

## Focus Group Discussion Transcripts – Policy Leaders

Thematic Code Translator:

... Usefulness / ... Quality / ... Learning / ... Impact / ... To do next or improvement

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.290

Facilitator

Ah.

00:00:02.680 --> 00:00:04.770

Facilitator

Yeah, I think I think it's on.

00:00:08.000 --> 00:00:09.400

PL1

It says it is Facilitator.

00:00:10.040 --> 00:00:12.170

Facilitator

It's just the transcription, is it on?

00:00:14.160 --> 00:00:20.970

Facilitator

Uh, so by clicking dismiss the dismiss button you are giving consent to being recorded.

00:00:21.630 --> 00:00:23.440

Facilitator

For the thesis, UM.

00:00:25.890 --> 00:00:43.490

Facilitator

So it's being transcribed so that I can use some of the insights for for my thesis. Your names will you your you will be anonymized and quotes will be attributed to a pseudonym. That's all in the information sheet, but if you want to opt out of the study.

00:00:43.540 --> 00:00:43.740

Facilitator

T.

00:00:44.470 --> 00:01:01.560

Facilitator

You may do so using the consent form, so the consent form for was for people who were going to attend in person because the dismiss button obviously wouldn't be there for them to click, uh, to to signal their their consent.

00:01:02.590 --> 00:01:03.740

Facilitator

Uhm?

00:01:04.820 --> 00:01:14.990

Facilitator

Yeah, but for those of you who who want to make it clear that you you want to opt out, you can. You can use the consent form for that. That's only if you want to opt out. For those of you who are willing to.

00:01:15.620 --> 00:01:28.910

Facilitator

To lend your thoughts to my to my thesis then then then yeah just clicking dismiss will do. But I I just want to just verbally. Does anybody have any concerns with that or is anybody thinking about opting out or?

00:01:30.410 --> 00:01:47.380

Facilitator

No, no, uh, OK, but for those who did send the consent form, thank you very much. So that just gives me an extra layer of protection there. So this is to review the second round [of SA BLADE] and as we're heading into the third round, it could help inform and improve the third round.

00:01:48.050 --> 00:02:01.680

Facilitator

But also I might be doing a similar catch up after like next year just to look at what happened during the third round because there's more, there's a next iteration, right? That's about to happen.

00:02:03.380 --> 00:02:05.120

Facilitator

OK, so if if there are no more.

00:02:05.170 --> 00:02:12.500

Facilitator

...a preliminary questions or concerns, we can proceed with the with the focus group discussion. Are there any?

00:02:13.420 --> 00:02:15.930

Facilitator

Wanna raise any any issues at this point?

00:02:19.300 --> 00:02:19.620

Facilitator

None.

00:02:20.570 --> 00:02:40.450

Facilitator

OK, alright then uhm so we'll begin. So thank you everybody again for for coming today. So my first question to you as policy leaders who in one way or another made use of SA Blade or participated in an SA Blade investigation of some sort.

00:02:41.110 --> 00:02:45.760

Facilitator

I wanted to ask you first of what types of policies and decisions?

00:02:46.570 --> 00:02:57.700

Facilitator

Did you use the data asset for? What policies and decisions was it used to inform and how significant were they?

00:02:58.760 --> 00:03:08.530

Facilitator

So yeah, if if anybody wants to to start just just raise your hand and then I can acknowledge you.

00:03:09.880 --> 00:03:11.810

Facilitator

Who would like to begin? What was it used?

00:03:13.520 --> 00:03:14.930

Facilitator

And how significant was it?

00:03:18.170 --> 00:03:18.800

Facilitator

Anyone?

00:03:20.130 --> 00:03:22.490

Facilitator

What did you use? the SA blade? Yeah, back.

00:03:24.420 --> 00:03:25.930

PL1

So it's a.

00:03:26.810 --> 00:03:29.300

PL1

It it it's? Look it's informed.

00:03:30.220 --> 00:03:32.390

PL1

Some thinking at this point.

00:03:33.980 --> 00:04:05.710

PL1

And we've referred to the and when when I say the Blade and Sabre, it's the data that we've had available, and it's obviously now published in that Deloitte. Well, **it's actually allowed us to respond to an industry recommendation and creative industries strategy, which was what industry and wanted to see what it looked like**. I mean, PL2 can go into more detail about the specifics of that, but industry asked

specifically about when you say (what) creative industries is worth. What does that mean for the sub sector that I'm in so that that can help.

00:04:06.000 --> 00:04:24.670

PL1

Industry itself and businesses make decisions **if they know what what they're a part of and what that value is so that that helps them in their own planning.** If you like and strategy and us being able to facilitate that was quite good, but other areas that we've looked at is, you know.

00:04:20.570 --> 00:04:20.980

Facilitator

Ah.

00:04:25.680 --> 00:04:26.530

PL1

It's uhm.

00:04:27.380 --> 00:04:57.050

PL1

So obviously in screen you you kind of you read all of the desktop research about the global trends and and the international reports, but then **to see those trends and how they relate to the South Australian landscape helps inform. Yeah our our policy decisions here and investment decisions and so that's been they've been the two key elements. I would say so far, but we will definitely be using this in an ongoing manner.**

00:04:57.550 --> 00:05:04.780

PL1

**To inform many investment and and policy decisions specific to growth state and broader industry development.**

00:05:06.700 --> 00:05:10.120

Facilitator

Thanks PL1, PL2 did you wanna add to to that?

