# Predicting the Effects of Restoring Tidal Connectivity on the Vegetation of Fresh and Oligohaline Wetlands: Clarence River Floodplain, Northern NSW

Caitlin Verity Johns
B.Sc., Australian National University, 1998
Honours, Macquarie University, 2001

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales, Australia

December 2008

# **DECLARATION**

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Signature

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to thank my current supervisors, Dr Dorothy Bell, Dr Darren Ryder, Dr Glenda Vaughton and Dr Lalit Kumar, and also previous supervisors, Dr John Duggin and Dr Karl Vernes very much for their advice and encouragement throughout the course of my Ph.D.

Financial and in-kind support for this project was provided by the Australian Research Council, the University of New England, the Department of Environment and Climate Change, Clarence Valley Council Floodplain Services and the Department of Infrastructure Planning and Natural Resources. A travel grant was also provided by the Ecological Society of Australia. Thank you in particular to Peter Wilson, Matt Foley and Stuart Murphy from CVC Floodplain Services and John Kennedy and Jennifer Kingston from DECC, for arranging access to field sites and relevant information. I would like to thank all the landholders who allowed me access to their properties, including Terry Harrison, Lyle Smyth, Dale Vickery, Roy Bowling, Meg Gordon, Michael Martin, Stuart McLeod, Phil Ryan, Julie Jarvis, Bernie Kenny and Russell Yerbury.

I would also like to say thank you to Dr Dorothy Bell, Dr John Duggin and Adam Smith for cheerfully traipsing through cold muddy water to assist in the field, to Adam for providing a place to stay during field trips, and to Jill O'Keeffe, Ewan Paterson, Boyd Wright and Marion Costigan for assistance with sample processing. I would also like to thank Dr Mike Ramsey and Dr Peter Lockwood, for their constructive advice on statistical analysis and soil testing, respectively.

Last but definitely not least, I would like to thank the many other postgrads and staff at UNE, who have made my time in Armidale such a positive experience.

#### **Abstract**

Tidal wetlands are decreasing in number and extent worldwide due to the effects of drains and tidal barriers. These disrupt salinity gradients, reduce the depth, duration and frequency of inundation, prevent exchange of organic and inorganic materials, and interrupt movement of aquatic biota and propagules. Common effects include reductions in bird and fish populations, invasion by terrestrial and freshwater macrophytes, sediment subsidence caused by peat degradation, and activation of acid sulfate soils leading to land degradation and water quality problems.

Active management of floodgates has been proposed to restore tidal exchange to waterways and wetlands of the Clarence River floodplain, on the north coast of New South Wales, Australia. Predicting the potential effects of tidal restoration on macrophyte communities is of high priority, particularly for wetlands in the fresher half of the estuarine salinity gradient. The vegetation at these sites provides important foraging and nesting habitat for rare waterbirds and a valuable pasture resource. Our ability to predict the effects of increased tidal connectivity on macrophyte communities in these wetlands is limited. Previous research in Australia has focused on saltmarsh species found in saline habitats and few data are available on the salinity and inundation tolerance ranges of macrophytes found further upstream. Existing models for predicting the effects of tidal restoration on macrophyte community composition are generally inapplicable to these communities because of the need for reference data, either from natural tidal wetlands located nearby or from surveys carried out at rehabilitation sites prior to drainage and tidal restriction. Neither of these are available for wetlands on the Clarence River floodplain.

An extensive survey was used to determine distributions of macrophyte species in floodgate-affected wetlands along the Clarence River floodplain, and to relate these distributions to environmental variables, including salinity, relative elevation, acidity, water management and grazing intensity. Strong significant correlations were found between community composition and both site salinity and water depth, indicating the

potential value of these variables as predictors of species occurrence. Five focal species were identified that were both abundant and widely distributed across a range of salinities and depths, including *Bacopa monnieri* (L.) Pennell, *Bolboschoenus caldwellii* (V. J. Cook) Soják, *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers, *Eleocharis equisetina* C. Presl and *Paspalum distichum* L..

Two tub experiments were used to demonstrate differences in the salinity and inundation tolerance ranges of focal species, the first testing the impact of salinity alone and the second the impacts of both increasing depth and salinity. The inundation tolerance thresholds of all species decreased with increasing salinity although tolerance to salinity and inundation varied considerably between species. For example, based on survivorship *C. dactylon* was least tolerant of high salinity in waterlogged conditions, while *P. distichum* was most tolerant, and when submerged *E. equisetina* and *P. distichum* grew rapidly to the water surface, while *C. dactylon* did not.

