## **Chapter 5** Discussion and Conclusion

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Review of Posited Hypothesis
- 5.3 Study Design Considerations
- 5.4 BACI Designs
- 5.5 Statistics of Repeated Measures
- 5.6 Recommendations and Summary

#### 5.1 Introduction

Detecting environmental impacts in natural systems is challenging. This is widely acknowledged within the environmental impact assessment literature (e.g. Conquest 2000; Smith 2002; Stewart-Oaten *et al.* 1986). Detecting impacts in agricultural systems is harder still. Not only are the natural trends and variations embedded within the data, the data also reflect the management actions designed to produce a consistent yield and product. Sometimes these actions work in concert with natural cycles and variations, and sometimes they are designed to compensate for them.

Determining whether it is a management action or the natural cycle that is reflected with the structure of the data is difficult. Within this study, this has been apparent when considering both the on-ground EM38 and the satellite NDVI data. Whilst both the apparent conductivity and NDVI observations for vineyard A appear to have coincided with natural, climatic variations, these same observations for the 'control' block have not and the observations for vineyard B have been mixed in this regard. In the case of the 'control' block, this is suggestive of either management intervention or topographical factors (slope and hydrological considerations) although this is speculative. Regardless, these differences have implications for assessing the potential environmental impacts associated with longwall mining subsidence.

This chapter briefly reviews the broader issues and shortcomings highlighted throughout this thesis. It commences by reviewing the overall analysis with respect to the questions and hypotheses that were posited in Chapters 1 and 2 and it situates the results within the broader literature presented in Chapter 2. In doing so, this section further highlights limitations of the data and analysis. The next section explores some of the particular issues with the study design, the difficulties with applying standard BACI analysis to the data are discussed, and the implications this has on statistical modelling are noted. The chapter concludes with a brief discussion of recommendations as well as elucidating the contributions of this thesis to issues of monitoring above ground impacts of subsidence on agricultural productivity.

#### 5.2 Review of Posited Hypotheses

In Chapter 1, questions into the nature of longwall mining impacts on viticultural productivity were posed. Does LMS impact upon viticultural productivity, vine yield in particular? If so, how, and what is the nature of LMS impacts? To address these questions, Chapter 2 suggested two null hypotheses that would be addressed by this study. These were:

- Longwall mining induced subsidence affects viticultural production in a localized, site specific manner; and
- Longwall mining induced subsidence has no significant (e.g. not moderate/severe) effect on viticultural production (vine yield).

Although there are still no reported cases in the literature purporting to examine LMS impacts on viticulture, these questions were formulated in response to the broader case-study literature where potential LMS impacts upon agriculture in general had

been explored. How are the results from this study situated within this broader literature?

On the balance of evidence presented, it is clear that the hypotheses posited above cannot be rejected. That is to say, none of the evidence examined for this study suggests that for the vineyards in question longwall mining has had a systematic and significant impact. If there were evidence of such impacts it would have been expected that some, or all, of the following would have been observed:

- At the panel level, for each zone there would have been clear evidence of substantial differences in vine yields that coincided with the timing of mining. That is to say, the yields of the chain pillars would have been consistent both pre- and post-mining, whilst the transition and longwall zones would exhibited substantially lower (or higher, if effects were not negative) yield in the post-mining period. No such clear and substantial differences were observed.
- At the block level, for each zone there would have been clear evidence of substantial differences in apparent electrical conductivity that also coincided with the timing of mining. Furthermore, post-mining comparisons with the apparent electrical conductivity of the subsided blocks with the 'control' block would have shown consistent trends across the entire post-mining period. No such trends were observed. Quite simply, there were no consistent trends within the apparent electrical conductivity that suggest a zonal response to mining. Whilst an aggregated (e.g. averaged across the whole post-mining period) comparison of the pre- and post-mining apparent conductivity of the subsided blocks with the 'control' block suggested the possibility of an impact, this was directly influenced by the sharp increase in the control block's

apparent electrical conductivity towards the end of the study, and not a decrease within the subsided blocks as would have been the case if mining had impacted upon apparent electrical conductivity within the subsided blocks.

At the vineyard scale, for each block that had been undermined, clear differences in NDVI for each of the various zones within each and every post-mining image would have been clearly evident. That is to say, starting with the image acquired in November 2005, the zones within subsided blocks would have exhibited substantially different NDVI values with respect to the other zones within that same block. These differences would then have persisted and be evident within the images captured in November 2006 and October 2007. Additionally, as these zonal differences would have 'emerged' within blocks that had subsequently been undermined. Such clear, significant and systematic differences were not evident within the post-mining images. Furthermore, the block-by-block comparisons of NDVI values during the Before, During and After mining time periods did not exhibit trends consistent with systematic and significant impacts across all subsided blocks.

Hence, on the basis of the weight of evidence presented, it is not possible to reject either of the posited null hypotheses. The findings of this study would then appear to support the assertion of Hinchilffe (2003), who suggested that where longwall mining did impact upon agricultural productivity, the effects were likely to be highly localized and site specific. Within the vineyards of the study area, some localized impacts were reported and there was evidence of cracks emerging in conjunction with the timing of mining (Figure 5.1). However, the random nature of these cracks and their emergence in areas that were monitored by panel sampling precludes any comments on the nature and magnitude of impacts that might have been associated with them.

From the literature, agricultural impacts associated with LMS have almost always been associated with an increase in surface water retention (e.g. 'ponding'). This phenomenon has been reported by Darmody et at (1988, 1989), Hu et al. (1995) and Bell et al. (2000). Thus far, ponding has not emerged as an issue within the study area. Furthermore, the apparent electrical conductivity measurements collected to date have not suggested a consistent increase in water accumulation and retention within any of the subsided areas. Again, this suggests that in the absence of systematic ponding, any potential impacts on viticulture, such as reduced yield, associated with LMS are again likely to be localized.





Figure 5.1. Evidence of localized cracking within vineyards. Random cracking appeared in some areas near the chain pillars. Note the ballpoint pen used to indicate scale (145mm).

It should be noted however, that a failure to reject the null hypotheses does not preclude the possibility that mining has negatively impacted upon the productivity of vineyards within the study area. Whilst there was no evidence of systematic differences between the various topographic zones that form in response to longwall mining, there may nonetheless be a subtler, more global response to mining on subsided blocks. In spite of the fact that the areas above the chain pillars were initially envisioned as 'unsubsided controls' for the other zones, they are in fact subjected to minor subsidence (see Section 5.3).

