

1 **Evaluation of an enclosed portable chamber to measure crop and pasture actual**
2 **evapotranspiration at small scale**

3 First published in *Agricultural Water Management*, volume 67, issue 1 (2004).
4 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. Copyright © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
5 *Agricultural Water Management* home page: www.elsevier.com/locate/agwat

6 Malem K McLeod^{ab*}, H. Daniel^a, R.Murrison^c, and R.Faulkner^d

7

8

9 ^aAgronomy and Soil Science, UNE, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia

10 ^bCurrent address: NSW Agriculture, RMB 944 Calala Lane, Tamworth, NSW, 2340

11 ^cMathematics, Statistics and Computing Science, UNE, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia

12 ^dEnvironmental Engineering, UNE, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia

13

14 ^{*}Corresponding author. NSW Agriculture, RMB 944 Calala Lane Tamworth, NSW 2340,

15 AUSTRALIA.

16 Email: malem.mcleod@agric.nsw.gov.au

17 Telp. No: (61) 02 6763 1112

Fax No: (61) 02 6763 1222.

1 **Abstract**

2 An enclosed portable chamber was constructed and calibrated to measure actual
3 evapotranspiration (ET) from crop and pasture and then evaluated against established
4 methods that are used to determine evapotranspiration. The chamber was equipped with
5 variable speed electric fans to mix the air within the chamber during each ET measurement.
6 The most appropriate fan speed was investigated.

7 Pasture ET measured using the enclosed portable chamber compared well with predicted
8 water loss using the water balance method for a six day period during winter 1997 in
9 Armidale (NSW, Australia). Mean cumulative pasture ET for the six day period was 5.75
10 mm and 5.89 mm measured with the enclosed portable chamber and soil profile water loss
11 respectively.

12 Wheat ET measured using the enclosed portable chamber was compared with that estimated
13 by the Bowen Ratio (BR) method for a two day period in the early growth stages of the crop.
14 Mean ET using the enclosed portable chamber was 2.4 mm/day compared with 2.3 mm/day
15 using the BR method.

16 Results from the enclosed portable chamber method showed sensitivity to the choice of fan
17 speed. A slow fan speed that produced an air velocity of 2.7 km/hr, gave the closest
18 agreement with the Bowen Ratio method (2.3 versus 2.2 mm/day) for the wheat crop.

19 The main attractions of the enclosed portable chamber method include: (1) its suitability for
20 ET measurement within small areas (<1m²), which enables ET measurement from individual
21 plant communities within small areas; (2) its main principles of measuring the actual water
22 flux from transpiring vegetation rather than inferring it from climatic parameters; (3) the
23 speed by which an instantaneous ET rate is obtained (less than one minute);

1 (4) instantaneous ET measurement can be repeated throughout the day from the same plant
2 communities; and (5) the portability of the enclosed portable chamber. ET measurement
3 using the enclosed portable chamber method may be combined with the existing soil water
4 balance models for comparing alternative crop and pasture systems in terms of their water
5 balance.

6 Key words: evapotranspiration, enclosed portable chamber, Bowen Ratio, water balance,
7 pastures.

8 **Introduction**

9 An efficient means of measuring crop and pasture evapotranspiration (ET) is required to
10 assess and optimise the water balance in agricultural systems. No single method of ET
11 determination is likely to be ideal for all circumstances. Depending on the availability of
12 data, common methods may infer potential ET through either a direct measure of evaporation
13 from an evaporation pan or an indirect estimate using, for example, the Penman equation
14 (Penman 1948; and 1963). Actual ET determination is more difficult (Holmes and Watson,
15 1967); direct measurements such as with lysimetry and micrometeorological techniques are
16 available, but are expensive and not always feasible for use in conjunction with agronomic
17 trials (Stannard, 1988). The cost and complexity of large lysimeter installations are often
18 prohibitive, and micro-lysimeters are more suited to measurement of bare soil evaporation
19 (Boast and Robertson, 1982). Micrometeorological methods are impractical to apply with
20 plot or small paddock - scale field experiments, because of error from advection. For
21 example, the Bowen Ratio method requires a fetch-to-height ratio ranging from 10:1
22 (Panofsky and Townsend, 1964) to 200:1 (Dyer, 1965) with an average recommended fetch-
23 to-height ratio of 100:1 (Rosenberg *et al.*, 1983). The water balance method is also difficult

1 to apply successfully in some circumstances, particularly during wet periods and where
2 shallow water tables are present creating uncertainty about direction of water movement.

3 Portable chambers have been used to measure ET from small areas of vegetation
4 (Greenwood and Beresford, 1979; Puckridge, 1978, Reicosky and Peters, 1977; Reicosky et
5 al., 1983). Ventilated chambers have been widely used to measure evapotranspiration of
6 single trees (Leuning and Foster, 1990), forest (Greenwood and Beresford, 1979; Greenwood
7 et al., 1982; Greenwood et al., 1985a), and grazed pasture (Greenwood et al., 1985b, Clifton
8 and Taylor, 1995). However, the use of ventilated chambers for ET measurement has been
9 criticised on the basis that net radiation and microclimate within the chamber may not be
10 representative of that outside the chamber. Net radiation inside a perspex chamber was
11 estimated to be about 95% of that outside (Greenwood and Beresford, 1979). Other studies
12 found that the significant reduction of net radiation and microclimate inside a ventilated
13 chamber could reduce the transpiration rates by up to 30% at around midday (Leuning and
14 Foster, 1990; Denmead et al., 1993). Ventilated chambers used in these studies were held in
15 place for a long period.

16 Portable, enclosed chambers made of Mylar film or plexiglass have been used to measure
17 evapotranspiration from crops (Reicosky and Peters, 1977; Reicosky et al., 1983) and
18 evaporation from soil and litter (McJannet et al., 1996). Reicosky et al. (1983) compared a
19 portable chamber and a weighing lysimeter when measuring ET of alfalfa, and concluded
20 that although the irradiance inside the chamber was reduced by 8-10%, ET measured by the
21 chamber was comparable with that measured with the weighing lysimeter. In a large fallow
22 experimental field at Wagga Wagga, McJannet et al. (1996) compared soil evaporation
23 measured using a range of methods including a dome shaped chamber, microlysimeter,
24 Bowen Ratio, and Eddy correlation. A reasonable agreement was obtained between soil

1 evaporation values measured by dome, microlysimeter, and micrometeorological techniques.
2 These studies implied that the portable chamber could provide a reasonable measure of field
3 evapotranspiration.

4 Stannard (1988) reported a design of a portable chamber that can be used to determine ET
5 from different vegetation types within a small area. This design has been used by McJannet
6 et al. (1996) to measure ET of soil and litter beneath mountain ash forest, which suggested
7 the need of further study on the most optimum fan speed to be used with the dome. In this
8 current study, the design proposed by Stannard (1998) is adopted with some modification.