00:05:11.020 --> 00:05:26.310

PL2

Yeah, I think one of the most rewarding things for me around working in the project that PL1 is talking about, sort of **using SA Blade to define the economic boundaries of various sub sectors of the creative industries.**

00:05:27.590 --> 00:05:29.480

PL2

Because in creating I guess like.

00:05:30.630 --> 00:06:00.260

PL2

Some measurement was that we did it with together with the industry and they understood how we measure things and why that's important and why it's important that when they input their data they do

so in a way that allows us to measure it properly. **Coming out the other side and having that participation and involvement. It was like I was discovering along with them and it was a journey of discovery for all of us.**

00:06:00.320 --> 00:06:32.240

PL2

I think and suddenly people were going, oh it PL9ered the box, I'd tick PL9ers and the results that I get are useful and so to be able to have that level of understanding and participation was really really important. **And I can see a lot of usage for SA Blade moving forward with various industry groups particularly feeding into Sabre.** Once they have an understanding of of the box they're ticking and and how that's useful so.

00:06:32.480 --> 00:06:36.730

PL2

**It was as much a PR exercise.** As It was anything else I think in a way as well.

00:06:37.260 --> 00:07:07.310

PL1

Can I build on that to? Also not **that education step if you like** for industry, **you can't underestimate the impact that is going to have** an PL2 again you might, it was something you said, actually PL2 **it's relation to how it's impacting our migration laws for bringing in skilled labor.** You know that the box that people need to tick when they're actually applying to come into a country to undertake a job if they're if their job and that.

00:06:37.470 --> 00:06:37.880

Facilitator

Yep.

00:07:07.390 --> 00:07:31.800

PL1

Job code or industry code isn't available to tick the information that our country is getting about. Workflow, demand, workforce, demand and jobs is incorrect and inaccurate, and so it's skewing education decisions. It's actually skewing the whole way that we perceive you know industry strengths and and needs, and I think that can't be under estimated. **That education piece is really, really important.**

00:07:34.250 --> 00:07:38.190

Facilitator

Wow, OK, so uhm, we've heard.

00:07:38.980 --> 00:07:50.300

Facilitator

We've heard from people who represent the creative industries sector who managed the creative industries sector. Are there any other thoughts from the others from any other?

00:07:51.870 --> 00:07:52.580

Facilitator

Participant

00:07:54.040 --> 00:08:07.110

PL3

Yeah, I'm happy to pile in Facilitator with the I guess the broader thing for the way of describing it is that it's it was **critical for at least five of the sub sectors of the growth state.**

00:08:07.870 --> 00:08:08.490

PL3

Uh.

00:08:10.480 --> 00:08:16.260

PL3

**Areas to both benchmark and define, but also in annual reporting.**

00:08:17.990 --> 00:08:21.250

PL3

So pile on to all of the comments made.

00:08:21.330 --> 00:08:29.600

PL3

Uh earlier gives you **so much rich detail on what you should be targeting** and why, yeah?

00:08:34.250 --> 00:08:56.280

PL9

Facilitator, I think what what I've picked up on from from. I think both of the previous comments from PL1 and PL3 is that it's the ability in blade using administrative data to drill down to **really fine levels of detail, which is much more detailed than you can get from other published ABS data.** Or you know that that **makes this really really useful for us.**

00:08:34.320 --> 00:08:35.190

PL3

Said enough.

00:08:58.560 --> 00:09:02.810

PL9

**Particularly when we're talking about sort of industry sectors that are not defined in standard ways.**

00:08:58.750 --> 00:09:00.210

PL3

Sorry, I'm just.

00:09:10.680 --> 00:09:14.030

PL3

Sorry PL7, I think I'd just butted in your hand was up.

00:09:16.810 --> 00:09:22.060

PL7

No, that's OK. I was just gonna do my little thing that's yeah.

00:09:23.100 --> 00:09:24.700

PL7

Should I say it now? Facilitator is that.

00:09:27.190 --> 00:09:58.860

PL7

Uhm, I reckon for ours, it's a little bit on a promise. Still like there was one. I think 1 project highlighted a relatively small project that if I can call it tentacles. But the breadth of impact in terms of the regional impact that this is the mining one. Facilitator **it was informing with lots of other material**, yeah, but it because of that **granularity of the data** PL9 **rather than a clunky input output type thing, it just showed how connected.**

00:09:58.900 --> 00:09:59.590

PL7

Some.

00:10:00.700 --> 00:10:30.280

PL7

**Activities are which also it's a spatial thing as well as some over time.** I think there was one thing I think the other stuff we've got is really on a promise. We got a number of projects that have been delivered like an exploration program, pace and we've got energy productivity program with more than 500 energy users have done audit data which so we've got all this data set up to be evaluated and I reckon a home battery scheme going forward is another prime.

00:10:30.330 --> 00:10:38.810

PL7

Example, so we're on a promise. We're in a queue, yeah? So we can see the future demand on program impact, and I think the other thing is.

00:10:40.190 --> 00:11:09.290

PL7

Well, it's been related to about the **the connecting with people in the research community and economic assessment** and dot dot dot. So this stuff with productivity assessment that's going on at the moment. Facilitator, the total factor productivity and DEA. Always it's a promise of more in depth work. That's just it's starting, you know and the community. **The research community is using it now.** So I I I think it's on a promise, but I **I really like that you've built the bridges with those research communities and they've come back in and they're doing things now.**

00:11:09.560 --> 00:11:12.910

PL7

So yeah, so that's my piece that yeah, yeah.

