

Appendix 5.1
The Data Collection Area

TABLE 5.1: DATA COLLECTION AREA 1 – BEEF ENTERPRISE

FILENAME: RA – AREA1.WK3

	Nos	VALUE PER UNIT	TECHNICAL PARAMETERS	HISTORICAL DATA					FREQUENCIES					TARGET VALUES
				1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
RESOURCE APPRAISAL														
Livestock Land (Ha)	1111	\$1,200.00												
Cropping Area (Ha)	150	\$1,200.00												
Building – Improv. \$	155000													
Mach – Vehicles \$	85200													
Available Hay (Bales)	600	26.24												
Available Haylage (Bags)	447	34.74												
Available Oats (Ton)	50	170												
Cows inventory (Heads)	335	335		370	250	280	320	335						
Heifers inventory (Heads)	263	400		70	130	100	152	164						
Calves inventory (Heads)	14	200												
Weaners inventory (Heads)	0													
Vealers inventory (Heads)	0													
Young Rep. Heifers inventory (Heads)	0													
Steers inventory (Heads)	152	350												
Bulls inventory (Heads)	18	354												
Total No. of animals	782													
TECHNICAL PARAMETERS														
Hay production by Ha (Bales)			70											
No. Hay bales per cow per year														
No. Hay bales per heifer per year														
No. Hay bales per calf per year														
No. Hay bales per weaner per year														
No. Hay bales per vealer per year														
No. Hay bales per replac. heifer per year														
No. Hay bales per steer per year			0.5											
No. Hay bales per bull per year														
Haylage production by Ha (Bags)			60											
No. Haylage bags per cow per year														
No. Haylage bags per heifer per year														
No. Haylage bags per calf per year														
No. Haylage bags per weaner per year														
No. Haylage bags per vealer per year														
No. Haylage bags per replac. heifer per year														
No. Haylage bags per steer per year			1.5											
No. Haylage bags per bull per year														
Calving rate per breeding cow			0.95	0.75	0.8	0.9	0.95	0.98	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.90
Retaining percentage of breeding cows			0.95	0.75	0.8	0.9	0.95	0.98						
Calving rate per first calf – heifer			0.5	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.59
Retaining percentage of first calf heifers			0.5	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8						
DSE per cow			11											
DSE per first-calf heifer			10											
DSE per calf			4											
DSE per weaner			8											
DSE per vealer			9											
DSE per young replac. heifer			9											
DSE per steer			10											
DSE per bull			12											
Total DSE (Thousands)			12.011	10.9	11.6	12.01	12.7	13.01						
Stocking rate DSE/ha			9.5	9	9.5	10.5	11	12.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	10.50
Oat production per Ha (Ton)			1											
Ton. of oat per breeding-ewe per year			0.05											
Ton. of oats per prime-lamb per year			0.1											
Cows – bull ratio			30:											
Heifers – bull ratio			30:											
Percentage borned calves that are weaned			0.98											
Percentage weaners transferred to vealers			0.05											
Percentage weaners transf. to young rep. heifers			0.45											
Percentage weaners transferred to steers			0.45											
MARKETING CONSTRAINTS														
Sale culled cows ratio and heads			0.1	46	36	51	27	25						
Sale culled – heifers ratio			0.8											
Sale – calves ratio			0.01											
Sale – weaners ratio			0.05											
Sale – vealers ratio			1											
Sale – young rep. heifers ratio			0.01											
Sale lean – steers ratio			0											
Sale finished – steers ratio			1	192	280	365	278	230						
Sale bulls ratio			0.1											
Maximum No. cows to be allowed			500											
Maximum No. first-calf heifer to be allowed			500											
Maximum No. calves to be allowed			500											
Maximum No. weaners to be allowed			500											

TABLE 5.2: DATA COLLECTION AREA 2 – MEAT ENTERPRISE

FILENAME: RA – AREA2.WK3

	Nos	VALUE PER UNIT	TECHNICAL PARAMETER	HISTORICAL DATA					FREQUENCIES					TARGET VALUES	
				1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
RESOURCE APPRAISAL															
Livestock Land (Ha)	1111	\$1,200.00													
Cropping Area (Ha)	150	\$1,200.00													
Building-Improv. \$	155000														
Mach - Vehicles \$	85200														
Available Hay (Bales)	600	26.24													
Available Haylage (Bags)	447	34.74													
Available Oats (Ton)	50	170													
Merino ewes inventory (Heads)	511	5													
First cross ewes inventory (Heads)	288	7													
Rams inventory (Heads)	11	2000													
First cross sucker lambs inventory (Heads)															
First cross female hoggets inventory (Heads)	50	15													
First cross male prime lambs inventory (Heads)	100	20													
Second cross sucker lambs inventory (Heads)															
Second cross prime lambs inventory (Heads)	177	25													
Total No. of animals	1137														
TECHNICAL PARAMETERS															
Hay production by Ha (Bales)			70												
No. bales of hay per merino ewe per year															
No. bales of hay per crossbred ewe per year															
No. bales of hay per ram per year															
No. bales of hay per first cross lamb per year															
No. bales of hay per 1X female hogget per year															
No. bales of hay per 1X male prime lamb per year	0.1														
No. bales of hay per second cross lamb per year															
No. bales of hay per 2X prime lamb per year	0.1														
Haylage production by Ha (Bags)			60												
No. bales of haylage per merino ewe per year															
No. bales of haylage per crossbred ewe per year															
No. bales of haylage per ram per year															
No. bales of haylage per first cross lamb per year															
No. bales of haylage per 1X female hogget per year															
No. bales of haylage per 1X male prime lamb per year															
No. bales of haylage per 2X lamb per year			1.5												
No. bales of haylage per second cross prime lamb per year															
Lambing rate per merino ewe			0.95												
Retaining percentage of breeding merino ewes			0.95												
Lambing rate of crossbred ewes			0.5												
Retaining percentage of first cross breeding ewes			0.5												
DSE per merino ewe			11												
DSE per crossbred ewe			10												
DSE per ram			4												
DSE per first cross sucker lamb			8												
DSE per first cross female hogget			9												
DSE per first cross male prime lamb			9												
DSE per second cross lamb			10												
DSE per second cross prime lamb			12												
Total DSE (Thousands)															
Stocking rate DSE/ha															
Oat production per Ha (Ton)			1												
Ton. of oat per merino ewe per year			0.06												
Ton. of oats per 1X ewe per year			0.06												
Merino ewes - ram ratio			100:1												
First crossbred ewes - ram ratio			100:1												
Percentage first cross weaned lambs (No. ewes)			0.9	0.75	0.68	0.95	0.89	0.85	120	135	100	127	130	82.00	
Percentage second cross weaned lambs (No. ewes)			1.3	1.2	0.97	0.95	1.17	1.35	250	270	238	230	200	112.00	
Percentage 1X suckler lambs transf. to female hoggets			0.49												
Percentage 1X female hoggets transf. to ewes			0.2												
Percentage 1X suckers transf. to prime lambs			0.49												
Percentage 2X suckers transf. to prime lambs			0.98												
Wool production per merino ewe (kg)			5.5												
Wool production per 1X ewe (kg)			5.5												
Wool production per ram (kg)			9												
Wool production per 1X female hogget (kg)			5												
Wool production per 1X male prime lamb (kg)			3												
Wool production per 2X prime lamb (kg)			3												
MARKETING CONSTRAINTS															
Sale culled merino ewes ratio			0.3												
Sale culled 1X ewes ratio			0.3												
Sale - rams ratio			0.5												
Sale - 1X suckers ratio			0.0												
Sale - 1X female hoggets ratio			0.1												
Sale - 1X prime lambs ratio			1												
Sale - 2X sucker lambs ratio			0.5												
Sale - 2X prime lambs ratio			1												

TABLE 5.3: DATA COLLECTION AREA 3 – WOOL ENTERPRISE

FILENAME: RA – AREA3.WK3

	Nos	VALUE PER UNIT	TECHNICAL PARAMETER	HISTORICAL DATA					FREQUENCIES					TARGET VALUES
				1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
RESOURCE APPRAISAL														
Livestock Land (Ha)	1111.00	\$1,200.00												
Cropping Area (Ha)	150.00	\$1,200.00												
Building – Improv. \$	155000.00													
Mach – Vehicles \$	85200.00													
Available Hay (Bales)	600.00	26.24												
Available Haylage (Bags)	447.00	34.74												
Available Oats (Ton)	50.00	170.00												
Merino ewes inventory (Heads)	511	5												
Merino rams inventory (Heads)	3	110												
Merino sucker lambs inventory (Heads)	0													
Merino female weaners inventory (Heads)	200	15												
Merino weaner wethers inventory (Heads)	200	20												
Merino female hoggets inventory (Heads)	100	25												
Merino wethers inventory (Heads)	298	25												
Total No. of animals	1312.00													
TECHNICAL PARAMETERS														
Hay production by Ha (Bales)			70.00											
No. bales of hay per ewe			0.02											
No. bales of hay per ram			0.02											
No. bales of hay per sucker lamb														
No. bales of hay per female weaner			0.01											
No. bales of hay per weaner wether			0.01											
No. bales of hay per female hogget			0.01											
No. bales of hay per wether			0.02											
Haylage production by Ha (Bags)			60.00											
No. bags of haylage per ewe														
No. bags of haylage per ram														
No. bags of haylage per sucker lamb														
No. bags of haylage per female weaner														
No. bags of haylage per weaner wether														
No. bags of haylage per female hogget														
No. bags of haylage per wether														
Lambing rate per merino ewe			0.9											
Retaining percentage of breeding merino ewes			0.9											
DSE per merino ewe			1.8											
DSE per ram			2.5											
DSE per sucker lamb			0.4											
DSE per merino female weaners			0.6											
DSE per merino weaner wethers			0.6											
DSE per merino female hoggets			1											
DSE per merino wethers			1											
Total DSE (Thousands)														
Stocking rate DSE/ha														
Oat production per Ha (Ton)			1.00											
Ton. of oat per merino ewe per year			0.05											
Merino ewes – ram ratio			100:1											
Percentage weaned lambs (No. ewes)			0.9	0.75	0.68	0.95	0.89	0.85	383	340	475	445	425	0.84
Percentage merino suckler lambs transf. to female weaners			0.45											
Percentage merino sucklers transf. to wether weaners			0.45											
Percentage merino female weaners transf. to female hoggets			0.10											
Percentage merino wether weaners to wethers			0.10											
Percentage merino female hoggets transf. to ewes			0.05											
Wool production per merino ewe (kg)			5.5											
Wool production per merino ram (kg)			9											
Wool production per merino female weaner (kg)			3											
Wool production per merino weaner wether (kg)			3											
Wool production per merino female hogget (kg)			4											
Wool production per merino wether (kg)			6.2											
MARKETING CONSTRAINTS														
Sale culled merino ewes ratio			0.3											
Sale – merino rams ratio			0.2											
Sale – merino suckers ratio			0.0											
Sale – merino female weaners ratio			0.1											
Sale – merino weaner wethers ratio			0.20											
Sale – merino female hoggets ratio			0.2											
Sale – merino wethers ratio			0.25											

Appendix 5.2

Probabilistic Density Functions of the Random Variables

Table 5.1 Density Function for Calving Rate of Breeding Cows.

n	X_i	$p(X_i)$
370	0.75	0.24
250	0.80	0.17
280	0.90	0.18
320	0.95	0.21
335	0.98	0.22

Source: Farm Data. n = No. of breeding cows

A set of 5 years of observations with different levels of data by year shows an expected value for the calving rate $\mu = 89$ per cent; a standard deviation $\sigma = 7$ per cent and a coefficient of variation, $CV = 8$ per cent, values generated following Keller *et al.* (1990, p. 233). The basic statistical analysis indicates significance at a level of confidence of 90 per cent for the parameter.

Table 5.2 Density Function for Calving Rate of First-calf Heifers.

n	X_i	$p(X_i)$
70	.20	.114
130	.40	.211
100	.60	.162
152	.72	.246
164	.80	.266

Source: Farm Data. n = No. of first-calf heifers

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected calving rate $\mu = 59$ per cent; a standard deviation $\sigma = 9$ per cent and a coefficient of variation $CV = 15$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is significant at a level of confidence

of 90 per cent.

Table 5.3 Density Function for Stocking Rate

n	X_i	P(X_i)
10.9	9.0	.18
11.6	9.5	.19
12.0	10	.20
12.7	11	.21
13.0	12.5	.22

Source: Farm Data.

n = DSE (thousand)

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected stocking rate $\mu = 10.5$ DSE per ha; a standard deviation $\sigma = 2.78$ DSE and a coefficient of variation $CV = 26$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is not significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.4 Density Function for Sale-price of Steers

n	X_i	p(X_i)
192	350	0.14
280	400	0.208
278	520	0.207
365	500	0.271
230	600	0.171

Source: Farm Data.

n = No. of steers sold

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected nominal sale price $\mu = \$479$ per fat steer; a standard deviation $\sigma = \$144$ and a coefficient of variation $CV = 30$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is non-significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.5 Density Function for Sale-price of Culled Cows

n	X_i	p(X_i)
25	520	0.135
27	480	0.146
36	400	0.194
46	360	0.248
51	450	0.276

Source: Farm Data. n = Cows sold per year X_i = Average price

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected sale price $\mu = \$431$ per cow; a standard deviation $\sigma = \$54$ and a coefficient of variation $CV = 12$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.6 Density Function for Lambing Rate of 1X-breeding Ewes

n	X_i	p(X_i)
135	0.68	0.220
120	0.75	0.196
130	0.85	0.212
127	0.89	0.210
100	0.95	0.163

Source: Farm Data. n = 1X-breeding ewe numbers

A set of 5 observations shows an expected lambing rate $\mu = 82$ per cent; a standard deviation $\sigma = 9$ per cent and a coefficient of variation $CV = 11$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is non-significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.7 Density Function for Lambing Rate of 2X-breeding Ewes

n	X_i	P(X_i)
238	0.95	0.20
270	0.97	0.23
230	1.17	0.194
250	1.20	0.21
200	1.35	0.168

Source: Farm Data.

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected lambing rate $\mu = 112$ per cent; a standard deviation $\sigma = 14$ per cent and a coefficient of variation $CV = 12$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.8 Density Function for 1X-prime Lamb Prices

n	X_i	p(X_i)
140	32	0.28
92	36	0.18
45	47	0.09
110	49	0.22
113	52	0.23

Source: Farm Data.

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected sale price $\mu = \$42.5$ per prime lamb; a standard deviation $\sigma = \$8.38$ and a coefficient of variation $CV = 20$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.9 **Density Function for 2X-prime Lamb Prices**

n	X_i	p(X_i)
225	38	0.17
255	42	0.19
300	55	0.23
270	57	0.20
279	57	0.20

Source: Farm Data

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected sale price $\mu = \$49$ per prime lamb; a standard deviation $\sigma = \$8.66$ and a coefficient of variation $CV = 18$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.10 **Density Function for Lambing Rate of Merino Ewes**

n	X_i	p(X_i)
340	0.68	0.16
383	0.75	0.17
425	0.85	0.20
445	0.89	0.25
475	0.95	0.22

Source: Proview (1993)

A set of 5 years of observations shows an expected lambing rate $\mu = 84$ per cent; a standard deviation $\sigma = \$21$ and a coefficient of variation $CV = 25$ per cent. The basic statistical analysis indicates that the parameter is non-significant at a level of confidence of 90 per cent.

