

# **Production of Microbial Long Chain Fatty Acids in the Rumen of Defaunated and Refaunated Sheep**

**A thesis submitted to The University of New England  
for the degree of Master of Science in Agriculture**

**By**

**DAMRY**

**Department of Animal Science  
Faculty of the Sciences  
The University of New England  
Armidale, NSW 2351  
Australia**

**February 1997**

## Preface

The study presented in this thesis was completed by the author whilst a postgraduate student in the Department of Animal Science at the University of New England, Armidale, NSW, Australia. Any assistance received is acknowledged in the acknowledgements. All references cited are included in the bibliography. The work is otherwise original.

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

February, 1997

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of the author.

Damry

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the Australian Government, *i.e.* Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID) for providing a scholarship enabling me to undertake my study in Australia. The study was made possible through the provision of facilities by the Department of Animal Science, the University of New England, Armidale.

I would like to extend my profound gratitude and thanks to Dr. Roger S. Hegarty as my internal then external Supervisor, who patiently guided and helped me during the reported experiment and the preparation of this thesis. I would also like to sincerely express my deep gratitude and thanks to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. John V. Nolan for his support, guidance and understanding given during the period of my study in this University.

I would also like to thank Messrs. Frank M. Ball, Simon S. Stachiw, Mingan Choct and Mrs. Carol Quinn for their generous assistance in laboratory analysis.

My thanks also go to Dr. David Evans who helped me in proof-reading this thesis for grammatical correctness.

I am also indebted to my Pakistani colleague doing a Ph.D in this Department, Mr. Tahir Iqbal, who was involved in the experiment and was responsible for the analysis of Cr and ruminal ammonia reported.

Last, but not the least, I would like to thank my family for their support and patience during my study in Australia.

# List of Contents

Preface	i
Acknowledgements	ii
List of Contents	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
Summary	viii
 <b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	 <b>1</b>
 <b>Chapter 2 Literature Review</b>	
2.1 Protozoa	
2.1.1 Types present	3
2.1.2 Effects on fermentation	4
2.1.2.1 <i>Volatile fatty acids (VFA)</i>	4
2.1.2.2 <i>Methane</i>	5
2.1.3 Effects on microbial outflow	6
2.1.4 Productive responses to defaunation	7
2.2 Microbial Growth and Efficiency	
2.2.1 Measurement of microbial-protein synthesis	9
2.2.1.1 <i>Microbial markers</i>	10
2.2.1.2 <i>Total synthesis</i>	12
a <i>In vivo</i> measurement	12
b <i>In vitro</i> measurement	14
2.2.1.3 <i>Net synthesis</i>	14
2.2.2 Measurement of microbial efficiency	16
2.2.2.1 $Y_{ATP}$ , $Y_{substrates}$	16
2.2.2.2 <i>Factors limiting efficiency of microbial protein synthesis</i>	17
a Available nitrogen sources	18
b Available energy sources	20
c Dilution rate	21
d Maintenance energy	22
2.3 Metabolism of Fatty Acids	
2.3.1 Rumen modification of dietary fatty acids	23
2.3.2 Microbial incorporation of dietary fatty acids	25
2.3.3 <i>De novo</i> fatty acid synthesis by rumen microbes	26
2.3.3.1 <i>Pathways</i>	27

2.3.3.2	<i>Carbon source</i>	29
2.3.3.3	<i>Rate of synthesis</i>	31
a	Method of measurement	31
b	Published values	32
2.3.3.4	<i>Factors affecting fatty acid synthesis</i>	32
a	Dietary factors	32
b	Microbial factors	33
2.3.4	Metabolism of fatty acids by ruminants	34
2.3.4.1	<i>Process of absorption</i>	34
2.3.4.1	<i>Synthesis of odd/ranched long chain fatty acids</i>	35

## Chapter 3 Experimental Study

3.1	Materials	
3.1.1	Experimental animals	38
3.1.2	Diet	39
3.2	Methods	
3.2.1	Defaunation and refaunation procedures	40
3.2.2	Management of animals	40
3.2.3	Feeding	41
3.2.4	Administration of CrEE TA solution	41
3.2.4.1	<i>Intraruminal infusion of the solution</i>	41
3.2.4.2	<i>Intraruminal injection of the solution</i>	42
3.2.5	Intraruminal infusion of $1-^{14}\text{C}$ -labelled acetate	42
3.2.6	Sampling procedures	43
3.2.6.1	<i>Ruminal fluid</i>	43
3.2.6.2	<i>Abomasal digesta</i>	44
3.2.6.3	<i>Urine</i>	44
3.2.7	Counting of rumen protozoa	45
3.2.8	Laboratory analysis	45
3.2.8.1	<i>Dry matter and acid-insoluble ash</i>	45
3.2.8.2	<i>Chromium</i>	45
3.2.8.3	<i>Ruminal ammonia</i>	46
3.2.8.4	<i>Volatile fatty acids</i>	47
3.2.8.5	<i>Scintillation counting</i>	47
3.2.8.6	<i>Urinary allantoin</i>	47
3.2.8.7	<i>Long chain fatty acids</i>	48
a	Extraction	48
b	Titration	52
3.2.9	Mathematical procedures	54
3.2.9.1	<i>Calculations</i>	54
a	Kinetics of ruminal fluid	54
b	Production rate of VFA	54
c	Outflow of microbial cell flow based on	55

urinary allantoin excretion	
d Estimation of efficiency of cell production	56
e Calculation of abomasal digesta flow	56
3.2.9.2 <i>Statistical analysis</i>	56

