

LACTIC ACIDOSIS IN THE CAECUM AND RUMEN OF SHEEP

by

Zhaokun Ding

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy of the University of New England

Divisions of Animal Sciences and Physiology
The University of New England
Armidale, N.S.W. 2351
Australia

December 1997

Declaration

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degree.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged.



December 1997

Zhaokun Ding

Acknowledgements

I sincerely thank my supervisors, Professor James Rowe and Dr. Ian Godwin, for their excellent supervision and friendship, constructive criticism and generous help with this thesis.

Thanks are expressed to Associate Professor John Nolan and Dr. John Hecker for their willing assistance in discussions, in proofing and in providing data during the preparation of this manuscript.

Thanks to Mr. Frank Ball, Mr. Steve Atkinson, Mr. Simon Stachiw, Ms. Annette McLeod, Mr. Grahame Chaffey, Mr. Edward Clayton, Mr. Peter Tyler, and Ms. Jo-Ann Andrews for their help in the experiments. Thanks to Ms. Michelle Coelli for her help in developing a part of the computer model described in Chapter 5.

Thanks to Professor Ron Leng, Dr. Keith Gregg, Mr. David Akers, Mr. Charles Wong, Ms. Lisa McCabe, Ms. Thea Harris, Mr. Vernie Everett, Dr. Julie Roberts, Associate Professor Nihal Agar, Ms. Helene Dawson, Professor Lesley Rogers, Mr. Craig Lawlor, Dr. William Wong, Dr. Mingan Choct, Mr. Winston Hewitt, Dr. Rafat Al Jassim, Dr. Simon Bird, Dr. Brad Crook, Ms. Carol Quinn, Ms. Ilona Schmidt, Ms. Louise Haworth, Mr. Ian Kerr, Mr. Neil Baillie, Mr. Michael Raue, Mr. James Hills, Mr. Robert Taylor, Ms. Robyn Muldoon, Mr. Richard Willis, Ms. Skye Blomfield, other staff and my peers for their friendship and strong support during my study.

I greatly appreciate my wife, Youqing Xu, and my daughter, Ding Ding, for their unwavering support and invaluable help in my study.

These studies were made while I held an Overseas Postgraduate Research Scholarship (OPRS) and a University of New England Research Scholarship (UNERS).

Abstract

A series of experiments was undertaken to explore the general hypothesis that the rate of production and accumulation of lactic acid is more important than the buffering capacity within the gut in the development of fermentative acidosis. A comparative study of the absorption of lactic acid, volatile fatty acids (VFAs), glucose and ammonia from caecal and rumen pouches was undertaken in anaesthetised sheep. Test solutions varying in pH, osmolarity, lactic acid concentration, and with fixed concentrations of VFAs, ammonia and glucose (100 mmol/L, 7 mmol/L and 4 mmol/L, respectively) were introduced into clean, surgically sealed pouches. Studies were undertaken in nine sheep, each with two pouches in the caecum and one in the rumen. Samples were taken at 10-minute intervals for 50 minutes to determine rates of absorption. On the other hand, the buffering capacities of caecal and rumen digesta of sheep fed oaten chaff and pasture and fasted were determined by titration with lactic, acetic and hydrochloric acids. On the basis of integrating the experimental data in this study and incorporating this with information already available in the literature, a computer modelling approach was developed using the program STELLA II to study acid production and absorption, and to predict pH during fermentation.

The experimental results showed that neither L-lactic acid nor D-lactic acid was absorbed from the caecal or rumen pouch and that there was a slight increase in both isomers of lactic acid (0.39%/min L-lactic acid and 0.24%/min D-lactic acid averaged for the caecum and the rumen). This increment in lactic acid was presumably due to conversion of propionic acid and tissue metabolism during the experiment. The rate of increase per unit area in the caecum ($0.06 \mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$) was much greater than that in the rumen ($0.015 \mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$) based on the average of L- and D-lactic acid. The absorption rates of acetic, propionic and butyric acids from the caecum (0.49, 0.17 and $0.03 \mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$, respectively) and from the rumen (0.48, 0.16 and $0.08 \mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$, respectively) were very similar based on absorptive surface area of the pouches. A decrease in pH, osmotic pressure or the concentration of lactic acid resulted in