Table 5.11 Density Function for Sale-price of Type A Wool

X_i	$p(X_i)$
3.79	0.29
3.95	0.16
4.54	0.10
4.74	0.05
6.00	0.40

Source: Proview (1993)

Table 5.12 Density Function for Sale-price of Type B Wool

X_i	$p(X_i)$
4.63	0.29
4.83	0.16
5.55	0.10
5.79	0.05
7.34	0.40

Source: Proview (1993)

A set of observations for the period 1989-1992 (Proview 1993) shows an expected sale price $\mu = \$4.74$ per kg of type A wool and a $\mu = \$5.90$ for type B wool; standard deviations $\sigma = \$1.00$ and $\sigma = \$1.22$ respectively, and coefficients of variation $CV = 21$ and $CV = 21$ respectively. The parameters are significant at the highest level of confidence since the data is the time series prices for the above mentioned period.

Table 5.13 Density Function for Land Prices

X_i (Deflated \$)	p(X_i)
689	0.272
810	0.182
930	0.182
1050	0.091
1170	0.272

Source: Centre for Agricultural Risk Management (1993)

A set of observations on land prices of the Orange region for the period 1982-1992 (CARM 1993) were the base to build a density function with the following parameters: $\mu = 868.73$ and $\sigma = 201$. The parameters are significant at the 99 per cent level of confidence.

Table 5.14 Density Function for Sustainability Effect of Ecosystem Resources on Stocking Rate

SR	Probability
0.000	0.0000
0.033	0.0476
0.065	0.0952
0.099	0.1428
0.1317	0.1904
0.1482	0.2381
0.1647	0.2857

This table was built up as per guidelines contained in Appendix 5.3

Appendix 5.3

Sustainability Management Within the Stochastic Modelling Framework

Appendix 5.3 Sustainability Management Within the Stochastic Modelling Framework

The exercise of generating a hard solution to incorporate the effect of ecosystem resources depletion on farm performance was built up through an empirical exercise with the farmer of this study. Ecosystems effect were added into the quantitative model to present an added degree of holistic relevance for the farm situation under examination.

Supported on Gill (1995) a sustainability index set (SI) was arranged, where an index 0.00 indicates the extreme situation of ecosystem resource depletion and an index of 1.00 indicates a no negative effect of ecosystem resources on farm performance. Values in between indicates a modification factor of the same magnitude upon the related critical variable(s) of the farm system.

A discrete variable of the whole-farm system was selected to apply the sustainability effect on the farm model. Stocking rate (SR) was considered suitable to be used to interrelate with a density function that would stochastically affect the performance of that variable, modified by a sustainability index effect in the domain of a distribution, linearly segmented.

The farmer provided information that the average stocking rate of the farm was 8.5 DSE/ha. With an allocated DSE of 1.8 to a breeding ewe the land ratio use per ewe is 0.2117 ha/ewe (i.e. $1.8\text{DSE}/\text{ewe}/8.5\text{DSE}/\text{ha}$). This value is modified by the index set in order to obtain the values of the variable to become deemed stochastic, as follows:

SI = 0.00 corresponds to a SR = 0.000 ha/ewe
SI = 0.20 corresponds to a SR = 0.042 ha/ewe
SI = 0.40 corresponds to a SR = 0.085 ha/ewe
SI = 0.60 corresponds to a SR = 0.127 ha/ewe
SI = 0.80 corresponds to a SR = 0.169 ha/ewe
SI = 1.00 corresponds to a SR = 0.2117 ha/ewe

Probabilities for the variable deemed stochastic because of sustainability issues (i.e. SR) may be worked out in a two-fold manner. Firstly, if on-farm historical data of SR is available, frequency distribution tables and relative frequency distributions (RFD) may be generated. Otherwise, subjective farmer's weighted values have to be used. The latter method was adopted, where the farmer allocated the number 1 to the less likely scenario (i.e. SI = 0.000 with SR = 0.000) and increasing number onwards following his/her empirical perception about the sustainability effect phenomenon being the highest number the most likely scenario to happen. A RFD criterion was used to work out probabilities of the variable stochastically affected by sustainability indexes of ecosystem resources depletion, as per the following table:

SI	SR	Weighted Value	Probability
0.00	0.000	0	0.0000
0.20	0.042	1	0.0476
0.40	0.085	2	0.0952
0.60	0.127	3	0.1428
0.80	0.169	4	0.1904
0.90	0.190	5	0.2381
1.00	0.212	6	0.2857
		n = 21	

Finally a target value of reference SI = 0.90 (i.e SR = 0.20 ha/ewe) was set by the farmer as the reference point to modify his/her management attitude to sustainability effect upon SR. This is the minimal value at which substantial modifications to ecosystem resources management should be undertaken.

With this information on hand, SR is considered a random variable and a subjective density function of probabilistic values replace the discrete value of the deterministic model. The arrangement of this part of the stochastic model may be observed in Appendix 5.4, Table 5.3.

Appendix 5.4

A Demonstrative Deterministic and Stochastic Farm Model

Appendix 5.4 A Demonstrative Deterministic and Stochastic Farm Model

Using MP an optimal solution is aimed in the combination of resources and activities for the following farm:

- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| (a) | Area: | 10 ha |
| (b) | Available cash: | \$100 |
| (c) | Overdraft option: | \$2000 ($i=0.12$) |
| (d) | Loan option: | \$5000 ($i=0.09$) |
| (e) | Activity: | Prime-lamb production |
| (f) | Variable costs per ewe: | \$3.50 |
| (g) | Breeding-ewe price: | \$15 |
| (h) | Prime-lamb price: | \$20 |
| (i) | Culled-ewe price: | \$10 |
| (j) | Lambing rate: | 100% |
| (k) | Ewe DSE: | 1.8 |

Consider that lambing rate and sustainability effect on stocking rate are the critical factors for this exercise, with a farmer exhibiting a constant relative risk aversion ($r=0.000001$). Therefore using the HSM approach an optimal solution may be found under the following assumptions:

- (a) Rather than using a deterministic value for the lambing rate parameter a historical set of data from the last five years of farm performance is used. Consider that the minimal value of lambing rate that the farmer is prepared to accept, before to modify his/her technical risk aversion attitude is 100 per cent.

Year 1: 120%	Year 2: 95%	Year 3: 110%
Year 4: 80%	Year 5: 95%	

- (b) A sustainability effect to farm performance through effect in stocking density is organised through a density function of sustainability indexes (SI) with allocated weighted subjective probabilities. An index of 1.00 indicates that the sustainability factor has not effect in stocking rate. Index values below 1.00 modify stocking density for that factor. An index of 0.90 is considered critical to the system operation.

SI 0.00 : 0.0001

SI 0.20 : 0.0049

SI 0.60 : 0.005

SI 0.80 : 0.04

SI 1.00 : 0.95

The deterministic programming matrix is hereafter attached as Table 1 and its related input and output files (i.e. TESTDM1).

The stochastic programming matrix with one random variable (i.e. LR) is hereafter attached as Table 2 with its related input and output files (i.e. TESTHSM1).

The stochastic programming matrix with one random variable (i.e. SI) is hereafter attached as Table 3 with its related input and output files (i.e. TESTHSM2).

The stochastic programming matrix with the two random variables (i.e. LR and SI) is hereafter attached as Table 4 with its related input and output files (i.e. TESTHSM2).

TESTDM1: DEMONSTRATIVE TEST MODEL: DETERMINISTIC SCENARIO

C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESE\TESTDM1.WK3
C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESE\TESTDM1.GMS
C:\WP51\NEWTHESE\TESTDM1.DOC

INPUT FILE:

DECISION VARIABLES

PAST	Pasture production (DSE)
FEW	Stocking rate per ha to the ewe
BEW	Breeding ewes activity (heads)
PLAMB	Prime lambs activity (heads)
BYEW	Buying ewes activity (heads)
BYPL	Buying prime lambs activity (heads)
SEW	Sell ewes activity (heads)
SPL	Sell prime lambs activity (heads)
ODF	Overdraft option (A\$)
PYODF	Pay overdraft $i = 0.12$
LOAN	Loan option (A\$)
PYLOAN	Pay loan $i = 0.09$
BYLAND	Buy land (ha)
PVC	Pay variable costs (A\$)
TBKB	Transfer bank account balance (index)
TSAB	Transfer saving account balance to objective function
TWB	Transfer farm wealth account balance to objective function

EQUATION VARIABLES

Obj	Maximise Farm Equity (A\$)
CCAP	Carrying Capacity in ha per ewe
LAND	Available land (ha)
EWINV	Ewes inventory (heads)
BFEWT	Breeding-feeding ewes tie (index)
PLINV	Prime lambs inventory (heads)
EWSP	Ewes sale pool (heads)
PLSP	Prime lambs sale pool (heads)
EWMKS	Ewes marker supply (heads)
PLMKS	Prime lambs market supply (heads)
LANDMKS	Land market supply (ha)
ODFOPT	Overdraft option (A\$)
ODFTIE	Overdraft option and overdraft payment tie (index)
LOANOPT	Loan option (A\$)
LOANTIE	Loan option and loan payment tie (index)
COSTACC	Cost account (A\$)
BKACC	Bank account (A\$)
SAVACC	Saving Account (Current Operation Farm Profit)

FARMW Farm Wealth Account (Current Operation Assets Value);
MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING EQUATIONS

Obj.. $Z=E= +1*TSAB +1*TWB;$
 CCAP.. $0=G= -1*PAST +0.212*FEW;$
 LAND.. $10=G= +1*PAST -1*BYLAND;$
 EWINV.. $0=G= +1*BEW -1*BYEW;$
 BFEWT.. $0=E= +1*FEW -1*BEW;$
 PLINV.. $0=G= -1*BEW +1*PLAMB -1*BYPL;$
 EWSP.. $1=G= -0.200*BEW +1*SEW;$
 PLSP.. $0=G= -1*PLAMB +1*SPL;$
 EWMKS.. $0=L= +1*BYEW;$
 PLMKS.. $0=L= +1*BYPL;$
 LANDMKS.. $10=G= +1*BYLAND;$
 ODFOPT.. $2000=G= +1*ODF;$
 ODFTIE.. $0=E= -1.120*ODF +1*PYODF;$
 LOANOPT.. $5000=G= +1*LOAN;$
 LOANTIE.. $0=E= -1.090*LOAN +1*PYLOAN;$
 COSTACC.. $0=E= +3.500*BEW +15*BYEW +20*BYPL +500*BYLAND$
 $-1*PVC;$
 BKACC.. $100=G= -10*SEW -20*SPL -1*ODF +1*PYODF -1*LOAN$
 $+1*PYLOAN +1*PVC +1*TBKB;$
 SAVACC.. $0=E= -1.060*TBKB +1*TSAB;$
 FARMW.. $0=E= -500*PAST -15*BEW +1*TWB;$

MODEL TESTDM1 /ALL/;

SOLVE TESTDM1 USING LP maximising Z;

OUTPUT FILE

GAMS 2.25 PC AT/XT

06/16/96 17:49:04 PAGE 12

TESTDM1

Solution Report SOLVE TESTDM1 USING LP FROM LINE 71

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL TESTDM1	OBJECTIVE Z
TYPE LP DIRECTION	MAXIMIZE
SOLVER BDMLP	FROM LINE 71

**** SOLVER STATUS	1 NORMAL COMPLETION
**** MODEL STATUS	1 OPTIMAL
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE	6032.2927

EXIT -- OPTIMAL SOLUTION FOUND.

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
---- VAR Z	-INF	6032.293	+INF	.
---- VAR PAST	.	10.569	+INF	.
---- VAR FEW	.	49.854	+INF	.
---- VAR BEW	.	49.854	+INF	.
---- VAR PLAMB	.	49.854	+INF	.
---- VAR BYEW	.	49.854	+INF	.
---- VAR BYPL	.	.	+INF	EPS
---- VAR SEW	.	10.971	+INF	.
---- VAR SPL	.	49.854	+INF	.
---- VAR ODF	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PYODF	.	.	+INF	-0.126
---- VAR LOAN	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PYLOAN	.	.	+INF	-0.097
---- VAR BYLAND	.	0.569	+INF	.
---- VAR PVC	.	1206.780	+INF	.
---- VAR TBKB	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR TSAB	.	.	+INF	-0.114
---- VAR TWB	.	6032.293	+INF	.

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT
 0 INFEASIBLE
 0 UNBOUNDED

STEP SUMMARY:

0.170 STARTUP
0.000 COMPILATION
0.220 EXECUTION
0.000 CLOSEDOWN
0.390 TOTAL SECONDS

**** FILE SUMMARY

INPUT C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHTESINTESTDM1.GMS
OUTPUT C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHTESINTESTDM1.LST

TESTHSM1: DEMONSTRATIVE TEST: STOCHASTIC MODEL WITH LAMBING RATE AS THE RANDOM INPUT-OUTPUT VARIABLE AND AN EXPONENTIAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

INPUT VARIABLES

DECISION VARIABLES

7	PAST	Pasture production (DSE)
8	FEW	DSE ewe ratio to the ha.
9	BEW	Breeding ewes activity (heads)
10	PLAMB	Prime lambs activity (heads)
11	BYEW	Buying ewes activity (heads)
12	BYPL	Buying prime lambs activity (heads)
13	SEW	Sell ewes activity (heads)
14	SPL	Sell prime lambs activity (heads)
15	ODF	Overdraft option (A\$)
16	PYODF	Pay overdraft $i = 0.12$
17	LOAN	Loan option (A\$)
18	PYLOAN	Pay loan $i = 0.09$
19	BYLAND	Buy land (ha)
20	PVC	Pay variable costs (A\$)
21	TBKB	Transfer bank account balance (index)
22	TLR01	Transfer lambing rate of year 1 (probabilistic index)
23	TLR02	Transfer lambing rate of year 2 (probabilistic index)
24	TLR03	Transfer lambing rate of year 3 (probabilistic index)
25	TLR04	Transfer lambing rate of year 4 (probabilistic index)
26	TLR05	Transfer lambing rate of year 5 (probabilistic index)
27	TTARG	Transfer of distribution lambing rate values to target row (index)
28	RISKLR	Risk lambing rate transferring to major stochastic constraint (index)
29	TSAB	Transfer saving account balance to objective function
30	TWB	Transfer farm wealth account balance to objective function

32 EQUATION VARIABLES

33	Obj	Maximise Cash Flow (A\$)
34	CCAP	Carrying Capacity (DSE)
35	LAND	Available land (ha)
36	EWINV	Ewes inventory (heads)
37	BFEWT	Breeding-feeding ewes tie (index)
38	PLINV	Prime lambs inventory (heads)
39	EWSP	Ewes sale pool (heads)
40	PLSP	Prime lambs sale pool (heads)
41	EWMKS	Ewes marker supply (heads)
42	PLMKS	Prime lambs market supply (heads)