9 The principal of operation of the enclosed portable chamber is that an increase of vapour
10 density inside the portable chamber is determined while over vegetation. Vapour density
11 measurement continues only for a period less than one minute. Measurement stops before
12 evapotranspiration reduces from plants responding to increased vapour or CO₂ concentration
13 within the chamber. Small rotating fans with variable speed are used to mix the air and
14 water vapour within the chamber thus ensuring representative sampling by the single
15 temperature and humidity sensors. The increase of vapour density within the chamber is
16 proportional to the ET. Measurements are repeated at various times throughout the day
17 before the series of instantaneous rates are used to infer daily ET.

18 The objectives of this paper are: (a) to describe the design and internal calibration of a
19 portable chamber to measure evapotranspiration; (b) to evaluate the suitability of the
20 chamber to measure pasture and crop evapotranspiration; (c) to evaluate the most suitable
21 fan speed to be used with the enclosed portable chamber and (d) to explore the potential
22 application of the measured ET data by the enclosed portable chamber in the development of
23 a simple model to describe ET using soil water data and solar radiation. The evaluation of
24 the enclosed portable chamber method to measure ET was undertaken by comparing it with

1 other established methods for measuring ET. The first comparison was for ET from a range
2 of pastures estimated using the soil water balance equation. The second comparison was for
3 ET from winter wheat obtained using the Bowen Ratio method during which the most
4 suitable fan speed within the enclosed portable chamber was investigated.

5 **Methods**

6 **Design, operation, data processing and calibration of the enclosed portable chamber**

7 **Design**

8 The schematic construction of the ET chamber is presented in Figure 1. The basic design
9 and calibration of the enclosed portable chamber used in this study followed that of Stannard
10 (1988), except that the hemispherical perspex dome was 0.67 m in diameter compared with
11 1.06 m. A Vaisala HMP 35A combination temperature and humidity sensor was mounted
12 inside the chamber to monitor internal atmosphere and a second sensor was mounted outside
13 to sense ambient conditions. The factory calibration provided by Vaisala was used for both
14 of these sensors. The sensors were connected to a Campbell Scientific Data logger (model
15 21X) and PC208 software was used to store and retrieve data. Two fans, each of 80 mm in
16 diameter, were used to mix air and water vapour within the chamber. Fan speed was
17 regulated using a rheostat control resulting in air velocities of 2.7, 4.9, and 10.8 km/hr inside
18 the chamber. A lead acid battery powered the data logger and sensors. The chamber as used
19 in the field is shown in Figure 2.

20 For ease of use on rough ground, the instrumented chamber and associated equipment were
21 mounted on a light steel-framed trolley. This enabled one person to operate the apparatus.
22 The chamber was equipped with a hand-operated crank that enabled it to be lowered and
23 raised. When not in use and during movement between measurement areas, the chamber was

1 raised 100 mm above the ground. A strip of flexible foam (5 cm thick) was attached to the
2 base of the chamber to seal it onto the soil surface.

3 **Procedure for measuring ET**

4 The following steps were undertaken to make an instantaneous ET measurement with the
5 portable chamber:

6 (a) Environmental conditions at measurement time were recorded based on visual
7 observation (e.g. wind, cloud, and sunshine).

8 (b) The chamber was raised and tilted with the fans running to ensure that the air under the
9 chamber was representative of ambient conditions. The readings from the internal and
10 external sensors were compared to confirm similarity between conditions within the chamber
11 and outside. It took between 3 to 4 minutes for the chamber atmosphere to equilibrate with
12 the atmosphere.

13 (c) The fan speed was set at the desired level.

14 (d) The chamber was lowered over the vegetation with the foam strip firmly laid on the soil
15 surface.

16 (e) The air humidity and temperature inside and outside the chamber were logged at two-
17 second intervals for a period of one minute following Stannard (1988) to obtain an
18 instantaneous rate of ET. It was assumed that within this short measurement period, there
19 was no microclimate change inside the chamber and that saturation vapour pressure was not
20 attained. A program was written for the data logger to set the beginning and the end of each
21 measurement.

1 (f) The chamber was then raised, and moved to the next location in the plot or field and the
2 measurement sequence was repeated.

3 (g) To obtain cumulative daily ET of particular vegetation, measurements for that vegetation
4 were repeated at an hourly or half-hourly interval throughout the day. Half-hourly intervals
5 are desirable but number of measurement areas and distance between areas may lengthen
6 this.

7 Each measurement was conducted at one point per plot at any given time, so there could be a
8 2-3 minute difference between two consecutive measurements depending on the distance
9 between the two sampling locations. At completion of a series of measurements, the PC208
10 data logger support software was used to retrieve the data.

11 **Procedure for calculating instantaneous ET rates and cumulative daily ET**

12 Relative humidity and temperature data were used to calculate the rate of vapour density
13 increase inside the chamber according to the procedures outlined by McJannet et al. (1996).
14 This includes calculations of the saturation vapour pressure, partial vapour pressure, vapour
15 density, slope of the straight line from the plot of vapour density versus time, instantaneous
16 ET rate for each measurement, and total daily ET (mm).

17 The saturated vapour pressure (e_s), partial vapour pressure (e), and vapour density (ρ_v)
18 inside the dome were calculated following CSIRO DWR (1994):

19 Saturation vapour pressure (Pa),

$$20 \quad e_s = 611213f(P) \exp\left(\frac{17.504t}{2412 + t}\right)$$

Equation 1

21 where,

1 t is temperature in °C, and $f(P)$ is assumed to be a constant value (100.4718) related to
2 atmospheric pressure.

3 Partial vapour pressure, e (Pa) was calculated using relative humidity (RH) information

$$4 \quad e = \frac{RH e_s}{100} \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

5 Vapour density, ρ_v (g/m³), was calculated using:

$$6 \quad \rho_v = \left(\frac{0.622e}{R_d T} \right) 1000 \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

7 where R_d is the gas constant (287.04 J/kg/K) and T is temperature in degrees Kelvin. The
8 factor 0.622 is the ratio of the molecular weights of water (g/mol) and of dry air (Rosenberg
9 et al. 1983; CSIRO DWR, 1994).

10 The slope of the straight-line section from the plot of vapour density inside the dome against
11 time was obtained using the least-squares method. The straight-line section usually lay
12 within the first 5 to 26 seconds of measured data (Figure 3). This slope value is used in the
13 modified (Stannard, 1988) equation (Equation 4) to calculate the instantaneous rate of ET
14 (mm/hr) at a particular time of the day.

$$15 \quad ET = 3.6 \frac{MVC}{A} \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

16

1 where ET is ET (mm/hr), M is the slope of the constant slope section ($\text{g/m}^3/\text{sec}$), V is the
2 volume inside the chamber (m^3), C is the calibration factor to account for vapour absorption
3 by the chamber material (unitless), A is the area of land surface covered by the chamber (m^2)
4 and 3.6 is a conversion factor, to convert grams of water/ m^2/sec to an hourly rate of mm/hr.

5 The area under the curve produced by plotting the time of day against hourly ET rates is the
6 daily cumulative evaporation (mm).

7 **Calibration of the chamber material**

8 The materials used to construct the chamber were moderately hydrophilic, and required
9 calibration to account for error due to vapour absorption. The calibration procedure also
10 corrected for possible error caused by poor mixing of air inside the chamber and possible
11 sensor error (Stannard, 1988).