00:11:21.540 --> 00:11:24.000

PL4

So you're on mute Facilitator.

00:11:27.450 --> 00:11:35.020

Facilitator

Sorry, I I cut out there as well for awhile. Yeah, I'm glad it wasn't. It didn't drop the call. Yes is there anybody?

00:11:35.790 --> 00:11:36.850

Facilitator

Want to add to that.

00:11:36.040 --> 00:11:45.140

PL4

Perhaps if I can pick up on the the promise element, I think that what it has opened up is.

00:11:46.290 --> 00:11:56.340

PL4

The idea that some of these programs where we're touching individual businesses can be evaluated over time, and it's allowed government to.

00:11:58.080 --> 00:12:12.800

PL4

Wait, it's a it's got rid of the excuse that government can't measure outcomes so it's made it us be more clear about what we think the outcomes are and when we think we'll get them because there is a future measurement strategy in place.

00:12:13.420 --> 00:12:15.340

Facilitator

Yes, yes, that's.

00:12:16.370 --> 00:12:17.100

Facilitator

That's true.

00:12:17.780 --> 00:12:21.130

PL8

I I'd just like to add Facilitator. That said, we've been.

00:12:22.060 --> 00:12:52.930

PL8

Displaying the the data through the Atlas of Opportunity, which MIT have developed, and that's been a very useful tool and in some ways it's an opportunity to reflect back a little bit of the data which is normally hidden within government and and into back into the business community. And and I think they're really finding that a very interesting insights into you know profitability of some industries over other industries.

00:12:53.460 --> 00:13:20.650

PL8

Uh, the sort of skills which are in some industries, and I think it's a really rich source of exploring more about how businesses are operating and then making that information available back to the community. I

think that's something which is **really unique** and really we're looking forward to developing that further and and really exploring that and and so industry get a better benefit out of out of that data.

00:13:21.990 --> 00:13:22.620

Facilitator

Yes.

00:13:24.210 --> 00:13:28.260

Facilitator

Ah, that's great to hear PL8, UM, we've heard.

00:13:31.520 --> 00:13:37.330

Facilitator

Hello, we've heard we've heard quite a bit about industry. UM, hello.

00:13:38.330 --> 00:13:38.750

PL8

Yep.

00:13:39.070 --> 00:13:43.150

Facilitator

Yeah, we've heard quite a bit of our around industry, UM.

00:13:45.110 --> 00:13:46.840

Facilitator

It's me, it's going on.

00:13:52.830 --> 00:13:53.370

Facilitator

Hello.

00:13:53.710 --> 00:13:55.120

PL9

Yeah, we can hear you Facilitator.

00:13:55.400 --> 00:13:56.050

Facilitator

Oh, OK.

00:13:55.700 --> 00:13:56.180

PL8

Yep.

00:14:06.410 --> 00:14:07.780

PL6

But now we may have lost you.

00:14:16.750 --> 00:14:17.200

PL6

In

00:14:20.860 --> 00:14:23.590

PL7

Yeah, PL9 or rum. PL4 and.

00:14:24.680 --> 00:14:33.340

PL7

Did anybody feedback that positive feedback? Do Facilitator from yesterday's discussion on the economic asset about the quality of his this work?

00:14:33.940 --> 00:14:35.330

PL9

I did, yeah.

00:14:34.790 --> 00:14:35.170

PL7

Good.

00:14:45.410 --> 00:14:47.040

PL8

PL3, you're on mute too.

00:14:48.770 --> 00:14:54.750

PL3

It's probably the best way to hear me and I PL4 just following up on your comment about.

00:14:54.800 --> 00:15:11.550

PL3

Using it for evaluation purposes, and it's something that I have been speaking to the Productivity Commission 'cause they're looking for a few research assignments, etc. And I I think that's probably where we're quite underdone with the blade stuff.

00:15:13.080 --> 00:15:20.390

PL3

But yeah, lots of promise. I mean, we've we've done parts of it around trade, but I don't know how much more.

00:15:21.120 --> 00:15:23.250

PL3

We've really, really flex that.

00:15:23.940 --> 00:15:24.920

PL3

Facilitator you're back.

00:15:25.830 --> 00:15:26.580

PL6

He's back.

00:15:32.520 --> 00:15:34.030

PL6

He's muted, but he's back.

00:15:35.710 --> 00:15:44.080

Facilitator

Oh sorry sorry Yep, OK. Uhm yeah. So yeah uh yeah feel free to carry on the conversation.

00:15:36.500 --> 00:15:37.660

PL6

He got you.

00:15:44.840 --> 00:15:45.420

Facilitator

Uhm?

00:15:46.180 --> 00:15:49.180

Facilitator

Was there any anything more than anybody wanted to add?

00:15:50.240 --> 00:15:50.810

Facilitator

Uhm?

00:15:50.850 --> 00:15:52.280

PL6

It's like PL2's got a hand up.

00:15:52.770 --> 00:15:53.230

Facilitator

PL2.

00:15:56.490 --> 00:16:05.660

PL2

Yeah thanks. I just wanted to explore what the appetite was from making some of this even more commercially available.