However, if such subtle, global effects were present they would be difficult to detect with the data collected for this study. While the averaged panel data suggests that the data are heavily impacted by climate, it must be acknowledged that other data were collected at a fine enough scale that could be used to account for the observed behaviours of individual vines (e.g. vine sap flow, *in vivo* sugar phloem uploading, individual vine soil moisture). Without such data, it is impossible to account the observed behaviour of individual vines.

The QuickBird imagery could possibly be used to detect subtler effects, if they exist. Although the NDVI ratio is resistant to many forms of atmospheric noise, it is not resistant to all forms of said noise; additive effects associate with atmospheric path radiance (Huete et al., 2002) could be responsible for the inter-image variability of NDVI values observed within the vineyards during the study. Although intra-image comparisons of vineyard blocks and zones (where effects of atmospheric path radiance are generally uniform across a given image) did not suggest systematic impacts associated with LMS, atmospherically corrected images might highlight a 'global' trend, as noted above. However, like the panel data, without additional measurements at the vine scale such data and ensuing analysis are likely to be confounded by vineyard micro-climatic variability.

It should also be noted that the method used to assign vines, apparent electrical conductivity and NDVI values into their associated zones may not be accurate within some areas of the vineyards. As previously noted, the zones were delineated from data collected along the subsidence monitoring transects indicated by the blue lines in Figure 3.2. It is important to note that the accuracy of these zones is likely to decrease with increased distance from these transects. That is to say, that accuracy of the zones decreased the further away one moves from these monitoring transects. As such, the results and analysis presented herein could have been skewed by such errors. However, given that the results using actual changes in elevations (from the pre- and post-mining DEMs) did not produce a significantly different result suggests that the method for delineating zones was, in fact, reasonably accurate.

### 5.3 Study Design Considerations

The results of this work highlight a number of potential limitations associated with the design of the environmental monitoring program. The first is with respect to the suggested use the chain pillar zones to act as un-subsided controls for subsided vines. As noted in the earlier review of subsidence mechanics (section 2.2.1), the subsidence associated with angle of draw means the areas above the chain pillars will be subjected to subsidence. According to the subsidence predictions of Waddington and Kay (2003) these zones will subside anywhere from 10 – 20 cm. Although it is unclear whether or not even this minimal subsidence can impede vine root function, clearly the areas of the chain pillars cannot be considered to areas of 'no subsidence.' As such, future studies examining the environmental impacts of LMS should use control blocks as reference zones.

Whilst it is true that impaired root function will lead to reduced growth and thereby eventually causing mean pruned cane mass to decrease, climatic conditions (e.g.

drought) can also significantly affect water and nutrient uptake. Several years of prolonged drought, like those most of Australia has experienced during the entirety of the study period, could also lead to a decline in average pruned cane mass. Even in the best circumstances it can be very difficult to differentiate anthropogenic environmental impacts from other natural, environmental trends and thereby attributing causality to the impact activity (Conquest 2000).

The results of this work demonstrate the need to commit to long-term monitoring, not only after a longwall mining event but also before the mining event. This will help to reduce the impact of climatic variability on the response data. The unfortunate reality is that, in this region, and particularly for the season's monitored, the three-year 'before mining' period and the three year 'after mining' period simply may not have been enough to elucidate any impact response over and above the noise of climate variability. Whilst it could be argued that the data at least can provide an upper limit to the potential magnitude of the response (i.e. limited to within the range of responses associated with climatic variability), it nonetheless begs the obvious question - just how long monitoring should be conducted? Clearly, there is no easy answer to this question.

#### 5.4 BACI Designs

Although one of the recommendations for improving the study design was to use control blocks as the reference for 'no impact', it should be clear from the results and analysis presented here that this poses difficulties. As was particularly evident within the EM38 results and analysis, the behaviour of a single control block can sometimes skew BACI comparisons, suggesting that an environmental impact has occurred as a result of the human activity in question. Employing more than one control site could potentially prevent this from happening. Ideally, every impact block or paddock

should have its own non-impacted control that has similar vines and spans similar topography to that of the undermined block. Clearly, these are challenging constraints from a viticultural perspective.

However without a one-to-one pairing between impact and control sites, statistical relationships between *Before* and *After* time periods are skewed. As noted in Section 4.3.2, no BACI statistical comparisons were undertaken to preclude this from happening. Whilst a series of paired t-tests between the control and impact blocks could have been undertaken, the statistical significance of such paired tests would have been overstated; most statistics texts are quite explicit in this regard (e.g. Byrkit *et al.* 1987). They make it abundantly clear that in such cases, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical technique should be employed. However, for longwall mining operations, the progression of mining presents difficulties for ANOVA techniques, as discussed below.

#### 5.5 Statistics of Repeated Measures

One of the problems with the ANOVA technique is that it requires an orthogonal set of comparisons to be accurate. BACI designs exploit this, because in general the date of the environmental impact, or treatment, is presumed to be known (Smith 2002; Stewart-Oaten *et al.* 1986). This fixed date is then used to 'partition' the data into periods corresponding with *Before* and *After* impact. Statistically significant differences between the magnitudes of these *Before-After* differences are then generally attributed as being indicative of an environmental impact.

However, with longwall mining it is generally not possible to account for mining progression in such a manner. As the mining progression figures presented herein (Figures 3.5 and 3.6) demonstrate, the assignment of a particular mining status

(either *Before*, *During* or *After*) is dependent on the location of mining activity on the date of the survey in question. That is, in general there is no singular date that can be used to neatly partition longwall mining data into the variable for modelling. Whilst such partitioning may occasionally neatly separate any given pair of blocks (as was the case with yield surveys for blocks A4 and B22), in general this is not the case (as exemplified by the pruning weight, EM38 and QuickBird surveys for these same blocks).

This points to another, broader and potentially more intractable problem. BACI type analyses are related to statistical methods of 'repeated measures', they rely both upon a balanced study design and the fact that the same subjects (impact and control sites/blocks in this case) are repeatedly observed through time, both before and after the application of treatments (impacts). Violations of these conditions present problems for these types of methods. However, many of the surveying techniques employed in precision agriculture and viticulture do not meet these basic assumptions. Whilst particular blocks or paddocks (e.g. populations) may be the subjects of a particular study, the individual points and spatial locations (e.g. individuals) actually surveyed generally vary from survey to survey. 'Repeated measures' statistical techniques rely upon this sampling of the same individuals through time to detect statistically significant differences associated with particular treatments. As such, it is unclear how to adapt precision agriculture and viticulture techniques to account for this variation.

In the viticulture case, one alternative would be to simply sample every vine row. Thus, all vine row averages could then be treated as individuals and analysed across years. Alternately, the capabilities of the GPS can also be exploited, whereby a particular 'sampling path' is programmed into the device and followed every year.