12 The chamber materials were calibrated following Stannard's (1988) procedure prior to field
13 measurement. The procedure involved boiling water in a beaker beneath the chamber at a
14 range of rates while recording the weight of water loss with time. The increase in vapour
15 density inside the chamber was monitored using the combination humidity and temperature
16 sensor. The loss of water from the beaker was compared with the increase in vapour density
17 within the chamber.

18 Water was boiled inside a 1000 ml beaker using a small 110-Volt heating coil on top of a top
19 loading-digital balance (range 0 to 3000g, readability to 0.01 g). The heating coil was
20 clamped on a ringstand (no part of the coil or ringstand touched the beaker), and was
21 connected to a variable voltage source. The steady state evaporation rate was determined at
22 five voltage settings (40, 50, 60, 70, 80 volts) by timing weight loss on the balance display

1 for a one minute period. These voltage settings produced approximate boiling rates ranging
2 from 0.2 to 3.0 g/min.

3 At each of the voltage settings, the weight of water loss from the beaker, the humidity, and
4 temperature inside the chamber were recorded at five second intervals for a period of two
5 minutes. Vapour gain inside the dome was measured at three fan speeds (resulting in air
6 velocities of 2.7, 4.9, and 10.8 km/hr) at each boiling rate. Measurements for each
7 combination of boiling rate and fan speed were repeated three times.

8 The weight of water loss was plotted against time for each measurement to obtain the vapour
9 production rate, which was the slope of the straight-line section. Similarly, vapour gain was
10 plotted against time to obtain the vapour accumulation rate. The vapour accumulation rates
11 were then plotted against the vapour production rates (Figure 4), and the slope of the fitted
12 line passing through the origin (1.534) is the calibration factor used in calculating the
13 instantaneous ET rate (Equation 4).

14 **Evaluation of ET measured using the enclosed portable chamber method with those** 15 **using other methods**

16 To evaluate the enclosed portable chamber method for measuring ET, it was compared with
17 two other methods. The first comparison was against the water balance method under
18 pasture and the second comparison was against the Bowen Ratio technique for a winter
19 wheat crop.

20 **Comparison of the enclosed portable chamber method with the water balance method**

21 A comparative study between the enclosed portable chamber and the soil water balance
22 method to obtain pasture ET was undertaken at field site (Big Ridge 2) at the CSIRO
23 “Chiswick” Pastoral Research Station near Armidale on the Northern Tablelands of New

1 South Wales, Australia (31°31'S, 150°39'E, 1060 m above sea level) (Schafer, 1980) during
2 winter 1997. The area has a cool temperate climate with mean minimum and maximum air
3 temperature of 0°C and 26.1°C for winter (July) and summer (January) respectively. Frosts
4 are common between May and September and temperatures as low as -10°C have been
5 recorded (Hartridge, 1979). Mean annual rainfall is 795 mm and is summer dominant, with
6 sixty percent of the rain falling between October and March (George et al.,1977).

7 The soil type was a Brown Chromosol (Isbell, 1996), derived from sedimentary material but
8 also influenced by basaltic colluvium, transported from the upper slopes. It had a strongly
9 differentiated profile (duplex soil), with a sandy clay loam A-horizon and medium to heavy
10 clay B-horizon. The A2-horizon was generally bleached, indicating extensive leaching
11 (highly permeable). The texture contrast between the A and B horizon (horizon boundary at
12 around 40 cm) may induce subsurface lateral flow when the A horizon is saturated (McLeod,
13 2002).

14 Comparison of chamber ET and predicted ET using the water balance equation was
15 conducted under two replicates of three different pasture types. The pasture types were: (a)
16 degraded [pasture dominated by annual species originating from an improved phalaris+white
17 clover pasture that was grazed for 26 years at medium to high stocking rates of 13.75 to 17.5
18 Merino ewes/ha], (b) phalaris [pasture originating from a low stocking rate of 10 Merino
19 ewes/ha for the same period], and (c) the phalaris+white clover pasture [phalaris dominant
20 pasture that was re-sown with the white clover (cv. Huia) in 1994 (1kg/ha) by direct drilling
21 following an application of the herbicide gramoxone (at 1.5 L/ha) (Scott et al.,2000)]. These
22 pastures were continuously grazed, with starting stocking rates of 7.5, 12.5, and 17.5 dse/ha
23 for the degraded, phalaris, and phalaris/white clover pastures, respectively. Stocking rates
24 were varied depending on the availability of forage.

1 ET was measured with the enclosed portable chamber at hourly or half-hourly intervals from
2 sunrise to sunset over a 6 day period from 15/7/97 to 20/7/97. The measurements were
3 conducted over pasture around NMM access tubes (2 access tubes for each of the three
4 pasture types), positioning the centre of the dome over the access tube. The position of the
5 chamber was maintained at the same location for each hourly or half-hourly measurement
6 throughout the 6 day period. The daily changes in soil profile water storage (0-130 cm) were
7 measured for six consecutive days using a neutron moisture meter (NMM) and Time Domain
8 Reflectometry (TDR). Profile soil water potential near the neutron probe access tube was
9 measured with a bank of field tensiometers using the double puncture technique (Greenwood
10 and Daniel, 1996) to check the likelihood of deep drainage or subsurface lateral flow along
11 the boundary of A and B horizons.

12 Climate data (air temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, rainfall, etc.) were recorded
13 on site using an automatic weather station so that potential evapotranspiration (PET) can be
14 calculated using the Priestley-Taylor equation (Priestley and Taylor, 1972).

15 The cumulative pasture evapotranspiration obtained with the two methods were compared
16 using a linear mixed model, fitted using the ASREML statistical program (Gilmour et
17 al.,1999). The fixed terms in this model included methods of ET measurement, pasture
18 types, and the interaction between these two terms, and the random term was location
19 (sampling area). The significance of the random term was determined by comparing twice
20 the change in the log-likelihood to Chi-square distribution value (df=1; the critical value for
21 significance was 3.84). Significant difference between methods and the treatment effects
22 ($P<0.05$) on pasture ET was assessed using the Wald test (an approximate F-test), and the
23 mean comparison was conducted using the least significant difference (LSD).

1 **Comparison of the portable chamber method against the Bowen Ratio method**

2 The energy balance method provides a convenient and reliable way of assessing crop ET, by
3 inferring it from other measured parameters (WMO, 1966; Monteith, 1973; Faulkner and
4 Evans, 1981). Accurate electronic instrumentation is necessary, but the method may be
5 adapted to facilitate the simple use of two sets of wet and dry bulb thermometers, a net
6 radiometer and a soil heat flux plate. Assuming that the photosynthesis term is negligible,
7 the net radiation at the earth's surface can be expressed as:

8
$$R_n = H + LE + G$$
 Equation 5

9 where R_n is net radiation (i.e. net of short wave solar radiation and long wave earth
10 radiation), H is the sensible heat exchange between the earth's surface and the air above it, E
11 is evaporation, L is latent heat of vaporization and G is the heat flux in the surface layer of
12 the earth. Both R_n and G can be easily measured using electronic devices, but H is difficult
13 to measure. Consequently, Bowen (1926) proposed the use of the ratio of the sensible heat
14 and latent heat fluxes (Bowen Ratio), β , in the calculation of surface evaporation:

15
$$\beta = \frac{H}{LE}$$
 Equation 6

16 where,

17
$$H = \rho C_p K_h (dT/dz)$$
 Equation 7

18
$$LE = \rho L K_e (dq/dz)$$
 Equation 8

19 and ρ is density of air, C_p is specific heat of air at constant pressure, K_h is the coefficient for
20 eddy diffusion of sensible heat, K_e is the coefficient for eddy diffusion of latent heat, dT/dz is

1 the temperature gradient above the crop, and dq/dz is the specific humidity gradient above
2 the crop.