00:16:09.490 --> 00:16:10.680

PL2

As a measurement tool to us.

00:16:11.290 --> 00:16:13.220

PL2

Part of my (work) now involves.

00:16:14.330 --> 00:16:44.880

PL2

Doing some work within PL1's seemed, and I'm doing fairly large work with industry associations and some industries associations, particularly those who have been given government investment and part of their KPIs, is to, you know, provide some sort of return on that investment. You know. Like you know, are are businesses that are belonging to this industry association are (they) growing over time as a result of belonging to this industry association. That sort of thing, you know. Would it be possible to talk to the members (and) get their ABN's and feed that into Sabre and use that as a measurement?

00:16:45.100 --> 00:16:59.810

PL2

Overtime so that those industry associations could measure the success of that particular group of businesses. So I mean, is that something that you would consider allowing is using? Yeah, I don't know what the the parameters or constraints are.

00:16:52.330 --> 00:16:52.840

Facilitator

Sure.

00:16:57.420 --> 00:16:57.840

Facilitator

We'll

00:16:59.470 --> 00:17:11.050

Facilitator

we will come, we we we will talk about that. UM, definitely we can explore that here. I think you've already actually answered my next two questions, which was about.

00:17:12.080 --> 00:17:16.730

Facilitator

Who was this for? Quite a number of you said it wasn't just for government.

00:17:17.440 --> 00:17:31.480

Facilitator

It was also for the business community industry and it helped inform their decisions. I heard that you say that and also it had it's it's now helping with the academic community as well.

00:17:32.100 --> 00:17:35.110

Facilitator

So uhm, with their research.

00:17:36.920 --> 00:17:37.430

Facilitator

But

00:17:38.180 --> 00:17:52.640

Facilitator

you know, in line with what you were saying PL2 about some further uses or further ways we can expand

this to address a broader audience. What we're. What are the variables that you think are are were most.

00:17:53.800 --> 00:17:57.620

Facilitator

Were the things that were of of greatest value in terms of.

00:17:58.750 --> 00:18:10.770

Facilitator

Uhm, to your to your stakeholders and to you into your policy area as well what? What sort of variables, measures or insights were of use to you and your stakeholders?

00:18:11.710 --> 00:18:12.550

Facilitator

In this regard.

00:18:13.280 --> 00:18:14.890

PL6

So was that a broad question to anyone.

00:18:15.070 --> 00:18:16.010

Facilitator

Yes to anyone.

00:18:15.620 --> 00:18:29.290

PL6

Excellent, excellent, no. I was going to say I'm just. It's sort of linked with that the previous question, but also related to what you've said. I have had interest from people and obviously there's been. There's been some occasions where the.

00:18:30.050 --> 00:19:00.340

PL6

Uh, the data needs to be more up to date to sort of service. What they're what they're after. And even then I'm not sure how much the variables will assist, but there's been a bit of interest in reviewing government efforts. Basically, businesses that have been involved in, so we've got one, for example, that was to do with businesses that have received funding from the bushfires and and related to COVID as well. They're really interested to see how those businesses performed after that. We kind of let them know there's obviously future iterations will be more data available as time goes on.

00:18:55.790 --> 00:18:56.190

Facilitator

He

00:19:00.400 --> 00:19:22.500

PL6

Will you know that fits within that time period? But there's certainly been some interest around that whole being able to review and how much detail we can get around the government support against, like

how much that can get dis-aggregated and how many insights we can get into rather than like a broad government helps in someone board way you know how specific that can get?

00:19:24.010 --> 00:19:53.590

PL6

And then it was also we also had a kind of related more to the previous question, but we've also had interest from the high tech area saying they'd love to be able to do more 'cause there's still struggling a little bit to understand the the economic value of high tech. And I think they're struggling. 'cause they're saying it's more of a more of an enabler rather than a sector, so they don't really know. They sort of their keen to kinda look more into. I guess how high tech is being used. So business spending on high tech just getting a. It's just the basic thing of understanding the baseline and.

00:19:52.090 --> 00:19:52.550

Facilitator

yeah.

00:19:53.640 --> 00:19:58.450

PL6

And the profiling situation and then being able to track project progress going forward.

00:19:59.920 --> 00:20:11.150

Facilitator

How do you think it it it at anybody else? Sorry before I ask the next question in terms of what sort of variables, measures or insights were were value.

00:20:12.340 --> 00:20:23.820

PL10

I think particularly like job creation and revenues. Investments, like they're they're quite standard. UM, kind of parameters that we try and capture and see what the growth is.

00:20:24.600 --> 00:20:30.470

PL10

And the other thing that we've also been in discussion with, I think you know Facilitator. You know, I'd touch base on this.

00:20:24.640 --> 00:20:25.000

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:20:31.060 --> 00:21:01.230

PL10

Uhm, regarding other programs that we're supporting, particularly like the support stakeholders like similar to what PL2 was saying where we provide funding and then they provide other support and just seeing how those businesses grow but probably the other thing that we're really keen or would like to explore is how do we track the entrepreneur, the serial entrepreneur who might start one business that doesn't necessarily take off for a range of reasons.

00:21:01.520 --> 00:21:11.970

PL10

But from what they've learned, they're able to create one or several other businesses down the road. Whether it's two to five years down the road, how might we look at those trends?

00:21:14.610 --> 00:21:15.050

Facilitator

Anyone?