This would ensure the same rows are surveyed from year to year, thus allowing for the appropriate statistical comparisons through time.

#### 5.6 Recommendations and Summary

The reasonable correspondence between the modelling results for the *Zone* models and those of *Elevation* model were encouraging. Whilst an approach based on actual elevation differences is be preferred, the collection of such accurate and detailed topographic measurements pre- and post-mining can be expensive. Despite this expense, these methods allow for greater understanding of the topographic changes induced by LMS in areas generally not monitored by standard, on-ground surveying methods. Where the cost is prohibitive, methods based on mining zone can also be employed with reasonable certainty, though it would be useful to quantify how the accuracy of various zones declines as one moves away from surveyed monitoring transects. If required, additional subsidence observations could be obtained to minimise these errors across the various zones across the longwall panel.

Overall, the study also demonstrated the potential of precision viticulture tools to monitor responses at the block level. Whilst the average apparent soil conductivity across all the study blocks exhibited varying relationships with recent rainfall events, there may be reasons why this was so (e.g., different vineyard management approaches). Accounting for such differences would allow for the analytical partitioning of blocks into similar categorical groups, and thereby better account for the variability inherent in the data.

The relationship between block average NDVI values and two-monthly rainfall totals was similarly encouraging. As a measure of the amount of photosynthetically active biomass, the linear relationship implied between NDVI and rainfall is sensible, and

intuitive; plant growth would be expected to follow rainfall trends within a growing season. Although not presented, there was a small, negative linear relationship between the average NDVI value and average block yield for both A4 and B22 (R² = 0.170). With further study, it might be possible to explain more of the sub-block variability evident with both the EM38 and satellite remote sensed data. Being able to account for these sub-block sources of variability would signify an even greater ability to monitor for potential mining induced impacts at a finer scale. As such, attention could be devoted to the time consuming process of atmospheric correction of QuickBird imagery. This would allow for better monitoring long-term changes in the NDVI of vineyard blocks through time.

In spite of these limitations, both the environmental monitoring and the analytical work presented in this thesis are important in that, prior to this work commencing, the literature contained very few studies that quantitatively examined the systematic impacts of longwall mining on agriculture. For viticulture there were none. The apparent lack of systematic impacts associated with the longwall mining of a single coal seam under these specific vineyards is obviously important in the context of the vineyards in question. In a general sense the results also serve to reinforce the original assertions of Hinchliffe (2003) related to the site-specific, localized nature of agricultural impacts associated with longwall mining

#### References

- Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics 2007, Australian Commodity Statistics 2007, Canberra.
- Australian Coal Association 2007, The Australian Coal Industry Selected Summary Statistics, viewed 28 August 2007 <a href="http://www.australiancoal.com.au/industrystats.htm">http://www.australiancoal.com.au/industrystats.htm</a>.
- Baldy, R., DeBenedictis, J., Johnson, L., Weber, E., Baldy, M., Osborn, B. & Burleigh, J. 1996, 'Leaf color and vine size are related to yield in a phylloxera-infested vineyard', Vitis, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 201-205.
- Bell, F.G. & Genske, D.D. 2001, 'The influence of subsidence attributable to coal mining on the environment, development and restoration: Some examples from western Europe and south Africa', Environmental & Engineering Geoscience, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 81-99.
- Bell, F.G., Donnelly, L.J., Genske, D.D. & Ojeda, J. 2005, 'Unusual cases of mining subsidence from Great Britain, Germany and Colombia', Environmental Geology, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 620-631.
- Bell, F.G., Stacey, T.R. & Genske, D.D. 2000, 'Mining subsidence and its effect on the environment: some differing examples', Environmental Geology, vol. 40, no. 1-2, pp. 135-152.
- Bilodeau, M. & Brenner, D. 1999, Theory of multivariate statistics, Springer, New York.
- Booth, C.J. & Bertsch, L.P. 1999, 'Groundwater geochemistry in shallow aquifers above longwall mines in Illinois, USA', Hydrogeology Journal, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 561-575.
- Booth, C.J. 1998, 'Impacts of mine subsidence on groundwater', U.S. Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement 1998 Prime Farmland Forum, viewed 24 May, 2007 <a href="http://www.mcrcc.osmre.gov/PDF/Forums/PrimeFarmland">http://www.mcrcc.osmre.gov/PDF/Forums/PrimeFarmland</a> 1998/4c.pdf>.
- Booth, C.J. 2006, 'Groundwater as an environmental constraint of longwall coal mining', Environmental Geology, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 796-803.
- Booth, C.J., Curtiss, A.M., Demaris, P.J. & Bauer, R.A. 2000, 'Site-specific variation in the potentiometric response to subsidence above active longwall mining', Environmental & Engineering Geoscience, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 383-394.

- Bramley, R.G.V. & Hamilton, R.P. 2004, 'Understanding variability in winegrape production systems. 1. Within vineyard variation in yield over several vintages', Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, vol. 10, pp. 32-45.
- Bramley, R.G.V. 2001, 'Progress in the development of precision viticulture Variation in yield, quality and soil properties in contrasting Australian vineyards', in L.D. Currie & P. Loganathan (eds), Precision tools for improving land management. Occasional report No 14., Fertilizer and Lime Reseach Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, pp. 25-43.
- Bramley, R.G.V. 2005, 'Understanding variability in winegrape production systems. 2. Within vineyard variation in quality over several vintages', Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, vol. 11, pp. 33-42.
- Bullock, S.E.T. & Bell, F.G. 1997, 'Some problems associated with past mining at a mine in the Witbank coalfield, South Africa', Environmental Geology, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 61-71.
- Bureau of Meteorology 2008, Climate Data Online, Commonwealth Bureau of Meteorology, <a href="http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/index.shtml">http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/index.shtml</a>.
- Byrkit, D.R., Schaefer, R.L. & Skillings, J.H. 1987, Statistics today: a comprehensive introduction, Benjamin/Cummings Pub Co., Menlo Park, Calif.
- Carpenter, P.J. 1997, 'Use of resistivity and EM techniques to map subsidence fractures in glacial drift', Environmental & Engineering Geoscience, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 523-536.
- Conquest, L.L. 2000, 'Analysis and interpretation of ecological field data using BACI designs: Discussion', Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 293-296.
- Coombe, B.G. & Iland, P.G. 2004, 'Grape berry development and winegrape quality', in P.R. Dry & B.G. Coombe (eds), Viticulture Volume 1 Resources, 2nd edn, vol. 1, Winetitles, Adelaide, pp. 210-248.
- Coombe, B.G. & McCarthy, M.G. 2000, 'Dynamics of berry growth and physiology of ripening', Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 131-135.
- Corwin, D.L. & Lesch, S.M. 2005, 'Characterizing soil spatial variability with apparent soil electrical conductivity Part II. Case study', Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, vol. 46, no. 1-3, pp. 135-152.
- Darmody, R.G. 1995, 'Modeling agricultural impacts of longwall mine subsidence: A GIS approach', International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation and Environment, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 63-68.

