5.5 Kingsgrove

The Kingsgrove final sample contained a large proportion of male long-term residents. A comparatively high proportion of respondents, by comparison with other CDs, earned in excess of \$1,000 per week, possibly because they worked long hours (62.5% in the paid workforce generally worked at least 40 hours per week). Home ownership levels were also comparatively high. Respondents from Kingsgrove occupied the middle ground with respect to age and household size and the majority who were immigrants were of long-standing.

Kingsgrove respondents were less likely than others to use the internet at home and were least likely, after Roselands respondents, to own a mobile phone. This sub-sample had the largest proportion who had received no further qualifications after leaving school.

In summary, Kingsgrove respondents represented traditional working class post-World War II Australian families. Respondents differed from the general population of this CD primarily in that the large proportion of immigrants who spoke languages other than English were substantially under-represented. In the 2001 Census, this CD had the smallest proportion of persons who spoke English only by comparison with the other survey locations. Elderly people were also under-represented here, quite possibly in part due to lack of proficiency in English.

Table A 5-10: Kingsgrove – Final sample by gender and age

(Sources: ABS 2002; author's fieldwork)

	2001 Census results - sample CDs %			2002 survey – final sample %		
Age	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons
20 – 39 years	17.7	19.3	37.0	13.3	10.0	23.3
40 – 59 years	13.7	16.2	30.0	33.3	20.0	53.3
60 years or more	15.4	17.7	33.0	13.3	10.0	23.3
Total	46.7	53.3	100	60.0	40.0	100
(n =)	307	350	657	18	12	30

Table A 5-11: Kingsgrove – Other final sample characteristics

(Sources: ABS 2002; author's fieldwork)

Characteristics	2001 Census results – sample CDs %	2002 survey – final sample %
Population mobility:		
Different address I year ago	12.9	0.0
(as % of total persons I+ years of age)		
Different address 5 years ago	33.2	23.3
(as % of total persons 5+ years of age)		
Household composition:		
Live with partner	75.3	80.0
(as % of total persons 15+ years of age*)		
Single person households	21.1	10.3
(as % of total households)		
Family type		
(as % of total persons in families with children)	
Couple family with children	70.3	68.0
Couple family without children	19.4	28.0
One parent family with children	10.3	4.0
Ethnicity:		
Speak English only	43.7	86.7
(as % of total persons)		
Australian born	53.4	69.6
(as % of total persons)		
Education:		
Bachelor degrees or higher	4.9	
(as % of total persons 20+ years of age)		
Unemployment rate:	14.4	16.7
Material wealth:		
Income per week		
(as % of total persons 20+ years of age)		
\$1,000 or more	10.4	36.7
\$500 - \$999	32.1	13.3
Less than \$500	48.6	30.0
Not stated	8.9	20.0
Dwellings owned or being purchased	77.7	90.0
(as % of total dwellings)		
Internet use:		
At home	25.9	53.3
(as % of total persons)		
At work	29.3	72.2
(as % of total employed 15+ years of age)		

excluding dependent students and non-dependent children, and visitors

5.6 Maroubra

On average, Maroubra respondents were comparatively young (only the final sample from Collaroy Plateau had a lower average age) and were more representative of the age range within the CD than any other sample CD (refer to Tables 5-1 and 5-12). Anecdotal reports of the recent attack and attempted strangulation of an elderly woman within the survey location CD by two young girls were widespread. This event, whether real or suspected, could have been one reason for many elderly people in this CD refusing to participate in the survey.

Although a smaller proportion (81.3%) of respondents from Maroubra were homeowners by comparison with the other sample CDs, this was larger than home ownership levels within the CD at the time of the 2001 Census (Table 5-13). Respondents in this area occupied the middle ground in terms of socio-economic status (based on employment, education and income) and use of communication technology. Maroubra had the largest proportion of participants who used the internet at work.

In summary, the Maroubra sample was representative of the young, the middle aged and the elderly; those living in old and new housing; families with children; and households with no children. All these characteristics might be expected in an area in the earlier stages of gentrification such as Maroubra was intended to represent. In addition, short-term residents of less than five years were proportionately represented. This was the single survey location in which all participants spoke English only, demonstrating that migrants from a different language background were under-represented by comparison with the CD's population. This was, of course, a feature of all sample CDs.