00:21:15.100 --> 00:21:15.590

Facilitator

else.

00:21:19.390 --> 00:21:45.340

PL3

I'm happy to go on and on and on club. Just conscious lot of people here. So for the growth state program and the **the key measures are really GVA**. But in reality for me they've always been about jobs. Jobs is probably the **best proxy we've got on contribution to growth** and and as you know Emmanuel. That's why I much prefer the Sabre product to the blade product because Sabre don't.

00:21:46.140 --> 00:22:16.660

PL3

Don't lie, sabre it doesn't change. It's really straightforward. You know the Return to Work activities. Compulsory ask people how many jobs they provide etc and what revenues are associated with that. And so that's, uh, that's **probably the the the gold standard benchmark**. But for the growth state work, the other thing that I've been really interested in is **to what extent private investment activity leads to growth so we know we all know the model**.

00:22:04.140 --> 00:22:04.400

Facilitator

See.

00:22:16.740 --> 00:22:29.440

PL3

That the lead for any activity will be investment. Then you will get some job growth and then you'll get some potentially turnover and then exports. You know that's the kind of rough model.

00:22:30.690 --> 00:22:49.240

PL3

However, that has been quite difficult, bit disappointing. Really to see those kind of those investment driven trends and look, a final point that I'd make is **BDO. Have found the data really really useful?**

00:22:50.690 --> 00:23:04.440

PL3

**Particularly as a as a backdrop to their input output models**. So for them they're looking at all of those macro measures etc to to to really check bench, check their their RISE model.

00:23:06.000 --> 00:23:06.570

Facilitator

It's great.

00:23:08.000 --> 00:23:11.110

Facilitator

Alright, so.

00:23:12.020 --> 00:23:13.180

Facilitator

Anyone else?

00:23:17.960 --> 00:23:18.790

Facilitator

Now, yeah.

00:23:18.400 --> 00:23:29.800

PL9

Facilitator is one other thing that's maybe worth mentioning is that just as a **as a project that brings us all together across government with a sort of a shared purpose and a shared.**

00:23:21.290 --> 00:23:21.730

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:23:30.510 --> 00:23:45.440

PL9

**Understanding** it would, I think **the kinds of conversations that we've had across government on around some of these insights, and as well as some of the you know, the lessons about understanding the strengths and limitations of these types of data sets has been incredibly valuable.**

00:23:47.120 --> 00:23:47.920

Facilitator

Thanks PL9.

00:23:49.020 --> 00:23:57.610

Facilitator

So with that, UM, how do you think it improved the policy making process or the decisions being made?

00:23:58.540 --> 00:24:00.030

Facilitator

Uhm, within your.

00:24:00.780 --> 00:24:02.710

Facilitator

Your your area, your department.

00:24:04.360 --> 00:24:05.480

Facilitator

Or, you know.

00:24:06.190 --> 00:24:09.840

Facilitator

Uhm, with within your the industry that you represent.

00:24:10.790 --> 00:24:18.070

Facilitator

How do you think bringing all of this data? All of these people and all of these insights together.

00:24:20.100 --> 00:24:24.620

Facilitator

Did it? Did it help you know, improve the the the.

00:24:26.040 --> 00:24:33.210

Facilitator

The understanding and the policy may that then flowed into policymaking ended, and better decisions.

Do you think at this point can we?

00:24:34.860 --> 00:24:35.700

Facilitator

But anybody?

00:24:36.920 --> 00:24:38.880

Facilitator

Be so bold as to make that claim or.

00:24:39.960 --> 00:24:41.640

Facilitator

It's sort of 50% there.

00:24:42.810 --> 00:24:56.740

PL3

Look around you, I would and I'll look at my cynical colleagues back there and say shame on you. And, you know, from a growth state perspective, it is groundbreaking we couldn't do this stuff. Three five years ago.

00:24:57.370 --> 00:25:18.720

PL3

And and you know, even when we refer back to the more orthodox industry defined or or or other data, that blade data becomes that backbone, it becomes that ability for us to say yes. These are the businesses in this sector, etc. So I'm I'm happy to say it is groundbreaking.

00:25:19.980 --> 00:25:23.740

Facilitator

Thank you PL3. PL7? and then PL2. PL7?

00:25:25.790 --> 00:25:40.960

PL7

I can't say for for our sector. **It does help to have the other sectors on the same page like our data sets have been set up already.** Yep, for industry contribution we go and chase satellite thing so but having everybody else on the table.

00:25:41.610 --> 00:25:58.610

PL7

**It does help to have the broader conversation,** so just reinforce what PL3s already said about you. Know those that there's what five sectors that wouldn't have had data to represent their sectors without it. Yeah, and that's our collective good. But at the beginning I was saying on a promise, you know, look.

00:25:59.570 --> 00:26:24.670

PL7

The productivity stuff it's work-in-train yeah, so that's inputs and outputs too, so we talked about jobs and those sort of things. Yes they were the key sort of things out of here, but some of these other things are starting to come. So for for our sector it's on a promise. Yeah, **it's in train and it's bringing people to the table,** so that's kind of where we're at. You know it's really good to see people are actually coming on board to use this stuff. Yeah, but terms of outcomes.

00:26:25.520 --> 00:26:26.100

Facilitator

M.

00:26:25.810 --> 00:26:29.310

PL7

Just we're on a promise. Yeah, but good. But a good yeah.