corresponding increases in the absorption of VFAs. The mean absorption rate of ammonia from caecal pouches ($0.60 \mu\text{gN}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$) was 2.5 times greater than that from rumen pouches ($0.24 \mu\text{gN}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$) ($P < 0.0001$). The mean absorption rate of ammonia for the caecal and rumen pouches was about 2.6 times higher at pH 6.5 ($0.99\%/ \text{min}$) than that at pH 4.5 ($0.38\%/ \text{min}$) ($P < 0.0001$) at the same osmolarity and lactic acid concentration. Glucose was apparently absorbed from rumen pouches ($0.18 \mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$), but not from caecal pouches ($-0.01 \mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$).

Both rumen and caecal digesta had maximal buffering capacity between pH 6.0 and 6.5. The buffering capacity of caecal digesta was nearly double ($P < 0.001$) that of rumen digesta. The rumen digesta from sheep fed oaten chaff had a buffering capacity 21% higher ($P < 0.05$) than that of sheep grazing green pasture. This was reduced ($P < 0.05$) by one-third following ruminal infusions of glucose, lactic or acetic acid to induce acidosis. Oaten chaff and green pasture did not significantly affect the buffering capacities of rumen and caecal digesta. However, the buffering capacities of rumen and caecal digesta from pasture-fed sheep that had been fasted for 24 hours were significantly greater ($P < 0.001$) than those from sheep that had not been fasted (62 and 18%, respectively). The buffering capacity determined using HCl was always less than that for lactic or acetic acid. This may be due to the lower pKa for HCl and the fact that there is no evidence that HCl undergoes inter-conversion through fermentation that the organic acids may undergo. The addition of carbonate or phosphate buffer significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) the buffering capacities of rumen and caecal digesta. The sodium bicarbonate and sodium carbonate (NaHCO_3 and Na_2CO_3) system played a more effective buffering role than the sodium dihydrogen orthophosphate and disodium hydrogen orthophosphate (NaH_2PO_4 and Na_2HPO_4) system in the rumen digesta.

The computer model adequately predicted the experimental data and was relatively stable over the range of the values of the parameters tested. The model produced acceptable responses in terms of lactic acid, VFAs and pH. The model provided a mathematical representation of fermentation processes in the rumen and was able to predict the accumulation of lactic acid and VFAs, and the pH under a number of "directory" conditions. The results are very useful for investigating effective methods and their mechanisms for the prevention and treatment

of lactic acidosis. It appears that the rate of fermentation and the amount of substrate are important factors in terms of the development of fermentative acidosis.

Contents

	Page
Declaration	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Abstract	iii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xiii
Chapter 1 General Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Review of the Literature	5
2.1 The reticulo-rumen and the caecum in ruminants	5
2.2 Microbial fermentation of carbohydrates	12
2.2.1 Fermentative microbes	13
2.2.2 Fermentative process of carbohydrates and end-products	14
2.2.3 Rate of fermentation	17
2.3 Absorption	18
2.3.1 Absorption of VFAs	18
2.3.2 Absorption of lactic acid	20
2.3.3 Absorption of ammonia	22
2.3.4 Absorption of glucose	23
2.4 Buffering capacity	24
2.5 Lactic acidosis	26
2.6 Adverse effects of lactic acidosis on the animal	30
2.6.1 Biochemical effects	31
2.6.2 Physiological effects on the animal	32
2.6.3 Histopathological effects	33

2.6.4	Primary cause of adverse effects of lactic acidosis	34
2.7	Prevention and treatment of lactic acidosis	36
2.7.1	Gradual adaptation	37
2.7.2	Application of antibiotics to control lactic acidosis	38
2.7.2.1	Effects of antibiotics vary depending on antibiotics	38
2.7.2.2	Effects of antibiotics vary depending on dosage and animal species	40
2.7.2.3	Effects of antibiotics vary between <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> experiments	41
2.7.3	Use of probiotics	41
2.7.4	Genetic engineering of rumen microbes	42
2.7.5	Use of buffers and other chemicals	43
2.7.5.1	Carbonate	44
2.7.5.2	Bicarbonate	44
2.7.5.3	Rumen 8 [®] and Alkaten [®]	46
2.7.5.4	Carbicarb and dehydrate alfalfa	46
2.7.5.5	Slaframine and sodium bentonite	47
2.7.5.6	Sodium chloride	47
2.7.5.7	Calcium hydroxide and magnesium hydroxide	47
2.7.6	Other methods	48
2.8	Summary	48