Table A 5-12: Maroubra – Final sample by gender and age

(Sources: ABS 2002; author's fieldwork)

	2001 Census results - sample CDs %			2002 survey – final sample %		
Age	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons
20 – 39 years	19.4	22.5	41.8	12.5	28.1	40.6
40 - 59 years	15.5	17.0	32.5	15.6	15.6	31.3
60 years or more	10.9	14.8	25.7	15.6	12.5	28.1
Total	45.8	54.2	100	43.8	56.3	100
(n =)	210	249	459	14	18	32

Table A 5-13: Maroubra – Other final sample characteristics

(Sources: ABS 2002; author's fieldwork)

Characteristics	2001 Census results - sample CDs %	2002 survey – final sample %
Population mobility:		
Different address 1 year ago	15.4	3.1
(as % of total persons 1+ years of age)	-	
Different address 5 years ago	36.5	34.4
(as % of total persons 5+ years of age)		
Household composition:		
Live with partner	70.1	75.0
(as % of total persons 15+ years of age*)		
Single person households	20.8	12.5
(as % of total households)		
Family type		
(as % of total persons in families with children)		
Couple family with children	62.8	46.2
Couple family without children	25.6	46.2
One parent family with children	11.7	7.6
Ethnicity:		
Speak English only	77.0	100
(as % of total persons)		
Australian born	66.7	66.7
(as % of total persons)		
Education:		
Bachelor degrees or higher	5.3	
(as % of total persons 20+ years of age)		
Unemployment rate:	18.7	25.0
Material wealth:		
Income per week		
(as % of total persons 20+ years of age)		
\$1,000 or more	18.2	28.1
\$500 – \$999	36.5	34.4
Less than \$500	42.7	31.3
Not stated	2.6	6.3
Dwellings owned or being purchased	73.0	81.3
(as % of total dwellings)		
Internet use:		
At home	32.7	53.1
(as % of total persons)		
At work	39.6	85.0
(as % of total employed 15+ years of age)		

excluding dependent students and non-dependent children, and visitors

APPENDIX 6: IDENTIFICATION WITH NEIGHBOURHOOD – ORIGINAL AND CONDENSED RESPONSES

Table A 6-1: Responses for size of neighbourhood area

Response options – line/s drawn on a map to indicate				
neighbourhood boundaries,	Number of	Valid		Valid
with areas categorised as:	responses	percent	Condensed responses	percent
No neighbourhood area	6	2.9	Nil	2.9
$0.00 - 0.24 \text{ km}^2$	20	9.7	Smaller than 1 km²	29.0
$0.25 - 0.49 \text{ km}^2$	12	5.8		
$0.50-0.74 \text{ km}^2$	14	6.8		
$0.75 - 0.99 \text{ km}^2$	14	6.8		
$1.00 - 1.24 \text{ km}^2$	17	8.2	$1-2 \ km^2$	25.1
$1.25 - 1.49 \text{ km}^2$	17	8.2		
$1.50 - 1.74 \text{ km}^2$	12	5.8		
$1.75 - 1.99 \text{ km}^2$	6	2.9		
$2.00 - 2.49 \text{ km}^2$	10	4.8	$2-4 km^2$	16.4
$2.50 - 2.99 \text{ km}^2$	7	3.4		
$3.00 - 3.49 \text{ km}^2$	9	4.3		
$3.50 - 3.99 \text{ km}^2$	8	3.9		
$4.00 - 4.99 \text{ km}^2$	11	5.3	$4-8 km^2$	15.0
$5.00 - 5.99 \text{ km}^2$	4	1.9		
$6.00 - 6.99 \text{ km}^2$	9	4.3		
$7.00 - 7.99 \text{ km}^2$	7	3.4		
$8.00 - 15.99 \text{ km}^2$	13	6.3	Greater than 8 km²	11.6
$16.00 - 31.99 \text{ km}^2$	10	4.8		
32 km ² or larger	1	0.5		
Total valid responses	201	100		100
System missing				

Table A 6-2: Responses for location of "home"

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Where they live now	179	87.3	Where they live now	87.3
Where they were born	4	2.0	Elsewhere or not	12.7
Where they grew up	14	6.8	sure	
Somewhere else	2	1.0		
Not sure	6	2.9		
Total valid responses	205	100		100
No response	2			

Table A 6-3: Responses for feelings about moving

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Very happy	9	4.4	Very or somewhat	13.1
Somewhat happy	18	8.7	happy	
Ambivalent	51	24.8	Ambivalent	24.8
Somewhat sad	67	32.5	Very or somewhat	62.1
Very sad	61	29.6	sad	
Total valid responses	206	100		100
No response	1			

Table A 6-4: Responses for plans to move within 6 months

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Definitely no	129	74.1	Definitely or	84.5
Probably no	18	10.3	probably no	
Don't know	5	2.9	Don't know	2.9
Possibly yes	15	8.6	Definitely or possibly	12.6
Definitely yes	7	4.0	yes	
Total valid responses	174	100		100
No response	33			**************

Table A 6-5: Responses for plans to move within 5 years

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Definitely no	57	31.1	Definitely or	53.0
Probably no	40	21.9	probably no	
Don't know	28	15.3	Don't know	15.3
Possibly yes	42	23.0	Definitely or possibly	31.7
Definitely yes	16	8.7	yes	
Total valid responses	183	100		100
No response	24			

Table A 6-6: Responses for sharing common interests with neighbours

Door on the section of	Number of	Valid	C11	Valid
Response options	responses	percent	Condensed responses	percent
Quite often	77	37.4	Quite often or	68.0
Occasionally	63	30.6	occasionally	
Seldom	40	19.4	Seldom or never	29.6
Never	21	10.2		
Not sure	5	2.4	Not sure	2.4
Total valid responses	206	100		100
No response	1			