43	LANDMKS	Land market supply (ha)
44	ODFOPT	Overdraft option (A\$)
45	ODFTIE	Overdraft option and overdraft payment tie (index)
46	LOANOPT	Loan option (A\$)
47	LOANTIE	Loan option and loan payment tie (index)
48	COSTACC	Cost account (A\$)
49	BKACC	Bank account (A\$)
50	SAVACC	Saving account (A\$)
51	FARMW	Farm Wealth account (A\$)
52	LR01	Lambing rate year 1 (density function value)
53	LR02	Lambing rate year 2 (density function value)
54	LR03	Lambing rate year 3 (density function value)
55	LR04	Lambing rate year 4 (density function value)
56	LR05	Lambing rate year 5 (density function value)
57	RFDLR	Relative frequency distribution of lambing rates (probability)
58	TARGETLR	Target lambing rate (index)

MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING EQUATIONS

61	Obj..	$Z=E= +1*TSAB +1*TWB^{(1-r)}$;
62	CCAP..	$0=G= -1*PAST +0.212*FEW$;
63	LAND..	$10=G= +1*PAST -1*BYLAND$;
64	EWINV..	$0=G= +1*BEW -1*BYEW$;
65	BFEWT..	$0=E= +1*FEW -1*BEW$;
66	PLINV..	$0=G= +1*PLAMB -1*BYPL -1*RISKLR$;
67	EWSP..	$1=G= -0.200*BEW +1*SEW$;
68	PLSP..	$0=G= -1*PLAMB +1*SPL$;
69	EWMKS..	$0=L= +1*BYEW$;
70	PLMKS..	$0=L= +1*BYPL$;
71	LANDMKS..	$10=G= +1*BYLAND$;
72	ODFOPT..	$2000=G= +1*ODF$;
73	ODFTIE..	$0=E= -1.120*ODF +1*PYODF$;
74	LOANOPT..	$5000=G= +1*LOAN$;
75	LOANTIE..	$0=E= -1.090*LOAN +1*PYLOAN$;
76	COSTACC..	$0=E= +3.500*BEW +15*BYEW +20*BYPL +500*BYLAND$ $-1*PVC$;
78	BKACC..	$100=G= -10*SEW -20*SPL -1*ODF +1*PYODF -1*LOAN$ $+1*PYLOAN +1*PVC +1*TBKB$;
80	SAVACC..	$0=E= -1.060*TBKB +1*TSAB$;
81	FARMW..	$0=E= -500*PAST -15*BEW +1*TWB$;
82	LR01..	$0=G= -1.200*BEW +1*TLR01$;
83	LR02..	$0=G= -1.050*BEW +1*TLR02$;
84	LR03..	$0=G= -1.100*BEW +1*TLR03$;
85	LR04..	$0=G= -0.900*BEW +1*TLR04$;
86	LR05..	$0=G= -1.300*BEW +1*TLR05$;
87	RFDLR..	$0=G= -0.200*TLR01 -0.200*TLR02 -0.200*TLR03 -0.200*TLR04$ $-0.200*TLR05+1*TTARG$;
89	TARGETLR..	$1=G= -1*TTARG +1*RISKLR$;

90
 91 MODEL TESTHSM1 /ALL/;
 92
 93 SOLVE TESTHSM1 USING LP maximising Z;

GAMS 2.25 PC AT/XT 06/16/96 22:24:58 PAGE 15
 TESTHSM1
 Solution Report SOLVE TESTHSM1 USING LP FROM LINE 93

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL TESTHSM1 OBJECTIVE Z
 TYPE LP DIRECTION MAXIMIZE
 SOLVER BDMLP FROM LINE 93

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 NORMAL COMPLETION
 **** MODEL STATUS 1 OPTIMAL
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 6188.7338

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
---- VAR Z	-INF	6188.734	+INF	.
---- VAR PAST	.	10.843	+INF	.
---- VAR FEW	.	51.147	+INF	.
---- VAR BEW	.	51.147	+INF	.
---- VAR PLAMB	.	57.773	+INF	.
---- VAR BYEW	.	51.147	+INF	.
---- VAR BYPL	.	.	+INF	EPS
---- VAR SEW	.	11.229	+INF	.
---- VAR SPL	.	57.773	+INF	.
---- VAR ODF	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PYODF	.	.	+INF	-0.129
---- VAR LOAN	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PYLOAN	.	.	+INF	-0.100
---- VAR BYLAND	.	0.843	+INF	.
---- VAR PVC	.	1367.747	+INF	.
---- VAR TBKB	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR TLR01	.	61.376	+INF	.
---- VAR TLR02	.	53.704	+INF	.
---- VAR TLR03	.	56.261	+INF	.
---- VAR TLR04	.	46.032	+INF	.
---- VAR TLR05	.	66.491	+INF	.
---- VAR TTARG	.	56.773	+INF	.
---- VAR RISKLR	.	57.773	+INF	.
---- VAR TSAB	.	.	+INF	-0.138
---- VAR TWB	.	6188.734	+INF	.

**** REPORT SUMMARY :

0	NONOPT
0	INFEASIBLE
0	UNBOUNDED

EXECUTION TIME = 0.280 SECONDS VERID TP5-00-037

STEP SUMMARY:

0.160	STARTUP
0.000	COMPILATION
0.280	EXECUTION
0.000	CLOSEDOWN
0.440	TOTAL SECONDS

**** FILE SUMMARY

INPUT	C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESTESTHSM1.GMS
OUTPUT	C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESTESTHSM1.LST
SYNTHESIS	C:\WP51\NEWTHESTESTHSM1.DOC

TESTHSM2		LP maximising		17/06 /96		09:08		PA:ST	FEW	BEW	PLAMB	BYEW	BYPL	SEW	SPL	ODF	PYODF	LOAN	PYLOAN	BYLAND	PVC	TBKB	FSI01	FSI02	FSI03	FSI04	FSI05	TGS	RISKS	TSAB	TWB								
Second Stochastic Variable (S)		Z		E=																																			
CCAP	0.00	=G=		0.21																															1.00	1.00			
LAND	10.00	=G=	1.00																																				
EWINV	0.00	=G=				1.00																																	
BFEWT	0.00	=E=		1.00	-1.00																																		
PLUNV	0.00	=G=				1.00																																	
EWSP	1.00	=G=				-1.00																																	
PLSP	0.00	=G=																																					
EWMS	0.00	=L=																																					
PLMKS	0.00	=L=																																					
PLANDMK	10.00	=G=				1.00																																	
ODFORP	2000.00	=G=																																					
ODFTIE	0.00	=E=																																					
LOANOF	5000.00	=G=																																					
LOANTIE	0.00	=E=																																					
COSTAC	0.00	=E=																																					
BKACC	100.00	=G=																																					
SAVACC	0.00	=E=																																					
FARFWI	0.00	=E=																																					
SI5	0.00	=G=	-0.50																																				
SI7	0.00	=G=	-0.70																																				
SI8	0.00	=G=	-0.80																																				
SI9	0.00	=G=	-0.90																																				
SI1	0.00	=G=	-1.00																																				
RFDSI	0.00	=G=																																					
TGSI	0.90	=G=																																					

Table 3: Programming Matrix of the Demonstrative Model: Holistic Stochastic Scenario with 1 R random Variable (S)

TESTHSM2: DEMONSTRATIVE TEST: STOCHASTIC MODEL WITH SUSTAINABILITY: STOCKING DENSITY RATIO AS THE INPUT-OUTPUT RANDOM VARIABLE AND AN EXPONENTIAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

DECISION VARIABLES

7	PAST	Pasture production (DSE)
8	FEW	DSE ewe ratio to the ha.
9	BEW	Breeding ewes activity (heads)
10	PLAMB	Prime lambs activity (heads)
11	BYEW	Buying ewes activity (heads)
12	BYPL	Buying prime lambs activity (heads)
13	SEW	Sell ewes activity (heads)
14	SPL	Sell prime lambs activity (heads)
15	ODF	Overdraft option (A\$)
16	PYODF	Pay overdraft $i = 0.12$
17	LOAN	Loan option (A\$)
18	PYLOAN	Pay loan $i = 0.09$
19	BYLAND	Buy land (ha)
20	PVC	Pay variable costs (A\$)
21	TBKB	Transfer bank account balance (index)
22	TSI01	Transfer Sustainability Index 1
23	TSI02	Transfer Sustainability Index 2
24	TSI03	Transfer Sustainability Index 3
25	TSI04	Transfer Sustainability Index 4
26	TSI05	Transfer Sustainability Index 5
27	TTGSI	Transfer to Target Sustainability Index
28	RISKSI	Transfer random value to the main random equation
29	TSAB	Transfer saving account balance to objective function
30	TWB	Transfer farm wealth account balance to objective function (index)

EQUATION VARIABLES

33	Obj	Maximise Cash Flow (A\$)
34	CCAP	Carrying Capacity (DSE)
35	LAND	Available land (ha)
36	EWINV	Ewes inventory (heads)
37	BFEWT	Breeding-feeding ewes tie (index)
38	PLINV	Prime lambs inventory (heads)
39	EWSP	Ewes sale pool (heads)
40	PLSP	Prime lambs sale pool (heads)
41	EWMKS	Ewes market supply (heads)
42	PLMKS	Prime lambs market supply (heads)
43	LANDMKS	Land market supply (ha)
44	ODFOPT	Overdraft option (A\$)
45	ODFTIE	Overdraft option and overdraft payment tie (index)

46	LOANOPT	Loan option (A\$)
47	LOANTIE	Loan option and loan payment tie (index)
48	COSTACC	Cost account (A\$)
49	BKACC	Bank account (A\$)
50	SAVACC	Saving account (A\$)
51	FARMW	Farm wealth account (A\$)
52	SI5	Sustainability index 1
53	SI7	Sustainability index 2
54	SI8	Sustainability index 3
55	SI9	Sustainability index 4
56	SI1	Sustainability index 5
57	RFDSI	Density function for SI
58	TGSI	Target for SI;

MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING EQUATIONS

60		
61	Obj..	$Z=E= +1*TSAB +1*TWB^{(1-r)}$;
62	CCAP..	$0=G= +0.212*FEW -1*RISKSI$;
63	LAND..	$10=G= +1*PAST -1*BYLAND$;
64	EWINV..	$0=G= +1*BEW -1*BYEW$;
65	BFEWT..	$0=E= +1*FEW -1*BEW$;
66	PLINV..	$0=G= -1*BEW +1*PLAMB -1*BYPL$;
67	EWSP..	$1=G= -0.200*BEW +1*SEW$;
68	PLSP..	$0=G= -1*PLAMB +1*SPL$;
69	EWMKS..	$0=L= +1*BYEW$;
70	PLMKS..	$0=L= +1*BYPL$;
71	LANDMKS..	$10=G= +1*BYLAND$;
72	ODFOPT..	$2000=G= +1*ODF$;
73	ODFTIE..	$0=E= -1.120*ODF +1*PYODF$;
74	LOANOPT..	$5000=G= +1*LOAN$;
75	LOANTIE..	$0=E= -1.090*LOAN +1*PYLOAN$;
76	COSTACC..	$0=E= +3.500*BEW +15*BYEW +20*BYPL +500*BYLAND$ $-1*PVC$;
78	BKACC..	$100=G= -10*SEW -20*SPL -1*ODF +1*PYODF$ $-1*LOAN+1*PYLOAN +1*PVC +1*TBKB$;
80	SAVACC..	$0=E= -1.060*TBKB +1*TSAB$;
81	FARMW..	$0=E= -500*PAST -15*BEW +1*TWB$;
82	SI5..	$0=G= -0.500*PAST +1*TSI01$;
83	SI7..	$0=G= -0.700*PAST +1*TSI02$;
84	SI8..	$0=G= -0.800*PAST +1*TSI03$;
85	SI9..	$0=G= -0.900*PAST +1*TSI04$;
86	SI1..	$0=G= -1*PAST +1*TSI05$;
87	RFDSI..	$0=G= -0.070*TSI01 -0.130*TSI02 -0.200*TSI03 -0.270*TSI04$ $-0.330*TSI05+1*TTGSI$;
89	TGSI..	$0.900=G= -1*TTGSI +1*RISKSI$;
91	MODEL TESTHSM2 /ALL/;	
92		

93 SOLVE TESTHSM2 USING LP maximising Z;

TESTHSM2

Solution Report SOLVE TESTHSM2 USING LP FROM LINE 93

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL TESTHSM2 OBJECTIVE Z
TYPE LP DIRECTION MAXIMIZE
SOLVER BDMLP FROM LINE 93

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 NORMAL COMPLETION
**** MODEL STATUS 1 OPTIMAL
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 5979.2836

EXIT -- OPTIMAL SOLUTION FOUND.

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
---- VAR Z	-INF	5979.284	+INF	.
---- VAR PAST	.	10.549	+INF	.
---- VAR FEW	.	46.988	+INF	.
---- VAR BEW	.	46.988	+INF	.
---- VAR PLAMB	.	46.988	+INF	.
---- VAR BYEW	.	46.988	+INF	.
---- VAR BYPL	.	.	+INF	EPS
---- VAR SEW	.	10.398	+INF	.
---- VAR SPL	.	46.988	+INF	.
---- VAR ODF	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PYODF	.	.	+INF	-0.124
---- VAR LOAN	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PYLOAN	.	.	+INF	-0.095
---- VAR BYLAND	.	0.549	+INF	.
---- VAR PVC	.	1143.743	+INF	.
---- VAR TBKB	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR TSI01	.	5.274	+INF	.
---- VAR TSI02	.	7.384	+INF	.
---- VAR TSI03	.	8.439	+INF	.
---- VAR TSI04	.	9.494	+INF	.
---- VAR TSI05	.	10.549	+INF	.
---- VAR TTGSI	.	9.062	+INF	.
---- VAR RISKSI	.	9.962	+INF	.
---- VAR TSAB	.	.	+INF	-0.089
---- VAR TWB	.	5979.284	+INF	.

