# SOIL SULFUR POOLS UTILISED BY PLANTS AND MEASURED BY CHEMICAL EXTRACTANTS

#### ΒY

#### NANTHANA CHINOIM

B.Sc. (Agrict Iture) (Khon Kaen University),
M.Sc.(Kasetsart University)

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOC"OR OF PHILOSOPHY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND JANUARY 1994

## **PREFACE**

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Nanthana Chinoim

### **ABSTRACT**

Soil sulfur (S) testing has met with variable success and there is no agreement as to which extractant best defines the S suppling capacity of soils. This study aimed to develop a soil S test that includes a measure of the sulfate in solution, an estimate of adsorbed sulfate which is available for plant uptake and an estimate of potentially mineralisable organic S which is available for plant uptake. Three separate experiments were undertaken in which a range of extractants were evaluated to predict S status and to investigate the sources of S for plants and the soil pools extracted by the various extractants.

The first series of experiments consisted of a field calibration study, a field verification study, and a pot study using  $^{35}$ S labelled soil. The calibration study was undertaken on soil samples taken from the 0-7.5 cm horizon of soils from 18 field trials on the Northwest Slopes of New South Wales. The extractants used were water 0.01 M monocalcium phosphate (MCP), 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.25 M KCl heated for 3 h at 100, 80, 40 or 25°C (KCl-100, -80, -40, -25), and at 25°C for 16 h (KCl-16hr). The ability of eight soil S tests to estimate S availability was examined. The concentration of S extracted increased in the order KCl-25°C < MCP = KCl-40 = KCl-16 hr <  $\rm H_2O$  < KCl-80°C < KCl-100°C < NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The 0.25 M KCl heated at 40°C (KCl-40) extraction method gave the best relationship between extractable S and the % maximum dry matter yield of pasture with an  $\it r^2$  value of 0.73. A  $\it r^2$  value of onl  $\it r$  0.47 was recorded with the MCP extract.

The verification study was undertaken on soil samples from the 30 pasture trials throughout southern Australia. These soil samples were collected at 0-7.5 cm depth for the sites within New South Wales and 0-10 cm for the other States. The MCP and KCl-40 methods were used in the verification study with the KCl-40 extractant remaining superior.

In the pot study, a <sup>35</sup>S labelled Aquic Haplustalf soil was used in a pot experiment with rice. The use of <sup>35</sup>S allowed calculation of the specific activity (SA) of the soil S pools and the plant S. The ratio of the SA of the plant to the SA of the soil extractants, termed the specific activity ratio (SAR), was used to indicate whether the plant was accessing S from similar pool/s as removed by the extractants. Six extraction methods were evaluated in this study. These were water, MCP, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, KCI-100, KCI-80 and KCI-40. The fraction of S removed by the various extractants, from unfertilised and fertilised soil, was evaluated by measuring the amount of HI-reducible S removed by the extractants. The lowest concentration of S was found in the H<sub>2</sub>O and MCP extracts, whilst the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> extractant had the highest S concentration. The amount of S removed from the HI-S fraction was highest in the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> extract. The amount of S removed from the HI-reducible S did not differ significantly between the water KCI-40 and MCP extractants in either the unfertilised or

fertilised soils. The data on specific activity ratio (SAR), in both non-flooded and flooded soils, showed that the lowest SAR value was recorded in the KCI-40 treatment and this was closest to 1, indicating that the KCI-40 extract and the plant were drawing S from similar pools.