Table A 6-7: Responses for travel from neighbourhood for work

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always	100	48.3	Always or mostly	55.5
Mostly	15	7.2		
Sometimes	14	6.8	Sometimes or never	20.8
Never	29	14.0		
Not applicable	49	23.7	Not applicable	23.7
Total valid responses	207	100		100
No response				

Table A 6-8: Responses for distance travelled for mainstream pursuits

D	Number of	Valid		Valid
Response options	responses	percent	Condensed responses	percent
Within neighbourhood	19	9.3	Within n/hood or 5km	28.8
Within 5 km from home	40	19.5	from home	
5 – 10 km from home	43	21.0	5 – 10 km from home	21.0
10 – 15 km from home	33	16.1	10 – 15 km from home	16.1
15 – 20 km from home	21	10.2	15 km or more from	26.3
20 – 25 km from home	13	6.3	home	
25 – 30 km from home	3	1.5		
More than 30 km from home	17	8.3		
Not applicable	16	7.8	Not applicable	7.8
Total valid responses	205	100		100
No response	2			

APPENDIX 7: NEIGHBOURHOOD AREAS




Figure A 7-1: Neighbourhood areas - Collaroy Plateau (N.B. Dotted lines denotes continuation of areas outside the boundaries of the locality map)




Figure A 7-2: Neighbourhood areas – Riverview (N.B. Dotted lines denotes continuation of areas outside the boundaries of the locality map)




Figure A 7-3: Neighbourhood areas - Strathfield

(N.B. Dotted lines denotes continuation of areas outside the boundaries of the locality map)



Figure A 7-4: Neighbourhood areas - Roselands (N.B. Dotted lines denotes continuation of areas outside the boundaries of the locality map)




Figure A 7-5: Neighbourhood areas - Kingsgrove (N.B. Dotted lines denotes continuation of areas outside the boundaries of the locality map)




Figure A 7-6: Neighbourhood areas - Maroubra (N.B. Dotted lines denotes continuation of areas outside the boundaries of the locality map)

APPENDIX 8: USE OF NEIGHBOURHOOD – ORIGINAL AND CONDENSED RESPONSES

Table A 8-1: Responses for daily basic supplies

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	143	69.1	Always, generally or	75.4
Generally (75% of the time)	5	2.4	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	8	3.9		
Occasionally (25% of the time)		0	Occasionally, seldom	20.8
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	43	20.8	or never	
Not applicable	8	3.9	Not applicable	3.9
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-2: Responses for other basic household supplies

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	65	31.4	Always, generally or	39.6
Generally (75% of the time)	3	1.4	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	14	6.8		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	6	2.9	Occasionally, seldom	54.1
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	106	51.2	or never	
Not applicable	13	6.3	Not applicable	6.3
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-3: Responses for meals purchased

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	42	20.3	Always, generally or	49.8
Generally (75% of the time)	19	9.2	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	42	20.3		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	13	6.3	Occasionally, seldom	41.1
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	72	34.8	or never	
Not applicable	19	9.2	Not applicable	9.2
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-4: Responses for banking

Response options for accessed	Number of	Valid		Valid
_within neighbourhood area	responses	percent	Condensed responses	percent
Always (100% of the time)	69	33.3	Always, generally or	43.5
Generally (75% of the time)	5	2.4	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	16	7.7		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	9	4.3	Occasionally, seldom	51.2
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	97	46.9	or never	
Not applicable	11	5.3	Not applicable	5.3
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-5: Responses for small home purchases/services

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	50	24.2	Always, generally or	37.2
Generally (75% of the time)	6	2.9	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	21	10.1		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	8	3.9	Occasionally, seldom	61.4
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	119	57.5	or never	
Not applicable	3	1.4	Not applicable	1.4
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-6: Responses for personal care

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	41	19.8	Always, generally or	43.0
Generally (75% of the time)	9	4.3	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	39	18.8		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	17	8.2	Occasionally, seldom	55.1
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	97	46.9	or never	
Not applicable	4	1.9	Not applicable	1.9
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-7: Responses for general health services

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	60	29.0	Always, generally or	49.3
Generally (75% of the time)	13	6.3	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	29	14.0		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	17	8.2	Occasionally, seldom	48.8
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	84	40.6	or never	
Not applicable	4	1.9	Not applicable	1.9
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-8: Responses for general government services

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	92	44.4	Always, generally or	60.9
Generally (75% of the time)	9	4.3	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	25	12.1		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	6	2.9	Occasionally, seldom	34.3
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	65	31.4	or never	
Not applicable	10	4.8	Not applicable	4.8
Total responses	197	100		100

Table A 8-9: Responses for schooling

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	39	18.8	Always, generally or	23.7
Generally (75% of the time)	3	1.4	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	7	3.4		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	_	0	Occasionally, seldom	12.1
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	25	12.1	or never	
Not applicable	133	64.3	Not applicable	64.3
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-10: Responses for child, aged, home, respite care etc.

