

FARM BUSINESS MANAGEMENT :
AN HOLISTIC STOCHASTIC DECISION-MAKING MODEL

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Alvaro A. Charry

B. Sc. (Veterinary & Animal Science) National University, Colombia
B. Sc. (Agricultural Administration) La Salle University, Colombia
M.Sc. (Farm Economics) National University, Colombia

Fri-31/Dec/93
Resubmission: 31 JUL 96

Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics
The University of New England, Armidale N.S.W. 2351, Australia

*To
Emeritus Prof. Dr John L. Dillon
with our eternal gratitude*

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degree.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in the thesis.

A.A. Charry

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

John L. Dillon was instrumental in initiating this study and completing it to the stage of my initial submission. His patience in guiding me through the different stages of this research was exceptional. Through his guidance perhaps most importantly, I strengthened my commitment to farm business management and learnt to love Australia. Roderic A. Gill continued the task of supervision and reviewed my resubmission: much of what may be good in it is due to his patience and willingness to help. This research would have not been completed without the continuous encouragement of Roley Piggott.

A number of people were crucial influences along the different stages of this work. George Antony was a vital influence in learning and support. Richard Ingham's kind support in providing information for this research is appreciated. Orange Agricultural College, The University of Sydney lent an invaluable support in critical times and the College members John Chudleigh, Rob Napier, Ross Wilson, Jock Fletcher, Robbie Scott, Geoff Watson, Geoff Gurr, Ed Henry, Pat Madden and my editor Penny Marr deserve special thanks.

Marcella my wife and my children, Alf, Alexandra, Andrea, Maria, Stephanie, Nicole and Samantha have my deepest gratitude for their support and patience. It was in our prayers around the dining table where I gathered the courage to keep going and finally complete this job.

Responsibility for any errors of structure, presentation and analysis is mine.

ABSTRACT

Farm Business Management requires an holistic perspective to understand, manage and direct the purposeful use of the natural resources, and aim for individual and social rewards. A new perspective on physical and financial sustainability is a key component of the overall management strategy of the farm system.

This research attempts to integrate different schools of systems thinking in order to build a method of farm planning which, because it uses a holistic view of farm resource management, is more useful to the decision maker at the farm level.

In order to achieve this integration a case-study was used to identify the system components, using conceptual decision models (i.e. soft systems methodologies in the form of conceptual mapping) and optimal decision models (i.e. hard systems methodologies, here stochastic mathematical programming) to learn more about the operation of the system, aiming to enhance/enrich decision making by improving on-farm information processes.

Conceptual mapping was initially used to have a basic understanding of the farm system. Later stages defined system relationships and critical points from the perspective of the farmer. This exercise showed that systems analysis, while objective, cannot ignore the expectations and immediate objectives of the individual decision maker. Consideration of these facts allows the analyst to construct different levels of conceptual maps, ranging from simplistic models to higher levels of detail on specific purposes of the system.

A mathematical programming model with stochastic characteristics which capture the technical and attitudinal risks of the farm system was constructed in order to simulate farm performance. The exercise showed that stochastic mathematical programming techniques, when integrated to soft systems methodologies in farm

resource management, become a valuable information tool that enable the decision maker to have a better perspective of the farm system, for short-term planning purposes and contingency situations. Quantitative techniques for planning, rather than becoming deductive tools for prescribing system behaviour, should be understood as an opportunity to identify the farm system components, dynamics and purposes more thoroughly and contribute to managing the elements within the system that generate instability and chaos through the use of accepted algorithms of risk analysis and risk management. By doing this, decision making is clearly enhanced and the value of strategic management is reinforced.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AFMS	=	Australian Farm Management Society
CARM	=	Centre for Agricultural Risk Management
CRRA	=	Constant Relative Risk Aversion
DEMP	=	Direct Expected Utility Maximising Linear Programming
DSE	=	Dry Sheep Equivalent
E-V	=	Expected Mean Income-Income Variance Analysis
FBM	=	Farm Business Management
FSD	=	First Degree Stochastic Dominance
FSR	=	Farming Systems Research
GAMS	=	General Algebraic Modelling System
HDM	=	Holistic Dynamic Management
HSM	=	Holistic Stochastic Modelling
IOC	=	International Organising Committee
LP	=	Basic Linear Programming
MINOS	=	Mathematical In-core Nonlinear Optimisation System
MOTAD	=	Mean of Total Absolute Deviations
MP	=	Mathematical Programming
OR	=	Operational Research
RINOCO	=	Risk in the Input-output Coefficients
RHS	=	Right Hand Side of the Programming Matrix
SSD	=	Second Degree Stochastic Dominance
SSM	=	Soft Systems Methodology
SWOT	=	Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats
UEP	=	Utility Efficient Programming