Chapter 3 No Lactic Acid Is Absorbed from the Caecum and Rumen of Sheep 50

3.1	Introduction	50
3.2	Materials and Methods	51
3.2.1	Animals and diet	51
3.2.2	Experimental design and procedures	52
3.2.3	Assay and measurement	53
3.2.4	Calculation of absorption rates and statistical methods	54
3.3	Results	55
3.4	Discussion	64

Chapter 4	The Buffering Capacity of Caecal Digesta Exceeds That of Rumen Digesta from Sheep Fed Pasture or Roughage Diets	68
4.1	Introduction	68
4.2	Materials and Methods	69
4.2.1	Experimental design	69
4.2.2	Measurement of buffering capacity	71
4.2.3	Statistical methods	71
4.3	Results	71
4.4	Discussion	79
Chapter 5	A Model of Rumen Fermentation to Predict Lactic Acid Concentration	82
5.1	Introduction	82
5.2	The model	83
5.2.1	Overall structure and notation	83
5.2.2	Nutrition input	86
5.2.3	Acid conversion, absorption and outflow	89
5.2.3.1	Acid conversion	89
5.2.3.2	Acid absorption	92
5.2.3.3	Acid outflow	93
5.2.4	Regulation	93
5.2.5	Summary of model	97
5.3	Results	97
5.3.1	Sensitivity and behavioural analyses	97
5.3.2	Comparisons with experimental data	101
5.3.3	Simulated experiments of lactic acid production and its control	102
5.4	Discussion	106
5.4.1	Sensitivity and behavioural analyses	106
5.4.2	Features of the model	108

5.4.3 Area in need of development	111
Chapter 6 General Discussion and Conclusion	112
Bibliography	120
Appendix: Publications Arising from This Thesis	150

List of Figures

		Page
Figure 2-1	Sequence of digestion in ruminants.	5
Figure 2-2	The digestive tract of sheep, illustrating the fermentation compartments of the reticulo-rumen, the caecum, and the colon connected by the small intestine	6
Figure 2-3	Cross section of the fully developed rumen wall depicting the types of cells and layers present.	9
Figure 2-4	Filiform atrium papillae of a one-day-old goat kid.	10
Figure 2-5	Microstructure of an absorptive ruminal papilla.	11
Figure 2-6	Fermentation of carbohydrate in the rumen.	16
Figure 2-7	The relationship of the fermentative rate and the amount of microbial protein produced per unit of feed fermented in the rumen.	18
Figure 2-8	Hypothetical model of VFAs transport across the rumen epithelia.	20
Figure 2-9	Trans-cellular transport of D-glucose across the enterocyte.	24
Figure 2-10	An outline of adverse effects of lactic acidosis.	30
Figure 2-11	A beef liver with two large abscesses on the surface.	32
Figure 2-12	Comparison of normal (A) and abnormal (B) rumen papillae (14.2 - 16.5 x) of calves 4 - 6 weeks of age.	34
Figure 2-13	Schematic representation of how antibiotic inhibits formation of lactic acid.	38
Figure 3-1	Remaining percentages of dose L- and D-lactic acid in the caecum and rumen over time within 50 minute absorption period in the test solutions with pH 5.0, 420 mOsmol/kg, and 25 mmol/L lactic acid.	56
Figure 3-2	Percentages of dose remaining in the caecum and rumen of acetic, propionic, butyric and lactic acids, glucose and ammonia over time within 50 minute absorption period in the test solutions with pH 5.0, 420 mOsmol/kg, and 25 mmol/L lactic acid.	57
Figure 3-3	Effects of pH on the mean absorption rate of acetic, propionic, butyric and lactic acids, glucose and	