3 For crop ET, the coefficients of sensible and latent heat are assumed to have the same value,
4 although this may be invalid under unstable conditions and advective heating. However, in
5 close proximity to the crop surface the effects of buoyancy are reduced and the assumption
6 holds (WMO, 1966). Therefore the following expression can be derived (Faulkner, 1992):

$$7 \quad \beta = \gamma \frac{(T_1 - T_2)}{(e_1 - e_2)} \quad \text{Equation 9}$$

8 where γ is the psychrometric constant (the value taken as 0.66 mb/°C) and e is the vapour
9 pressure (partial pressure of the water vapour in the atmosphere). By recording wet and dry
10 bulb temperatures at two reference heights (1 and 2) the temperature gradient ($T_1 - T_2$) and the
11 vapour pressure gradient ($e_1 - e_2$) may be measured. Normally reference height 1 would be
12 at the crop/vegetation canopy surface and height 2 would be 0.5 to 0.8 m above the crop.

13 The final equation for the Bowen Ratio becomes (Faulkner, 1992):

$$14 \quad ET = \frac{R_n - G}{L(1 + \beta)} \quad \text{Equation 10}$$

15 ET measurement by both the enclosed portable chamber and the Bowen Ratio methods were
16 conducted in the centre of a large field of actively growing winter wheat near Moree, in
17 northern New South Wales Australia on 19 and 20 August 1997. The wheat crop was 10
18 weeks old and approximately 20 cm high. ET was measured using the enclosed portable
19 chamber half-hourly from 11:00 to 17:00 at a single location. The Bowen Ratio unit and the
20 chamber apparatus were about 100 cm apart during the measurement, and when not in use,

1 the chamber was kept away from the Bowen Ratio unit to minimise disturbance to the
2 Bowen Ratio unit.

3 The comparison was conducted on a wheat crop because the Bowen Ratio method requires a
4 fetch area of equal or greater than 100 times vegetation height (Rosenberg et al., 1983), with
5 uniform vegetation. This is to ensure that disturbance from turbulence caused by taller
6 vegetation is minimised. Therefore the evaluation of the enclosed portable chamber method
7 against the Bowen Ratio method had to be conducted in a large area of uniform vegetation.

8 For the comparison study between the enclosed portable chamber and Bowen Ratio method,
9 the DREAM system (Direct Reading Evapotranspiration Assessment Monitor), a Bowen
10 Ratio unit developed and described in detail by Faulkner (1992), was used to measure the
11 inputs required to determine the Bowen Ratio (Equation 9) and then the corresponding ET
12 (Equation 10). The DREAM system recorded: (a) Net radiation R_n (Mj/m^2), measured using
13 a net radiometer situated 0.96 m above the crop canopy; (b) Ground heat flux, G , measured
14 using a soil heat flux plate buried 5 mm beneath the soil surface; (c) Temperature within and
15 above the crop canopy (T_1 and T_2); and (d) Humidity within and above the crop canopy (RH_1
16 and RH_2). Two pairs of aspirated wet and dry bulb platinum resistance thermometers were
17 situated just above the crop canopy and 1.3 m higher to obtain a temperature difference
18 greater than $0.2^{\circ}C$ and so the Bowen Ratio (Faulkner, 1992). An Easidata data-logger
19 attached to the DREAM system recorded measurements every 30 minutes.

20 The determination of the optimum fan speed to use with the chamber was included during
21 this evaluation study. The fans used to mix air and water vapour within the chamber were
22 operated to give three air velocities of 2.7, 4.9 and 10.8 km/hr so that the effect on ET could
23 be determined. These fan speeds will be referred to as Low, Medium and High respectively
24 throughout this section.

1 Daily ET values obtained with the portable chamber and the Bowen Ratio methods were
 2 compared using the linear mixed model fitted with the ASREML statistical program
 3 (Gilmour et al. 1999). The fixed terms in this model was method, and the random term was
 4 date. Significant difference between methods ($P < 0.05$) was assessed using the Wald test (an
 5 approximate F-test), and the comparison between treatment means was assessed using the
 6 least significant difference (LSD) at $P = 0.05$.

7 To compare the shape of the hourly ET, a B-spline model (Chambers and Hastie, 1997) was
 8 fitted to the measured hourly ET obtained with the Bowen Ratio method and the enclosed
 9 portable chamber method with three fan speeds. This was conducted using the S-Plus
 10 statistical package (Becker et al., 1988). The B-spline model enabled a fuller comparison to
 11 be made as it not only describes the similarities or differences of the ET values, but also
 12 describes the shapes/profile of the daily ET curves. The preliminary plots suggested that
 13 four degrees of freedom would provide a smooth model to adequately summarise the data.
 14 The statistical model, after deleting any non-significant interactions is,

$$15 \quad et_{ijt} = \beta_o + day + method_j \sum_1^4 \beta_{jk} \times S_{kt} + \varepsilon_{ijt}, \varepsilon_{ijt} \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \text{Equation 11}$$

16 where

17 et_{ijt} is the observed ET from method j ($j=1, \dots, 4$) on day i ($i=1, 2$) at time t ,

18 day 1 and method 1 are constrained to be zero, so that the intercept represents the overall
 19 mean of Bowen Ratio, day 1,

20 day 2 is the day effect and methods 2,3,4 are the differences between the respective means at
 21 different fan speeds and method 1 (Bowen ratio),

22 S_{kt} is the value of the k -th basis function at time t ,

1 ε_{ijt} is a normal random variable with zero mean and variance σ^2 ,

2 The spline coefficients indicate both similarities and differences amongst the methods with
3 respect to the shape of the profile over time. Overall mean differences (or vertical shifts) are
4 described by method and day effects.

5 The entire set of spline coefficients are necessary to define the curve and whilst there is a
6 considerable overlap of the basis functions S_k , $k = 1$ to 4; they mostly refer to the following
7 range of time; basis S_1 for time range 11:00 to 14:00, S_2 for 12:00 to 16:00, S_3 for 14:00 to
8 17:00, and S_4 for time range 15:00 to 17:00 hours.

9 **Modelling of ET under pastures as a function of soil water content and solar radiation**

10 Due to the laborious nature of the enclosed portable chamber, the development of a simple
11 model to predict pasture evapotranspiration as function of soil water content and solar
12 radiation was explored. This could be a useful tool to predict ET from these pastures when
13 the actual measurement is not available.