Finally, a broadly applicable conceptual model is described for predicting the effects of tidal flow manipulation on the persistence of focal species at rehabilitation sites. This model incorporates state and transition models into an overarching assembly rule model framework, and can be applied using experimental data on species salinity and inundation tolerance ranges and field data on site conditions. A specific model was then developed for focal species at a number of sites on the Clarence River floodplain, and predictions were made about the effects of floodgate manipulation on the persistence of 13 different species. The actual and predicted distributions of seven of these species were then compared. Five of the seven species were found at sites predicted to be too saline for their survival, indicating a need for further model refinement.

The conceptual model framework and data collection methods employed here are not site- or species-specific, and could potentially be used in other projects where managers wish to predict the effects of tidal flow restoration on macrophyte communities. Unlike previous tidal restoration models, this type of model is not dependent on community composition data from reference sites or historical sources, which are often not available in extensively modified areas.

# **Table of contents**

Declaration	
Acknowledgements Abstract	
CHAPTER 1.	
General introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Worldwide trend of increasing coastal wetland degradation and loss	1
1.1.2 Impacts of drainage and tidal restriction	2
1.1.3 Interest in tidal restoration for coastal wetland rehabilitation	2
1.1.4 Typical approaches used to predict the effects of tidal restoration on macroph	yte
communities and set vegetation targets	3
1.1.5 Alternative approaches	5
1.1.6 Applicability of experimental data in predicting species field distributions	6
1.2 Project outline	7
1.2.1 Aims	8
1.3 Thesis structure	9
CHAPTER 2.	
Assessment of patterns in macrophyte distribution with resp	oect
to environmental gradients on the Clarence River floodplain	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.1.1 Previous vegetation surveys	14
2.1.2 Current vegetation survey	16
2.1.3 Aims	17

2.2 Materials and methods	
	18
2.2.1 Study area	18
2.2.2 Sampling vegetation	22
2.2.3 Sampling environmental variables	26
2.2.4 Analyses	27
2.3 Results	29
2.3.1 Species richness and common species	29
2.3.2 Patterns in species composition with respect to environmental variables	32
2.3.2.1 DCA results	32
2.3.2.2 CCA results	34
2.3.3 Conversion of soil salinities (1:5 extracts) to approximate porewater salinities	36
2.3.4 Common species habitat ranges with respect to depth, salinity, pH and grazing	38
2.4 Discussion	43
CHAPTER 3.  Responses of macrophytes from floodgate-restricted wetlands	•
ro increased salinity	
	49
3.1 Introduction	<b>49</b>
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims	49 49 56
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods	49 49 56 56
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions	49 56 56
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions 3.2.2 Experimental treatments	49 56 56 57
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions 3.2.2 Experimental treatments 3.2.3 Measurements	49 56 56 57 58
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions 3.2.2 Experimental treatments 3.2.3 Measurements 3.2.4 Analyses	49 56 56 57 58 59
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions 3.2.2 Experimental treatments 3.2.3 Measurements 3.2.4 Analyses 3.3 Results	49 56 56 57 58 59 60
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions 3.2.2 Experimental treatments 3.2.3 Measurements 3.2.4 Analyses 3.3.1 Survivorship	49 56 56 57 58 59 60
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions 3.2.2 Experimental treatments 3.2.3 Measurements 3.2.4 Analyses 3.3.1 Survivorship 3.3.2 Growth rates	49 56 56 57 58 59 60 60 62
3.1 Introduction 3.1.1 Aims 3.2 Materials and methods 3.2.1 Collection of plants from field sites, and growing conditions 3.2.2 Experimental treatments 3.2.3 Measurements 3.2.4 Analyses 3.3.1 Survivorship	49 56 56 57 58 59 60

# CHAPTER 4.

growth and survival of four macrophyte species	75
4.1 Introduction	75
4.1.1 Effects of increased water depth on emergent macrophyte growth and survival	76
4.1.2 Current zonation of species in fresh – oligonaline Clarence floodplain wetlands	76
4.1.3 Effects of increased salinity on emergent macrophyte growth and survival	78
4.1.4 Aims	79
4.2 Materials and methods	80
4.2.1 Plant material	80
4.2.2 Experimental design	81
4.2.3 Measurement	83
4.2.4 Analyses	84
4.3 Results	85
4.3.1 Effects of increased depth on plant growth in fresh water	85
4.3.1.1 Relative growth rates (RGR)	85
4.3.1.2 Biomass	86
4.3.2 Combined effects of increased salinity and water depth on plant growth and	
survival	89
4.3.2.1 Relative growth rates (RGR)	89
4.3.2.2 Biomass	93
4.4 Discussion	99
4.4.1 Relationship between field zonation patterns, and experiment depth tolerances	99
4.4.2 Combined effects of increased salinity and water depth on growth and sur	viva
	101
4.4.3 Predicted effects of floodgate manipulation on individual species distribu	itions
	104