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	9	4.3	Always, generally or	4.8
Generally (75% of the time)	_	0.0	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	1	0.5		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	_	0.0	Occasionally, seldom	7.2
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	15	12.1	or never	
Not applicable	182	87.9	Not applicable	87.9
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-11: Responses for other government services

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	4	1.9	Always, generally or	2.9
Generally (75% of the time)	_	0.0	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	2	1.0		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	-	0.0	Occasionally, seldom	3.9
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	8	3.9	or never	
Not applicable	193	93.2	Not applicable	93.2
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-12: Responses for church services and/or spiritual care

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	40	19.3	Always, generally or	21.7
Generally (75% of the time)	2	1.0	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	3	1.4		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	1	0.5	Occasionally, seldom	26.1
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	53	25.6	or never	
Not applicable	108	52.2	Not applicable	52.2
Total responses	207	100		100

Table A 8-13: Responses for recreation, sport or hobbies

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	73	35.3	Always, generally or	57.5
Generally (75% of the time)	18	8.7	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	28	13.5		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	13	6.3	Occasionally, seldom	28.0
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	45	21.7	or never	
Not applicable	30	14.5	Not applicable	14.5
Total responses	207	100		100

 Table A 8-14: Responses for culture or entertainment

Response options for accessed within neighbourhood area	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always (100% of the time)	14	6.8	Always, generally or	10.1
Generally (75% of the time)	_	_	quite often	
Quite often (50% of the time)	7	3.4		
Occasionally (25% of the time)	7	3.4	Occasionally, seldom	64.8
Seldom/never (0% of the time)	127	61.4	or never	
Not applicable	52	25.1	Not applicable	25.1
Total responses	207	100		100

APPENDIX 9: OTHER SOCIAL CONTACT CROSS-TABULATIONS

Table A 9-1: Emailed social contacts by key profile variables

			Number of email contacts %					
Profile variable and level of significance		Condensed	Three					
		responses	None	One	Two	or more	Total(n =)	
Gender	31.300	Male	93.6	2.9	1.3	2.2	100 (596)	
	df = 3	Female	84.1	8.9	3.4	3.6	100 (813)	
Hours worked	15.463	Less than 20 hours	81.0	7.9	4.8	6.3	100 (189)	
per week	df = 6	20 – 39 hours	90.8	6.7	1.7	0.8	100 (238)	
		40 hours or more	87.6	6.7	2.1	3.6	100 (386)	
Education level	*	Year 12 or below	90.8	5.0	2.3	2.0	100 (444)	
		Trade or diploma	89.5	4.5	2.6	3.4	100 (468)	
		University degree	85.9	8.8	2.6	2.6	100 (455)	
Motor vehicle	*	Yes	87.6	6.5	2.7	3.2	100 (1311)	
		No	95.9	4.1	0.0	0.0	100 (98)	
Computer use	53.194	Computer literate	84.4	8.4	3.3	3.9	100 (1052)	
skills	df = 3	Just learning or na	99.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	100 (343)	
Internet use at	ns	Yes	89.0	6.5	2.0	2.5	100 (644)	
work		No	89.0	5.4	1.8	3.9	100 (336)	
		Not applicable	86.1	6.7	4.0	3.2	100 (373)	
Internet at	43.591	Yes	83.8	8.4	3.6	4.2	100 (912)	
home		No	95.9	2.6	0.6	0.9	100 (469)	
Own mobile	8.460	Yes	86.7	7.3	2.9	3.0	100 (1024)	
phone		No	91.8	3.7	1.6	2.9	100 (378)	

*

Notable difference

ns

Not significant

Table A 9-2: Neighbourhood social contacts by key profile variables

				Contacts	within i	neighbourh	ood %
Profile variable and	l level	Condensed				Three	
of significance		responses	None	One	Two	or more	Total(n =)
Length of	15.668	Less than 10 years	67.3	20.9	7.4	4.3	100 (554)
residence	df = 6	10 – 29 years	67.1	21.7	6.4	4.8	100 (498)
		10 years or more	58.6	24.6	7.4	9.4	100 (350)
Gender	26.851	Male	72.1	19.5	4.7	3.7	100 (596)
	df = 3	Female	59.9	24.1	8.7	7.3	100 (813)
Age	12.822	Less than 40 years	58.7	24.2	9.1	8.0	100 (363)
-	df = 6	40 – 59 years	67.9	21.8	5.8	4.4	100 (654)
		60 years or more	66.1	20.9	7.1	5.9	100 (392)
Live with a	13.065	Yes	63.0	23.4	7.5	6.1	100 (1168)
partner	df = 3	No	75.2	16.7	4.7	3.4	100 (234)
Hours worked per	28.849	Less than 20 hours	52.9	25.4	13.8	7.9	100 (189)
week	df = 6	20 – 39 hours	68.9	20.2	5.0	5.9	100 (238)
		40 hours or more	72.0	18.7	4.9	4.4	100 (386)
Education level	27.431	Year 12 or below	71.8	18.7	4.7	4.7	100 (444)
	df = 6	Trade or diploma	58.8	24.1	8.3	8.8	100 (468)
		University degree	66.8	23.5	6.4	3.3	100 (455)
Income per week	28.805	\$1,000 or more	73.2	18.4	4.8	3.6	100 (4140
	df = 6	\$500 - \$999	60.4	23.1	7.4	9.1	100 (364)
		Less than \$500	59.6	26.1	9.0	5.3	100 (468)
Internet at home	*	Yes	62.6	23.5	7.3	6.6	100 (912)
		No	69.5	19.6	6.4	4.5	100 (469)
Own mobile	11.076	Yes	62.9	23.4	7.0	6.6	100 (1024)
phone	df = 3	No	71.4	18.5	6.6	3.4	100 (378)