14 Solar radiation (Watt/m^2) from 15 to 20 July 1997 at the Big Ridge 2 site was measured
15 hourly for each day with extra half-hourly measurements at 11:30 and 12:30 to be confident
16 of establishing peak radiation. The exact time of measurement was different for each plot.
17 The radiation that was occurring when ET was sampled was determined by modelling
18 radiation over time for each day using B-spline curves and using these models to predict
19 radiation at each sampling time of ET, using a B-spline model with six base functions.

20 Preliminary plots of ET against radiation indicated that the standard deviation increased with
21 the mean, which suggests that the ET observation follows a gamma distribution whose mean
22 is a function of the radiation. However, ET is also influenced by the soil water in the plots,

1 hence soil water was included in the model. A principal components analysis of soil water
2 from all plots at 10, 20,30, 40, 50, 60, 80, 100 and 120 cm depths indicated that plot
3 differences could be accounted for by the soil water content at 10, 20, 30 and 40 cm.

4 The statistical model to represent ET as a function of solar radiation is a generalised addition
5 model (Chambers and Hastie, 1997), for a gamma distribution with log link function. The
6 predictive part includes soil water content at 10, 20, 30, and 40 cm depths and solar
7 radiation. After fitting the model, the fitted curve of ET versus radiation was calculated for
8 the mean soil water at each depth, thereby correcting for initial differences in soil water
9 content. The final B-spline model used was in the form of:

10 $ET \sim bs(\text{solar radiation}, df=6) + d10 \text{ cm} + d20 \text{ cm} + d30 \text{ cm} + d40 \text{ cm}$ Equation 12

11 where,

12 ET is the predicted evapotranspiration, bs are the basis function (1-6). Soil water content at
13 10, 20, 30, and 40 cm depths are represented by $d10 \text{ cm}$, $d20 \text{ cm}$, $d30 \text{ cm}$, and $d40 \text{ cm}$,
14 respectively.

15 **Results and Discussion**

16 **Comparison with the soil water balance method**

17 **Soil water content and potential**

18 Within the six-day period, no rainfall was recorded and no significant rainfall had been
19 recorded in the previous two months. Profile soil water content (Figure 5) and soil water
20 potential indicated that drainage beyond the depth of water content measurement and
21 subsurface lateral flow at the horizon's boundary was not expected to occur during the 6 day
22 period of ET comparison. Soil water potential values for 100 and 120 cm depths ranged

1 from -46 to -26 kPa, while at 40 cm ranged from -56 to -30 kPa during the measurement
2 period. Therefore all water lost from the soil profile between two consecutive times was
3 considered to be mainly due to plant water extraction and soil evaporation. This enabled
4 comparison of ET measured with the enclosed portable chamber to be made with that
5 determined from change in profile water storage.

6 **Pasture evapotranspiration**

7 Daily pasture ET obtained by the enclosed portable chamber for the 6 day period ranged
8 from 0.7 to 1.2 mm, while the daily value of potential evapotranspiration calculated using the
9 Priestley-Taylor equation ranged from 1.6 to 1.8 mm. The average daily ET from each
10 pasture type and the Priestley-Taylor evaporation rates during the 6 day period are presented
11 in Figure 6. Pasture daily ET varied with date, which is due to climatic variations,
12 particularly solar radiation and soil temperature at 75 mm (McLeod, 2002). Daily ET
13 increases as solar radiation and soil temperature at 75 mm depth (data not shown) increases.
14 Daily ET values obtained by the enclosed portable chamber were consistently within the
15 expected range (below the potential ET rate).

16 Cumulative ET for the 6 day period obtained by the water balance method and the enclosed
17 portable chamber for each pasture replicate is presented in Table 1. Total ET obtained with
18 the water balance method is the difference between profile water storage of day 1 and day 6,
19 while the cumulative ET obtained by the enclosed portable chamber is the sum of daily ET
20 for the 6 day period. Comparison of the cumulative ET for the 6 day period was preferred to
21 daily ET because error in calculating profile water storage using the NMM measurement
22 could be as high as 6% (Sinclair and Williams, 1979). During winter the measurement error
23 for soil water content by NMM on a daily basis might be larger than the expected ET. In
24 most cases, a reasonable agreement was obtained between measured water loss and

1 measured ET except for the phalaris pasture, replicate 2 (Phalaris 2, Table 1). In this
2 replicate, the ET inferred from the water loss calculation seems inconsistent with the other
3 replicate and substantially lower than the other treatments. The difference was thought to be
4 due to random error in measuring the soil water content. For this replicate, profile water
5 storage suddenly increased by 2.46 mm from day 5 to day 6 after a steady decrease from day
6 1 to day 5. The enclosed portable chamber method produced a more consistent set of ET
7 values.

8 Comparison of the two methods suggested that the two methods were not different in
9 measuring pasture ET ($P>0.05$, Table 2) and ET during winter measurement was not
10 different between pastures ($P>0.05$, Table 2).

11 **Comparison with the Bowen Ratio method**

12 The Bowen Ratio and the enclosed portable chamber produced a similar pattern of hourly ET
13 from 11:00 to 17:00 (Figure 7 a,c), being broadly consistent with the hourly net radiation
14 (Figure 7 b,d). The hourly net radiation during the second day fluctuated more compared
15 with the first day due to the presence of cloud. Discrepancies between the hourly ET rates
16 predicted by the two methods occurred after 15:30 hours when the Bowen Ratio method
17 gave higher ET values. This may be due to the sensitivity of the Bowen Ratio unit to capture
18 the soil surface radiation during this period. The value of Bowen Ratio (β) can become
19 negative under advective conditions, when the air above the crops is warmer than the air at
20 soil surface. Faulkner (1992) found that the β value between 1 to -0.5 is required to calculate
21 ET value (Equation 10) sensibly. In the present study the β value was as low as -0.70 after
22 16:00 for 19/8/1997 and -1.21 after 17:00 for 20/8/1997.

1 Where the two faster fan speeds are used with the enclosed portable chamber, ET rates are
2 greater than those indicated by the Bowen Ratio method from 11:30 to 14:00 hours,
3 particularly for the 19/08/1997. It is likely that at greater fan speeds, humid air trapped near
4 the soil surface is disturbed by the fans and introduced into the atmosphere above the plants.
5 This water vapour would then be erroneously considered as ET.

6 The divergence of ET readings between the enclosed portable chamber at greater fan speeds
7 and the Bowen Ratio method occurred most noticeably from 11:30 to 14:00 hours, which
8 coincided with the period of maximum radiation for the day. During this period the
9 difference between humidity in the boundary layer and in the atmosphere above was likely to
10 be greater than early or late in the day when the boundary layer humidity would tend to
11 equilibrate with that in the atmosphere above. Thus, error from boundary layer disturbance
12 with higher fan speeds was likely to be larger around the middle of the day, as the data
13 suggest (McLeod et al., 1998).