A second experiment was conducted in a glasshouse with ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). The experiment was a factorial design consisting of 2 soils (an Aquic Haplustalf of granitic origin and an Ultic Haplustalf of basaltic origin) and 2 rates of S fertiliser (0 and 17.7 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, equivalent to 0 and 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>), with 3 replicates. The reverse dilution <sup>35</sup>S labelling technique was used. Ryegrass was harvested at 50 days and the dry matter yield and S content of the ryegrass tops and roots were measured. Soil samples from the entire pot were analysed for S and <sup>35</sup>S. The four test extractants were MCP, KCI-40, KCI-100 and Bray-1. Soils were first extracted with one of the four extractants, centrifuged and the supernatant was filtered, and S in the supernatant was measured by ICP. A 10 mL sample of each supernatant was treated with activated charcoal, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>S determined by turbidimetry. The soil remaining after the first extraction was used to determine the HI-S remaining and also re-extracted with MCP; the S contained in these fractions was determined by ICP-AES. This sequence of extractions allowed the estimation of the following soil S pools: a) SO<sub>4</sub>-S, b) HI-reducible S, c) the loss of HI-S, d) Soluble organic S, e) Mineralised sulfate and f) Original sulfate.

The concentration of S in the various extractants, as measured by ICP and turbidimetry increased in the order Bray<MCP<KCI-40<KCI-100 in the Uralla granitic soil. By contrast, the concentration of S in these same pools increased in the order Bray<KCI-40<MCP<KCI-100 in the Walcha basaltic soil. A difference in the S concentration between the MCP and KCI-40 extracts was recorded between the two soils due to the relative size of the adsorbed S and ester-S pools in these soils. In the Uralla soil, which had a lower capacity to adsorb sulfate than the Walcha soil, the KCI-40 extract removed the solution and adsorbed sulfate pool and some portion of labile HI-reducible S pool, while the MCP extracted the soil solution and adsorbed sulfate pool and a lower proportion of the labile HI-S pool than from the Walcha soil. The recovery of <sup>35</sup>S in these fractions for these two extracts confirmed this result.

Most of the <sup>35</sup>S added was recovered in the sulfate pool, with less that 10% of the <sup>35</sup>S recovered in the non-sulfate pool. Data on the specific activity (SA) of the ICP-S fraction of the four test extractants showed that the highest SA was recorded in the sulfate pool in both soils, indicating that the <sup>35</sup>S in the sulfate pool was more highly labelled than the <sup>35</sup>S in the other pools. The data on the specific activity ratio (SAR) showed that the SAR was lower in the sulfate pool than that in the other pools in both soils and S treatments prior to planting. Within the ICP-S and sulfate pools, the KCI-40 extract had the SAR closest to 1 in the treatment with no added S. This again indicated that the KCI-40 was removing S from similar pools to the plant and that the plant

was utilising S from the soil solution and adsorbed sulfate pools and some portion of the highly labile HI-S pool. The SAR of the ICP-S and sulfate pools in the +S treatment was greater than 1 in all extractants prior to planting in both the Uralla and Walcha soils. None of the extractants were capable of assessing the soil S pools used by the plant in the +S treatment because of the greater dilution of <sup>35</sup>S resulting from the addition of S fertiliser.

The third experiment examined the ability of S extractants to predict S supply to crops with differing S demand rates and growth periods and was conducted at the same time as the ryegrass experiment. Radish (Raphanus sativus I..) and corn (Zea may L.) were grown and harvested at 23 days and 50 days respectively. The results of this experiment showed that the application of S fertiliser increased the yield, Suptake and Sconcentration of radish and corn in both the Uralla and Walcha soils. The amount of S extracted by the extractants followed a similar pattern to that in the ryegrass experiment. Data on the specific activity ratio (SAR) showed that all extractants had a higher SAR in the +S than in the -S treatment in both crops and soils before planting, due to the dilution of 35S in this pool by sulfate from the S fertiliser. Conversely, the SAR was higher for all extractants in the -S than in the +S treatment after cropping. The SAR for the KCl-40 extract determined before planting was closest to 1 in both crops in the -S treatment in both soils and generally closest to 1 in the +S treatmer t after cropping which confirmed the earlier finding. The KCI-40 extraction was observed to underestimate the size of the available S pool taken up by radish in the Walcha soil. In contrast, it tended to overestimate the S pool taken up by ryegrass in the Uralla soil. The KCI-100 extract also had a high correlation between the estimated amount of S lost from the extracted pools and S uptake by all crops. However, the KCI-100 extract generally overestimated S uptake by all crops. The MCP and Bray extracts had a high SAR (higher than 1) and a low correlation between the estimated amount of S lost from the soil S pools and S uptake by all crops. These two extractants tended to underestimate S supply. This experiment showed that the KCI-40 extract generally performed well for all crops and soils tested.