* Notable difference

Table A 9-3: "Other" Sydney social contacts by key profile variables

			C	ontacts w	ith other	Sydney loc	cations %
Profile variable and	l level	Condensed				Three	
of significance		responses	None	One	Two	or more	Total(n =)
Length of	33.748	Less than 10 years	35.0	23.8	18.2	22.9	100 (554)
residence	df = 6	10 – 29 years	48.0	27.1	12.2	12.7	100 (498))
		30 years or more	40.3	26.6	14.3	18.9	100 (350)
Gender	63.526	Male	51.3	26.0	11.7	10.9	100 (596)
	df = 3	Female	33.7	25.3	17.5	23.5	100 (813)
Age	22.443	Less than40 years	35.8	22.9	17.4	24.0	100 (363)
	df = 6	40 – 59 years	42.2	29.3	13.3	15.2	100 (654)
		60 years or more	44.1	22.2	15.8	17.9	100 (392)
Household size	*	1 – 2 people	37.8	24.8	16.8	20.6	100 (505)
		3 – 4 people	40.9	27.1	14.4	17.6	100 (658)
		5 or more people	48.5	23.8	13.0	14.6	100 (239)
Hours worked per	47.057	Less than 20 hours	25.4	26.5	19.0	29.1	100 (189)
week	df = 6	20 – 39 hours	44.1	34.0	10.9	10.9	100 (238)
		40 hours or more	46.6	22.5	14.2	16.6	100 (386)
Motor vehicle	11.763	Yes	42.2	24.7	14.8	18.3	100 (1311)
	df = 3	No	27.6	37.8	18.4	16.3	100 (98)
Internet use at	16.134	Yes	41.5	28.7	14.4	15.4	100 (644)
work	df = 6	No	39.0	27.7	15.8	17.6	100 (336)
		Not applicable	41.6	20.1	15.0	23.3	100 (373)
Own mobile	*	Yes	38.9	27.1	15.2	18.8	100 (1024)
phone		No	46.8	22.2	14.3	16.7	100 (378)

* Notable difference

APPENDIX 10: SOCIAL NETWORKS – ORIGINAL AND CONDENSED RESPONSES

A10.1 Neighbourly interaction

Table A 10-1: Responses for neighbours get along

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always	8	3.9	Always or mostly	82.6
Mostly	163	78.7	•	
Sometimes	17	8.2	Sometimes, never or	17.4
Never	1	0.5	not sure	
Not sure	18	8.7		
Total valid responses	207	100		100
No response	-			

Table A 10-2: Responses for exchange favours with neighbours

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Often	42	20.4	Often or occasionally	70.9
Occasionally	104	50.5		
Seldom	35	17.0	Seldom, never or not	29.1
Never	24	11.7	sure	
Not sure	1	0.5		
Total valid responses	206	100		100
No response	1			

Table A 10-3: Responses for number of adult neighbours known by first name

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
None	5	2.4	Less than 5 people	26.3
Less than 5 people	49	23.9	• •	
5 – 10 people	76	37.1	5 – 10 people	37.1
11 – 20 people	39	19.0	More than 10 people	36.6
More than 20 people	36	17.6	* *	
Total valid responses	205	100		100
No response	2			

A10.2 Membership in formal groups

Table A 10-4: Responses for number of memberships in formal groups

	Number of	Valid		Valid
Response options	responses	percent	Condensed responses	percent
No participation	84	40.6	No participation	40.6
1 club	47	22.7	1 club	22.7
2 clubs	31	15.0	2 – 4 clubs	30.9
3 clubs	22	10.6		
4 clubs	11	5.3		
5 clubs	8	3.9	5 or more clubs	5.8
6 clubs	2	1.0		
7clubs	2	1.0		
Total valid responses	207	100		100