14 Other factors are likely to contribute to the difference in the hourly ET values measured by
15 the two methods. The data from the enclosed portable chamber are point measurements,
16 collected over a period of seconds over a small area (0.35 m^2) and then aggregated, i.e. there
17 is interpolation between measurement times. The Bowen Ratio method differs in that ET is
18 determined at 10 minute time intervals before being averaged over a 30 minute period, and
19 the ET is the average from a much greater fetch area. Therefore, the ET determination from
20 the Bowen Ratio method is made over a much larger area compared to the enclosed portable
21 chamber. The enclosed portable chamber method is a spot measurement that does not
22 account for field variability. Stannard (1988) suggested that confidence in the ET value at a
23 single site increases with frequency of measurements throughout the day. However,
24 confidence in the ET value for a plant community increases as the number of sampling

1 locations increases. Therefore a balance between frequency of measurement and number of
2 sampling locations needs to be determined. In this comparison of methods, ET was only
3 measured from one sampling point with three fan speeds at 30 minute intervals. The
4 underlying assumption is that ET was uniform throughout the field.

5 The cumulative ET from 11:00-17:00 obtained using the Bowen Ratio, the portable chamber
6 at Low, Medium and High fan speeds for each day was not significantly different (Table 3).
7 However, ET measured at the lowest fan speed was closest to values determined by the
8 Bowen Ratio method.

9 The risk of introducing humid air trapped by vegetation in a boundary layer is lessened at
10 low fan speeds. Low fan speeds have previously been reported as giving the most uniform
11 mixing of air inside the enclosed portable chamber (CSIRO, 1994; McJannet *et al.*, 1996).
12 Ideally, fan speed should produce air velocities within the chamber that generate sufficient
13 mixing of air and vapour for sensor measurements to be representative, but without
14 disturbing the naturally occurring boundary layer present at the time of measurement. For
15 these reasons the lowest fan speed was adopted for further measurements.

16 Plots of the observed ET data and fitted B-spline model are presented in Figure 8.

17 Associated with each curve is a point-wise 95% confidence interval of ± 0.023 mm/hr which,
18 when applied to the curves, reveals the instances where predicted values from the different
19 methods are similar or different. The summary of the main effects is presented in Table 4,
20 while the spline coefficient is presented in Table 5.

21 The analysis of the B-spline model shows that the shapes of the hourly ET with time
22 measured at three fan speeds with the enclosed portable chamber method are similar (Table
23 4). However, the shape of the hourly Bowen Ratio ET with time was different to those

1 obtained with the enclosed portable chamber method with three fan speeds by its spline
2 coefficient β_2 , which describes the response between 12:00 and 16:00 (Table 4 and 5).
3 Figure 8 shows that the instantaneous ET rates measured by the enclosed portable chamber
4 continue to drop approaching zero toward the end of the day, which implies that night time
5 evapotranspiration is negligible. This is consistent with previous study with the enclosed
6 portable chamber (Stannard, 1988). In contrast, the ET rates obtained by the Bowen Ratio
7 did not appear to go toward zero the end of the day. It seems that the Bowen Ratio unit is
8 influenced by other factors toward the end of the day. The Bowen Ratio unit may be
9 sensitive to the heat released when the earth surface is cooling and interpreted it as available
10 energy for evapotranspiration, and would continuously predict appreciable ET rates. This
11 could lead to overestimation of overall daily ET. Night time irrigated lucerne ET estimated
12 by the Bowen Ratio method have been reported to be a significant proportion (up to 14%) of
13 total daily ET (Malek, 1992).

14 **Modelling of ET under pastures as a function of soil water content and solar radiation**

15 The predicted ET curve (using the B-splines with six basis functions) and its 95% pointwise
16 confidence interval for predicted values are plotted against solar radiation in Figure 9. Also
17 plotted are the observed ET values, corrected to mean soil water content. The coefficients
18 for each basis function in the B-spline model and for soil water content (on the log scale) and
19 its respective standard errors are presented in Table 6.

20 Although the effect of soil water content on evapotranspiration was shown to be statistically
21 different, they are of lesser magnitude than the effect due to solar radiation. During winter in
22 Armidale, it is likely that pasture evapotranspiration rate is controlled by atmospheric
23 demand rather than by water availability. This may not be the case during spring or summer.

1 The potential for modelling development from the measured ET, solar radiation and soil
2 water content data shown in this study may overcome the need for daily ET measurement.
3 The speed and portability of the enclosed portable chamber used in this study would enable
4 spot measurement of ET rates to be conducted during selected periods throughout the year.
5 Scotter et al. (1979) proposed a simple linear model to predict pasture ET as a function of
6 soil water deficit. The disadvantage of this approach is that the model parameters need to be
7 derived from profile water storage data during an extended period of drying cycle.
8 Otherwise, the relationship between soil water deficits is not satisfactory. In addition, it uses
9 the same function throughout the year, disregarding the seasonal effect on pasture
10 evapotranspiration. This could lead into incorrect estimation of actual ET. When ET is
11 directly measured at the same time as the soil water measurement, such as in this study, these
12 problems can be avoided. The ET prediction could be fine tuned with direct ET
13 measurement using the enclosed portable chamber.

14 **Conclusions**

15 The enclosed portable chamber to measure evapotranspiration from pasture and crop was
16 constructed. The calibration factor obtained to account for vapour absorption by the
17 chamber material was 1.534. The enclosed portable chamber was compared successfully
18 against two other commonly used methods to predict evapotranspiration. A reasonable
19 agreement was obtained when the enclosed portable chamber ET was compared with the
20 estimated ET using profile water loss (water balance method) from three pasture types
21 during winter 1997 with the range of the total daily pasture ET between 0.7 and 1.2 mm. A
22 good agreement was obtained when ET measured by the enclosed portable chamber method
23 was compared with that measured by the Bowen Ratio method on a large wheat paddock.

1 There was no significant difference between ET measured by the Bowen Ratio and the
2 enclosed portable chamber method at three different fan speeds. However, the lowest fan
3 speed that resulted in an air velocity of 2.7 km/hr inside the chamber was preferred as it
4 would cause least disturbance to the surface boundary layer, and the resulting ET was closest
5 to ET values obtained by the Bowen Ratio unit.

6 The advantage of the enclosed portable chamber over other available methods to predict
7 evapotranspiration includes its basic principle of measuring the actual flux of water from the
8 transpiring vegetation rather than inferring it from climatic parameters. The enclosed
9 portable chamber has shown to be fast and reliable, reproducible, and comparable with other
10 techniques. It is suitable to measure ET from different vegetation within a small area or to
11 measure evaporation from bare soil, litter and understorey. This ability would enable
12 segregation between transpiration and evaporation.

13 However, the use of the enclosed portable chamber to measure ET is laborious and time
14 consuming, so daily use is impractical. ET data obtained by the enclosed portable chamber
15 can be used to develop a model to predict ET based on other information including soil water
16 data and instantaneous (modelled) radiation data.