The series of experiments have demonstrated that by understanding the pool size and turnover rates of soil S pools it has been possible to develop an appropriate soil extractant to predict soil S supply.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I gratefully acknowledge Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute, Kasetsart University, the Ministry of University Affairs and the Office of the Civil Service Commission, Thailand for granting me long term study leave. Funding for the research came from the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) and the University of New England (UNE), through a UNE Research Scholarship.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisors Associate Professor Graeme Blair and Dr. Rod Lefroy for their continuous guidance, suggestions and encouragement during this study and their valuable comments on the preparation of this thesis. I am indebted to them, without their assistance and support this thesis would not have been possible.

I also thank my colleagues in the Central Laboratories and Greenhouse Complexes, Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute, Kamphaengsaen Campus, who shared the work load during my long leave of absence.

I am particularly grateful to Mrs Leanne Lisle, Miss Judy Gaudron, Mr Ian Cowan, Mrs Vanessa Hunter, and Miss Sarah Williams for their technical assistance in the laboratory, and Mr Mick Faint and Mr Gary Cluley for their assistance in the glasshouse.

I would like to thank Mr Don Casson and Mr Tom Moore for the way in which they administrated my Ph.D studies and in particular for their assistance when applying for the UNE Research Scholarship.

I would also like to thank Mrs Joan Hammond for final preparation of the thesis, Mr Duncan Mackay for preparing the graphs, Dr. Duangkamon Chotikapanich, Dr. Laddawan Kunnoot, Dr. Peter Lockwood, Mr Anthony Cassanova, Mrs Ildi Hall, Mr Walter Redlich, Mr Allan Mitchell, Mrs Sally Carter, and Dr. Ray Till, for their assistance. My thanks are also extended to all those postgraduate students who helped during my study. I would like to thank all staff of the Department of Agronomy and Soil Science, for their warm friendship and support.

I would particularly like to thank Dr. Sumpan and Dr. Waree Chaitep for their sincere assistance and encouragement to me, and Dr. Geoffrey Anderson for his valuable suggestions.

I would like to express my love and special thanks to my father and mother, mother-in-law, brother-in-law, sisters-in-law, nieces, nept ews and cousins for their love, support, encouragement.

Finally, my love and gratitude to my husband, Sommart and my sons Archirapol and Nattapol for their patience, understanding and support throughout my study.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PREFACE	
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	X
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
INTRODUCTION	
CHAPTER 2	
	4
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Role and requirement of S in plant nutrition	
2.2.1 Role of S in plant nutrition	
2.2.2 Sulfur requirement of plants	
2.3 Sulfur pools and transformation in the soil	
2.3.1 Total sulfur pools	15
2.3.2 The inorganic sulfur pool	18
a) Introduction	18
b) Sulfate	18
2.3.3 The organic sulfur pool	23
2.3.4 Sulfur transformations in soils	28
a) Mineralisation	29
b) Immobilisation	
c) Oxidation	
d) Reduction	
2.4 Soil testing for S status	
2.4.1 The evolution of soil S testing	
2.4.2 Problem encountered in soil S testing	
2.4.3 Relationship between extracted soil S and plant growth or S	
uptake	50
a) Relationship between % maximum yield or sulfur uptake and	50
soil test	50
b) Selection of a value above which little or no response is likely	50
	<b>50</b>
(critical value)	
2.6 Conclusion	54
ALLA DIED A	
CHAPTER 3	
DEVELOPMENT OF A SOIL SULFUR TEST FOR CROPS AND PASTURES	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Materials and Methods	
3.2.1 Field calibration and verification	
a) Calibration soils	
b) Verification soils	57
c) Extraction procedures	59
d) Statistical Analysis	60
3.2.2 Pot studies	61
a) Soil and experimental procedures	
b) Extraction procedures	
c) Measurement of <sup>35</sup> S	
d) Statistical analysis	
a) Stational analysis	