### CHAPTER 5.

Development and application of a general model for predict	ing
wetland macrophyte responses to tidal flow management	107

5 1 Today Jan 4 an	107
5.1 Introduction	107
5.1.1 Essential characteristics of a model of wetland macrophyte responses to alte	ered tidal
flow management	108
5.1.2 Existing wetland vegetation models	109
5.1.3 Clementsian succession models	110
5.1.4 State and transition models	111
5.1.5 Assembly rule models	112
5.1.6 Determination of the most suitable conceptual framework for a general, bro	adly-
applicable model of wetland vegetation responses to tidal flow manipulation	117
5.2 General model for predicting macrophyte responses to tidal flow manage	ement
Steps in model devleopment	118
5.2.1 Step 1: Definition of model structure and components	119
5.2.2 Step 2: Spatial scales for the consideration of model components	123
5.2.3 Step 3: Classification of species into functional response groups for filtering	g factors
	124
5.2.3.1 Classifying plants into salt tolerance categories	124
5.2.3.2 Classifying plants into inundation response categories	126
5.2.4 Step 4: Development of state-and-transition submodels to summarise the en	ffects of
temporally variable filters on community composition	127
5.2.4.1 Salinity regime, plant salt-tolerance categories, and the salinity res	ponse
submodel	127
5.2.4.2 Inundation regime, plant functional response groups and the hydro	ology
submodel	128
5.3 Case study: Applying the general tidal flow management model to Clare	nce
River wetland macrophyte data	131
5.3.1 Background	131
5.3.2 Aims	132
5.3.3 Methods	132

5.3.3.1 Step 1: Definition of model structure and components	132
5.3.3.2 Step 2: Spatial scales for consideration of model components	133
Scale and determination of relevant species pools	133
Scale and dispersal ability	133
Scales for assessment of salinity and hydrology filter strength	133
5.3.3.3 Step 3: Classification of species into functional response groups	134
Species salt tolerance categories	134
Species inundation response categories	135
5.3.3.4 Step 4: State and transition submodels for temporally variable filters	136
5.4 Results	136
5.4.1 The model: Floodplain and wetland scales	136
5.4.2 Effects of floodgate management on species distributions at the floodplain scale	138
5.4.3 Effects of floodgate management on species distributions at the wetland scale	140
5.4.4 Effectiveness of experimentally-derived salt tolerance thresholds as predictors of	of
species field distributions	143
5.5 Discussion	145
CHAPTER 6.	
Synthesis and conclusions	149
6.1 Introduction	149
6.2 Assessment of patterns in macrophyte species distribution with respect to	
environmental gradients on the Clarence River floodplain	149
6.3 Differing responses of estuarine wetland macrophytes to increased salinity	150
6.4 Combined effects of increased salinity and water depth on the growth and surviva	l of
four macrophyte species	151
6.5 Development and application of a general model for predicting macrophyte respon	nses
to tidal flow management	152
6.6 Floodgate management model for the Clarence River floodplain	153
6.7 Implications with respect to Clarence River wetland floodgate management plans	155
6.8 General applicability of methods to future wetland tidal restoration projects	156

References 159

<b>Appendic</b>	es	177
Appendix 1	Clarence floodplain wetland plant species distribution across sites	178
Appendix 2	Species distributions according to elevation and water depth zones	182
Appendix 3	Species distributions and soil salinity	184
Appendix 4	Species distributions and soil pH	185
Appendix 5	Species distributions according to grazing intensity	186
Appendix 6	Sources of salinity and inundation tolerance information for species recorded in the 2005 Clarence River wetlands survey	188
Appendix 7	Species salinity and inundation tolerance range data summarised from Chapter 3 and 4 experiments	n 196
Appendix 8	Classification of Clarence wetland macrophyte species into functional based on salinity and inundation tolerance data	al groups 198



**Photo:** Floodgate for regulating tidal exchange at the Little Broadwater Wetland on the Clarence River floodplain, May 2007.

xii