Farm Business Management : An Holistic Stochastic Decision-making Model

CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
ABSTRACT	
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	
1	1
INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction
1.2	General Objectives
1.3	Research Strategy
1.4	Outline of the Study
2	14
THE FARMING SYSTEM ENVIRONMENT	
2.1	Introduction
2.2	Overview of Systems Thinking
2.2.1	Operational research
2.2.2	Soft systems methodology
2.2.3	Holistic dynamic modelling
2.3	Conceptual Mapping
2.3.1	Basics of conceptual mapping
2.3.2	A basic farm system map
2.3.3	Levels of mapping of the farm system
2.4	Dynamics of the Farming System
2.5	Summary
3	52
THE FARM BUSINESS MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK	
3.1	Introduction
3.2	Farming Systems Research: An Overview
3.3	Farm Business Management: An Overview
3.3.1	The professional perspective of farming
3.3.2	Strategic Management in Farm Business Management
(a)	Managing change
(b)	Strategic decision making
(c)	Managerial functions
3.3.3	Holistic dynamic planning
3.4	Summary

4 HOLISTIC STOCHASTIC MODELLING IN FARM BUSINESS MANAGEMENT 81

- 4.1 Introduction
- 4.2 A Basic Understanding of Risk
 - 4.2.1 Risk definition
 - 4.2.2 Risk classification
- 4.3 Risk Analysis and Risk Management in the Context of Decision Making
- 4.4 Decision Criteria for Risk Management in Decision Programming Models
 - 4.4.1 Pratt (1964): E-V Analysis
 - 4.4.2 Fishburn (1964): Stochastic Dominance Analysis
 - 4.4.3 Cock (1968) and Rae (1971a.b): Discrete Stochastic Programming
 - 4.4.4 Hazell (1971): MOTAD Analysis
 - 4.4.5 Wicks and Guise (1978): MOTAD with RINOCO
 - 4.4.6 Tauer (1983): Target MOTAD
 - 4.4.7 Lambert and McCarl (1985): Direct Solution of Nonlinear Approximations of the Utility Function
 - 4.4.8 Okunev and Dillon (1988): Mean-Gini Criterion
 - 4.4.9 Patten, Hardaker and Pannell (1988): Utility Efficient Criterion
 - 4.4.10 Pannell (1988): Direct Expected Utility Maximising Linear Programming (DEMP)
 - 4.4.11 Pope and Just (1991): Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA)
- 4.5 Holistic Stochastic Modelling (HSM) for this Farming System
 - 4.5.1 Conceptual mapping of risk in the farm system
 - 4.5.2 The holistic stochastic managing criterion of this study
- 4.6 Summary

5 THE ANALYTICAL STRUCTURE OF THE WHOLE-FARM MODEL 139

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Mathematical Programming: An Overview
 - 5.2.1 Basics of linear programming
 - 5.2.2 Extensions of the basic linear programming model
 - 5.2.3 A soft systems criticism of mathematical programming
- 5.3 The Optimal Stochastic Planning Model of this Study
 - 5.3.1 The mathematical structure of the farm model
 - 5.3.2 The operational structure of the farm model
 - (a) The programming matrix
 - (b) Data collection area
 - (c) The risk components of the programming matrix
 - (d) The scenarios of the programming model

5.4	A Demonstrative Deterministic and Stochastic Farm Model	
5.4.1	A deterministic scenario	
5.4.2	A stochastic scenario	
5.5	Summary	
6	HOLISTIC STOCHASTIC MODELLING: AN ON-FARM DECISION-MAKING APPLICATION	169
6.1	Introduction	
6.2	Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal On-farm Planning Scenarios	
6.2.1	The deterministic scenarios	
6.2.2	The stochastic scenarios	
6.2.3	A comparative analysis	
6.3	Conclusions	
6.3.1	Specific Conclusions	
6.3.2	General Conclusions	
6.4	General Discussion	
6.4.1	The farming systems research approach	
6.4.2	Integrating farming systems analyses	
6.4.3	The strategic management framework	
6.4.4	The interaction between farmer and analyst	
7	IMPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS	193
7.1	Introduction	
7.2	Review of the Study	
7.2.1	The basic problem statement	
7.2.2	The role of HSM in FBM	
7.3	Applicability of Results	
7.4	Implications for Future Research	
7.5	The Learning Exercise of this Research	
Appendix 5.1	The Data Collection Area	201
Appendix 5.2	Probability Density Functions of the Random Variables	208
Appendix 5.3	Sustainability Management of Ecosystem Resources within the Holistic Stochastic Modelling Framework	216
Appendix 5.4	A Demonstrative Deterministic and Stochastic Farm Model	219
Appendix 6.1	The Farm Planning Scenarios	241
	REFERENCES	259