**** REPORT SUMMARY :
0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE
0 UNBOUNDED

STEP SUMMARY:

0.160 STARTUP
0.000 COMPILATION
0.280 EXECUTION
0.000 CLOSEDOWN
0.440 TOTAL SECONDS

***FILE SUMMARY

INPUT	C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESES\TESTHSM2.GMS
OUTPUT	C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESES\TESTHSM2.LST
SYNTHESIS	C:\WP51\NEWTHESES\TESTHSM2.DOC

TESTHSM3: DEMONSTRATIVE TEST: STOCHASTIC MODEL WITH TWO INPUT-OUTPUT RANDOM VARIABLES (LAMBING RATE AND SUSTAINABILITY INDEX) PLUS AN EXPONENTIAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

DECISION VARIABLES

7	PAST	Pasture production (DSE)
8	FEW	DSE ewe ratio to the ha.
9	BEW	Breeding ewes activity (heads)
10	PLAMB	Prime lambs activity (heads)
11	BYEW	Buying ewes activity (heads)
12	BYPL	Buying prime lambs activity (heads)
13	SEW	Sell ewes activity (heads)
14	SPL	Sell prime lambs activity (heads)
15	ODF	Overdraft option (A\$)
16	PYODF	Pay overdraft $i = 0.12$
17	LOAN	Loan option (A\$)
18	PYLOAN	Pay loan $i = 0.09$
19	BYLAND	Buy land (ha)
20	PVC	Pay variable costs (A\$)
21	TBKB	Transfer bank account balance (index)
22	TLR01	Transfer LR 1
23	TLR02	Transfer LR 2
24	TLR03	Transfer LR 3
25	TLR04	Transfer LR 4
26	TLR05	Transfer LR 5
27	TTGLR	Transfer to target value of LR
28	RISKLR	Transfer random value to the main equation
29	TLSI1	Transfer SI 1
30	TLSI2	Transfer SI 2
31	TLSI3	Transfer SI 3
32	TLSI4	Transfer SI 4
33	TLSI5	Transfer SI 5
34	TTGSI	Transfer SI to target row
35	RISKSI	Risk sustainability index transferring to major stochastic constraint
36	TSAB	Transfer saving account balance to objective function
37	TWB	Transfer farm wealth account balance to objective function (index);

38

39 EQUATION VARIABLES

40	Obj	Maximise Cash Flow (A\$)
41	CCAP	Carrying Capacity (DSE)
42	LAND	Available land (ha)
43	EWINV	Ewes inventory (heads)
44	BFEWT	Breeding-feeding ewes tie (index)
45	PLINV	Prime lambs inventory (heads)
46	EWSP	Ewes sale pool (heads)

47	PLSP	Prime lambs sale pool (heads)
48	EWMKS	Ewes marker supply (heads)
49	PLMKS	Prime lambs market supply (heads)
50	LANDMKS	Land market supply (ha)
51	ODFOPT	Overdraft option (A\$)
52	ODFTIE	Overdraft option and overdraft payment tie (index)
53	LOANOPT	Loan option (A\$)
54	LOANTIE	Loan option and loan payment tie (index)
55	COSTACC	Cost account (A\$)
56	BKACC	Bank account (A\$)
57	SAVACC	Saving account (A\$)
58	FARMW	Farm wealth account (A\$)
59	SI5	Sustainability index 1
60	SI7	Sustainability index 2
61	SI8	Sustainability index 3
62	SI9	Sustainability index 4
63	SI1	Sustainability index 5
64	RFDSI	Density function of sustainability effect
65	TGSI	Target value of sustainability index
66	LR01	Lambing rate year 1 (density function value)
67	LR02	Lambing rate year 2 (density function value)
68	LR03	Lambing rate year 3 (density function value)
69	LR04	Lambing rate year 4 (density function value)
70	LR05	Lambing rate year 5 (density function value)
71	RFDLR	Relative frequency distribution of lambing rates (probability)
72	TARGETLR	Target lambing rate;

74 MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING EQUATIONS

75	Obj..	$Z=E= +1*TSAB +1*TWB^{(1-r)}$;
76	CCAP..	$0=G= +0.212*FEW -1*RISKLR$;
77	LAND..	$10=G= +1*PAST -1*BYLAND$;
78	EWINV..	$0=G= +1*BEW -1*BYEW$;
79	BFEWT..	$0=E= +1*FEW -1*BEW$;
80	PLINV..	$0=G= +1*PLAMB -1*BYPL -1*RISKLR$;
81	EWSP..	$1=G= -0.200*BEW +1*SEW$;
82	PLSP..	$0=G= -1*PLAMB +1*SPL$;
83	EWMKS..	$0=L= +1*BYEW$;
84	PLMKS..	$0=L= +1*BYPL$;
85	LANDMKS..	$10=G= +1*BYLAND$;
86	ODFOPT..	$2000=G= +1*ODF$;
87	ODFTIE..	$0=E= -1.120*ODF +1*PYODF$;
88	LOANOPT..	$5000=G= +1*LOAN$;
89	LOANTIE..	$0=E= -1.090*LOAN +1*PYLOAN$;
90	COSTACC..	$0=E= +3.500*BEW +15*BYEW +20*BYPL +500*BYLAND$ $-1*PVC$;
92	BKACC..	$100=G= -10*SEW -20*SPL -1*ODF +1*PYODF -1*LOAN$
93		$+1*PYLOAN +1*PVC +1*TBKB$;

```

94 SAVACC.. 0=E= -1.060*TBKB +1*TSAB;
95 FARMW.. 0=E= -500*PAST -15*BEW +1*TWB;
96 SI5.. 0=G= -0.500*PAST +1*TLR01;
97 SI7.. 0=G= -0.700*PAST +1*TLR02;
98 SI8.. 0=G= -0.800*PAST +1*TLR03;
99 SI9.. 0=G= -0.900*PAST +1*TLR04;
100 SI1.. 0=G= -1*PAST +1*TLR05;
101 RFDSI.. 0=G= -0.070*TLR01 -0.130*TLR02 -0.200*TLR03 -0.270*TLR04
-0.330*TLR05+1*TTARG;
103 TGS1.. 0.900=G= -1*TTARG +1*RISKLR;
104 LR01.. 0=G= -1.200*BEW +1*TLR01;
105 LR02.. 0=G= -1.050*BEW +1*TLR02;
106 LR03.. 0=G= -1.100*BEW +1*TLR03;
107 LR04.. 0=G= -0.900*BEW +1*TLR04;
108 LR05.. 0=G= -1.300*BEW +1*TLR05;
109 RFDLR.. 0=G= -0.200*TLR01 -0.200*TLR02 -0.200*TLR03 -0.200*TLR04
-0.200*TLR05+1*TTARG;
111 TARGETLR.. 1=G= -1*TTARG +1*RISKLR;
112
113 MODEL TESTHSM3 /ALL/;
114
115 SOLVE TESTHSM3 USING LP maximising Z;

```

Model Statistics SOLVE TESTHSM3 USING LP FROM LINE 115

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	33	SINGLE EQUATIONS	33
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	25	SINGLE VARIABLES	25
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	81		

TESTHSM3

Solution Report SOLVE TESTHSM3 USING LP FROM LINE 115

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL TESTHSM3	OBJECTIVE Z
TYPE LP	DIRECTION MAXIMIZE
SOLVER BDMLP	FROM LINE 115

```

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 NORMAL COMPLETION
**** MODEL STATUS 1 OPTIMAL
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 5276.1400

```

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
---- VAR Z	-INF	5276.140	+INF	.

----	VAR PAST	.	10.000	+INF	.
----	VAR FEW	.	10.000	+INF	.
----	VAR BEW	.	10.000	+INF	.
----	VAR PLAMB	.	8.700	+INF	.
----	VAR BYEW	.	10.000	+INF	.
----	VAR BYPL	.	.	+INF	EPS
----	VAR SEW	.	3.000	+INF	.
----	VAR SPL	.	8.700	+INF	.
----	VAR ODFU	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR PYODF	.	.	+INF	-0.114
----	VAR LOAN	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR PYLOAN	.	.	+INF	-0.088
----	VAR BYLAND	.	.	+INF	-15.954
----	VAR PVC	.	185.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TBKB	.	119.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR01	.	5.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR02	.	7.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR03	.	8.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR04	.	9.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR05	.	10.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TTARG	.	7.800	+INF	.
----	VAR RISKLR	.	8.700	+INF	.
----	VAR TSAB	.	126.140	+INF	.
----	VAR TWB	.	5150.000	+INF	.

**** REPORT SUMMARY :

0 NONOPT
0 INFEASIBLE
0 UNBOUNDED

STEP SUMMARY:

0.160 STARTUP
0.000 COMPILATION
0.280 EXECUTION
0.000 CLOSEDOWN
0.440 TOTAL SECONDS

**** FILE SUMMARY

INPUT C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESES\TESTHSM3.GMS
OUTPUT C:\LOTUS123\NEWTHESES\TESTHSM3.LST
SYNTHESIS C:\WP51\NEWTHESES\TESTHSM3.DOC

Appendix 6.1
The Farm Planning Scenarios

Appendix 6.1 The Farm Planning Scenarios

6.1.1 The farm planning scenarios

The farm planning scenarios of the on-farm exercise were organised as deterministic and stochastic options. The names and codes of each of the farm plans are described hereafter. A printed copy of the two major scenarios is attached to this appendix and the whole set of files is located in the computer disk annexed to this document.

The deterministic scenarios are:

	Farm Plan Name	Computer filename
(a)	Single beef farm plan	BEEFDM
(b)	Single meat sheep farm plan	MEATDM
(c)	Single wool sheep farm plan	WOOLDM
(d)	Holistic farm plan	HDM

The stochastic scenarios are:

(a)	Single beef farm plan	BEEFSM
(b)	Single meat farm plan	MEATSM
(c)	Single wool farm plan	WOOLSM
(d)	Holistic farm plan	HSM

The deterministic and stochastic farm plans for the parameterisation exercise are:

(a)	Deterministic whole-farm plan	HDM1
	(Critical variables values 50% below standard farm plan)	
(b)	Deterministic whole-farm plan	HDM2
	(Critical variables 50% standard farm plan)	

- (c) Stochastic whole-farm plan HSM1
(Critical target values 50% below standard farm plan)
- (d) Stochastic whole-farm plan HSM2
(Critical target values 50% above standard farm plan)

6.1.2 Variable codes

The codes of the decision variables and the equations are described as follows:

(a) Decision variables

PAST	Pasture production land (ha)
FODDER	Fodder production land (ha)
BIA	Buildings value (\$)
MACH	Machinery and vehicles value (\$)
FCOW	Stocking rate cow to the ha DSE ratio
FHF	Stocking rate heifer to the ha DSE ratio
FCV	Stocking rate calf to the ha DSE ratio
FWN	Stocking rate weaner to the ha DSE ratio
FST	Stocking rate steer to the ha DSE ratio
FBULL	Stocking rate bull to the DSE ratio
BCOW	Breeding cows activity (heads)
BHF	Breeding heifers activity (heads)
CALV	Calf activity (heads)
WNR	Weaners activity (heads)
STEER	Trading steers activity (heads)
BULL	Bulls activity (heads)
BYCOW	Buying cows activity (heads)
BYCALV	Buying calves activity (heads)
BYHF	Buying heifers activity (heads)
BYWN	Buying weaners (heads)
BST	Buying steers activity (heads)
BBUL	Buying bulls activity (heads)
SCOW	Sell cows activity (heads)
SWNR	Sell calves activity (heads)
SHF	Sell heifers activity (heads)
SST	Sell trading steers activity (heads)
SBUL	Sell bulls activity (heads)
FMEW	Stocking rate ewe to the ha DSE ratio
FMRAM	Stocking rate ram to the ha DSE ratio
FPLAMB	Stocking rate prime lamb to the ha DSE ratio
MEW	Breeding meat ewes activity (heads)
MRAM	Meat rams activity (heads)

PLAMB	Prime lambs activity (heads)
BYMEW	Buying meat ewes activity (heads)
BYMR	Buying meat rams activity (heads)
BYPL	Buying prime lambs activity (heads)
SMEW	Sell meat ewes activity (heads)
SMR	Sell meat rams activity (heads)
SPL	Sell prime lambs activity (heads)
FWEW	Stocking rate wool ewe to the ha DSE ratio
FWRAM	Stocking rate wool ram to the ha DSE ratio
FWHG	Stocking rate female hogget to the ha DSE ratio
FWTH	Stocking rate wether to the ha DSE ratio
WEW	Breeding wool ewes activity (heads)
WRAM	Wool rams activity (heads)
WFHG	Wool female hoggets activity (heads)
WTH	Wethers activity (heads)
BYWEW	Buying wool ewes activity (heads)
BYWR	Buying wool rams activity (heads)
BYFHG	Buying female hoggets activity (heads)
BYWT	Buying wethers activity (heads)
SWEW	Sell culled wool ewes activity (heads)
SWR	Sell culled rams activity (heads)
SFHG	Sell female hoggets activity (heads)
SWT	Sell wethers activity (heads)
SWTA	Sell wool type A activity (A\$)
SWTB	Sell wool type B activity (A\$)
SWTC	Sell wool type C activity (A\$)
ODF	Overdraft option (A\$)
PYODF	Pay overdraft $i = 0.12$
LOAN	Term loan option (A\$)
PYLOAN	Pay loan $i = 0.09$
BYLAND	Buy land (ha)
PFC	Pay fixed costs (\$)
PVC	Pay variable costs (A\$)
TBKB	Bank account balance (transferred to saving account)
TSAB	Saving account balance (transferred to objective function)
TWB	Farm wealth balance (transferred to objective function)
TCP1	Transfer Cow Price 1
TCP2	Transfer Cow Price 2
TCP3	Transfer Cow Price 3
TCP4	Transfer Cow Price 4
TCP5	Transfer Cow Price 5
TPCP	Probabilistic Transfer
RISKCP	Risk transfer to main variable place
TSP1	Transfer steer price 1
TSP2	Transfer steer price 2
TSP3	Transfer steer price 3
TSP4	Transfer steer price 4

TSP5	Transfer steer price 5
TPSP	Probabilistic transfer
RISKSP	Risk transfer to main variable place
TLR01	Transfer lambing rate of year 1 (probabilistic index)
TLR02	Transfer lambing rate of year 2 (probabilistic index)
TLR03	Transfer lambing rate of year 3 (probabilistic index)
TLR04	Transfer lambing rate of year 4 (probabilistic index)
TLR05	Transfer lambing rate of year 5 (probabilistic index)
TTGLR	Transfer of distribution lambing rate values to target row (index)
RISKLR	Risk lambing rate transferring to major stochastic constraint (index)
TPLP1	Transfer prime lamb price 1
TPLP2	Transfer prime lamb price 2
TPLP3	Transfer prime lamb price 3
TPLP4	Transfer prime lamb price 4
TPLP5	Transfer prime lamb price 5
TPPLP	Probabilistic transfer
RISKPLP	Risk transfer to main variable place
TWLR01	Transfer wool lambing rate of year 1 (probabilistic index)
TWLR02	Transfer lambing rate of year 2 (probabilistic index)
TWLR03	Transfer lambing rate of year 3 (probabilistic index)
TWLR04	Transfer lambing rate of year 4 (probabilistic index)
TWLR05	Transfer lambing rate of year 5 (probabilistic index)
TWTGLR	Transfer of distribution lambing rate values to target row (index)
RISKWLR	Risk lambing rate transferring to major stochastic constraint
TWAP1	Probability transfer for wool price 1
TWAP2	Probability transfer for wool price 2
TWAP3	Probability transfer for wool price 3
TWAP4	Probability transfer for wool price 4
TWAP5	Probability transfer for wool price 5
TPWAP	Transfer of the density function for wool price
RISKWA	Risk effect for wool A price
TSI01	Probabilistic transfer of SI 1
TSI02	Probabilistic transfer of SI 2
TSI03	Probabilistic transfer of SI 3
TSI04	Probabilistic transfer of SI 4
TSI05	Probabilistic transfer of SI 5
TTGSI	Transfer of the density function SI
RISKSI	Risk effect of SI on stocking density
TLP1	Probabilistic transfer of land price 1
TLP2	Probabilistic transfer of land price 2
TLP3	Probabilistic transfer of land price 3
TLP4	Probabilistic transfer of land price 4
TLP5	Probabilistic transfer of land price 5

TPLP	Transfer of the density function land prices
RISKLP	Risk effect of land prices