00:26:27.690 --> 00:26:28.200

Facilitator

End up.

00:26:29.230 --> 00:26:42.470

Facilitator

Thank thank you, thank you. Yeah, I'm glad. And before I get PL2 to respond I I guess what I was aim. Well I was driving at is what sort of what type of advice could you provide now with this data that you couldn't before?

00:26:43.080 --> 00:26:43.880

Facilitator

Or else we.

00:26:44.600 --> 00:26:46.140

Facilitator

Care to respond to?

00:26:47.950 --> 00:26:52.180

PL2

Yeah, well as a government employee I'm not supposed to give anyone advice.

00:26:53.190 --> 00:27:04.540

PL2

It's something I find really hard to stick to that of a natural advice giver, even when I'm not supposed to. But what I found really interesting is, I think once once we can actually start to use.

00:26:55.230 --> 00:26:55.680

Facilitator

Ah.

00:27:05.220 --> 00:27:22.410

PL2

The framework to actually track growth and we can actually see some of that growth occurring, so there's another lot of data due very shortly. My understanding is that I feel that on the basis of what we hear anecdotally in our industry, we're going to see quite dramatic growth in some areas.

00:27:22.980 --> 00:27:31.210

PL2

Uhm, and I think once we actually start seeing the reality of that and tracking the reality of that, then that data is going to.

00:27:34.330 --> 00:28:04.500

PL2

It does now like what we've got is a framework and some potential, and I think having the power to sort of to see that in action is going to make it really powerful and really usable and really allow it to drive policy in a way that it it I think really could. It's also generated interest, particularly in our sector from people across Australia, because when I first started doing this I didn't realize that what you know you have created.

00:28:04.910 --> 00:28:35.640

PL2

PL3 Facilitator in SA BLADE was so groundbreaking and allowed sort of greater accuracy than we'd ever had, and when you sort of hear people from Deloitte and universities in different parts of Australia talk about oh, we just relied on surveys before we relied on old census data. That's when you realize what a powerful tool it actually is, and there's actually been a lot of interest in the work that we've been doing from people in in different parts of Australia. Because we've used this data set for the first time, and because you guys have created this data set.

00:28:35.690 --> 00:28:50.110

PL2

So I think once we actually start to see its use and to see us be able to see repeat things over time and get and you know, see that data and at work, that's when you we're really going to be able to use it to drive incredibly effective policy, I reckon.

00:28:51.230 --> 00:29:20.650

PL1

And if I can just build on what PL2 said that I agree completely with everything she said is is that also goes to that comment I made earlier. You know this is it feels like it's **yes it's useful for all of the things that we hope and expect it to be**, but there's going to be broader uses sort of, you know, on the peripheral. **I think that through the education process when we get a really clear picture and and code, you know the coding frameworks setup for the state, that's that's, you know cannot estimate what that might influence.**

00:29:22.740 --> 00:29:24.910

Facilitator

Sounds great, yes.

00:29:24.240 --> 00:29:46.200

PL6

And something else I was gonna adding just very quickly. There's a bit of a broad statement, yeah, for us it was a sort of what. **Just broadly what I've observed is that it's basically enabling a better and more detailed understanding of things like opportunities, challenges, performance, contribution to the economy.**

00:29:46.250 --> 00:29:54.150

PL6

The UM, different profiles and government impact as well, and it's given us greater confidence in those things.

00:29:54.200 --> 00:30:17.530

PL6

Uh, greater understanding of those things because **we're a state that basically has access to survey data that is underpinned by smaller sample sizes and a greater unreliability. And it's just been great to have that alternative data source to be able to confirm certain understandings that we have about those things about performance and trends and profiles and what the challenges and opportunities are.**

00:30:19.400 --> 00:30:20.170

Facilitator

I hear you.

00:30:20.800 --> 00:30:21.860

Facilitator

I hear you. Thank you.

00:30:22.550 --> 00:30:23.140

Facilitator

Thank you.

00:30:23.800 --> 00:30:24.740

Facilitator

So.

00:30:25.550 --> 00:30:26.270

Facilitator

Uhm?

00:30:26.860 --> 00:30:30.560

Facilitator

Does this change the way you think about data or the you know?

00:30:31.430 --> 00:30:32.780

Facilitator

And and the way.

00:30:33.420 --> 00:30:43.860

Facilitator

We we can use it now to go about developing our policies, programs and initiatives in the future. You kind of said so yeah. But yeah, we want to expand PL2.

00:30:45.770 --> 00:30:48.020

Facilitator

I saw your hand is up. I'm not sure whether that was.

00:30:47.720 --> 00:31:18.450

PL2

Yeah, I know it's because I can't figure out how to put it down. Sorry about that figured out for me. It certainly has because I had very very little experience with data before. I mean, I've I've I've always to me, it's a bit like the truth is out there and I know PL3 said that **at the beginning when I first started that if I ever thought that data could get to truth. And I was perhaps kidding myself. But and maybe you are right, but I feel like using this kind of data you can get closer to the truth then you can ever get.**

00:30:51.430 --> 00:30:52.180

Facilitator

No, that's right.

00:31:18.510 --> 00:31:39.220

PL2

**And I actually love that I love that sense of of finding out the truth of things, and if this allows you to get close to the truth and you can get then, then you know I've I've actually found it to be a fascinating and wonderful journey, and this is from a lay person's point of view. It's been amazing, so thank you for the opportunity.**

00:31:40.690 --> 00:31:41.770

Facilitator

Yes, yes.