- Darmody, R.G. 1998, 'Reclamation of agricultural land after planned coal mine subsidence', Prime Farmland 1998 Interactive Forum, eds C.L. Hooks, K.C. Vories & D. Throgmorton, Southern Illinois University and US Department of the Interior Office of Surface Mining, University of Southern Indiana at Evansville, pp. 152-171<a href="http://www.ott.wrcc.osmre.gov/library/proceed/farm1998.htm">http://www.ott.wrcc.osmre.gov/library/proceed/farm1998.htm</a>.
- Darmody, R.G., Jansen, I.J., GCarmer, S.G. & Steiner, J.S. 1989, 'Agricultural impacts of coal mine subsidence: Effects on corn yields', Journal of Environmental Quality, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 265-267.
- Darmody, R.G., Steiner, J.S., Jansen, I.J. & Carmer, S.G. 1988, 'Agricultural impacts of coal mine subsidence: Evaluation of three assay methods', Journal of Environmental Quality, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 510-513.
- Diamond, W.P., Garcia, F., Aul, G.N. & Ray, R.E. 1997, 'Analysis and prediction of longwall methane emissions: A case study in teh Pocahontas No. 3 Coalbed, VA', Proceedings of the 6th International Mine Ventilation Congress, ed. R.V. Ramani, Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Dobrowski, S.Z., Ustin, S.L. & Wolpert, J.A. 2003, 'Grapevine dormant pruning weight prediction using remotely sensed data', Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 177-182.
- Donnelly, L.J., De La Cruz, H., Asmar, I., Zapata, O. & Perez, J.D. 2001, 'The monitoring and prediction of mining subsidence in the Amaga, Angelopolis, Venecia and Bolombolo Regions, Antioquia, Colombia', Engineering Geology, vol. 59, no. 1-2, pp. 103-114.
- Dry, P.R. & Smart, R.E. 2004, 'The Grapegrowing Regions of Australia', in P.R. Dry & B.G. Coombe (eds), Viticulture Resources, vol. 1, Winetitles, Adelaide, pp. 37-60.
- Erdas, I. 2007, Erdas Imagine, version 9.1, Leica Geosystems Geospatial Imaging, <a href="http://www.erdas.com">http://www.erdas.com</a>.
- ESRI 2007, ArcGIS 9.1, version 9.1, Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc., <a href="http://www.esri.com">http://www.esri.com</a>.
- Frazier, P., Lamb, D. & O'Brien, D. 2005, 'Designing a multi-scale, multi-temporal data collection program to monitor the impact of longwall mine subsidence on vineyard production', paper presented to the SSC 2005 Spatial Intelligencee, Innovation and Praxis: The national biennial Conference of the Spatial Sciences Institute, Melbourne.
- Gladstones, J.S. 2004, 'Climate and Australian Viticulture', in P.R. Dry & B.G. Coombe (eds), Viticulture Volume 1 Resources, 2nd edn, vol. 1, Winetitles, Adelaide, pp. 90-118.

- Google 2008, Google Earth, version 4.3, <a href="http://earth.google.com">http://earth.google.com</a>.
- Goulty, N.R. & Al-Rawahy, S.Y.S. 1996, 'Reappraisal of time-dependent subsidence due to longwall coal mining', Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 83-91.
- Green, R.H. 1979, Sampling Design and Statistical Methods for Environmental Biologists, WILEY, New York.
- Guither, H.D. 1986, 'The mine subsidence threat to soils', Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 21-23.
- Hall, A., Louis, J. & Lamb, A.D. 2003, 'Characterising and mapping vineyard canopy using high-spatial-resolution aerial multispectral images', Computers & Geosciences, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 813-822.
- Hall, A., Louis, J.P. & Lamb, D.W. 2008, 'Low-resolution remotely sensed images of winegrape vineyards map spatial variability in planimetric canopy area instead of leaf area index', Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 9-17.
- Hinchliffe, D. 2003, 'Effect of longwall mine subsidence on plant production on cropping land', Masters of Applied Science thesis, University of Queensland, Brisbane.
- Hu, Z. & Gu, H. 1995, 'Reclamation planning for abandoned mining subsidence lands in Eastern China: A case study', International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation and Environment, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 129-132.
- Hu, Z., Hu, F., Li, J. & Li, H. 1997, 'Impact of coal mining subsidence on farmland in eastern China', International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation and Environment, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 91-94.
- Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E. P., Gao, X. & Ferreira, L.G. 2002 'Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices,' Remote Sensing of Environment, vol. 83, no. 1-2, pp. 195-213.
- Jensen, J. R. 2005, Introductory digital image processing: A remote sensing perspective, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Jensen, J. R., Botchway, K., Brennan-Galvin, E. Johannsen, C., Juma, C., Mabogunje, A., Miller, R., Price, K., Skole, D., Stancioff, A. & Taylor, D.R. F., 2002, Down to Earth: Geographic information for sustainable development in Africa, Washington: National Research Council.
- Johnson, C.K., Doran, J.W., Duke, H.R., Wienhold, B.J., Eskridge, K.M. & Shanahan, J.F. 2001, 'Field-scale electrical conductivity mapping for delineating soil

