

# A Philosophical Critique of a biblically-based Christian educational model

Paul Andrew Kidson BA (Woll.) DipEd (Woll.)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Master of Education (Honours) of the University of New England

February 1998

## A Philosophical Critique of a biblically-based Christian educational model

Paul Andrew Kic son BA (Woll.) DipEd (Woll.)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Master of Education (Honours) of the University of New England

February 1998

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degrees.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge any help received in preparing this thesis, and all the sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Paul Kidson

### Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the assistance of a number of prominent Christiar Community Schools Limited and Christian-Parent Controlled Schools Limited personnel who gave time, assistance, access to material, support, correction, and clarification: Rev Robert Frisken, President of CCSL, Mr Neville Pollard, Principal, Christian Community High School, Mr Neville Hare, Chairman of Christian Community High School Board, Dr Ian Lambert, Lecturer, National Institute of Christian Education, Mr Steve Fyson, Assistant Principal, Pacific Hills Christian School.

Thanks and appreciation to the many others associated with Christian Community Schools Ltd with whom I have reflected on these ideas over a long time: Mr Andrew Kokic Mr Andrew Lack, Mr Iain Wallace, Miss Heather Biddolph, Mrs Lorraine Tritton, Mr James Doyle, Miss Jane Coughlan.

Thanks to my supervisor, Mr Peter Hobson, for challenging my own assumptions and presuppositions, extending my understanding and experience, careful scrutiny of the text, and much useful critical feedback.

To Rev Dr Rod Mitchel, Chaplain, Newington College, for much clarity, wisdom and critical feedback during the writing of Parts II and III. To Dr Rob Long, University of Canberra, for support and encouragement to challenge the underlying assumptions of this branch of Christian education.

Despite all the tremendous support and expertise resident in the abovementioned, any deficiencies in the final result are attributable only to me.

Finally and most importantly, to my wife, Vanessa, and three sons, Benjamin, Lewis and Aidan. The support and encouragement given has been limitless, given in due season and when most needed. My indebtedness in great. You are truly God's precious gifts.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	V
INTRODUCTION	1
PART I: Educational aims and r ghts in pluralist liberal democracies	12
CHAPTER ONE: Education in I iberal Democratic Societies	13
I. Introduction	
II. The rise of liberal democratic ideas in Australian education	13
III. Pluralism in Liberal Democracies	
IV. Educational ideals for pluralism and liberal democracy	26
V. Conclusion	38
CHAPTER TWO: Parents' Righ:s, Children's Rights and	
Religious Upbrinfing	
I. Introduction	
II. The complementarity of parents' and children's rights	
III. Religious upbringing and the liberal democratic society	
IV. The nature of religious upbringing and indoctrination	47
V. Thiessen's two phases of liberal education	
VI. Conclusion	55
PART II: Biblically-based Christian Education (BCE) as an educational mode	56
CHAPTER THREE: The Biblically-based Christian Education model	57
I. Introduction	
II. Defining the biblicall /-based Christian approach	60
i. The centrality of the Bible	
ii. The reliability of the Bible	
iii. The continuing validity of the Bible	64
III. The Rationale	64
IV. The Educational Model	67
i. Faith aims: Grov, Up Into Christ	67
ii. The concept of community	70
iii. Epistemological foundation	72
iv. Curriculum orientation	
IV. Conclusion	79

PART III: A Philosophical Critique of Biblically-based Christian Education (BCE) as an educational model in	
pluralist liberal democratic societies	81
CHAPTER FOUR: Critique of the BCE model	
I. Introduction	
II. Philosophical issues	83
i. the epistemic foundation of the BCE religious truth	
claim	
ii. the hermeneutic authority	93
iii. the philosophi al status of exclusivist religious truth	
claims	
III. Curriculum orientation	
i. a technical curriculum orientation	105
ii. opportunity for understanding and respecting	
alternative world views	
iii. stages of faith development	
IV. Conclusion	114
CHAPTER FIVE: From Biblically-based Education (BCE) to	44.6
Christian Praxis Education (CPE): Some Possibilities	
I. Introduction	
II. Christian Praxis Education as an orientation	119
III. The socially-critical crientation and Christian Praxis	405
Education	
IV. Christian Praxis Education and BCE	
V. Conclusion	152
CONCLUSION	153
I. Introduction	
II. Recommendations	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	156

#### **Abstract**

The presence of the newer Christian schools within a pluralist liberal democracy such as Australia raises a number of philosophical questions: what is required for models of education to be valid for use in pluralist liberal democracies? what rights co parents have in providing a religious upbringing, including formal education? how does this relate to the rights of children in regard to their education?

This thesis argues for a perspective on education necessary to a pluralist liberal democracy that values critical reflection of a student's personal world view, recognition of, respect for and tolerance of alternative world views. It also argues that the right of parents to provide a religious upbringing is valid provided it does not preclude such a perspective.

The Biblically-based Christian Education (BCE) model (primarily) developed by Christian Community Schools Limited is investigated for the extent to which it is able to satisfy the criteria for educational models in a pluralist liberal democracy. It is argued that the model is deficient in a number of areas including its epistemological foundation, the role of hermeneutic authority, its lack of a coherent theory of faith development and its technical curriculum orientation. It is then argued that a Christian Praxis Education (CPE) orientation derived from the Christian Religious Education model of Groome and Astley as well as the Transformational Christian Education ideas of Hobson and Welbourne is able to overcome these difficulties. It is argued that the Christian Praxis Education orientation is able to satisfy both the criteria for validity of educational models in a pluralist

liberal democracy as well as maintaining many of the faith concerns of the Biblically-based Christian Education model.