00:31:42.950 --> 00:31:45.090

Facilitator

I certainly witnessed sort of like the.

00:31:45.910 --> 00:31:50.910

Facilitator

You know the gradual phases of you know from the from the beginning to now.

00:31:52.110 --> 00:31:54.930

Facilitator

There was a lot of confusion, doubt.

00:31:55.830 --> 00:32:01.670

Facilitator

I think at the beginning or skepticism, but yeah, slowly that's kind of.

00:32:02.870 --> 00:32:06.420

Facilitator

And being sort of dealt with, I think.

00:32:07.480 --> 00:32:21.510

Facilitator

And anybody else in terms of has it changed the way you, you, you thought? I mean, PL3 earlier said we couldn't do this stuff 5, 6, 7 years ago and and PL6 said, you know, for small state that relies on.

00:32:22.420 --> 00:32:35.740

Facilitator

Uh, surveys that are usually unreliable. Oh well, have a higher degree of lower confidence level? Should we say it helps to reinforce some of the things we or prove or disprove some of the things that we thought?

00:32:35.270 --> 00:32:35.500

PL6

Yeah.

00:32:36.790 --> 00:32:37.800

Facilitator

Based on the.

00:32:37.390 --> 00:32:41.200

PL6

And then a lag as well. At a lag he says yeah.

00:32:39.580 --> 00:32:51.280

Facilitator

Yeah at a lag yeah yeah yeah yeah. So where do you think this is? Well some of you have already alluded to where you think this this might be headed, but what potential impact do you think?

00:32:51.950 --> 00:32:58.960

Facilitator

This project have on the policies and decisions moving forward on the organizations and the communities that.

00:32:59.700 --> 00:33:04.460

Facilitator

That we're that we've been dealing with or analyzing.

00:33:05.340 --> 00:33:09.360

Facilitator

Or measuring what potential impact do you think will?

00:33:10.500 --> 00:33:12.240

Facilitator

Will this have ...

00:33:14.200 --> 00:33:21.460

Facilitator

Down the track further for these organizations and and you know industry participants in the project.

00:33:23.460 --> 00:33:24.770

PL3

Could or will.

00:33:23.610 --> 00:33:24.030

Facilitator

Sorry.

00:33:25.210 --> 00:33:39.900

Facilitator

Could potentially where do you think this? So it's a bit speculative, or from what you see now, where do you think what potential so? Take a step back and just think OK, it's held enabled us to do (things with) a little bit more accuracy.

00:33:40.580 --> 00:33:44.180

Facilitator

More paint a more a accurate picture.

00:33:45.310 --> 00:33:46.470

Facilitator

What will that do?

00:33:47.350 --> 00:33:57.520

Facilitator

Uhm, in your you know, moving forward for us as a as as an SA government that's trying to push economic development and growth.

00:33:58.270 --> 00:34:01.380

Facilitator

And helping businesses to to thrive in SA.

00:34:01.430 --> 00:34:05.760

Facilitator

Hey, uh, maybe some of you have already sort of alluded.

00:34:06.840 --> 00:34:21.400

Facilitator

To this earlier or have sort of responded to this earlier, I just wonder if there's anybody else out there with ideas on what potential impact this might have, both, not just the data, but also in terms of how we're working together I guess.

00:34:22.250 --> 00:34:25.240

Facilitator

And how we're we're we're collaborating with each other.

00:34:28.810 --> 00:34:30.880

Facilitator

Will this like improve?

00:34:32.620 --> 00:34:36.540

PL4

So if I can have a go, blending an answer with your previous question, Facilitator.

00:34:38.670 --> 00:34:53.750

PL4

If we'd say go back to when this project started up, there was a lot of nervous nervousness around sharing data, and a lot of concern about how it be used or misused.

00:34:53.820 --> 00:35:09.470

PL4

Uh, I think having the the hook of being able to link into the Commonwealth data and provides some early answers that we just couldn't with own data sets has opened up the idea that some of our.

00:35:10.080 --> 00:35:15.950

PL4

Previously tightly held admin data does have a strategic use, and so I think it's it's made us.

00:35:16.870 --> 00:35:29.640

PL4

I think it will continue to reinforce the idea of the potential for strategic use of administrative data and and that there is. Yeah, we, we just had the sedac.

00:35:30.210 --> 00:35:41.040

PL4

Meeting yesterday talking about a state data asset, but the core at the moment of a state data asset is the is the Sabre which is the the bit that we put together to link into blade.

00:35:41.420 --> 00:35:41.970

Facilitator

M.

00:35:44.340 --> 00:35:50.890

Facilitator

I want to ask PL5, you've been sort of silent, but and you've kind of been working on.

00:35:51.900 --> 00:35:56.560

Facilitator

Something slightly different, you know, immigration magnet state.

00:35:57.540 --> 00:36:05.780

Facilitator

Uhm, from what you've observed, or you know you you, you led an analysis of Interstate migration.

00:36:06.510 --> 00:36:08.660

Facilitator

Uhm, what are your thoughts in terms of?

00:36:09.550 --> 00:36:10.570

Facilitator

Does this.