(b) Equations

Obj. Funct.	Maximise Farm Assets (A\$)
CCAP	Carrying Capacity 8.5 DSE value to the ha
LIVLAND	Available livestock land (ha)
CROPLAND	Available cropping land
BUILDG	Buildings initial inventory
MACHINV	Machinery initial inventory
COWINV	Cows inventory (heads)
BFCWT	Breeding-feeding cows tie
CVINV	Calves inventory (heads)
BFCFT	Breeding-feeding calves tie
HFINV	Replacement heifers inventory (heads)
BFHFT	Breeding-feeding heifers tie
WNINV	Weaners inventory (heads)
BFWNT	Breeding-feeding weaners tie
STINV	Trading steers inventory (heads)
BFSTT	Breeding-feeding steers tie
BLINV	Bulls inventory (heads)
BFBLT	Breeding-feeding bulls tie
CWSP	Cows sale pool (heads)
CFWNT	Calf-weaner tie
HFSP	Heifers sale pool (heads)
WNSP	Weaners sale pool (heads)
STSP	Steers sale pool (heads)
BLSP	Bulls sale pool (heads)
CWMKS	Cows market supply (heads)
HFMKS	Heifers market supply (heads)
WNMKS	Weaners market supply (heads)
STMKS	Steers market supply (heads)
BLMKS	Bulls market supply (heads)
MEINV	Ewes inventory (heads)
BFMET	Breeding-feeding ewes tie (index)
MRINV	Meat rams inventory (heads)
BFMRT	Breeding-feeding rams tie
PLINV	Prime lambs inventory (heads)
BFPLT	Breeding-feeding prime lambs tie
MEWSP	Meat ewes sale pool (heads)
MRSP	Meat rams sale pool (heads)
PLSP	Prime lambs sale pool (heads)
MEMKS	Meat Ewes market supply (heads)
MRMKS	Meat rams market supply (heads)
PLMKS	Prime lambs market supply (heads)
WEINV	Wool ewes inventory (heads)
FWET	Breeding-feeding ewes tie (index)

WLINV	Wool lambs inventory (heads)
WRINV	Wool rams inventory (heads)
BFWRT	Breeding-feeding rams tie
HGINV	Hoggets inventory (heads)
BFHGT	Breeding-feeding hoggets tie
WTINV	Wethers inventory (heads)
BFWTT	Breeding-feeding wethers tie
WEWRT	Ewe:ram tie
WEHWT	Breeding ewe tie to hogget and wether
WESP	Meat ewes sale pool (heads)
WRSP	Meat rams sale pool (heads)
WHGSP	Prime lambs sale pool (heads)
WTSP	Wethers sale pool (heads)
WEMKS	Ewes marker supply (heads)
WRMKS	Rams market supply (heads)
HGMKS	Hoggets market supply (heads)
WTMKS	Wethers market supply (heads)
WTASP	Wool type A sale pool
WTBSP	Wool type B sale pool
WTCSP	Wool type C sale pool
LANDMKS	Land market supply (ha)
ODFOPT	Overdraft option (A\$)
ODFTIE	Overdraft option and overdraft payment tie (index)
LOANOPT	Loan option (A\$)
LOANTIE	Loan option and loan payment tie (index)
COSTACC	Variable costs account (A\$)
FCACC	Fixed costs account
BKACC	Bank account (A\$)
SAVACC	Saving bank account (A\$)
FARMW	Farm Assets Account (A\$)
CWP1	Cow price 1
CWP2	Cow price 2
CWP3	Cow price 3
CWP4	Cow price 4
CWP5	Cow price 5
RFDCP	Probability transfer
TARGCP	Target cow price
STP1	Steer price 1
TP2	Steer price 2
STP3	Steer price 3
STP4	Steer price 4
STP5	Steer price 5
RFDSP	Probability transfer
TARGSP	Target steer price
LR01	Lambing rate year 1 (density function value)
LR02	Lambing rate year 2 (density function value)
LR03	Lambing rate year 3 (density function value)
LR04	Lambing rate year 4 (density function value)

LR05	Lambing rate year 5 (density function value)
RFDLR	Relative frequency distribution of lambing rates (probability)
TARGETLR	Target lambing rate (index)
PLP1	Prime lamb price 1
PLP2	Prime lamb price 2
PLP3	Prime lamb price 3
PLP4	Prime lamb price 4
PLP5	Prime lamg price 5
RFDPLP	Probability transfer
TGPLP	Target prime lamb price
WLR01	Wool lambing rate year 1 (density function value)
WLR02	Lambing rate year 2 (density function value)
WLR03	Lambing rate year 3 (density function value)
WLR04	Lambing rate year 4 (density function value)
WLR05	Lambing rate year 5 (density function value)
RFDWLR	Relative frequency distribution of lambing rates (probability)
TGWLR	Target lambing rate (index)
WTAP1	Wool A price 1
WTAP2	Wool A price 2
WTAP3	Wool A price 3
WTAP4	Wool A price 4
WTAP5	Wool A price 5
RFDWAP	Probability transfer
TGWAP	Target price wool type A
SI5	Sustainability index 0.50
SI7	Sustainability index 0.70
SI8	Sustainability index 0.80
SI9	Sustainability index 0.90
SI1	Sustainability index 1.00
RFDISI	Probability transferring
TGSI	Target sustainability index
LP1	Land price 1
LP2	Land price 2
LP3	Land price 3
LP4	Land price 4
LP5	Land price 5
RFDLP	Probability transferring
TGLP	Target land price

6.1.3 Mathematical Programming System (MPS) files

(a) Holistic Deterministic Farm Plan

The input and output MPS files for HDM farm plan are described hereafter.

151 Obj.. Z =E= +1*TSAB +1*TWB;

152	CCAP.	0	=G=	-1.0*PAST +1.294*FCOW +1.177*FHF +0.471*FCV +0.941*FWN +1.177*FST +1.412*FBULL +0.212*FMEW +0.294*FMRAM +0.200*FPLAMB +0.212*FWEW +0.294*FWRAM +0.200*FWHG +0.118*FWTH ;
155	LIVLAND..	1111	=G=	+1*PAST -1*BYLAND;
156	CROPLAND..	150	=G=	+1*FODDER;
157	BUILDG..	155000	=G=	+1*BIA;
158	MACHINV..	85200	=G=	+1*MACH;
159	COWINV..	0	=G=	+1*BCOW -1*BYCOW;
160	BFCWT..	0	=E=	+1*FCOW -1*BCOW;
161	CVINV..	0	=G=	-0.950*BCOW +1*CALV -1*BYCALV;
162	BFCFT..	0	=E=	+1*FCV -1*CALV;
163	HFINV..	0	=G=	+1*BHF -1*BYHF;
164	BFHFT..	0	=E=	+1*FHF -1*BHF;
165	WNINV..	0	=G=	+1*WNR -1*BYWN;
166	BFWNT..	0	=E=	+1*FWN -1*WNR;
167	STINV..	0	=G=	+1*STEER -1*BST;
168	BFSTT..	0	=E=	+1*FST -1*STEER;
169	BLINV..	0	=G=	+1*BULL -1*BBUL;
170	BFBLT..	0	=E=	+1*FBULL -1*BULL;
171	CWSP..	1	=G=	-0.200*BCOW +1*SCOW;
172	CFWNT..	0	=E=	-1*CALV +1*WNR;
173	HFSP..	1	=G=	-0.500*BHF +1*SHF;
174	WNSP..	1	=G=	-1*WNR +1*SWNR;
175	STSP..	1	=G=	-1*STEER +1*SST;
176	BLSP..	1	=G=	-0.200*BULL +1*SBUL;
177	CWMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYCOW;
178	HFMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYHF;
179	WNMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYWN;
180	STMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BST;
181	BLMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BBUL;
182	MEINV..	0	=G=	+1*MEW -1*BYMEW;
183	BFMET..	0	=E=	+1*FMEW -1*MEW;
184	MRINV..	0	=G=	+1*MRAM -1*BYMR;
185	BFMRT..	0	=E=	+1*FMRAM -1*MRAM;
186	PLINV..	0	=G=	-1*MEW +1*PLAMB -1*BYPL;
187	BFPLT..	0	=E=	+1*FPLAMB -1*PLAMB;
188	WEWRT..	0	=E=	-1.00*WEW +0.02*WRAM;
189	WEHWT..	0	=E=	-1.00*WEW +0.50*WFHG +0.50*WTH ;
190	MEWSP..	1	=G=	-0.200*MEW +1*SMEW;
191	MRSP..	1	=G=	+0.250*MRAM +1*SMR;
192	PLSP..	0	=G=	-1*PLAMB +1*SPL;
193	MEMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYMEW;
194	MRMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYMR;
195	PLMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYPL;
196	WEINV..	1	=G=	+1*WEW -1*BYWEW;
197	BFWET..	0	=E=	+1*FWEW -1*WEW;

198 WLINV.. 1 =G= -0.900*WEW +0.450*WFHG +0.450*WTH;
199 WRINV.. 1 =G= +1*WRAM -1*BYWR;
200 BFWRT.. 0 =E= +1*FWRAM -1*WRAM;
201 HGINV.. 1 =G= +1*WFHG -1*BYFHG;
202 BFHGT.. 0 =E= +1*FWHG -1*WFHG;
203 WTINV.. 1 =G= +1*WTH -1*BYWT;
204 BFWTT.. 0 =E= +1*FWTH -1*WTH;
205 WESP.. 1 =G= -0.200*WEW +1*SWEW;
206 WRSP.. 1 =G= -0.250*WRAM +1*SWR;
207 WHGSP.. 1 =G= -1*WFHG +1*SFHG;
208 WTSP.. 1 =G= -0.500*WTH +1*SWT;
209 WTASP.. 1 =G= -3.500*MEW -3.500*WEW -5.500*WRAM
-2.500*WFHG -3.700*WTH +1*SWTA;
211 WTBSP.. 1 =G= -1*MEW -1*MRAM -1*WEW -0.500*WRAM
-0.500*WFHG -0.500*WTH +1*SWTB ;
213 WTCSP.. 1 =G= -1*MEW -8*MRAM -1*WEW -3.500*WRAM
-1*WFHG -2*WTH +1*SWTC;
215 WEMKS.. 0 =L= +1*BYWEW;
216 WRMKS.. 0 =L= +1*BYWR;
217 HGMKS.. 0 =L= +1*BYFHG;
218 WTMKS.. 0 =L= +1*BYWT;
219 LANDMKS.. 500 =G= +1*BYLAND;
220 ODFOPT.. 5000 =G= +1*ODF;
221 ODFTIE.. 0 =E= -1.120*ODF +1*PYODF;
222 LOANOPT.. 100000 =G= +1*LOAN;
223 LOANTIE.. 0 =E= -1.090*LOAN +1*PYLOAN;
224 FCACC.. -71400 =E= -1*PFC;
225 BKACC.. 324231 =G= +65*BCOW +59*BHF +24*CALV +48*WNR
+59*STEER +71*BULL +400*BYCOW
+50*BYCALV +400*BYHF +150*BYWN +300*BST
+2500*BBUL +10.640*MEW +14.770*MRAM
+10.050*PLAMB +15*BYMEW +250*BYMR
+20*BYPL +10.640*WEW +14.770*WRAM
+5.900*WFHG +5.900*WTH +15*BYWEW
+1000*BYWR +20*BYFHG +30*BYWT -360*SCOW
-300*SWNR -360*SHF -500*SST -354*SBUL
-10*SMEW -10*SMR -20*SPL -3.950*SWTA
-3.600*SWTB -2.200*SWTC -5*SWEW -10*SWR
-20*SFHG -30*SWT -1*ODF +1*PYODF -1*LOAN
+1*PFC +1200*BYLAND +1*TBKB;
234 SAVACC.. 0 =E= -1.060*TBKB +1*TSAB;
235 FARMA.. 0 =E= -1318.400*PAST -1318.400*FODDER -0.950*BIA
-0.900*MACH -400*BCOW -400*BHF -50*CALV
-300*WNR -500*STEER -2500*BULL -15*MEW
-200*MRAM -15*WEW -1000*WRAM +1*PYLOAN
+1*TWB;
240 MODEL HDM /ALL/;
242 SOLVE HDM USING LP maximising Z;

OPTIMAL SOLUTION

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
---- VAR Z	INF	3.0376E+6	+INF	.
---- VAR PAST	.	1562.653	+INF	.
---- VAR FODDER	.	150.000	+INF	.
---- VAR BIA	.	1.5500E+5	+INF	.
---- VAR MACH	.	85200.000	+INF	.
---- VAR FCOW	.	.	+INF	-311.913
---- VAR FHF	.	.	+INF	-132.276
---- VAR FCV	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FWN	.	.	+INF	-235.552
---- VAR FST	.	1327.400	+INF	.
---- VAR FBULL	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BCOW	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BHF	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR CALV	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR WNR	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR STEER	.	1327.400	+INF	.
---- VAR BULL	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BYCOW	.	.	+INF	-645.511
---- VAR BYCALV	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BYHF	.	.	+INF	-645.511
---- VAR BYWN	.	.	+INF	-242.067
---- VAR BST	.	1327.400	+INF	.
---- VAR BBUL	.	.	+INF	-2407.571
---- VAR SCOW	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SWNR	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SHF	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SST	.	1328.400	+INF	.
---- VAR SBUL	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR FMEW	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FMRAM	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FPLAMB	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR MEW	.	.	+INF	-98.317
---- VAR MRAM	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PLAMB	.	.	+INF	-107.570
---- VAR BYMEW	.	.	+INF	-24.207
---- VAR BYMR	.	.	+INF	-378.833
---- VAR BYPL	.	.	+INF	-32.276
---- VAR SMEW	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SMR	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SPL	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FWEW	.	0.020	+INF	.
---- VAR FWRAM	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR FWHG	.	.	+INF	-53.818
---- VAR FWTH	.	0.040	+INF	.
---- VAR WEW	.	0.020	+INF	.

----	VAR WRAM	.	1.000	+INF	.
----	VAR WFHG	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR WTH	.	0.040	+INF	.
----	VAR BYWEW	.	.	+INF	-24.207
----	VAR BYWR	.	.	+INF	-767.905
----	VAR BYFHG	.	.	+INF	-32.276
----	VAR BYWT	.	.	+INF	-48.413
----	VAR SWEW	.	1.004	+INF	.
----	VAR SWR	.	1.250	+INF	.
----	VAR SFHG	.	1.000	+INF	.
----	VAR SWT	.	1.020	+INF	.
----	VAR SWTA	.	6.713	+INF	.
----	VAR SWTB	.	1.540	+INF	.
----	VAR SWTC	.	4.600	+INF	.
----	VAR ODF	.	.	+INF	-0.194
----	VAR PYODF	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR LOAN	.	1.0000E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR PYLOAN	.	1.0900E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR BYLAND	.	451.653	+INF	.
----	VAR PFC	.	71400.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TBKB	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TSAB	.	.	+INF	-0.522
----	VAR TWB	.	3.0376E+6	+INF	.

