopectin and digestible starch contents. However, the phytate-P content was also reduced and the *in vitro* nutrient digestibility slightly increased as a result of the heat treatment of maize in contrast to untreated maize.

Chapter 4 presents results of the performance of broiler chickens on diets prepared from maize from different sources, subjected to different milling techniques and milled to different particle sizes. There were no major effects of these treatments on feed intake, growth or feed conversion efficiency of broiler chickens. A key finding was the increase in feed intake in early life (up to day 7) on fine particle diets. Coarse particle size

stimulated gizzard development at a later age, as revealed by assessment at 21d of age. Milling technique and particle size of the milled material will not greatly influence the value of maize in diets for broiler chickens.

In the experiment reported in Chapter 5, relatively high levels of maize (50 % and 75 % compared to 25 %) in diets caused significant increases in feed intake, body weight and better FCR. Supplementation with microbial enzymes led to further improvements. The relative weights of the small intestine, liver and proventriculus plus gizzard were increased in chickens on diets containing higher levels of maize. The results suggest that maize grain can be used at very high levels in the diet without detriment to productivity, particularly when supplemented with microbial enzymes. This will enable reduction in the inclusion levels of more expensive protein meals.

The physicochemical properties of early-harvested maize are presented in Chapter 6. Such maize was dried naturally under the sun or artificially, at varying temperatures. The physiochemical composition, in particular the starch quality, was reduced and morphology of the grains was changed by artificial drying, and these effects were more pronounced at 100 °C than under sun-drying or drying at 80 and 90 °C. In particular, the starch quality (amylopectin content) was reduced by oven drying of high-moisture maize while amylose content was increased. The dried maize was subsequently used in a feeding trial, and this is reported in Chapter 7.

Bird performance was adversely affected by drying grains at high temperature (Chapter 7). The birds grew better on diets based on the sun-dried grains. Exogenous enzyme supplements did not markedly improve growth on diets containing grains dried at 80 and 100 °C but there was some response on diets containing grains dried under the sun or dried at 90 °C.

The implications of the overall findings from this project are discussed in Chapter 8, along with recommendations for grain processors and the poultry industry, and areas of future research were identified.

To sum up, it is clear that there is a significant variation in the quality of maize grain and many other factors are responsible for these differences. The source of maize and

the milling technique do not have much influence on its nutritive quality. It is obvious that maize grain can be used at a much higher level than is currently the case in the industry. Maize quality, in particular starch quality, is reduced by heat treatment, especially of high-moisture grains. Such maize should be dried artificially at less than 100 °C and its nutritive value sustained by adding an appropriate enzyme cocktail to the diets.

There is a need for future research into processing and use of high-moisture maize grains, especially when held in long-term storage after drying. A wider range of microbial enzymes should be tested on such maize and the effects of diets with this kind of grain on mucosal morphometry and digestive function of birds should be assessed.