Table A 10-5: Responses for types of formal groups

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Children's club or organisation	4	1.4	Related to other	20.4
Volunteer work or services club	35	12.3	people	
School committee	19	6.7		
Professional employment	28	9.8	Related to work or	12.6
Self help/ support group	8	2.8	self	
Culture or entertainment	44	15.4	Related to leisure	55.0
Sport or recreation	113	39.6		
Church or spiritual organisation	20	7.0	Related to beliefs	10.2
Political party or lobby group	9	3.2		
Other	5	1.8	Other	1.8
Total valid responses	285	100		100

A10.3 Close friends

Table A 10-6: Responses for number of close friends

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Nil	5	2.4	Nil – 1 friend	6.3
1 friend	8	3.9	-	
2 friends	4	1.9	2 – 3 friends	3.9
3 friends	4	1.9	•	
4 friends	14	6.8	4 – 5 friends	89.9
5 friends	172	83.1	· ·	
Total valid responses	207	100		100
No response	_			

Table A 10-7: Responses for keeping in touch with close friends by face-to-face meetings

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	750	79.2
No	197	20.8
Total valid responses	947	100
No response		

Table A 10-8: Responses for keeping in touch with close friends by phone

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	796	84.1
No	151	15.9
Total valid responses	947	100
No response	_	

Table A 10-9: Responses for keeping in touch with close friends by writing letters

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	47	5.0
No	900	95.0
Total valid responses	947	100
No response	_	

441

Table A 10-10: Responses for keeping in touch with close friends by email

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent	
Yes	165	17.4	
No	782	82.6	
Total valid responses	947	100	
No response	_		

Table A 10-11: Responses for location of close friends

Response options – not	Number of	Valid
condensed	responses	percent
Neighbourhood	198	20.9
Other Sydney	558	59.0
Elsewhere	190	20.1
Total valid responses	946	100
No response	1	

Table A 10-12: Responses for kin as close friends

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	344	36.3
No	603	63.7
Total valid responses	947	100
No response	_	

Table A 10-13: Responses for workplace colleagues as close friends

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	72	7.6
No	874	92.4
Total valid responses	947	100
No response	_	

Table A 10-14: Responses for friends as close friends

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	600	63.4
No	347	36.6
Total valid responses	947	100
No response	_	-

Table A 10-15: Responses for acquaintances as close friends

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	26	2.7
No	921	97.3
Total valid responses	947	100
No response	-	····

Table A 10-16: Responses for closeness of relationships with close friends

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent	
Very close	638	67.5	
Somewhat close	307	32.5	
Total valid responses	945	100	
No response	2		

Table A 10-17: Responses for years close friends known

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Less than 10 years	217	23.2	Less than 10 years	23.2
10 – 20 years	187	20.0	10 – 30 years	35.5
20 – 30 years	145	15.5	-	
30 – 40 years	168	17.9	More than 30 years	41.3
40 – 50 years	135	14.4	-	
50 – 60 years	61	6.5		
60 – 70 years	12	1.3		
More than 70 years	11	1.2		
Total	936	100	Total	100
No response	11			

A10.4 Social contacts

Table A 10-18: Responses for number of social contacts

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Nil	11	5.3	Nil or 1 contact	8.7
1 contact	7	3.4		
2 contacts	8	3.9	2 or 3 contacts	8.2
3 contacts	9	4.3		
4 contacts	7	3.4	4 or 5 contacts	83.1
5 contacts	165	79.7		
Total valid responses	207	100		100
No response	_			

Table A 10-19: Responses for keeping in touch with social contacts by face-to-face meetings

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	746	83.1
No	152	16.9
Total valid responses	898	100
No response	_	

Table A 10-20: Responses for keeping in touch with social contacts by phone

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	646	71.9
No	252	28.1
Total valid responses	898	100
No response	_	

Table A 10-21: Responses for keeping in touch with social contacts by writing letters

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	22	2.4
No	876	97.6
Total valid responses	898	100
No response		

Table A 10-22: Responses for keeping in touch with social contacts by email

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	118	13.1
No	780	86.9
Total valid responses	898	100
No response	_	

Table A 10-23: Responses for location of social contacts

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Neighbourhood	229	25.5
Other Sydney	537	59.9
Elsewhere	131	14.6
Total valid responses	897	100
No response	1	

Table A 10-24: Responses for kin as social contacts

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	306	34.1
No	592	65.9
Total valid responses	898	100
No response		

Table A 10-25: Responses for workplace colleagues as social contacts

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	79	8.8
No	819	91.2
Total valid responses	898	100
No response	<u> </u>	

Table A 10-26: Responses for friends as social contacts

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	557	62.0
No	341	38.0
Total valid responses	898	100
No response	_	

Table A 10-27: Responses for acquaintances as social contacts

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	38	4.2
No	860	95.8
Total valid responses	898	100
No response		1911

Table A 10-28: Responses for closeness of relationships with social contacts

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Very close	508	56.7
Somewhat close	346	38.6
Not at all close	42	4.7
Total valid responses	896	100
No response	2	