3.3 Results	
3.3.1 Field calibration	64
3.3.2 Verification study	67
3.3.3 Pot study	
a) Sources of S extracted	
b) Relationship between S removed by extractants and plants	
3.4 Discussion	
3.4.1 Comparison of extractants	
3.4.2 Source of S remov et by extractants and plants	/3
OHARTER 4	
CHAPTER 4	
SOURCES OF SULFUR TAKEN UP BY RYEGRASS AND MEASURED BY	
CHEMICAL EXTRACTANTS	
4.1 Introduction	75
4.2 Materials and Methods	75
4.2.1 Experimental design	75
4.2.2 Soil	
4.2.3 35S labelling and basal nutrients.	
4.2.4 Pot management	
4.2.5 Plant and soil analysis.	
•	
a) Soil S fractionation	
b) Determination of S	
c) Measurement of <sup>35</sup> S	
4.2.6 Statistical Analysis	
4.3 Results	
4.3.1 Yield	
4.3.2 Total S uptake and plant S concentration	83
4.3.3 The specific activity (SA) of the plants	84
4.3.4 Major soil S pools	84
a) Total S	
b) HI-S	85
4.3.5 Components of soi S pools	
a) Uralla granite soil, -S reatment	
i) Components of extracted S	
ii) Specific Activi y (SA)	
iii) Specific activity ratio (SAR)	
b) Uralla granite soil, +S treatment	
c) Walcha basalt soil,-S reatment	
i) Components of extracted S	
, ,	
ii) Specific activity (SA)	
iii) The specific activity ratio (SAR)	
d) Walcha basalt soil, +5 treatment	
4.4 Discussion	
4.4.1 Plant	
4.4.2 Soil	
a) Total S	
b) HI-reducible S	
c) The components of extracted S	104
4.5 Summary	109
CHAPTER 5	
THE EFFECT OF CROP DURATION AND SOIL TYPE ON EXTRACTABLE S	112
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Materials and Methods	
5.2.1 Experimental procedures	
5.2.2 Statistical Analysis	
J.Z.Z Otalislical Atlalysis	113

5.3 Results		114
5.3.1 Yie	eld	114
a	a) Radish	114
t	o) Corn	114
	tal S content and S concentration (%S) in plant	
a	a) Radish	115
	o) Corn	
	ecific radioactivity (SA) of the plants	
a	a) Radish	115
b	o) Corn	116
	il S: Uralla granite soil, -S treatment	
	a) S concentration in the extractants and fractions	
	b) The recovery of <sup>35</sup> S in the extractants and fractions	
	c) The specific activity (SA) of the extractants and fractions	
5.3.5 So	il S: Uralla granite soil, +S treatment	121
	a) S concentration in the extractants and fractions	
	b) The recovery of <sup>35</sup> S in the extractants and fractions	
	c) The specific activity (SA) in the extractants and fractions	
	il S: Walcha basalt soil -S treatment	
	a) S concentration in the extractants and fractions	
	b) The recovery of <sup>35</sup> S in the extractants and fractions	
	c) The specific activity (SA) in the extractants and fractions	
	il S: Walcha basalt soil +S treatment	
ć	a) The S concentration of the extractants and fractions	131
	b) The recovery of <sup>35</sup> S in the extractants and fractions	
	c) The specific activity (SA) in the extractants and fractions	134
	e relationship between the estimated amount of S lost from the	
so	il pools and S uptake	136
5.3.9 Th	e relationship between S pools sampled by the extractants and	
	ant uptake	
	eld	139
	tal S uptake, S concentration and specific activity (SA) of the	
	ant	
	removed by the extractants	140
	elationship between S extracted by the extractants and plant	
up	take	142
REFERENCES		146
		,
APPENDICES		170