- condition', Soil Science Society of America Journal, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 1829-1837.
- Johnson, L.F., Lobitz, B., Armstrong, R., Baldy, R., Weber, E., De Benedicts, J. & Bosch, D. 1996, 'Airborne imaging for vineyard canopy evaluation', California Agriculture, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 14-18.
- Johnson, L.F., Pierce, L., DeMartino, J., Youkhana, S. & Nemani, R. 2003, 'Image-based decision tools for vineyard management', ASAE Annual International Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Karaman, A., Akhiev, S.S. & Carpenter, P.J. 1999, 'A new method of analysis of water-level response to a moving boundary of a longwall mine', Water Resources Research, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1001-1010.
- Karaman, A., Carpenter, P.J. & Booth, C.J. 2001, 'Type-curve analysis of water-level changes induced by a longwall mine', Environmental Geology, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 897-901.
- Kovac, M. & Lawrie, J.W. 1991, Soil landscapes of the Singleton 1:250 000 sheet, Soil Conservation Service of NSW, Sydney.
- Kratzsch, H. 1983, Mining subsidence engineering, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Kratzsch, H. 1986, 'Mining Subsidence Engineering', Environmental Geology and Water Sciences, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 133-136.
- Lamb, D., Mitchell, A. & Hyde, G. 2005, 'Vineyard trellising with steel posts distorts data from EM soil surveys', Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 24-32.
- Lamb, D.W. 2003, Monitoring the impact of longwall mining on vineyards in the Broke-Fordwich Region 2003, Baseline Data Collection.
- Luo, Y. & Peng, S.S. 2000, 'Long-term subsidence associated with longwall mining its causes, development and magnitude', Mining Engineering, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 49-54.
- McCarthy, M.G. 1999, 'Weight loss from ripening berries of Shiraz grapes (Vitis vinifera L. cv. Shiraz)', Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 10-16.
- McIntyre, G.N., Lider, L.A. & Ferrari, N.L. 1982, 'The chronological classification of grapevine phenology', American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 80-85.

- McNally, G.H. 2000, 'Geology and mining practice in relation to shallow subsidence in the Northern Coalfield, New South Wales', Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, vol. 47, pp. 21-24.
- Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants 2007, Subsidence Monitoring Report 2006.
- National Research Council 1997, Precision agriculture in the 21st century: Geospatial and information technologies in crop management National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- O'Brien, D. 2004, 'Beltana Mine A case study on a proactive approach to managing and monitoring the impacts of mine subsidence', paper presented to the Mine Subsidence Technological Society, 6th Triennial Conference, Mailtand, New South Wales, 1-2 November, 2004.
- O'Brien, J. 2008, Farmers angry as mining companies move in, The 7:30 Report, Australia, 02/07/2008.
- OmniStar 2008, About OmniSTAR worldwide DGPS Service, <a href="http://www.omnistar.com/about.html">http://www.omnistar.com/about.html</a>.
- Openshaw, S. 1983, The modifiable areal unit problem, Geo Books, Norwich.
- Osenberg, C.W. & Schmitt, R.J. 1996, 'Detecting ecological impacts caused by human activities', in R.J. Schmitt & C.W. Osenberg (eds), Detecting ecological impacts: Concepts and applications in coastal habitats Academic Press, pp. 3-16.
- Palchik, V. 2003, 'Formation of fractured zones in overburden due to longwall mining', Environmental Geology, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 28-38.
- Palchik, V. 2005, 'Localization of mining-induced horizontal fractures along rock layer interfaces in overburden: field measurements and prediction', Environmental Geology, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 68-80.
- Pearce, I. & Coombe, B.G. 2004, 'Grapevine Phenology', in P.R. Dry & B.G. Coombe (eds), Viticulture Volume 1 Resources, 2nd edn, vol. 1, Winetitles, Adelaide, pp. 150-166.
- Proffitt, T., Bramley, R.G.V., Lamb, D. & Winter, E. 2006, Precision viticulture: A new era in vineyard management and wine production, Winetitles Pty Ltd, Adelaide.
- R Development Core Team 2006, R: A language and environment for statistical computing, version 2.8, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, <a href="http://www.r-project.org/">http://www.r-project.org/</a>.

- Rouse, J.W., Haas, R.H., Schell, J.A. & Deering, D.W. 1974, 'Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS', 3rd Earth Resource Technology Satellite (ERTS) Symposium, vol. 1, pp. 48-62.
- Schulte-Karring, H. 1987, 'Pneumatic deep loosening dash function and effect', Schweizerische Zeitschrift fur Obst- und Weinbau, vol. 123, no. 13, pp. 331-337.
- Sidle, R.C., Kamil, I., Sharma, A. & Yamashita, S. 2000, 'Stream response to subsidence from underground coal mining in central Utah', Environmental Geology, vol. 39, no. 3-4, pp. 279-291.
- Singh, M.M. 1992, 'Mine subsidence', in H.L. Hartman, S.G. Britton, D.W. Gentry, M. Karmis, J.M. Mutmansky, W.J. Schllt & M.M. Sing (eds), SME Mining Engineering Handbook, vol. 1, Society for Mining Metallurgy & Exploration; 2 Revised edition (April 20, 1992), Littleton, Colorado, pp. 938-971.
- Smart, R. 2003, 'Viticultural impacts of proposal', in, Bulga Coal Continued Underground Operations Environmental Impact Statement, vol. 3, pp. 1-44.
- Smith, E.P. 2002, 'BACI Design', in A.H. El-Shaarawi & W.W. Piegorsch (eds), Encylopedia of Environmetrics, vol. 1, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, pp. 141-148.
- Stevenson, D.K., Pratt, W. & Beckett, J. 1998, 'Stratigraphy of the Hunter Coalfield', paper presented to the Conference on Geotechnical Engineering and Engineering Geology in the Hunter Valley, University of New Castle, July 1998.
- Stewart-Oaten, A., Murdoch, W.W. & Parker, K.R. 1986, 'Environmental impact assessment: "Pseudoreplication" in time?', Ecology, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 929-940.
- Stewart-Oaten, A., Murdoch, W.W. & Parker, K.R. 1986, 'Environmental impact assessment: "Pseudoreplication" in time?', Ecology, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 929-940.
- Thomas, L.J. 1973, An Introduction to Mining: Exploration, Feasibility, Extraction, Rock Mechanics, HICKS SMITH & SONS, Sydney.
- TopoSys GmbH 2008, Harrier 56 Specifications, November 2006 edn, <a href="http://www.toposys.com/">http://www.toposys.com/</a>.
- Umwelt 2003, Bulga Coal Continued Underground Operations Environmental Impact Statement.
- US Department of Energy, E.I.A. 1985, Longwall mining., US Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

- Waddington Kay & Associates 2003, 'Report on the prediction of subsidence parameters and the assessment of subsidence impacts on natural surface features and items of infrastructure due to multi-seam mining', in, Bulga Coal Continued Underground Operations Environmental Impact Statement, vol. 2, Mona Vale, New South Wales, pp. 1-228.
- Waddington, A.A. & Kay, D.R. 1995, 'The incremental profile method for prediction of subsidence, tilt, curvature and strain over a series of longwalls.', paper presented to the Mine Subsidence Technological Society, 3rd Triennial Conference, Newcastle, New South Wales, 5-7 February, 2005.
- Wehr, A. & Lohr, U. 1999, 'Airborne laser scanning an introduction and overview', ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry & Remote Sensing, vol. 54, no. 2-3, pp. 68-82.
- Yunge, L. & Zhengfu, B. 2004, 'Mined landscape ecological rehabilitation and a case study', Mining Science and Technology, vol. 1, no. 7, pp. 681 687.
- Zhengfu, B. 2001, 'Case study on agricultural ecosystem rehabilitation of coal mine area in East China', Environmental Management and Health, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 10-16.
- Zipper, C., Balfour, W., Roth, R. & Randolph, J. 1997, 'Domestic water supply impacts by underground coal mining in Virginia, USA', Environmental Geology, vol. 29, no. 1-2, pp. 84-93.