## Chapter 4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Results	
4.1.1 Rumen environments and fermentation	57
4.1.2 Microbial cell production and efficiency	58
4.1.3 The flow of nutrients to abomasum	60
4.2 Discussion	
4.2.1 Rumen environments and fermentation	64
4.2.2 Purine flow and calculated microbial efficiency	66
4.2.2.1 <i>Effect of defaunation</i>	66
4.2.2.2 <i>Effect of methionine</i>	67
4.2.3 The abomasal flow of total LCFA	68
4.2.3.1 <i>Effect of defaunation</i>	69
4.2.3.2 <i>Effect of methionine</i>	70
4.2.4 The concentrations of total LCFA in digesta	72
4.2.5 The importance of microbial lipids to ruminants	73

## Chapter 5 General Discussion

5.1 General Discussion	75
5.2 Future Research	77
Bibliography	78

## List of Tables

Table 2.1	The effect of different efficiencies of microbial growth on the P/E ratio available from the rumen of a steer consuming 4 kg of organic matter which is totally fermentable (Preston and Leng, 1987).	9
Table 4.1	Rumen environments of defaunated and refaunated sheep consuming 400 g of oatsen chaff + 400 g of lucerne chaff	59
Table 4.2	Volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations, proportions and production (based on 1- <sup>14</sup> C-acetate infusion) of defaunated and refaunated sheep consuming 400 g of oatsen chaff + 400 g of lucerne chaff	61
Table 4.3	Microbial growth and efficiency of defaunated and refaunated sheep consuming 400 g of oatsen chaff + 400 g of lucerne chaff	62
Table 4.4	Concentration of total LCFA in abomasal digesta and flow to abomasum in defaunated and refaunated sheep consuming 400 g of oatsen chaff + 400 g of lucerne chaff	63

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Pathways of bacterial branched LCFA synthesis utilising branched chain acyl-CoA ester (A) and branched $\alpha$ -keto acid (B) as primer where R is either $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CH}-$ , $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CH}\cdot\text{CH}_2-$ or $\text{CF}_3(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)\text{CH}-$ (Kaneda, 1977; 1991)	29
Figure 3.1	Rumen cannula with its 2 stainless-steel probe. Note that probe carrying infusion is directed dorsally, sampling probe is ventrally directed. (Thanks to Jenny Hegarty for artwork.)	39
Figure 3.2	Procedure for extracting total LCFA from diet and abomasal digesta (Vivani <i>et al.</i> , 1966). Subsamples of final extract were titrated in triplicate	49
Figure 3.3	Apparatus used for the titration of total LCFA in diet and abomasal digesta. Note: $\text{N}_2$ stream bubbling through sample to exclude atmospheric $\text{CO}_2$ .	51
Figure 3.4	Titration of palmitic acid standard with 0.005 M NaOH	53



## Summary

Little is known of the synthesis of long chain fatty acids (LCFA) in the rumen, so this study sought to establish benchmark data on the rumen environments and LCFA outflow from the rumen of defaunated and refaunated sheep.

- The percentages of dietary dry matter apparently and truly digested in the rumen were higher in defaunated animals than in refaunated animals ( $P < 0.05$  and  $< 0.1$ , respectively). This was in spite of defaunated sheep tending to have a lower ruminal ammonia concentration than refaunated sheep ( $P = 0.07$ ), indicating that the concentration of ruminal ammonia was not a limiting factor in terms of dry matter digestion in defaunated sheep in this study. The higher ammonia concentration in refaunated animals probably reflects extensive degradation of dietary protein and bacteria by protozoa and the fact that protozoa do not utilise ammonia. The increased digestibility in the rumen of defaunated sheep might be a result of higher numbers and activities of bacteria and fungi.
- The ruminal volume of defaunated sheep was significantly larger ( $P < 0.05$ ) than that of refaunated sheep, but there was no significant difference in rumen liquid outflow (l/d) or  $t_{1/2}$  (min) between defaunated and refaunated sheep.
- The concentration of total VFA in ruminal fluid was not significantly altered by defaunation status. This suggested that total VFA production was unaffected by protozoa and this was confirmed by infusion of  $1\text{-}^{14}\text{C}$ -acetate. There was no difference in pH between the two groups of sheep.

- The difference in molar proportion of individual VFA observed between defaunated and faunated animals can not solely be attributed to the effect of protozoa *per se*, but also to the composition of microbes present. In this study, the proportion of acetate and acetate:propionate ratio were significantly higher in defaunated animals than in refaunated ones. The molar proportion of propionate, however, was lower in defaunated animals.
- Methionine supplementation and the presence or absence of protozoa did not affect the calculated flow of microbia to the abomasum based on the urinary allantoin excretion or the efficiency of microbial growth expressed as g cells/kg dry matter apparently digested in the rumen.
- The daily flow of dry matter through the abomasum was higher in refaunated animals, despite the lower liquid outflow rate in these sheep. It may be that the higher dry matter flow flow in refaunated sheep is was associated with a greater population of particle-phase bacteria.
- The concentration of LCFA in total abomasal digesta dry matter was not affected by the presence or absence of protozoa in the rumen. However, the concentration of LCFA in particle-digesta dry matter, the ruminal production and daily flow of LCFA, were significantly higher in refaunated than in defaunated animals. This suggested that the protozoal contribution to post ruminal digesta concentration and flow of LCFA was important. The greater flow and greater concentration of LCFA in particle-digesta dry matter of refaunated animals may partly be attributed to the contribution of particle-phase bacteria.

- Methionine supplementation did not alter the concentration of LCFA in digesta, but significantly reduced the flow of LCFA to the abomasum.