(b) The holistic stochastic farm plan (HSM)

The input and output MPS files for HSM farm plan are described hereafter>

269	Obj..	Z	=E=	+1*TSAB +1*TFAB^(1-0.0001);
270	CCAP..	0	=G=	-1.00*RISKSI +1.294*FCOW +1.177*FHF +0.471*FCV +0.941*FWN +1.177*FST +1.412*FBULL +0.212*FMEW +0.294*FMRAM +0.200*FPLAMB +0.212*FWEW +0.294*FWRAM +0.200*FWHG +0.118*FWTH ;
273	LIVLAND	1111	=G=	+1*PAST -1*BYLAND;
274	CROPLAND..	150	=G=	+1*FODDER;
275	BUILDG..	155000	=G=	+1*BIA;
276	MACHINV..	85200	=G=	+1*MACH;
277	COWINV..	0	=G=	+1*BCOW -1*BYCOW;
278	BFCWT..	0	=E=	+1*FCOW -1*BCOW;
279	CVINV..	0	=G=	-0.950*BCOW +1*CALV -1*BYCALV;
280	BFCFT..	0	=E=	+1*FCV -1*CALV;
281	HFINV..	0	=G=	+1*BHF -1*BYHF;
282	BFHFT..	0	=E=	+1*FHF -1*BHF;
283	WNINV..	0	=G=	+1*WNR -1*BYWN;
284	BFWNT..	0	=E=	+1*FWN -1*WNR;
285	STINV..	0	=G=	+1*STEER -1*BST;
286	BFSTT..	0	=E=	+1*FST -1*STEER;

287	BLINV..	0	=G=	+1*BULL -1*BBUL;
288	BFBLT..	0	=E=	+1*FBULL -1*BULL;
289	CWSP..	1	=G=	-0.200*BCOW +1*SCOW;
290	CFWNT..	0	=E=	-1*CALV +1*WNR;
291	HFSP..	1	=G=	-0.500*BHF +1*SHF;
292	WNSP..	1	=G=	-1*WNR +1*SWNR;
293	STSP..	1	=G=	-1*STEER +1*SST;
294	BLSP..	1	=G=	-0.200*BULL +1*SBUL;
295	CWMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYCOW;
296	HFMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYHF;
297	WNMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYWN;
298	STMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BST;
299	BLMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BBUL;
300	MEINV..	0	=G=	+1*MEW -1*BYMEW;
301	BFMET..	0	=E=	+1*FMEW -1*MEW;
302	MRINV..	0	=G=	+1*MRAM -1*BYMR;
303	BFMRT..	0	=E=	+1*FMRAM -1*MRAM;
304	PLINV..	0	=G=	-1*RISKLR +1*PLAMB -1*BYPL;
305	BFPLT..	0	=E=	+1*FPLAMB -1*PLAMB;
306	WEWRT..	0	=E=	-1.00*WEW +0.02*WRAM;
307	WEHWT..	0	=E=	-1.00*WEW +0.50*WFHG +0.50*WTH ;
308	MEWSP..	1	=G=	-0.200*MEW +1*SMEW;
309	MRSP..	1	=G=	+0.250*MRAM +1*SMR;
310	PLSP..	0	=G=	-1*PLAMB +1*SPL;
311	MEMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYMEW;
312	MRMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYMR; 313 PLMKS.. 0=L= +1*BYPL;
314	WEINV..	1	=G=	+1*WEW -1*BYWEW;
315	BFWET..	0	=E=	+1*FWEW -1*WEW;
316	WLINV..	1	=G=	-1.0*RISKWLR +0.450*WFHG +0.450*WTH;
317	WRINV..	1	=G=	+1*WRAM -1*BYWR;
318	BFWRT..	0	=E=	+1*FWRAM -1*WRAM;
319	HGINV..	1	=G=	+1*WFHG -1*BYFHG;
320	BFHGT..	0	=E=	+1*FWHG -1*WFHG;
321	WTINV..	1	=G=	+1*WTH -1*BYWT;
322	BFWTT..	0	=E=	+1*FWTH -1*WTH;
323	WESP..	1	=G=	-0.200*WEW +1*SWEW;
324	WRSP..	1	=G=	-0.250*WRAM +1*SWR;
325	WHGSP..	1	=G=	-1*WFHG +1*SFHG;
326	WTSP..	1	=G=	-0.500*WTH +1*SWT;
327	WTASP..	1	=G=	-3.500*MEW -3.500*WEW -5.500*WRAM -2.500*WFHG -3.700*WTH +1*SWTA;
329	WTBSP..	1	=G=	-1*MEW -1*MRAM -1*WEW -0.500*WRAM -0.500*WFHG -0.500*WTH +1*SWTB ;
331	WTCSP..	1	=G=	-1*MEW -8*MRAM -1*WEW -3.500*WRAM -1*WFHG -2*WTH +1*SWTC;
333	WEMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYWEW;
334	WRMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYWR;
335	HGMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYFHG;

336	WTMKS..	0	=L=	+1*BYWT;
337	LANDMKS..	500	=G=	+1*BYLAND;
338	ODFOPT..	5000	=G=	+1*ODF;
339	ODFTIE..	0	=E=	-1.120*ODF +1*PYODF;
340	LOANOPT..	100000	=G=	+1*LOAN;
341	LOANTIE..	0	=E=	-1.090*LOAN +1*PYLOAN;
342	FCACC..	-71400	=E=	-1*PFC;
343	BKACC..	324231	=G=	+65*BCOW +59*BHF +24*CALV +48*WNR +59*STEER +71*BULL +400*BYCOW +50*BYCALV +400*BYHF +150*BYWN +300*BST +2500*BBUL +10.640*MEW +14.770*MRAM +10.050*PLAMB +15*BYMEW +250*BYMR +20*BYPL +10.640*WEW +14.770*WRAM +5.900*WFHG +5.900*WTH +15*BYWEW +1000*BYWR +20*BYFHG +30*BYWT -1.0*RISKCP -300*SWNR -360*SHF -1.0*RISKSP -354*SBUL -10*SMEW -10*SMR -1.00*RISKPLP -1.0*RISKWA -3.600*SWTB -2.200*SWTC -5*SWEW -10*SWR -20*SFHG -30*SWT -1*ODF +1*PYODF -1*LOAN +1*PFC +1200*BYLAND +1*TBKB;
353	SAVACC..	0	=E=	-1.060*TBKB +1*TSAB;
354	FARMA..	0	=E=	-1.00*RISKLP -1318.400*FODDER -0.950*BIA -0.900*MACH -400*BCOW -400*BHF -50*CALV -300*WNR -500*STEER -2500*BULL -15*MEW -200*MRAM -15*WEW - 1000*WRAM +1*PYLOAN +1*TFAB;
358	CWP1..	0	=G=	-520*SCOW +1.0*TCP1;
359	CWP2..	0	=G=	-480*SCOW +1.0*TCP2;
360	CWP3..	0	=G=	-400*SCOW +1.0*TCP3;
361	CWP4..	0	=G=	360*SCOW +1.0*TCP4;
362	CWP5..	0	=G=	-450*SCOW +1.0*TCP5;
363	RFDCP..	0	=G=	-0.136*TCP1 -0.146*TCP2 -0.194*TCP3 -0.248*TCP4 -0.276*TCP5 +1.0*TPCP;
365	TARGCP.	450	=G=	-1.0*TPCP +1.0*RISKCP;
366	STP1..	0	=G=	-350*SST +1*TSP1;
367	STP2..	0	=G=	-400*SST +1*TSP2;
368	STP3..	0	=G=	-520*SST +1*TSP3;
369	STP4..	0	=G=	-500*SST +1*TSP4;
370	STP5..	0	=G=	-600*SST +1*TSP5;
371	RFDSP.	0	=G=	-0.14*TSP1 -0.211*TSP2 -0.207*TSP3 -0.271*TSP4 -0.171*TSP5 +1*TPSP;
373	TARGSP.	400	=G=	-1*TPSP +1*RISKSP;
375	LR01..	0	=G=	0.68*MEW +1*TLR01;
376	LR02..	0	=G=	-0.75*MEW +1*TLR02;
377	LR03..	0	=G=	-0.85*MEW +1*TLR03;
378	LR04..	0	=G=	-0.89*MEW +1*TLR04;
379	LR05..	0	=G=	-0.95*MEW +1*TLR05;

380 RFDLR.. 0 =G= -0.16*TLR01 -0.170*TLR02 -0.200*TLR03
-0.250*TLR04 -0.220*TLR05 +1*TTGLR;
382 TARGETLR.. 1 =G= -1*TTGLR +1*RISKLR;
383 PLP1.. 0 =G= -32.0*SPL +1*TPLP1;
384 PLP2.. 0 =G= -36.0*SPL +1*TPLP2;
385 PLP3.. 0 =G= -47.0*SPL +1*TPLP3;
386 PLP4.. 0 =G= -49.0*SPL +1*TPLP4;
387 PLP5.. 0 =G= -52.0*SPL +1*TPLP5;
388 RFDPLP.. 0 =G= -0.28*TPLP1 -0.180*TPLP2 -0.090*TPLP3
-0.220*TPLP4 -0.230*TPLP5 +1*TPPLP;
390 TGPLP.. 1 =G= -1*TPPLP +1*RISKPLP;
391 WLR01.. 0 =G= -0.68*WEW +1*TWLR01;
392 WLR02.. 0 =G= -0.75*WEW +1*TWLR02;
393 WLR03.. 0 =G= -0.85*WEW +1*TWLR03;
394 WLR04.. 0 =G= -0.89*WEW +1*TWLR04;
395 WLR05.. 0 =G= -0.95*WEW +1*TWLR05;
396 RFDWLR.. 0 =G= -0.16*TWLR01 -0.170*TWLR02 -0.200*TWLR03 -
0.250*TWLR04 -0.220*TWLR05 +1*TWTGLR;
398 TGWLR.. 0.85 =G= -1*TWTGLR +1*RISKWLR;
399 WTAP1.. 0 =G= -3.79*SWTA +1.0*TWAP1;
400 WTAP2.. 0 =G= -3.95*SWTA +1.0*TWAP2;
401 WTAP3.. 0 =G= -4.54*SWTA +1.0*TWAP3;
402 WTAP4.. 0 =G= -4.74*SWTA +1.0*TWAP4;
403 WTAP5.. 0 =G= -6.00*SWTA +1.0*TWAP5;
404 RFDWAP.. 0 =G= -0.29*TWAP1 -0.16*TWAP2 -0.10*TWAP3
-0.05*TWAP4 -0.40*TWAP5 +1.0*TPWAP;
406 TGWAP.. 0.85 =G= -1.0*TPWAP +RISKWA;
407 SI5.. 0 =G= -0.500*PAST +1*TSI01;
408 SI7.. 0 =G= -0.700*PAST +1*TSI02;
409 SI8.. 0 =G= -0.800*PAST +1*TSI03;
410 SI9.. 0 =G= -0.900*PAST +1*TSI04;
411 SI1.. 0 =G= -1*PAST +1*TSI05;
412 RFDSI.. 0 =G= -0.070*TSI01 -0.130*TSI02 -0.200*TSI03
-0.270*TSI04 -0.330*TSI05 +1*TTGSI;
414 TGSI.. 0.900 =G= -1*TTGSI +1*RISKSI;
415 LP1.. 0 =G= -689*PAST +1.0*TLP1;
416 LP2.. 0 =G= -810*PAST +1.0*TLP2;
417 LP3.. 0 =G= -930*PAST +1.0*TLP3;
418 LP4.. 0 =G= -1050*PAST +1.0*TLP4;
419 LP5.. 0 =G= -1170*PAST +1.0*TLP5;
420 RFDLP. 0 =G= -0.272*TLP1 -0.182*TLP2 -0.182*TLP3 -0.091*TLP4
-0.272*TLP5+1.0*TPLP;
422 TGLP.. 800 =G= -1.00*TPLP +1.0*RISKLP;
423
424 MODEL HSM /ALL/;
426 SOLVE HSM USING LP maximising Z;

OPTIMAL SOLUTION

	LOWER	LEVEL	UPPER	MARGINAL
---- VAR Z	-INF	2.2626E+6	+INF	.
---- VAR PAST	.	1518.440	+INF	.
---- VAR FODDER	.	150.000	+INF	.
---- VAR BIA	.	1.5500E+5	+INF	.
---- VAR MACH	.	85200.000	+INF	.
---- VAR FCOW	.	.	+INF	-222.596
---- VAR FHF	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FCV	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FWN	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FST	.	1108.697	+INF	.
---- VAR FBULL	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BCOW	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BHF	.	.	+INF	-99.008
---- VAR CALV	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR WNR	.	.	+INF	-210.766
---- VAR STEER	.	1108.697	+INF	.
---- VAR BULL	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BYCOW	.	.	+INF	-461.302
---- VAR BYCALV	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BYHF	.	.	+INF	-461.302
---- VAR BYWN	.	.	+INF	-172.988
---- VAR BST	.	1108.697	+INF	.
---- VAR BBUL	.	.	+INF	-1149.416
---- VAR SCOW	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SWNR	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SHF	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SST	.	1109.697	+INF	.
---- VAR SBULL	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR FMEW	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FMRAM	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR FPLAMB	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR MEW	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR MRAM	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR PLAMB	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BYMEW	.	.	+INF	.
---- VAR BYMR	.	.	+INF	-243.284
---- VAR BYPL	.	.	+INF	-23.065
---- VAR SMEW	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SMR	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR SPL	.	.	+INF	-71.186
---- VAR FWEW	.	0.020	+INF	.
---- VAR FWRAM	.	1.000	+INF	.
---- VAR FWHG	.	.	+INF	-47.931
---- VAR FWTH	.	0.040	+INF	.
---- VAR WEW	.	0.020	+INF	.

----	VAR WRAM	.	1.000	+INF	.
----	VAR WFHG	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR WTH	.	0.040	+INF	.
----	VAR BYWEW	.	.	+INF	-17.299
----	VAR BYWR	.	.	+INF	-288.098
----	VAR BYFHG	.	.	+INF	-23.065
----	VAR BYWT	.	.	+INF	-34.598
----	VAR SWEW	.	1.004	+INF	.
----	VAR SWR	.	1.250	+INF	.
----	VAR SFHG	.	1.000	+INF	.
----	VAR SWT	.	1.020	+INF	.
----	VAR SWTA	.	6.713	+INF	.
----	VAR SWTB	.	1.540	+INF	.
----	VAR SWTC	.	4.600	+INF	.
----	VAR ODF	.	.	+INF	-0.138
----	VAR PYODF	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR LOAN	.	1.0000E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR PYLOAN	.	1.0900E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR BYLAND	.	407.440	+INF	.
----	VAR PFC	.	71400.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TBKB	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TSAB	.	.	+INF	-0.088
----	VAR TFAB	.	2.2626E+6	+INF	.
----	VAR TCP1	.	520.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TCP2	.	480.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TCP3	.	400.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TCP4	.	360.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TCP5	.	450.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TPCP	.	431.380	+INF	.
----	VAR RISKCP	.	881.380	+INF	.
----	VAR TSP1	.	3.8839E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR TSP2	.	4.4338E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR TSP3	.	5.7704E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR TSP4	.	5.5435E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR TSP5	.	6.6532E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR TPSP	.	5.3170E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR RISKSP	.	5.3210E+5	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR01	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR02	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR03	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR04	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TLR05	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TTGLR	.	.	+INF	-120.704
----	VAR RISKLR	.	1.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TPLP1	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TPLP2	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TPLP3	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TPLP4	.	.	+INF	.