	ammonia within 50 minute absorption period in the test solutions with 280 mOsmol/kg and 25 mmol/L lactic acid.	59
Figure 3-4	Effects of osmotic pressure on the mean absorption rate of acetic, propionic, butyric and lactic acids, glucose and ammonia in the caecum and rumen of sheep within 50 minute absorption period in the test solutions with pH 4.5 and 25 mmol/L lactic acid.	60
Figure 3-5	Effects of lactic acid concentration on the mean absorption rate of acetic, propionic, butyric and lactic acids, glucose and ammonia in the caecum and rumen of sheep within 50 minute absorption period in the test solutions with pH 5.0 and 420 mOsmol/kg.	61
Figure 4-1	Buffering capacity of rumen and caecal digesta for sheep grazing green pasture.	73
Figure 4-2	Effect of pH on buffering capacity of caecal digesta from sheep grazing green pasture.	74
Figure 4-3	Relationships between pH and the amount of HCl added to 50 mL of rumen digesta taken from the sheep recovering from lactic acid and mixed with additional 0 and 50 mmol/L of NaHCO ₃ .	76
Figure 4-4	Comparison of mean buffering capacities of rumen digesta for the sheep recovering from acidosis with additional NaHCO ₃ /Na ₂ CO ₃ and NaH ₂ PO ₄ /Na ₂ HPO ₄ .	78
Figure 5-1	Diagrammatic representation of the model for the rumen fermentation of sheep.	84
Figure 5-2	The rate of "intake" of the grain supplement of 300 g/d and the hay ration of 700 g/d entered the rumen of sheep for the basic modelling.	87
Figure 5-3	The effect of pH on the hay ferment-ability (HFpH) in the rumen of sheep.	88
Figure 5-4	The relationship between the proportion of lactic acid production (LAR) and pH in the rumen of sheep.	90
Figure 5-5	The relationship between the percentage of lactic acid converted to VFAs (LAP%) and pH.	92
Figure 5-6	The relationship between pH and total concentration of acids (mmol/L).	95

Figure 5-7	The relationship between osmotic pressure (OP) and total concentration of acids (mmol/L).	95
Figure 5-8	The relationship between VFAs absorption rate 1 (AR1) and pH.	96
Figure 5-9	The relationship between VFAs absorption rate 2 (AR2) and osmotic pressure (OP).	96
Figure 5-10	Effects of varying potential ferment-ability of grain (PFG) on model behaviour.	99
Figure 5-11	Effects of varying potential ferment-ability of hay (PFH) on model behaviour.	100
Figure 5-12	Comparisons of model predictions with experimental observations in the rumen after feeding sheep on a diet of 320 g chaff and 480 g grain.	101
Figure 5-13	Effects of buffer (NaHCO_3) on model behaviour.	103
Figure 5-14	Comparison of the different ways of controlling lactic acidosis on model behaviour.	105

List of Tables

	Page
Table 2-1 Volume of various parts of the digestive tract of sheep and cattle expressed as a percentage (%) of total body weight.	7
Table 2-2 Fermentative capacity expressed as percentage (%) of the total digestive tract with active fermentative digestion for a range of different animals.	8
Table 2-3 Some average concentrations of lactic acid in different parts of the gut and blood of ruminants.	27
Table 2-4 Feeds reported to produce lactic acid.	29
Table 2-5 Some buffers and other chemicals used in treating acidosis.	44
Table 3-1 Mean absorption rates (%/min) of initial concentration of VFAs, lactic acid, glucose and ammonia in caecal and rumen pouches of sheep per minute absorption period in the test solutions with pH 5.0, 420 mOsmol/kg, and lactic acid 25 mmol/L.	62
Table 3-2 Mean absorption rates ($\mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$ and $\mu\text{gN}/\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{min}$ for NH_3) of VFAs, lactic acid, glucose and ammonia per minute in caecal and rumen pouches of sheep in 50 minute absorption period in the test solutions with pH 5.0, 420 mOsmol/kg and lactic acid 25 mmol/L.	63
Table 4-1 Mean buffering capacity (mmol/L) of caecal and rumen digesta from 4 groups of sheep.	74
Table 4-2 Mean buffering capacity (mmol/L) of caecal and rumen digesta of the sheep on different dietary regimes in 4 groups.	75
Table 4-3 Mean buffering capacity (mmol/L) of rumen digesta of sheep recovering from acidosis with various concentrations of different additional buffers.	77
Table 5-1 General notation used in the model.	85