17 **Acknowledgments**

18 Inputs from Dr. Hamish Cresswell in the construction of the chamber and his comments on
19 early drafts of this paper are greatly appreciated. We acknowledge inputs from Assoc. Prof.
20 D. A. MacLeod for preparation of this paper. Constructive comment from Mr. Sean Murphy
21 on the draft of this paper is highly valued. We acknowledge the assistance of Ms Janelle
22 Montgomery, Mr. Colin Lord, Dr. Peter Lockwood, Dr. Kathy King, Mr. David Wilkinson,
23 the Science and Engineering Workshop and Electronic Services Unit at the University of

1 New England. We thank Auscot Pty. Ltd., Moree for the use of one of their wheat fields.
2 This study was funded by the Meat and Livestock Australia (formerly MRC), Woolmark Co.
3 (formerly IWS), and Land and Water Resources Research and Development Corporation
4 (LWRRDC) of Australia.

5 **References**

- 6 Becker, R. A., Chambers, J.M., Wilks, A.R., 1988. The new S Language: A programming
7 environment for data analysis and graphs. Wadsworth, Pacific Grove, California, USA.
- 8 Boast, C.W., Robertson, T.M., 1982. A “Micro-Lysimeter” method for determining
9 evaporation from bare soil: Description and laboratory evaluation. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.J. 46,
10 689-695.
- 11 Bowen, L.S., 1926. The ratio of heat losses by conduction and by evaporation from any
12 surface. Physical Reviews 27, 779-789.
- 13 Chambers, J.M, Hastie, T.J. 1997. Statistical model in S. Chapman and Hall. London. 670
14 pp.
- 15 Clifton, C.A. , Taylor, J.M., 1995. Improving pasture management for control of dryland
16 salinity. Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Centre for Land Protection
17 Research. Research note No.8.
- 18 CSIRO, Division of Water Resources., 1994. Second quarterly report to MERIWA &
19 AMIRA for the period October to December 1993 on groundwater supply to the mining
20 industry in the WA goldfields, Project M217/P321A, CSIRO, Division of Water Resources.

- 1 Denmead, O.T., Dunin, F.X., Wong, S.C., Greenwood, E.A.N., 1993. Measuring water use
2 efficiency of Eucalypt trees with chambers and micrometeorological techniques. Journal of
3 Hydrology 150, 649-664.
- 4 Dyer, A.J., 1965. Discussion on Change of terrain roughness and the wind profile by H. A.
5 Panofsky and A. A. Townsend. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 91, 241.
- 6 Faulkner R.D., Evans T.E., 1981. The measurement of ET in an arid climate using the
7 energy balance method. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers. Part two. March
8 1981: pp 51-62.
- 9 Faulkner, R.D.,1992. Field determination of crop ET from the energy balance. Transaction of
10 the Zimbabwe Scientific Association 66, 18-24.
- 11 George, J.M., Vickery, P.J. ,Wilson, M.A., 1977. Meteorological data from the CSIRO
12 pastoral research laboratory Armidale, N. S. W. 1949-1976, CSIRO, Australia.
- 13 Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., Welham, S.J., Thompson, R., 1999. ASREML Reference
14 Manual. NSW Agriculture Biometric Bulletin No.3. NSW Agriculture, Orange, NSW,
15 2800, Australia, 210 pp.
- 16 Greenwood, E.A.N., Beresford, J.D., 1979. Evaporation from vegetation in landscapes
17 developing secondary salinity using the ventilated chamber technique. I. Comparative
18 transpiration from juvenile *Eucalyptus* above saline groundwater seeps. Journal of
19 Hydrology 42, 369-382.
- 20 Greenwood, E.A.N., Beresford, J.D., Bartle, J.R., Barron, R.J.W., 1982. Evaporation from
21 vegetation in landscapes developing secondary salinity using the ventilated -chamber

- 1 technique. IV. Evaporation from a regenerating forest of *Eucalyptus wandoo* on land
2 formerly cleared for agriculture. *Journal of Hydrology* 58, 357-366.
- 3 Greenwood, E.A.N., Klein, L., Beresford, J.D., Watson, G.D., 1985b. Differences in annual
4 evaporation between grazed pasture and eucalyptus species in plantations on a saline farm
5 catchment. *Journal of Hydrology* 78, 261-278.
- 6 Greenwood, E.A.N., Klein, L., Beresford, J.D., Watson, G.D., Wright, K.D., 1985a.
7 Evaporation from the understorey in the jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata* Don ex sm.) forest,
8 Southwestern Australia. *Journal of Hydrology* 80, 337-349.
- 9 Greenwood, K. L., Daniel, H., 1996. A double-puncture technique for improving the
10 accuracy of puncture tensiometer measurements. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 34,
11 153-159.
- 12 Hartridge, F., 1979. Pastoral research on the Northern Tablelands, New South Wales.
13 Sydney, Department of Agriculture New South Wales.
- 14 Holmes, J.W., Watson, C.L., 1967. The water budget of irrigated pasture land near Murray
15 Bridge, South Australia. *Agricultural Meteorology* 4, 177-188.
- 16 Isbell, R. F., 1996. The Australian Soil Classification - Australian Soil and Land Survey
17 Handbook Series, v.4, CSIRO, Australia.
- 18 Leuning, R., Foster, I.J., 1990. Estimation of transpiration by single trees: comparison of a
19 ventilated chamber, leaf energy budgets and a combination equation. *Agricultural and Forest*
20 *Meteorology* 51, 63-86.
- 21 Malek, E., 1992. Night-time evapotranspiration vs. daytime and 24h evapotranspiration.
22 *Journal of Hydrology* 138(1-2), 119-129.

- 1 McJannet, D.L., Vertessy, R.A., Tapper, N.J., O'Sullivan, S.K., Beringer, J., Cleugh, H.,
2 1996. Soil and litter evaporation beneath re-growth and old-growth mountain ash forest.
3 Clayton, Victoria, Cooperative Research Centre for Catchment Hydrology
- 4 McLeod, M.K., Cresswell, H.P., MacLeod, D.A., Faulkner, R.D., Daniel, H., 1998.
5 Measurement of ET from different pasture type using the rapid chamber method.
6 Proceedings of the 9th Australian Agronomy Conference, Wagga Wagga, Australian Society
7 of Agronomy. p:231-234.
- 8 McLeod, M. K. 2002. Soil water dynamics under grazed pastures in the Northern Tablelands
9 of New South Wales, Australia. PhD thesis. Department of Agronomy and Soil Science, The
10 University of New England, Armidale, NSW.
- 11 Monteith J.L. Principle of Environmental Physics., 1973. Edward Arnold, London, pp:241.
- 12 Panofsky, H.A., Townsend, A.A., 1964. Change of terrain roughness and the wind profile.
13 Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 91, 147-155.
- 14 Penman H.L., 1948. Natural evaporation from open water, bare soil and grass. Proceedings
15 of Royal Society, 1948, Series A, 193 pp 120-145.
- 16 Penman H.L., 1963. Vegetation and Hydrology. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux,
17 U.K., 1963.
- 18 Priestley, C. H. B., Taylor, R. J., 1972. On the assessment of surface heat flux and
19 evaporation using large-scale parameters. Monthly Weather Review 100, 81-92.
- 20 Puckridge, D.W., 1978. A comparison of evapotranspiration measurements of crop
21 communities using lysimeters and assimilation chambers. Australian Journal of Soil
22 Research 16, 229-236.