----	VAR TPLP5	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR TPPLP	.	.	+INF	.
----	VAR RISKPLP	.	1.000	+INF	.
----	VAR TWLR01	.	0.014	+INF	.
----	VAR TWLR02	.	0.015	+INF	.
----	VAR TWLR03	.	0.017	+INF	.
----	VAR TWLR04	.	0.018	+INF	.
----	VAR TWLR05	.	0.019	+INF	.
----	VAR TWTGLR	.	.	+INF	EPS
----	VAR RISKWLR	.	.	+INF	EPS
----	VAR TWAP1	.	25.461	+INF	.
----	VAR TWAP2	.	26.536	+INF	.
----	VAR TWAP3	.	30.500	+INF	.
----	VAR TWAP4	.	31.843	+INF	.
----	VAR TWAP5	.	40.308	+INF	.
----	VAR TPWAP	.	32.395	+INF	.
----	VAR RISKWA	.	33.245	+INF	.
----	VAR TSI01	.	759.220	+INF	.
----	VAR TSI02	.	1062.908	+INF	.
----	VAR TSI03	.	1214.752	+INF	.
----	VAR TSI04	.	1366.596	+INF	.
----	VAR TSI05	.	1518.440	+INF	.
----	VAR TTGSI	.	1304.340	+INF	.
----	VAR RISKSI	.	1305.240	+INF	.
----	VAR TLP1	.	1.0462E+6	+INF	.
----	VAR TLP2	.	1.2299E+6	+INF	.
----	VAR TLP3	.	1.4121E+6	+INF	.
----	VAR TLP4	.	1.5944E+6	+INF	.
----	VAR TLP5	.	1.7766E+6	+INF	.
----	VAR TPLP	.	1.3937E+6	+INF	.
----	VAR RISKLP	.	1.3945E+E	+INF	.

REFERENCES

- Ackoff, R.L. 1973, 'Science in the Systems Age: Beyond IE, OR and MS', *Operations Research*, vol. 21, pp. 661–671.
- Ackoff, R.L. 1979, 'Resurrecting the future of operational research', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 30, pp. 189–199.
- Ackoff, R.L. & Sasieni, M.W. 1968, *Fundamentals of Operations Research*, Wiley, New York.
- Anderson, C. R. 1988, *Management: Skills, Functions, and Organisational Performance*, Allyn & Bacon, Sydney.
- Anderson, J.R. 1971, 'Economic models and agricultural production systems', *Proceedings of the Australian Society of Animal Production*, vol. 9 (1972), pp. 77–83.
- Anderson, J.R. (1972). 'An overview of modelling in agricultural management', *Review of Management and Agricultural Economics*, 40(3): 111-122.
- Anderson, J. R. 1983, *Methods and Programs for Analysis of Risky Gross Margins*, misc. publ. no. 5, Department of Agricultural Economics and Business Management, University of New England, Armidale.
- Anderson, J. R. 1994, 'Risk Management in Agriculture: An Overview', in *Risk Management in Australian Agriculture*, conference proceedings, The University of New England, Armidale, June 15 & 16.
- Anderson, J. R., Dillon, J.L. & Hardaker, J.B. 1977, *Agricultural Decision Analysis*, Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Anderson, J.R., Dillon, J.L. & Hardaker, J.B. 1985, 'Socio-economic modelling in farming systems', in *Agricultural Systems Research for Developing Countries*, ed. J. V. Remenyi, ACIAR, Canberra, 1985, pp. 77–88.
- Anderson, J.R. & Hardaker, J.B. 1979, 'Economic analysis in design of new technologies for small farmers', in *Economics and the Design of Small-Farmer Technology*, eds A. Valdes, G. Scobie & J.L. Dillon, Iowa State University Press, Ames, pp. 11–26.
- Antony, G. (1992), 'Planning Agriculture in a Small Developing Country: A Case Study of Papua New Guinea', unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of New England, Armidale.
- Antony, G. & Hardaker, J.B. 1991, 'Formal priority setting in Farming Systems Research', *Journal of the Asian Farming Systems Association*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 133–151.
- Arrow, K. 1971, *Essays in the Theory of Risk Bearing*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, cited in Pope, R.D. & Just, R.E. 1991, 'On testing the structure of risk preferences in agricultural supply analysis', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 743–748.

- Australian Farm Management Society 1995, *The Keys to Farm Business Success*, workshop presented at the 21st AFMS National Conference, 15–17 February, Orange, NSW, Australia.
- Avison, D.E. 1988, *Information Systems Development: Methodologies, Techniques and Tools*, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Bardsley, P. & Harris, M. 1987, 'An approach to the econometric estimation of attitudes to risk in agriculture', *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 112–126.
- Barry, P. (ed.) 1984, *Risk Management in Agriculture*, Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Bayliss-Smith, T.P. 1982, *The Ecology of Agricultural Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Bedeian, A.G. & Glueck, W.F. 1983, *Management*, Dryden Press, Chicago.
- Bedeian, A.G. & Zammuto, R. F. 1991, *Organisations: Theory and Analysis*, Dryden Press, Chicago.
- Bennett, P., Cropper, S. & Huxham, C. 1989, 'Modelling interactive decisions: the hypergame focus', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp. 315–340.
- Bertelsen, D. 1985, *Farm-level risk management: a safety-first analysis*, unpublished M.Sc thesis, North Dakota State University, Fargo.
- Bowman, C. & Asch, D. 1987, *Strategic Management*, Macmillan, London.
- Brech, E. F. L. 1965, *Organisation: The Framework of Management*, Longman, London.
- Brewer, R. 1988, *The Science of Ecology*, Saunders, Philadelphia.
- Brockington, N.R. 1979, *Computer Modelling in Agriculture*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Brooke, A., Kendrick, D. & Meeraus, A. 1992. *GAMS: A User's Guide*, Boyd & Fraser, Danvers.
- Byerlee, D., Heisey, P. & Hobbs, P. 1989, 'Diagnosing research priorities for small farmers: experiences from on-farm research in Pakistan', *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, vol. 28, no. 3/4, pp. 254–265.
- Byerlee, D. & Tripp, R. 1988, 'Strengthening linkages in agricultural research through a farming systems perspective: the role of social scientists', *Experimental Agriculture*, vol. 24, pp. 137–151.
- Callaghan, A.R. 1939, 'Economic problems in agriculture: the need for trained agricultural economists', *Journal of the Australian Institute of Agriculture Science*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 188–193.
- Calvert, R.E. 1981, *Introduction to Building Management*, Butterworths, Sydney.

- Certo, S. C. 1989, *Principles of Modern Management*, Allyn & Bacon, Boston.
- Certo, S.C. 1992, *Modern Management : Quality, Ethics, and the Global Environment*, Allyn & Bacon, Boston.
- Charnes, A. & Cooper, W.W. 1959, 'Chance-constrained programming', *Management Science*, vol. 6, pp. 73–79.
- Charry, A. & Dillon, J.L. 1989, 'Structuring national research with a farming systems perspective for the tropical savannas of Colombia', *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, vol. 28, no. 3/4, pp. 315–325.
- Charry, A.A. & Nielsen, G.A. 1996, *Farm Business Management: A Flexible Approach to Training Professional Farmers*, Farm Business Management Internal Paper Series, Orange Agricultural College, The University of Sydney, Orange.
- Charry, A., Daramola, G. & Dillon, J.L. 1992, 'Application of mathematical programming in farming systems research and extension: a methodological outline', *Journal of the West African Farming Systems Research Network*, vol. 21, pp. 31–40.
- Charry, A. & Henry, E.S. 1993, 'The professional farm manager beyond 2000', *Proceedings of the 1993 National Farm Management Conference-Workshop Papers*, Australian Farm Management Society, Dookie, 9–12, pp. 1–7.
- Checkland, P. 1981, *Systems Thinking, Systems Practice*, Wiley, Chichester.
- Checkland, P. 1983, 'OR and the systems movement: mapping and conflicts', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 661–675.
- Checkland, P.B. 1988, 'Soft Systems Methodology: an overview', *Journal of Applied Systems Analysis*, vol. 15, pp. 27–30.
- Checkland, P. 1989a, 'An application of Soft Systems Methodology', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp. 101–120.
- Checkland, P.B. 1989b, 'Soft Systems Methodology', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp. 71–101.
- Cocks, J. D. 1968, 'Discrete stochastic programming', *Management Science*, vol. 15, pp. 72–79.
- Conway, G.R. 1987, 'The properties of agrosystems', *Agricultural Systems*, vol. 24, pp. 95–117.
- Dantzig, G. B. 1963, *Linear Programming and Extensions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Dent, J.B. & Anderson, J.R. 1971, 'Systems Management in Agriculture', in *Systems Analysis in Agricultural Management*, eds J.B. Dent & J.R. Anderson, Wiley & Sons, Sydney, pp. 3–14.
- Dent, J.B., Harrison, S.R. & Woodford, K.B. 1986, *Farm Planning with Linear Programming*, Butterworths, Sydney.

- Dessler, G. 1979, *Management Fundamentals: A Framework*, 2nd edn, Reston Publishing Co., Reston, Virginia.
- Dessler, G. 1985, *Management Fundamentals: Modern Principles and Practices*, 4th edn, Reston Publishing Co., Reston, Virginia.
- Dillon, J. L. 1965, 'Farm Management as an academic discipline in Australia', *Review of Marketing and Agricultural Economics*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 175–189.
- Dillon, J.L. 1976, 'The economics of systems research in agriculture', *Agricultural Systems* (Barking), vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5–20.
- Dillon, J.L. 1977, *The Analysis of Response in Crop and Livestock Production*, Pergamon, Sydney.
- Dillon, J.L. 1979, 'An evaluation of the state of affairs in farm management', *South African Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 7–13.
- Dillon, J.L. 1987, *Agricultural Systems Research and Development in Developing Countries*, Seminar Paper No. 1, Gansu Agricultural Systems Research and Development Project, Hassall and Associates, Canberra, 1987, pp.1–7.
- Dillon, J.L. & Anderson, J.R. 1984, 'Concepts and practice of farming systems research', in *Proceedings of the Eastern Africa-ACIAR Consultation on Agricultural Research, 18–22 July 1983, Nairobi*, ed. J.V. Martin, Canberra, ACIAR, pp.171–186.
- Dillon, J.L. & Hardaker, J.B. 1980, *Farm Management Research for Small Farm Development*, FAO Agricultural Services, Bulletin 41, FAO, Rome.
- Dillon, J.L., Plucknett, D.L. & Vallacys, G.J. 1978, *Farming Systems Research at the International Research Centres*, report for Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research, Technical Advisory Committee, Washington.
- Doppler, W. 1989, 'Current approaches and future potential of Farming Systems Research', *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, vol. 28, no. 3/4, pp. 266–278.
- Doster, D.H. 1995, *Summary of B-95 Contents and Uses*, Department of Agricultural Economics, Co-operative Extension Service, Purdue University, West Lafayette.
- Drucker, P.F. 1954, *The Practice of Management*, Harper, New York.
- Drucker, P.F. 1977a, *An Introductory View of Management*, Harper's College Press, New York.
- Drucker, P.F. 1977b, *Management*, Pan Books. London.
- Drucker, P.F. 1985, *Innovation and Entrepreneurship: Practices and Principles*, Harper & Row, New York.
- Eardley, A., Marshall, D.V. & Ritchie, R.L. 1991, *Management Information Systems*, Longman, London.

- Eden, C. & Simpson, P. 1989, 'SODA and Cognitive Mapping in Practice', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp. 21–42.
- Fayol, H. 1949, *General and Industrial Administration*, Pitman, New York.
- Fishburn, P.C. 1964, *Decision and Value Theory*, Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Fleisher, B. 1990, *Agricultural Risk Management*, Lynne Rienner, Boulder.
- Forbes, P. 1988, The development and dissemination of Soft Systems Methodology, unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of Lancaster, Lancaster.
- Forrester, J. 1961, *Industrial Dynamics*, MIT, Cambridge, Mass.
- Fraser, K.M. 1993, Theory based use concept mapping in organisation development: creating shared understanding as a basis for the cooperative design of work changes and changes in working relationships, unpublished Ph D. thesis, Cornell University, Cornell.
- Fraser, K. M. 1995, 'Curriculum design through the use of Concept Mapping', in HERDSA 95: *Higher Education: Blending Tradition and Technology*, HERDSA, n.p.
- Friend, J.K. 1989, 'The strategic choice approach', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp.121–158.
- Friend, J.K. & Hickling, A. 1987, *Planning Under Pressure*, Pergamon, Oxford.
- Galliers, R. (ed.)1992, *Information Systems Research: Issues, Methods and Practical Guidelines*, Information Systems Series, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Gill, R. 1995, 'Planning sustainable agroecosystems', in *The Keys to Farm Business Success, Technical Proceedings of the 21st National Conference of the Australian Farm Management Society, 15–17 February 1995, Orange*, eds A. A. Charry & M. Mc Kerchar, Orange.
- Gould, F.J., Eppen, G.D. & Schmidt, C.P. 1991, *Introductory Management Science*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Grigg, D.B. 1974, *The Agricultural Systems of the World*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Groebner, D.F. & Shannon, P.W. 1992, *Introduction to Management Science*, Macmillan, Sydney.
- Gryseels, G. 1988, *Role of Livestock on Mixed Small-Holders Farms in the Ethiopian Highlands*, dissertation, Agricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Hadar, J. & Russell, W.R. 1969, 'Rules for ordering uncertain prospects', *American Economics Review*, vol. 59, no.1, pp. 25–34.
- Hadley, G. 1964, *Non-linear and Dynamic Programming*, Addison–Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- Haines, M. 1982, *An Introduction to Farming Systems*, Longman, London.

- Hamilton, A.D. 1994, The systematic interpretation of risk, draft notes no. 02, Orange Agricultural College, Orange.
- Hanf, C.H. & Schiefer, G. (eds)1983, *Planning and Decision in Agribusiness: Principles and Experiences*, Elsevier, New York.
- Hardaker, J.B., Anderson, J.R. and Dillon, J.L. 1984, 'Perspective of assessing the impact of improved agricultural technologies in developing countries', *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 282/3, pp. 87-108.
- Hardaker, J.B., Pandey, S. & Patten, L.H. 1991, 'Farm planning under uncertainty: A review of alternative programming models, *Review of Marketing and Agricultural Economics*, vol. 59, no.1, pp. 9-22.
- Hassam, R.M. & Hallam, A. 1990, 'Stochastic technology in a programming framework: a generalised mean-variance farm model', *Journal of Agricultural Economics* (Aberdeen), vol. 41, pp.196-206.
- Hazell, P.B.R. 1971, 'A linear alternative to quadratic and semi-variance programming for farm planning under uncertainty', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 53, no.1, pp.53-62.
- Hazell, P.B.R. & Norton, R.D. 1986, *Mathematical Programming for Economic Analysis in Agriculture*, Macmillan, London.
- Heady, E.O. (1948), 'Elementary models in farm production economics research', *Journal of Farm Economics*, 30(MAY):201-225.
- Heidhues, F. 1989, 'Introduction: the farming systems concept in agricultural research', *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, vol. 28, no. 3/4, pp. 239-241.
- Henry, E. & Charry, A. 1992, 'Farm business management: a proposal for professional training', *Proceedings of the 18th National Conference of the Australian Farm Management Society*, 11-14 February 1992, Roseworthy.
- Hickling, A. 1989, 'Gambling with frozen fire?', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp. 159-192.
- Hildebrand, P.E. (ed.)1986, *Perspective of Farming Systems Research and Extension*, Boulder, Colorado.
- Hopwood, A.G. 1980, 'The organisational and behavioural aspects of budgeting and control', in *Topics in Management Accounting*, eds J. Arnold, B. Carsberg & R. Scapens, Philip Allen, Dendington, pp. 221-240.
- Horton, D. & Prain, G. 1989 ' Beyond FSR: New challenges for social scientists in agricultural R&D', *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, vol. 28, no. 3/4, pp. 301-314.
- Howard, N. 1989, 'The manager as politician and general: the metagame approach to analysing cooperation and conflict', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp. 239-282.