Table A 10-29: Responses for years social contacts known

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Less than 10 years	297	33.4	Less than 10 years	33.4
10 – 20 years	158	17.8	10 – 30 years	31.9
20 – 30 years	125	14.1	-	
30 – 40 years	129	14.5	More than 30 years	34.7
40 – 50 years	118	13.3	·	
50 – 60 years	45	5.1		
60 – 70 years	12	1.4		
More than 70 years	4	0.5		
Total	888	100	Total	100
No response	10			

Table A 10-30: Responses for social contacts also close friends

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	533	59.4
No	365	40.6
Total valid responses	898	100
No response	_	

APPENDIX 11: BELONGING TO NEIGHBOURHOOD – ORIGINAL AND CONDENSED RESPONSES

A11.1 Neighbourhood satisfaction and safety

Table A 11-1: Responses for satisfaction with neighbourhood

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Very satisfied	115	56.4	Very or somewhat	89.2
Somewhat satisfied	67	32.8	satisfied	
Ambivalent	16	7.8	Ambivalent	7.8
Somewhat dissatisfied	4	2.0	Very or somewhat	3.0
Very dissatisfied	2	1.0	dissatisfied	
Total valid responses	204	100		100
No response	3			

Table A 11-2: Responses for feeling safe in street after dark

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always	62	30.2	Always or mostly	78.5
Mostly	99	48.3	•	
Sometimes	25	12.2	Seldom, never or not	21.5
Never	16	708	sure	
Not sure	3	1.5		
Total valid responses	205	100		100
No response	2			

Table A 11-3: Responses for neighbourhood reputation for safety

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Very safe	61	29.5	Very or mostly safe	91.3
Mostly safe	128	61.8		
Often unsafe	12	5.8	Often or very unsafe,	8.7
Very unsafe	4	1.9	or not sure	
Not sure	2	1.0		
Total valid responses	207	100		100
No response				

A11.2 Social capacities and civic qualities

Table A 11-4: Responses for neighbours can be trusted

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always	12	5.8	Always or mostly	82.1
Mostly	158	76.3		
Sometimes	21	10.1	Sometimes, never or	17.9
Never	3	1.4	not sure	
Not sure	13	6.3		
Total valid responses	207	100		100
No response	_			

Table A 11-5: Responses for tendencies to greet strangers

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Often	110	53.4	Often or occasionally	92.7
Occasionally	81	39.3		
Seldom	9	4.4	Seldom or never	7.3
Never	6	2.9		
Not sure	-	0		
Total valid responses	206	100		100
No response	1			

Table A 11-6: Responses for neighbours collect mail

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Usually	122	59.2	Usually or sometimes	75.7
Sometimes	34	16.5		
Never	42	20.4	Never	24.3
Not applicable	8	3.9	Not applicable	
Total valid responses	206	100		100
No response	1			

Table A 11-7: Responses for leaving house key with neighbour

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Usually	61	29.6	Usually or	41.2
Sometimes	24	11.7	sometimes	
Never	111	53.9	Never	53.9
Not applicable	10	4.9	Not applicable	4.9
Total valid responses	206	100		100
No response	1			

Table A 11-8: Responses for acceptance of new and different neighbours

Response options	Number of responses	Valid percent	Condensed responses	Valid percent
Always	48	23.3	Always or mostly	80.1
Mostly	117	56.8	•	
Sometimes	20	9.7	Sometimes or never	10.2
Never	1	0.5		
Not sure	20	9.7	Not sure	9.7
Total valid responses	206	100		100
No response	1			

Table A 11-9: Responses for recent civic participation

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	76	36.7
No	131	63.3
Total valid responses	207	100
No response		

Table A 11-10: Responses for recent social participation

Response options – not condensed	Number of responses	Valid percent
Yes	104	50.2
No	103	49.8
Total valid responses	207	100
No response	_	

Table A 11-11: Responses for neighbours generally only interested in self

Response option – to place a mark at one point along a line that best represents their view	Condensed responses	Number of responses	Valid percent
Mark placement scored as 0 – 40	Generally yes	92	44.7
Mark placement scored as 41 – 60	Indeterminate	76	36.9
Mark placement scored as 61 – 100	Generally no	38	18.4
Total valid responses		206	100
No response		1	

Table A 11-12: Responses for neighbours would volunteer neighbourhood help

Response option – to place a mark at one point along a line that best		Number of	Valid
represents their view	Condensed responses	responses	percent
Mark placement scored as 0 – 40	Generally yes	84	40.8
Mark placement scored as 41 – 60	Indeterminate	94	45.6
Mark placement scored as 61 – 100	Generally no	28	13.6
Total valid responses		206	100
No response		1	