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	The S content of selected crops at harvest	
Table 2.2	The average S concentration in some plant parts	14
Table 2.3	The total S concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) in soils from different region of the	
	world	15
Table 2.4	Effect of parent mater al, weathering, and pasture improvement on	
	C:N:S, C:S, and N:S ratios of soils	16
Table 2.5	Concentration of organic and inorganic S (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) in soils from	
	various locations	17
Table 2.6	The relationship between soil sulfur extraction technique and yield or	
	sulfur content expressed by coefficient of determination $(r^2)$ for field	
	experiments	51
Table 2.7	The relationship between soil S extraction technique and yield or S	
	content expressed by coefficient of determination $(r^2)$ for glasshouse	
	experiments	52
Table 2.8	The critical levels of extractable S of different extractants for pot and	
	field study	53
Table 3.1	Details of 18 pasture soils used in the field calibration study	
Table 3.2	Details of 30 pasture soils used in the verification study	
Table 3.3	Chemical characteristics of the soil used in the pot study	
Table 3.4	Sulfur extracted by the various techniques (μg S g-1 soil) in 18 pasture	
	soils from northern New South Wales.	64
Table 3.5	Coefficient of determir ation $(r^2)$ for the relationship between	0 .
14010 0.0	extractable sulfur and % of maximum yield in 18 pasture soils from	
	northern New South Wales.	65
Table 3.6	Coefficient of determir ation $(r^2)$ for the relationship between S	
Table 0.0	extracted by the KCI-40 and MCP methods and % maximum yield and	
	the soil S test value at 90 % maximum yield in calibration (18 pasture	
	soils) and verification (30 pasture soils) studies	68
Table 3.7	S in extract (µg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil) and % S of removed from HI fraction in	
Table 5.7	unfertilised and fertilised, non-flooded soil	60
Table 3.8	Specific activity ratio (SAR) from rice grown in non-flooded and	09
Table 5.6	flooded soils.	60
Table 4.1	Soil characteristics of Uralla and Walcha.	
Table 4.1	Basal nutrients applied to the pots.	
		70
Table 4.3	Dry matter yield (g pot 1), S concentration (%), S content (mg pot 1),	
	and the specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) of ryegrass grown in the	00
Table 4.4	Uralla soil	83
Table 4.4	Dry matter yield (g pot 1), S concentration (%), S content (mg pot 1),	
	and the specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) of ryegrass grown in the	0.4
T	Walcha soil	84
Table 4.5	The total S pool concentration (μg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil), HI-S pool (μg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	
	and the specific activity (KBq mg S-1) in the Uralla soil, before planting	
	and after cropping	85
Table 4.6	The total S concentration ( $\mu g \ S \ g^{-1} \ soil$ ), HI-S pool ( $\mu g \ S \ g^{-1} \ soil$ ) and	
	the specific activity (SA) (KBq rng S-1) in the Walcha soil, before and	
	after cropping	85
Table 4.7	S concentration ( $\mu g S g^{-1}$ ) in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment, ryegrass be ore planting, Uralla soil	86
Table 4.8	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S recovered in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment, before plant ng, Uralla soil	87
Table 4.9	The specific activity (K 3q mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) by a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment, ryegrass be ore planting, Uralla soil	88
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 4.10	The concentration of ICP-S and HI-S remaining after extraction ( $\mu g$ S	
	g <sup>-1</sup> soil) and the specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) of extractants in	
	the -S Uralla soil before planting and after cropping	90
Table 4.11	The specific activity ratios (SAR) in components of a range of	
	extractants in the -S Uralla soil before planting	91
Table 4.12	The concentration of ICP-S and HI-S remaining ( $\mu g \ S \ g^{-1}$ soil) and the	
	specific activity (KBq mg S-1) in a range of extractants in the +S Uralla	
	soil, before planting and after cropping	93
Table 4.13	S concentration (µg S g-1) in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment, before planting, Walcha soil	94
Table 4.14	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S recovered in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment, before planting, Walcha soil	95
Table 4.15	The specific activity (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment, before planting, Walcha soil	95
Table 4.16	The concentration of ICP-S and HI-S remaining (μg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil) and the	
	specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S-1) in a range of extractants in the -S	
	Walcha soil before and after cropping.	98
Table 4.17	The specific activity ratios (SAR) in a range of extractants in the -S	
	Walcha soil before planting	99
Table 4.18	The concentration of ICP-S and HI-S remaining (μg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil) and the	
	specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the +S	
	Walcha soil before planting and after cropping	101
Table 5.1	Dry matter yield (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ), S concentration (%S), S content (mg S pot <sup>-1</sup>	
	1), and specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S-1) of radish grown in the Uralla	
	granite and Walcha basalt soils	114
Table 5.2	Dry matter yield (g pot <sup>-1</sup> ), S concentration (%S), S content (mg S pot <sup>-1</sup>	
	1) and the specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S-1) of corn grown in the	
	Uralla granite and Walcha basalt soils	115
Table 5.3	S concentration (mg S g <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment, radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Uralla	
T 1 1	soil	117
Table 5.4	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S recovered in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment Uralla soil, radish and corn, before planting and after	446
Table C.C	cropping.	118
Table 5.5	The specific activities (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the -S	
	treatment Uralla soil, radish and corn, before planting and after	100
Table 5.6	cropping	120
Table 5.6		
	treatment, radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Uralla	122
Table 5.7	soil	122
Table 5.7	treatment, radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Uralla	
	soil	123
Table 5.8	The specific activity (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the +S	120
Table 5.0	treatment, radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Uralla	
	soil	125
Table 5.9	S concentration (μg S g <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the -S	
14515 0.5	treatment, radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Walcha	
	soil	127
Table 5.10	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S recovered in a range of extractants in the -S	12/
14010 0.10	treatment, radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Walcha	
	soil	128
Table 5.11	The specific activity (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the -S	
145.0 0.17	treatment radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Walcha	
	soil	130