# **Appendix A Rectification Processing**

QuickBird image rectification accuracy for images captured from 26-10-2003 to 22-01-2006. Table A.1.

:	:	:					Ì	!			;	Residuals	duals	:	
		Sens	Sensor View Angle	ngle		_	₩	RMS Errors	ſS		×			>	
Image Type		In Track	Across Track	Off Nadir	Point Tvne	Count	Mean	Range	Stdev	Mean	Мах	Min	Mean	Max	Min
Pan		0.2	-1.6	1.6	Control	23	.587	.834	.236	000.	.949	869	000	.738	454
					Check	23	.479	.678	.228	.077	.647	772	063	.718	800
MultiSpec		0.2	-1.6	1.6	Control	23	.147	.208	0.59	000	.237	217	000	.185	133
					Check	23	.129	.238	.065	.025	.205	193	007	.195	200
Pan		2.3	4.1	4.7	Control	22	.583	.765	.193	000	.678	069	000	.798	618
					Check	21	.644	.863	.218	.140	.919	558	.056	.709	.056
MultiSpec	()	2.1	4.1	4.7	Control	22	.146	.191	.048	000.	.170	172	000.	.200	154
					Check	21	.161	.209	.055	.035	.230	139	.014	.177	267
Pan		12.5	-5.5	18.3	Control	22	.515	.811	.268	000.	.701	731	000	.632	852
					Check	22	.656	.751	.191	224	.832	873	600	.637	904
MultiSpec	ပ္က	12.3	-5.5	18.3	Control	22	.124	.206	.064	000	.181	187	000	0.159	193
					Check	22	.171	.181	.051	057	.216	217	.005	.169	260
Pan		-2.3	1.0	2.5	Control	22	.495	.835	.240	000.	.578	763	000	.625	933
					Check	21	.541	.722	.237	.022	.774	802	122	.533	851
MultiSpec	S	-2.5	1.0	2.5	Control	22	.129	.243	.062	000	.143	197	000	.160	269
					Check	21	.130	.197	.057	.003	.188	198	023	.131	203
Pan		20.3	-12.3	23.6	Control	23	.531	.794	.232	000	.567	633	000	.947	914
					Check	23	.687	.746	.213	093	.781	803	257	.728	989
MultiSpec	ec	20.1	-12.3	23.6	Control	23	.130	.224	.064	000.	.149	161	000	.259	211
					Check	23	.170	.171	.051	024	.202	206	059	.202	226
Pan		18.1	-12.4	19.1	Control	25	.585	.793	.173	000	792.	619	000	.603	881
					Check	21	.571	.821	.251	227	.527	805	.025	.664	.025
MultiSpec	S	18.0	-12.4	19.1	Control	25	.150	.201	.046	000	.188	153	000	.163	260
					Check	21	.144	.241	.064	057	.129	206	.012	.186	197
	l														

Table A.2. QuickBird image rectification accuracy for images captured from 14-11-2006 to 28-01-2008.