APPENDIX 12: Z-SCORES FOR LOCATIONAL DIFFERENCES

Table A 12-1: Selected responses for neighbourhood identification

		Survey location responses – Z-scores						
Criteria for identification with neighbourhood	Collaroy Plateau	River- view	Strath- field	Rose- lands	Kings- grove	Maroubra		
"Home" is where they live	-0.14	1.35	0.22	-1.54	-0.54	0.65		
Very or somewhat unhappy to move	1.29	0.61	0.22	-1.46	-0.86	0.22		
Definitely or probably won't move:								
within 6 months	0.11	0.06	0.25	1.58	-1.46	-0.54		
within 5 years	0.08	0.25	1.06	-1.31	0.98	-1.06		
Quite often/occasionally share interests	0.93	0.51	1.07	-1.45	-0.73	-0.33		
Travel away:								
for work	0.99	-0.86	1.07	-1.42	-0.12	0.33		
10km or more for mainstream pursuit	-1.11	-0.64	0.42	1.46	0.66	-0.80		
Combined result (Z-score)	2.16	1.29	4.30	-4.14	-2.08	-1.54		

Table A 12-2: Selected responses for neighbourhood interaction

	Survey location responses - Z-scores					
Criteria for neighbourly interaction	Collaroy Plateau	River- view	Strath- field	Rose- lands	Kings- grove	Maroubra
People always or mostly get along	0.82	-0.10	0.55	-1.85	-0.16	0.75
People often exchange favours	0.60	0.54	0.36	-1.77	0.85	-0.59
Know adults in at least 10 households	1.29	0.59	0.33	-1.59	-0.03	-0.59
Combined result (Z-score)	2.71	1.03	1.24	-5.22	0.66	-0.43

Table A 12-3: Selected responses for satisfaction and safety

	Survey location responses %					
Criteria for satisfaction and safety	Collaroy Plateau	River- view	Strath- field	Rose- lands	Kings- grove	Maroubra
Very or somewhat satisfied with						
neighbourhood	0.80	0.31	0.61	-1.95	-0.07	0.29
Always or mostly feel safe walking in						
street after dark	0.41	1.21	0.11	-1.83	-0.08	0.18
Think neighbourhood is very or						
mostly safe	0.77	0.62	0.10	-1.92	-0.13	0.56
Combined result (Z-score)	1.97	2.14	0.82	-5.69	-0.27	1.03

Table A 12-4: Selected responses for social capacities and civic qualities

	Survey location responses %							
Criteria for social capacities and civic qualities	Collaroy Plateau	River- view	Strath- field	Rose- lands	Kings- grove	Maroubra		
Generally trust neighbours %	1.12	1.01	0.38	-1.41	-0.51	-0.59		
Often or occasionally greet familiar faces %	0.72	-0.29	0.74	-1.89	0.29	0.43		
Neighbour usually or sometimes collects mail %	0.99	1.38	0.12	-0.80	-0.95	-0.74		
Usually or sometimes leave key with neighbour %	0.27	1.16	-0.01	-1.62	-0.59	0.78		
Different/new neighbour would be accepted %	0.62	0.43	0.44	-1.41	-1.10	1.02		
Participated in civic affairs %	-0.22	1.78	0.33	-0.49	-0.22	-1.17		
Volunteered unpaid help %	0.95	0.79	0.15	-1.43	0.58	-1.03		
Assessment of neighbours -Mean								
rating: Generally motivated by self interest % Generally prepared to volunteer help %	0.66 1.16	0.56 0.98	-0.80 0.15	-1.68 -1.53	0.66 -0.52	0.59 -0.23		
Combined result (Z-score)	6.27	7.80	1.50	-12.26	-2.36	-0.95		

APPENDIX 13: LOCATIONAL DIFFERENCES – DISPERSAL OF SOCIAL NETWORKS




Figure A 13-1: Dispersal of group memberships outside local areas – Collaroy Plateau




Figure A 13-2: Dispersal of group memberships outside local areas – Riverview




Figure A 13-3: Dispersal of group memberships outside local areas – Strathfield




Figure A 13-4: Dispersal of membership groups outside local areas – Roselands




Figure A 13-5: Dispersal of membership groups outside local areas – Kingsgrove




Figure A 13-6: Dispersal of membership groups outside local areas – Maroubra




Figure A 13-7: Dispersal of membership groups outside all local areas




Figure A 13-8: Dispersal of close friends outside local areas – Collaroy Plateau




Figure A 13-9: Dispersal of close friends outside local areas – Riverview




Figure A 13-10: Dispersal of close friends outside local areas – Strathfield




Figure A 13-11: Dispersal of close friends outside local areas – Roselands



Figure A 13-12: Dispersal of close friends outside local areas – Kingsgrove




Figure A 13-13: Dispersal of close friends outside local areas – Maroubra




Figure A 13-14: Dispersal of close triends outside all local areas




Figure A 13-15: Dispersal of social contacts outside local areas – Collaroy Plateau




Figure A 13-16: Dispersal of social contacts outside local areas – Riverview




Figure A 13-17: Dispersal of social contacts outside local areas – Strathfield




Figure A 13-18: Dispersal of social contacts outside local areas – Roselands




Figure A 13-19: Dispersal of social contacts outside local areas – Kingsgrove



Figure A 13-20: Dispersal of social contacts outside local areas – Maroubra




Figure A 13-21: Dispersal of social contacts outside all local areas