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	The Change of Paradigm in Decision Making for Farming Systems Management	7
1.2	The Conceptual Map of this Research	12
2.1	The Farm Management System: A Hard Systems Description	21
2.2	A SSM Rich Picture of the Farming System	25
2.3	Holistic Dynamic Modelling in Farm Business Management	28
2.4	Description of Conceptual Mapping in Managerial Decision Making	33
2.5	Farmer's Micro-perspective of the Farm System	35
2.6	Farmers's Wider Perspective About the Farm System	37
2.7	Second Level of Resolution of the Conceptual Map of the Farming System: Resources Proposition	39
2.8	Second Level of Resolution of the Conceptual Map of the Farming System: Production Activities Proposition	41
2.9	Second Level of Resolution of the Conceptual Map of the Farming System: Socio-economic Structure Proposition	42
2.10	Second Level of Resolution of the Conceptual Map of the Farming System: The Farm Management System Proposition	44
2.11	Second Level of Resolution of the Conceptual Map of the Farming System: Rewards Proposition	45
3.1	A Systematic Definition of Farm Business Management	61
3.2	Strategic Management After Stacey (1993)	64
3.3	Conceptual Mapping of Successful Decision Making	67
3.4	Instability, Surprise (i.e. Risk) in Decision Making	68
3.5	The Operational Farm Model	70
3.6	The Functions of the Farm Business Management Process	72
3.7	Conceptual Map of Holistic Dynamic Planning	77
4.1	Sources of Risk and Uncertainty in the Farm System	84
4.2	Integration Between Certainty, Risk and Uncertainty	86
4.3	Third Level of Resolution of Conceptual Mapping	

	for Farm Resources: Purpose of Risk Identification	92
4.4	Third Level of Resolution of Conceptual Mapping for Farm Enterprises: Purpose of Risk Identification	92
4.5	Third Level of Resolution of Conceptual Mapping for the Farm Business Manager: Purpose of Risk Identification	92
4.6	Conceptual Map of Risk Analysis and Management	96
4.7	Risk Analysis and Risk Management in Organisational Management	98
4.8	Operational Risk Management in the Farm System	99
4.9	E-V Frontier for Stochastic Farm Planning	103
4.10	Stochastic Dominance in Stochastic Farm Planning	108
4.11	MOTAD in Stochastic Farm Planning	113
4.12	A Conceptual Map of Risk in the Farm System	130
4.13	Domains of Risk Analysis in Holistic Stochastic Modelling (HSM)	137
5.1	Directional Diagram of the Mathematical Programming Model of the Case-study Farm	144
5.2	The Stochastic Farm Environment	150
5.3	Diagram of the Stochastic Programming Model	153
5.4	The Operational Structure of the Analytical Process	161
5.5	Management of Technical Risk in the Input-output Set of the Programming Matrix	162
5.6	Deterministic and Stochastic Demonstrative Farm Plans	167
6.1	Deterministic and Stochastic Whole-farm Plans	179
6.2	Conceptual Map of Research Achievements	192

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 5	Table 5.1	
Parameterisation of the Deterministic Model		165
Chapter 5	Table 5.2	
Parameterisation of the Stochastic Model		165
Appendix 5.1	Table 5.1	
Data Collection Area 1 - Beef Enterprise		202
Appendix 5.1	Table 5.2	
Data Collection Area 2 - Meat Enterprise		204
Appendix 5.1	Table 5.3	
Data Collection Area 3 - Wool Enterprise		206
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.1	
Density Function for Calving Rate of Breeding Cows		209
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.2	
Density Function for Calving Rate of First Calf Heifers		209
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.3	
Density Function for Stocking Rate		210
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.4	
Density Function for Sale-price of Steers		210
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.5	
Density Function for Sale-price of Culled Cows		211
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.6	
Density Function for Lambing Rate of 1X-breeding Ewes		211
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.7	
Density Function for Lambing Rate of 2X-breeding Ewes		212
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.8	
Density Function for 1X-prime Lamb Prices		212
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.9	
Density Function for 2X-prime Lamb Prices		213
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.10	
Density Function for Lambing Rate of Merino Ewes		213
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.11	
Density Function for Sale-price of A-type Wool		214
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.12	
Density Function for Sale-price of B-type Wool		214

Appendix 5.2	Table 5.13	
Density Function for Land Prices		215
Appendix 5.2	Table 5.14	
Density Function for Sustainability Effect of Ecosystem Resources on Stocking Rate		215
Appendix 5.4	Programming Matrix DM1	222
Appendix 5.4	Programming Matrix HSM1	226
Appendix 5.4	Programming Matrix HSM2	231
Appendix 5.4	Programming Matrix HSM3	236
Chapter 6	Table 6.1	
Deterministic Decision Making Farm Plans		172
Chapter 6	Table 6.2	
Stochastic Decision Making Farm Plans		175
Chapter 6	Table 6.3	
Parameterisation of the Deterministic Farm Plan		178
Chapter 7	Table 6.4	
Parameterisation of the Stochastic Farm Plan		178