Sensor View Angle         FIMIS Errors         RMIS Errors         X         Y         Y           Across Interest         Off Nadir         Point Prime         Count         Mean         Range         Stdew         Mean         Max         Min         Mean         Max         Min           1 - 7.3         21.0         Control         22         .366         .77         .169         .000         .707         .683         .000         .717         .169         .000         .707         .683         .707         .818         .777         .708         .824         .007         .707         .683         .707         .683         .707         .683         .707         .678         .707         .678         .707<									_			Resid	Residuals		
Off Nadir         Point         Count         Mean         Range         Stdev         Mean         Min         Mean         Min         Mean         Min           21.0         Control         22         .586         .717         .169         .000         .703         .683         .000         .837           21.0         Control         22         .586         .717         .169         .007         .707         .907         .027         .831           21.0         Control         22         .155         .242         .053         .000         .717         .169         .001         .242         .000         .242         .000         .242         .000         .242         .000         .242         .000         .244         .000         .242         .000         .242         .000         .242         .000         .242         .000         .242         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245         .000         .245 <td< td=""><td>Sensc</td><td>S</td><td>or View A</td><td>ngle</td><td></td><td>_</td><td>집</td><td>MS Erro</td><td>S</td><td></td><td>×</td><td></td><td></td><td>&gt;</td><td></td></td<>	Sensc	S	or View A	ngle		_	집	MS Erro	S		×			>	
21.0         Control         22         586         777         169         000         703         -683         000         837           21.0         Check         20         700         624         204         -072         870         -907         027         831           21.0         Control         22         155         242         053         000         171         -168         000         242           23.6         Control         22         655         753         234         000         781         -611         000         242           23.6         Control         22         663         773         219         -358         775         -856         -028         270           23.6         Control         22         663         773         219         -358         775         -869         -028         -729         -028         -279         -028         -279         -028         -279         -028         -279         -028         -279         -028         -279         -029         -279         -029         -279         -029         -279         -029         -279         -029         -279         -029         -2	In Track A	∢ '	Across Track	Off Nadir	Point	Count		Range	Stdev	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Max	Min
Check 20 7700 624 204 -0772 870 -907 027 831  21.0 Control 22 155 242 053 000 171 -168 000 242  Check 20 177 159 051 -019 214 -249 062 210  23.6 Control 22 655 753 234 000 781 -611 000 854  23.6 Control 22 335 811 210 000 289 -235 000 825  Check 20 276 663 773 219 -358 745 -866 002 825  Check 20 276 634 162 -082 218 -360 000 825  Check 20 661 881 228 121 893 -898 120 819  22.8 Control 20 661 881 228 121 893 -898 120 819  22.6 Control 20 158 162 000 461 -602 000 178  22.6 Control 20 158 384 228 000 -169 212 000 818  22.6 Control 20 136 221 061 000 461 -602 000 178  22.6 Control 20 633 837 252 000 376 -981 059 641  22.6 Control 20 603 837 252 000 376 -981 059 641  22.6 Control 20 495 846 219 000 -169 000 178  Check 20 154 230 067 004 192 -250 001 176  Check 20 603 837 252 000 876 -884 000 177  Check 20 154 207 056 000 377 -884 000 178  Check 20 154 207 056 000 379 -254 000 177  Check 20 157 178 -255 200 -254 000 173  Check 20 172 169 046 -072 133 -227 010 182  Check 20 103 176 052 000 148 -000 173  Check 20 103 176 052 000 148 -140 000 173  Check 20 103 176 053 -000 148 -140 000 173	-19.8		-7.3	21.0	Control	22	.586	.717	.169	000	.703	683	000	788.	779
21.0         Control         22         .155         .242         .053         .000         .171         .168         .005         .244         .000         .242         .051         .011         .116         .000         .244         .000         .243         .000         .243         .000         .243         .000         .249         .062         .210           23.6         Control         22         .665         .753         .234         .000         .781        641         .000         .852         .202         .210         .212         .202         .218         .202         .008         .852         .200         .853         .212         .000         .282         .000         .852         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .020         .028         .212         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823         .000         .823 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Check</td><td>20</td><td>.700</td><td>.624</td><td>.204</td><td>072</td><td>.870</td><td>907</td><td>.027</td><td>.831</td><td>733</td></td<>					Check	20	.700	.624	.204	072	.870	907	.027	.831	733
Check 20 177 159 051 -0.01 214 -2.49 062 210  23.6 Control 22 655 753 234 000 781 -611 000 854  23.6 Control 22 335 811 210 -0.36 745 -865 -0.02 728  23.6 Control 22 335 811 210 000 289 -2.35 000 825  22.8 Control 20 643 645 207 000 852 -690 000 829  22.8 Control 20 435 845 207 000 852 -690 000 829  22.6 Control 20 495 846 209 121 893 898 120 818  22.6 Control 20 495 846 219 000 -169 212 000 178  22.6 Control 20 495 846 219 000 461 -602 000 818  22.6 Control 20 343 834 258 020 786 -981 059 641  22.6 Control 20 495 846 229 000 876 -881 059 641  22.6 Control 20 126 221 061 000 116 -156 000 178  22.6 Control 20 603 837 252 000 876 -891 000 177  22.6 Control 20 420 603 837 252 000 876 -884 000 177  23.5 Control 20 420 603 837 252 000 876 -884 000 177  24.5 Control 20 420 672 000 876 -884 000 177  25.5 Control 20 423 691 198 000 597 -548 000 674  25.5 Control 20 423 691 198 000 837 -548 000 674  25.5 Control 20 423 691 198 000 337 -004 000 173  27.6 Check 20 103 176 052 000 148 -140 000 173  28.7 Control 20 103 176 052 000 148 -140 000 173	-19.9	'	-7.3	21.0	Control	22	.155	.242	.053	000	.171	168	000.	.242	220
23.6         Control         22         655         753         234         000         781         -611         000         854           Check         20         663         713         219         -358         745         -856         -028         729           23.6         Control         22         -335         811         -210         -000         289         -235         000         825           22.8         Control         20         -643         644         -162         -082         -218         -000         825           22.8         Control         20         -643         -644         -207         -008         -852         -600         000         825           22.8         Control         20         -661         -881         -228         -179         -189         -120         -000         -178         -178         -179         -178         -178         -189         -178         -178         -189         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178         -178 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Check</td> <td>20</td> <td>.177</td> <td>.159</td> <td>.051</td> <td>019</td> <td>.214</td> <td>249</td> <td>.062</td> <td>.210</td> <td>173</td>					Check	20	.177	.159	.051	019	.214	249	.062	.210	173
Check 20 663 7713 219 -358 7745 -856 -0.028 729  23.6 Control 22 335 811 210 000 289 -235 000 825  Check 20 276 643 162 -0.08 52 -690 000 829  22.8 Control 20 661 881 228 121 893 -898 120 829  22.8 Control 20 158 162 048 000 -169 212 000 178  22.6 Control 20 158 162 048 000 -169 212 000 178  22.6 Control 20 495 846 219 000 461 -602 000 818  22.6 Control 20 126 221 061 000 116 -156 000 207  Check 20 136 221 061 000 116 -156 000 207  Check 20 136 220 000 376 -384 000 176 176  22.5 Control 20 154 220 000 116 -156 000 207  Check 20 154 200 000 116 -156 000 100 177  25 Control 20 154 207 056 000 219 -212 000 177  Check 20 154 207 056 000 376 -384 000 100 177  25 Control 20 154 207 056 000 219 -212 000 177  Check 20 172 169 046 -0.072 133 -227 010 182  3.7 Control 20 423 691 198 000 597 -548 000 674  3.7 Control 20 103 176 052 000 397 -548 000 173  3.7 Control 20 103 176 052 000 148 -140 000 173	-3.4	Ġ	-23.4	23.6	Control	22	.655	.753	.234	000	.781	611	000.	.854	809