Table 5.12	S concentration (μg S g <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the +S, radish	101
Table 5.13	and corn, before plan ing and after cropping, Walcha soil	131
	Soil	133
Table 5.14	The specific activity (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in a range of extractants in the +S treatment, radish and corn, before planting and after cropping, Walcha soil	135
Table 5.15	Coefficient of determination $(r^2)$ for the relationship between S uptake (Y) and the estimated amount of S lost from the soil pool (S before planting - S after cropping) (X)	136
Table 5.16	The specific activity ratios (SAR) (SA in the plant/SA in the extractant) by the four test extractants in the -S and +S treatment, before planting and after cropping, Uralla soil and Walcha soil	

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.1	Summary of the metabolism of sulfur in plants	9
Figure 2.2	Pathways for the synthesis of the essential sulfur compounds	10
Figure 2.3	Flow sheet of the fractionation of soil sulfur.	25
Figure 3.1	Relationship between % maximum dry matter yield of pasture and S	
_	extracted by a) water, b) MCP, c) KCl-100°C, d) KCl-80°C, e) KCl-40°C, f)	
	KCI-25°C, g) KCI shaking for 16 h at 25°C and h) NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	66
Figure 3.2	The relationship between % maximum dry matter yield of 30 pasture sites	
_	and S extracted by the KCI-40 and MCP methods.	67
Figure 4.1	Flow diagram for the fractionation of soil sulfur.	80
Figure 4.2	S fractions in a range of extractants in the -S treatment Uralla soil, before	
-	planting	89
Figure 4.3	The S fractions in a range of extractants in the +S treatment Uralla soil,	
	before planting	92
Figure 4.4	S fractions in a range of extractants in the -S treatment Walcha soil, before	
	planting	97
Figure 4.5	S fractions in a range of extractants in the +S treatment Walcha soil, before	
-	planting.	100
Figure 5.1	Relationship between S uptake and a) the MCP-S loss during cropping b)	
	the KCI-40 loss during cropping c) KCI-100 loss during cropping and d) Bray-	
	S loss during cropping.	137