- IOC 1990, *Future directions for Farming Systems Research and Extension in Asia*, Asian Farming System Research and Extension Symposium 1990, Report of the International Organising Committee, Manila.
- Jensen, H.R. 1977, 'Farm management and production economics, 1946–1970', in *A Survey of Agricultural Economics Literature*, ed. L.R. Martin, vol. 1, *Traditional Fields of Agricultural Economics, 1940s to 1970s*, University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis.
- Johnson, G.L. 1955, 'Agricultural Economics, Production Economics, and the field of farm management', *Journal of Farm Economics*, 39, pp. 441–450.
- Jones, R. 1994, A review of risk and stochastic methodologies for agricultural research and policy analysis, draft risk paper, Economic Services Unit, NSW Agriculture, Orange.
- Karnopp, D.C., Margolis, D.L. & Rosenberg, R.C. 1990, *Systems Dynamics: A Unified Approach*, Wiley & Sons, Brisbane.
- Keller, G., Warrack, B. & Bartel, H. 1990, *Statistics for Management and Economics: A Systematic Approach*, Wadsworth, Belmont.
- Keller, G., Warrack, B. & Bartel, H. 1994, *Statistics for Management and Economics*, 2nd edn, Duxbury, Belmont.
- King, R.P. & Robison, L.J. 1984, 'Risk Efficiency Models' in *Risk Management in Agriculture*, ed. P. Barry, Iowa State University Press, Ames, pp. 69–82.
- Kingwell, R.S. 1986, 'A Detailed Description of MIDAS', in *MIDAS: A Bioeconomic Model of a Dryland Farm System*, eds R.S. Kingwell & D.J. Pannell, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documents, Wageningen, pp. 15–54.
- Kingwell, R.S. & Pannell, D.J. (eds) 1987, *MIDAS: A Bioeconomic Model of a Dryland Farm System*, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documents, Wageningen.
- Knight, F. 1921, *Risk, Uncertainty and Profit*, Houghton–Mifflin, Boston.
- Koontz, H., O'Donnell, C. & Weihrich, H. 1986, *Essentials of Management*, 4th edn, McGraw–Hill, New York.
- Kramer, K. 1990, *Risk in Growing Sunflower in the Gunnedah District of New South Wales*, Department of Agricultural Economics and Business Management, University of New England, Armidale.
- Kreitner, R. 1989, *Management*, 4th edn, Houghton Mifflin, Boston.
- Kristensen, A.R. 1987, 'Optimisation Techniques in Replacement Models', in *Modelling of Livestock Production Systems: a seminar in the European Community Programme for the Coordination of Agricultural Research, held in Brussels, Belgium, 7–9 April 1987*, eds S. Korver & J.A.M. van Arendok, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp. 97–104.

- Lambert, D.K. & McCarl, B.A. 1985, 'Risk modelling using direct solution of non-linear approximations of the utility function'. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 846–852.
- Lee, S.M., Moore, L.J. & Taylor, B.W. 1985, *Management Science*, Allyn & Bacon, Boston.
- Loomba, N. P. 1978, *Management, a Quantitative Perspective*, Macmillan, New York.
- Low, A.R.C. 1974, 'Decision taking under uncertainty: a linear programming model of peasant farm behaviour', *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 25, pp. 311–320.
- MacAulay, G. 1987, 'Programming Methods', University of New England, Business Management Unit 313/413–1, Armidale.
- MacMillan, I.C. 1978, *Strategy Formulation: Political Concepts*, West, St. Paul, Minnesota.
- Madden, P.F. 1994, 'Basics of risk structure of the risk environment', lecture notes, Orange Agricultural College, Orange.
- Malcolm, L.R. 1990, 'Fifty years of farm management in Australia: survey and review', *Review of Marketing and Agricultural Economics*, April 1990.
- Markowitz, H.M. 1959, *Portfolio Selection*, Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Marz, U. 1989, 'Farm classification and impact analysis of mixed farming systems in Northern Syria', Ph.D. thesis, Hohenheim University, Hohenheim.
- McCull, J.C., Robson, A.D. & Chudleigh, J.W. 1991, *Review of Agricultural and Related Education, Report for the Department of Employment, Education and Training*, Department of Primary Industries and Energy, Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.
- Menesez, C.R. & Hansen D.L. 1970, 'On the theory of risk aversion', *International Economic Review*, vol. 11, pp. 481–487.
- Meyer, J. 1977, 'Second degree stochastic dominance with respect to a function', *International Economic Review*, vol. 18, pp. 477–487.
- Mintzberg, H. 1975, 'The manager's job: folklore and fact', *Harvard Business Review*, July/Aug., pp. 49–61.
- Mintzberg, H. 1977, 'Strategy formulation as a historical process', *International Studies of Management Organisations*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 28–40.
- Mintzberg, H. 1993, *Power In and Around Organisations*, Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Mintzberg, H. & Quinn, J.B. 1991, *The Strategy Process: Concepts, Context, Cases*, Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Mumford, E. & Pettigrew, A. 1975, *Implementing Strategic Decisions*, Longman, London.

- Norman, D.W. 1980, The farming systems approach: Relevance for the small farmer', rural development paper No.5, Michigan State University, Michigan.
- Norman, D.W. & Collinson, M. 1985. 'Approaches to FSR', *Proceedings of an International Workshop of Agricultural Systems Research for Developing Countries, 12–15 May 1985*, ACIAR, Canberra, pp. 16–30.
- Novak, J.D. & Gowing, D.B. 1984, *Learning How to Learn*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Novak, J.D. & Gowing, D.B. 1989, *Learning How to Learn*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca.
- Novak, J.D. & Musonda, D. 1991, 'A twelve-year longitudinal study of science concept learning', *American Education Research Journal*, vol. 28, no. 1 (Spring), pp. 117–153.
- Okunev, J. & Dillon, J.L. 1988, 'A linear programming algorithm for determining mean-Gini efficient farm plans', *Agricultural Economics*, vol. 2 (1988), pp. 273–285.
- Pandey, S. & Anderson, J. 1988, Systems modelling and technology: an application, paper presented at the 32nd Annual Conference of the Australian Agricultural Economics Society, La Trobe University, Melbourne, 9–11 February.
- Pannell, D. J. 1988, Modelling risk in linear programming using direct solution of linearly segmented approximations of the utility function, discussion paper no. 2, Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Western Australia, Perth.
- Pannell, D.J. & Falconer, D.A. 1986, 'Solution, interpretation and revision of MIDAS', in *MIDAS: A Bioeconomic Model of a Dryland Farm System*, eds R. S. Kingwell & D. J. Pannell, 1987, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documents, Wageningen, pp. 55–63.
- Parton, K.A. & Cumming, R.J. 1990, 'An application of target–MOTAD programming to the analysis of downside business and financial risk on farms', *Review of Marketing and Agricultural Economics*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 76–88.
- Parton, K.A. 1989, Rural Finance and Risk Management, Business Management 402–1, Supplementary Notes, Book 1, Department of Agricultural Economics and Business Management, University of New England, Armidale.
- Parton, K.A. 1992, 'Socioeconomic modelling of decision making with respect to choice of technology by resource–poor farmers', in *A Search for Strategies for Sustainable Dryland Cropping in Semi-Arid Eastern Kenya*, ed. M. Provert, ACIAR Proceedings 41, pp. 110–118.
- Patten, L.H., Hardaker, J.B. & Pannell, D.J. 1988, 'Utility-efficient programming for whole farm planning', *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 32, no. 2/3, pp. 88–97.
- Petheram, R.J. 1986, A farming systems approach to ruminant research in Java, unpublished Ph.D. thesis, The University of New England, Armidale.
- Pope, R.D. & Just, R.E. 1991, 'On testing the structure of risk preferences in agricultural supply analysis', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 743–748.

- Pratt, J. W. 1964, 'Risk aversion in the small and in the large', *Econometrica*, vol. 32, no. 1-2, pp. 122-136.
- Pugh, D.S. 1984, *Organisation Theory*, Penguin, Harmondsworth.
- Quinn, R.E., Faerman, S.R., Thompson, M.P. & McGrath, M.R. 1990, *Becoming a Master Manager: A Competency Framework*. Wiley, Sydney.
- Rae, A. N. 1971a, 'An empirical application and evaluation of discrete stochastic programming in Farm Management', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 625-638.
- Rae, A. N. 1971b, 'Stochastic programming, utility and sequential decision problems in Farm Management', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 448-460.
- Ritchie, B. & Marshall, D. 1993, *Business Risk Management*, Chapman & Hall, London.
- Rittel, H.W. & Webber, M.M. 1973, 'Dilemmas in a general theory of planning', *Policy Science*, vol. 4, pp. 155-169.
- Rivett, P. 1972, *Principles of Model Building: the Construction of Models for Decision Analysis*, Wiley, Sydney.
- Rosenhead, J. 1989a, 'Diverse Unity: the Principles and Prospects for Problem Structuring Methods' in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp. 341-358.
- Rosenhead, J. 1989b, 'Introduction: Old and New Paradigms of Analysis', in *Rational Analysis for a Problematic World*, ed. J. Rosenhead, Wiley, Brisbane, pp.1-20.
- Ross, S.M. 1970, *Applied Probability Models with Optimisation Applications*, Holden-Day, San Francisco.
- Roy, A.D. 1952, 'Safety-first and the holding of assets', *Econometrica*, pp. 431-439.
- Ruiz, M. E. 1988, 'El Enfoque de Sistemas en la Investigacion Agropecuaria: Definicion y Estado Actual en America Latina' in *Memorias de la Reunion de Trabajo sobre las Ciencias Sociales Aplicadas al Enfoque de Sistemas de Produccion, 25-27 Enero 1988*, IICA, Lima.
- Rural Training Council of Australia 1994, Professional Farmers' Workshop, 19th Australian Farm Management Society Conference, Dookie, 15-17 March.
- Ruthenberg, H. 1977, *Farming Systems in the Tropics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Sandberg, A. 1976, *The Limits to Democratic Planning*, LiberForlag, Stockholm.
- Sands, D.M. 1985, *A Review of Farming Systems Research*, TAC Secretariat FAO, Rome.
- Savory, A. 1991, *Holistic Resource Management*, Gilmour, Harare.
- Schermerhorn, J.R. 1991, *Managing Organisational Behaviour*, Wiley & Sons, New York.

- Selvanathan, A., Selvanathan, S., Keller, G., Warrack, B. & Bartel, H. 1994, *Australian Business Statistics*, Nelson, Melbourne.
- Senge, P. M. 1990, *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organisation*, Random House, Sydney.
- Simmonds, N.W. 1984, The State of the Art in Farming Systems Research, report, Agriculture and Rural Development Department, World Bank, Washington D.C.
- Spedding, C.R.W. 1975, *The Biology of Agricultural Systems*, Academic Press, New York.
- Spedding, C.R.W. 1987, 'General aspects of modelling and its application in livestock production', in *Modelling of Livestock Production Systems: a seminar in the European Community Programme for the Coordination of Agricultural Research, held in Brussels, Belgium, 7-9 April 1987*, eds S. Korver & J.A.M. van Arendok, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp. 3-9.
- Spedding, C.R.W. 1988, *An Introduction to Agricultural Systems*, Elsevier, London.
- Stacey, R.D. 1993, *Strategic Management and Organisational Dynamics*, Pitman, London.
- Stoner, C.R. 1987, *Strategic Planning in the Small Business*, South-Western Publishing Co., Cincinnati.
- Stoner, J.A.F. 1984, *Introduction to Business*, Foresman, Glenview.
- Stoner, J.A.F. & Freeman, R.E. 1989, *Management*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Taha, H.A. 1982, *Operations Research, An Introduction*, Macmillan, New York.
- Taha, H.A. 1992, *Operations Research*, Macmillan, Sydney.
- Tauer, L.W. 1983, 'Target MOTAD', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, August 1983, pp. 606-614.
- Telser, L.G. 1955, 'Safety-first and hedging', *Review of Economic Studies*, pp. 1-16.
- Tobin, J. 1969, 'Comment of Borh and Feldstein', *Review of Economic Studies*, vol. 36, pp. 13-14.
- Trebeck, D.B. & Hardaker, J.B. 1972, 'The integrated use of simulation and stochastic programming for whole farm planning under risk', *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 115-126.
- Tsiang, S.C. 1972, 'The rationale of the mean-standard deviation analysis, skewness preference and the demand for money', *American Economic Review*, vol. 62, pp. 354-71.
- Warren, M.F. 1986, *Financial Management for Farmers*, Hutchinson, Sydney.
- Watts, M.J., Held, L.J. & Helmers, G.A. 1984. 'A comparison of Target MOTAD to MOTAD', *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 175-185.

- Weiss, M.D. 1994, 'Beyond expected utility: risk concepts for agriculture from a contemporary mathematical perspective', *Agricultural Economics Research*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 159–170.
- Wicks, J.A. & Guise, J.W.B. 1978, 'An alternative solution to linear programming problems with stochastic input-output coefficients', *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 22, pp. 22–40.
- Wilson, K. & Morren, G.E. 1990, *Systems Approaches for Improvement in Agriculture and Resource Management*, Macmillan, New York.
- Wilson, B. 1984, *Systems Concepts, Methodologies and Applications*, Wiley, Brisbane.
- Wolstenholme, E. F. 1982, 'Systems Analysis in Perspective', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 547–556.
- Wossink, G.A.A. 1993, *Analysis of Future Agricultural Change*, Wageningen Agricultural University, Wageningen.
- Wright, V.E. 1985, Farm planning: a business management perspective, unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of New England, Department of Agricultural Economics and Business Management, Armidale.
- Yitzhaki, S. 1982, 'Stochastic dominance, mean variance and Gini's mean difference', *American Economic Review*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 178–85.
- Young, D.L. 1984, 'Risk concepts and measures for decision analysis', in *Risk Management in Agriculture*, ed. P.J. Barry, Iowa University Press, Ames, pp. 31–42.
- Zangwill, W.I. 1969, *Nonlinear Programming: A Unified Approach*, Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Zarsky, L. 1990, 'The integration of economy and ecology', in *Sustainable Development: Challenges for Australia*, Occasional paper no. 9, Commission for the Future, Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.