23.6         Control         22         .335         .811         .210         .000         .289        235         .000         .825           Check         20         .276         .634         .162         .082         .218        360         .008         .631           22.8         Control         20         .643         .645         .207         .000         .852        690         .000         .829           22.8         Control         20         .661         .881         .228         .121         .893        898         .120         .810           22.8         Control         20         .167         .233         .057         .028         .221         .200         .178         .189         .189         .178         .178         .178         .218         .200         .178         .189         .218         .000         .178         .189         .228         .020         .461         .602         .001         .178         .189         .221         .201         .786         .281         .289         .281         .281         .281         .281         .281         .281         .000         .212         .001         .176         .272					Check	20	.663	.713	.219	358	.745	856	028	.729	963
Check 20 276 634 162 -082 218 -360 008 631  22.8 Control 20 661 881 228 121 893 -898 120 829  Check 20 661 881 228 121 893 -898 120 810  Check 20 158 162 048 000 -169 212 000 178  Check 20 167 233 057 028 221 -230 038 218  22.6 Control 20 495 846 219 000 461 -602 000 818  22.6 Control 20 126 221 061 000 116 -156 000 207  Check 20 126 221 061 000 116 -156 000 207  Check 20 136 221 061 000 116 -156 000 207  Check 20 136 221 061 000 116 -156 000 207  Check 20 136 221 061 000 116 -156 000 177  2.5 Control 20 603 837 252 000 876 -894 000 703  2.5 Control 20 154 207 056 000 219 -212 000 177  Check 20 172 169 046 -072 133 -227 010 182  3.7 Control 20 423 691 198 000 597 -548 000 674  Check 20 103 175 056 000 148 -140 000 173  Check 20 103 176 055 000 148 -140 000 173  Check 20 103 176 055 000 148 -140 000 173	-3.6 -2	-2	-23.4	23.6	Control	22	.335	.811	.210	000	.289	235	000.	.825	564
22.8       Control       20       .643       .645       .207       .000       .852      690       .000       .829         Check       20       .661       .881       .228       .121       .893      898       .120       .810         22.8       Control       20       .167       .233       .057       .028       .221       .200       .178         22.6       Control       20       .167       .233       .057       .028       .221       .000       .178       .188       .218					Check	20	.276	.634	.162	082	.218	360	900.	.631	295
Check 20 661 881 228 121 893 -898 120 810 810 22.8 Control 20 158 162 048 000 -169 221 000 178	12.2 19	19	4.	22.8	Control	20	.643	.645	.207	000	.852	690	000.	.829	803
22.8       Control       20       .158       .162       .048       .000      169       .212       .000       .178         Check       20       .167       .233       .057       .028       .221      230       .038       .218         22.6       Control       20       .543       .894       .258       .020       .786      981       .059       .641         22.6       Control       20       .126       .221       .061       .000       .461      052       .000       .818         22.6       Control       20       .136       .221       .067       .004       .192      250       .001       .176         2.5       Control       20       .136       .230       .067       .004       .192      250       .021       .176         2.5       Control       20       .670       .572       .173      285       .551      894       .016       .643         2.5       Control       20       .174       .207       .075       .133       .227       .010       .177         3.7       Control       20       .423       .691       .198       .000					Check	20	.661	.881	.228	.121	.893	898	.120	.810	647
Check 20	12.0 19.4	19	4	22.8	Control	20	.158	.162	.048	000	169	.212	000.	.178	205
22.6       Control       20       .495       .846       .219       .000       .461      602       .000       .818         Check       20       .543       .894       .258       .020       .786      981       .059       .641         22.6       Control       20       .126       .221       .061       .000       .116      156       .000       .207         2.5       Control       20       .136       .230       .067       .004       .192      250       .021       .176         2.5       Control       20       .603       .837       .252       .000       .876      834       .000       .703         2.5       Control       20       .672       .173      285       .551      894       .016       .643         2.5       Control       20       .154       .207       .056       .000       .219      212       .000       .177         3.7       Control       20       .172       .169       .046       .072       .133      248       .000       .674         3.7       Control       20       .423       .691       .198       .000					Check	20	.167	.233	.057	.028	.221	230	.038	.218	144
Check 20 .543 .894 .258 .020 .786981 .059 .641 .026 Control 20 .126 .221 .061 .000 .116156 .000 .207 .0 Check 20 .136 .230 .067 .004 .192250 .021 .176 .002 .207 .0 Check 20 .603 .837 .252 .000 .876 .834 .000 .703 .2 Control 20 .670 .572 .173285 .551894 .016 .643 .2 Control 20 .174 .2 Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .1332 Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .1332 Check 20 .423 .691 .198 .000 .597548 .000 .674 .067 .0608 .7 Check 20 .103 .1 Check 20 .1 C	-22.6 1.1	<del></del>		22.6	Control	20	.495	.846	.219	000	.461	602	000.	.818	739
22.6 Control 20 .126 .221 .061 .000 .116156 .000 .207  Check 20 .136 .230 .067 .004 .192250 .021 .176  2.5 Control 20 .603 .837 .252 .000 .876 .834 .000 .703  Check 20 .670 .572 .173285 .551 .894 .016 .643  Check 20 .154 .207 .056 .000 .219212 .000 .177  Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .133227 .010 .182  Check 20 .608 .725 .203156 .570548 .000 .674  Check 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173  Check 20 .169 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185					Check	20	.543	.894	.258	.020	.786	981	.059	.641	977
Check 20 .136 .230 .067 .004 .192 .250 .021 .176  2.5 Control 20 .603 .837 .252 .000 .876 .834 .000 .703  Check 20 .670 .572 .173 .285 .551 .894 .016 .643  Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .133227 .010 .182  3.7 Control 20 .423 .691 .198 .000 .597 .548 .000 .674  Check 20 .608 .725 .203 .156 .570 .730 .054 .667  3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148 .140 .000 .173  Check 20 .169 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185	-22.8 1.1	<u>←</u>	_	22.6	Control	20	.126	.221	.061	000	.116	156	000.	.207	232
2.5 Control 20 .603 .837 .252 .000 .876834 .000 .703  Check 20 .670 .572 .173285 .551894 .016 .643  2.5 Control 20 .154 .207 .056 .000 .219 .212 .000 .177  Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .133227 .010 .182  3.7 Control 20 .423 .691 .198 .000 .597548 .000 .674  Check 20 .103 .725 .203 .156 .570730054 .667  3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173  Check 20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185					Check	20	.136	.230	.067	.004	.192	250	.021	.176	248
Check 20 .670 .572 .173285 .551894 .016 .643  Check 20 .154 .207 .056 .000 .219212 .000 .177  Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .133227 .010 .182  Check 20 .608 .725 .203156 .570730054 .667  3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173  Check 20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185	-2.2	7	-1.4	2.5	Control	20	.603	.837	.252	000	928.	834	000.	.703	910
2.5 Control 20 .154 .207 .056 .000 .219 .212 .000 .177 Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .133227 .010 .182 .3.7 Control 20 .423 .691 .198 .000 .597548 .000 .674 .667 Check 20 .608 .725 .203156 .570730054 .667 .3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173 Check 20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185					Check	20	.670	.572	.173	285	.551	894	.016	.643	862
Check 20 .172 .169 .046072 .133227 .010 .182  3.7 Control 20 .423 .691 .198 .000 .597548 .000 .674  Check 20 .608 .725 .203156 .570730054 .667  3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173  Check 20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185	2.4	`ı	4.1-	2.5	Control	20	.154	.207	.056	000	.219	212	000.	.177	209
3.7 Control 20 .423 .691 .198 .000 .597548 .000 .674 Check 20 .608 .725 .203156 .570730054 .667 .667 .3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173 Check 20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185					Check	20	.172	.169	.046	072	.133	227	.010	.182	218
Check 20 .608 .725 .203156 .570730054 .667 3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173 Check 20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185	-1.9	Ϋ	-3.2	3.7	Control	20	.423	.691	.198	000	265.	548	000.	.674	680
3.7 Control 20 .103 .176 .052 .000 .148140 .000 .173 Check 20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185					Check	20	.608	.725	.203	156	.570	730	054	299.	921
20 .159 .215 .053040 .139177005 .185	-2.1	Ϋ	-3.2	3.7	Control	20	.103	.176	.052	000	.148	140	000.	.173	185
					Check	20	.159	.215	.053	040	.139	177	005	.185	234