- 1 Reicosky, D.C., Peters, D.B., 1977. A portable chamber for rapid evapotranspiration
2 measurement on field plots. *Agronomy Journal* 69, 729-732.
- 3 Reicosky, D.C., Sharratt, B. S., Ljungkull, J. E., Baker, D. G., 1983. Comparison of alfalfa
4 evapotranspiration measured by a weighing lysimeter and a portable chamber. *Agricultural*
5 *Meteorology* 28, 205-211.
- 6 Rosenberg, N.J., Blad, B.L., Verma, S.B., 1983. *Microclimate: The biological environment.*
7 Wiley, New York, 495 pp.
- 8 Schafer, B.M., 1980. A description of the soils on the CSIRO Pastoral Research Laboratory
9 property, Chiswick, Armidale, NSW. CSIRO Animal Research Laboratories Technical
10 Paper No.8.
- 11 Scott, J.M., Hutchinson, K.J., King, K., Chen, W., McLeod, M., Blair, G.J., White, A.,
12 Wilkinson, D., Lefroy, R.D.B., Cresswell, H., Daniel, H., Harris C., MacLeod, D.A., Blair,
13 N., Chamberlain, G., 2000. Quantifying the sustainability of grazed pastures on the Northern
14 Tablelands of New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 40, 257-
15 265.
- 16 Scotter, D. R., Clothier, B. E., Turner, M. A. 1979. The soil water balance in a Fragiaqualf
17 and its effect on pasture growth in central New Zealand. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*
18 17, 455-465.
- 19 Sinclair, D. F; Williams, J. 1979. Components of variance involved in estimating soil water
20 content and water content change using a neutron moisture meter. *Australian Journal of Soil*
21 *Research* 17 (2), 237-247

- 1 Stannard, D.I., 1988. Use of A Hemishpherical Chamber for measurement of
- 2 Evapotranspiration. Denver, Colorado, United State Geological Survey
- 3 World Meteorological Organisation. Technical note No.83: Measurement and estimation of
- 4 evaporation and evapotranspiration. Geneva 1966.

1

2 Table 1. Cumulative pasture ET calculated using the measured profile water storage and the
3 enclosed portable chamber method from 15/7/97 to 20/7/97.

Pasture*	Cumulative ET (mm)	
	Water balance method	Portable chamber method
Degraded 1	5.45	6.19
Degraded 2	6.41	6.05
Phalaris 1	7.04	5.19
Phalaris 2	3.45	5.78
Phal+WC 1	6.16	5.48
Phal+WC 2	6.81	5.79

4 *Number following pasture type is the number of the replicate.

- 1 Table 2. Average values of cumulative ET for each pasture type measured by the enclosed
 2 portable chamber method and water balance method from 15 to 20 July 1997.

Pasture	Cumulative ET (mm)	
	Water balance method	Portable chamber method
Degraded	5.93	6.12
Phalaris	5.24	5.48
Phalaris+white clover	6.48	5.64
LSD _{0.05}	2.5	

- 3 ^aValues followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P=0.05

- 1 Table 3. Mean ET of wheat crop measured by the Bowen Ratio and portable chamber
 2 method with three different fan speeds from 11:30 to 17:00 on the 19 and 20 August 1997.

	BR	Fan speeds with the portable chamber		
		Low	Med	High
ET (mm/day)	2.30 ^a	2.22 ^a	2.39 ^a	2.51 ^a

3

1 Table 4. Summary of the main effect of the method comparison on ET

Main factor	Value (mm/hour)	Std.error (mm/hour)	t	Pr (t)
Bowen Ratio day 1	0.3925	± 0.039	10	<0.001
Bowen Ratio day 2	0.336	± 0.00875	-6.3	<0.001
Portable chamber-Low	0.34458	± 0.05375	-0.9	0.4
Portable chamber-Medium	0.3908	± 0.055416	-0.02	0.98
Portable chamber-High	0.40458	± 0.059166	0.2	0.84

2

1 Table 5. The spline coefficients of each method and their standard errors.

Basis function	Time range	Coefficient	BR	Fan speeds the portable chamber with		
				Low	Med	High
S ₁	11-14	β_1	2.87(1.90)	1.81(1.80)	2.65(1.90)	2.18(2.06)
S ₂	12-16	β_2	-0.88(1.58)	3.12(1.57)	2.15(1.56)	5.38(1.55)
S ₃	14-17	β_3	-2.03(.72)	-2.14(1.60)	-2.5(1.69)	-4.12(1.77)
S ₄	15-17	β_4	-2.15(.19)	-3.55(1.16)	-5.25(1.18)	-5.90(1.24)

2 Value inside the parentheses is the standard error of the coefficient.

- 1 Table 6. The spline coefficients of the B-spline used to predict ET from solar radiation and
 2 soil water content (Equation 12).

Basis function	Factors	Coefficient	t-value
BS ₁	Solar radiation	0.19(0.23)	0.82
BS ₂	Solar radiation	1.45(0.12)	11.92
BS ₃	Solar radiation	1.72(0.16)	10.59
BS ₄	Solar radiation	1.85(0.11)	15.61
BS ₅	Solar radiation	2.11(0.13)	16.06
BS ₆	Solar radiation	2.18(0.12)	17.53
	d10 cm	0.009(0.004)	2.15
	d20 cm	0.018(0.009)	-2.10
	d30 cm	0.018(0.008)	2.26
	d40 cm	0.009(0.004)	-2.19

- 3 Value inside the parentheses is the standard error of the coefficient.

1 Figure captions:

2 Figure 1. The schematic diagram of the chamber construction redrawn from Stannard (1988).

3 Figure 2. The enclosed portable chamber for measuring ET used in this study.

4 Figure 3. The increase in vapour density (g/m^3) inside the enclosed portable chamber during
5 the one minute measurement period. The slope of the straight line section between 5 and 26
6 second represents the vapour accumulation rate and was used to calculate the instantaneous
7 ET rate.

8 Figure 4. Plot of the vapour accumulation rate and vapour production rate to provide the
9 calibration factor for vapour absorption by the chamber material.

10 Figure 5. Changes in soil profile water content from 15/07/1997 to 20/07/1997 under each
11 pasture type.

12 Figure 6. Mean daily evapotranspiration under degraded, phalaris, and phalaris+white clover
13 pastures from 15/7/1997 to 20/7/1997. The vertical bars indicate the LSD value at $P=0.05$
14 for each measurement date.

15 Figure 7. Hourly ET rates measured by the Bowen Ratio (BR) method and the portable
16 chamber method from 11:00 to 17:00 for the 19 and 20 August 1997 (a,c). The lower
17 diagrams (b,d) show the corresponding hourly net radiation.

18 Figure 8. B-spline models of the ET rates measured by Bowen Ratio and portable chamber
19 method at three fan speeds from (a) 11:00 to 17:00 on 19/8/1997 and from (b) 11:30 to 17:00
20 on 20/08/1997. The lines are the predicted ET value with the B-spline model, while the
21 points are the measured values.

22 Figure 9. Daily pasture ET (mm) as a function of solar radiation adjusted to mean initial soil
23 water content (swc).