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 4.1	The specific activity ratio (SAR) in the -S treatment, ryegrass after	
	cropping, Uralla soil.	170
Appendix 4.2	The S concentration ( $\lg S g^{-1}$ soil) in the four test extractants in the	
	+S treatment, ryegrass before planting, Uralla soil	170
Appendix 4.3	The percentage of 355 in the four test extractants in the +S treatment,	
	ryegrass before planting, Uralla soil	171
Appendix 4.4	The specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in the four test extractants in	
	the +S treatment, ryegrass before planting, Uralla soil	171
Appendix 4.5	The specific activity ratios (SAR) in the +S treatment, ryegrass before	
	planting, Uralla soil.	172
Appendix 4.6	The specific activity ratios (SAR) in the +S treatment, ryegrass after	
· ·	cropping, Uralla soil.	172
Appendix 4.7	The specific activity ratios (SAR) in the -S treatment, ryegrass after	
Appoilant 1.7	cropping, Walcha soil	173
Appendix 4.8	The S concentration (µg S g <sup>-1</sup> ) in the four test extractants in the +S	170
Appendix 4.0	treatment, ryegrass before planting, Walcha soil	170
Annandiy 4.0		1/3
Appendix 4.9	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S in the four test extractants in the +S treatment,	474
Ammondia 4.40	ryegrass before planting, Walcha soil	1/4
Appendix 4.10	The specific activity (\$A) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in the four test extractants in	474
A 11 A 4 4	the +S treatment, rye(rass before planting, Walcha soil	1/4
Appendix 4.11	The specific activity ratios (SAR) in the +S treatment, ryegrass before	
	planting, Walcha soil.	175
Appendix 4.12	The specific activity ratios (SAR) in the +S treatment, ryegrass after	
	cropping, Walcha soil	175
Appendix 4.13	The S concentration ( $\mu g S g^{-1}$ soil) in the four test extractants in the -S	
	treatment, ryegrass after cropping, Uralla soil	176
Appendix 4.14	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S in the four test extractants in the -S treatment,	
	ryegrass after cropping, Uralla soil	176
Appendix 4.15	The specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in the four test extractants in	
• •	the -S treatment, ryeg ass after cropping, Uralla soil	177
Appendix 4.16	The S concentration (µg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil) in the four test extractants in the	
	+S treatment, ryegras 3 after cropping, Uralla soil	177
Appendix 4.17	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S in the four test extractants in the +S treatment,	177
прропаіх 4.17	ryegrass after croppin 3, Uralla soil	179
Appendix 4.18	The specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S <sup>-1</sup> ) in the four test extractants in	170
Appendix 4.10	the +S treatment, ryeç rass after cropping, Uralla soil	170
Appendix 4.19		170
Appendix 4.19	The S concentration (µg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil) in the four test extractants in the -S	470
Ammondia 4.00	treatment, ryegrass after cropping, Walcha soil	179
Appendix 4.20	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S in the four test extractants in the -S treatment,	470
	ryegrass after croppin j, Walcha soil.	1/9
Appendix 4.21	The specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S-1) in the four test extractants in	
	the -S treatment, ryeg ass after cropping, Walcha soil.	180
Appendix 4.22	The S concentration ( $\mu g S g^{-1}$ soil) in the four test extractants in the	
	+S treatment, ryegras 3 after cropping, Walcha soil	180
Appendix 4.23	The percentage of <sup>35</sup> S in the four test extractants in the +S treatment,	
	ryegrass after cropping, Walcha soil.	181
Appendix 4.24	The specific activity (SA) (KBq mg S-1) in the four test extractants in	
• •	the +S treatment, ryecrass after cropping, Walcha soil.	181
Appendix 5.1	The total S and HI-reducible S fractions (µg S g <sup>-1</sup> soil) in the -S and	· · · · · · · · ·
- Pharman	+S treatments, radish, corn and ryegrass before planting and after	
	cropping, in the Uralla and Walcha soils	192
	Gropping, in the Oralia and wa cha 50115	10∠