00:36:12.190 --> 00:36:22.370

Facilitator

Did this this has this. Is it like what kind of ink is it? An incremental change? A step change? Or has it really opened up a lot more possibilities for us?

00:36:23.880 --> 00:36:27.820

PL5

I'm talking about the data lab stuff we did early. Yep, Yep.

00:36:26.260 --> 00:36:33.780

Facilitator

Yeah, the MADIP because you you were working primarily with the MADIP, UM, but there's a MADIP blade linkage.

00:36:34.900 --> 00:36:37.580

Facilitator

Currently available now. But yeah, just your thoughts.

00:36:36.740 --> 00:36:37.430

PL5

Yeah.

00:36:40.150 --> 00:36:44.120

PL5

Yeah, I mean we did a lot of kind of exploratory work initial work.

00:36:45.240 --> 00:36:46.550

PL5

And it looks like it was.

00:36:47.330 --> 00:36:53.730

PL5

Gonna be beneficial. I'm probably still something that's ticking along in the background.

00:36:55.600 --> 00:37:01.920

PL5

Like there's one thing you know, you can see lots of other stuff, but I guess making that connection to to outcomes is always a bit difficult.

00:37:02.350 --> 00:37:02.800

Facilitator

M.

00:37:02.480 --> 00:37:05.880

PL5

But we could definitely see you know patterns and stuff that would be harder to.

00:37:07.350 --> 00:37:13.170

PL5

Harder to see from your standard ABS data sets, we get it. It's just then the this.

00:37:14.230 --> 00:37:16.810

PL5

You know trying to work out the, So what part of it and?

00:37:17.490 --> 00:37:23.300

PL5

Uh, so good to know, but yeah, what? What purpose? How can we use it? The other thing is probably around the.

00:37:23.970 --> 00:37:26.330

PL5

Uh, stuff we're looking at for business migration.

00:37:26.690 --> 00:37:27.190

Facilitator

M.

00:37:27.030 --> 00:37:30.080

PL5

Which I know is doing a very early stage so it's hard to say.

00:37:31.010 --> 00:37:31.400

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:37:31.380 --> 00:37:37.700

PL5

We haven't explored what the poten... the opportunity will be, but you know, potentially if it.

00:37:38.540 --> 00:37:43.700

PL5

Does work and it does give us some results if then allows us to.

00:37:44.460 --> 00:37:47.320

PL5

Essentially Track like group of people who.

00:37:48.040 --> 00:38:03.390

PL5

We previously couldn't because our contact point with them you know, expires after a certain number of years and then we don't really. We don't really know what. What happens after that. And if we knew that piece of the puzzle, it would. It would definitely help.

00:37:54.220 --> 00:37:54.600

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:38:02.320 --> 00:38:02.740

Facilitator

M.

00:38:04.210 --> 00:38:08.770

PL5

You know, evaluate the program. The long term impacts of the program and then.

00:38:10.350 --> 00:38:14.690

PL5

But they're all those things are still a little while away, right? Because we're still we're still in the early.

00:38:13.050 --> 00:38:13.570

Facilitator

So.

00:38:15.030 --> 00:38:20.170

Facilitator

Yeah, you say you're with PL7. You're sort of in the same boat. It's kind of in train in train.

00:38:15.720 --> 00:38:16.620

PL5

Early phase.

00:38:18.770 --> 00:38:23.160

PL5

Yeah, and because it's such a niche group of people you know it's it's, it's.

00:38:23.880 --> 00:38:25.840

PL5

It's only maybe a few 1000 people.

00:38:27.270 --> 00:38:33.710

PL5

You know, if if we can get, you know 80% of them or something like it's it's helpful but knowing.

00:38:34.680 --> 00:38:40.050

PL5

If if the data doesn't match or or we're not getting any linkages, that's gonna obviously be the main.

00:38:41.030 --> 00:38:49.700

PL5

Main issue for for its usability, but you know, I think we're hopeful that we'll get get somewhere with it in the next few months.

00:38:50.160 --> 00:38:50.440

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:38:51.150 --> 00:38:55.700

Facilitator

So I'll come back to you in six months and we'll, we'll, yeah we'll see.

00:38:54.410 --> 00:38:57.580

PL5

Yeah, I'll be on. So there's other questions, definitely.

00:38:57.430 --> 00:38:59.390

Facilitator

Yeah, thank you PL7 PL7.

00:39:00.300 --> 00:39:00.830

PL7

Uhm?

00:39:01.110 --> 00:39:02.330

Facilitator

Your hands up, yeah.

00:39:02.080 --> 00:39:12.160

PL7

Yeah, you know, I'm just at the in terms of outcome on on a promise yeah, but you look at the communities of practice that have been developed. There's been alluded to a few times here.

00:39:12.810 --> 00:39:24.300

PL7

I mean, we've had CSIRO come in and use stuff. Yeah, in in terms of some regional work, new students coming through the connections with the Economic Research community at the universities.

00:39:25.700 --> 00:39:55.200

PL7

Well, I think the policy leadership in government, like CEO1 thinks he still thinks there's a bit of a research agenda, but it's policy relevant, yeah, and so I think it's building bridges or that you know, like few others have made comments about how it's connecting people and that sort of thing. The communities of practice and it. It's helped develop a network that may have been a bit a lot weaker. Yeah, that now I can see the strength in.

00:39:55.660 --> 00:39:59.080

PL7

So did this analytical policy relevant analysis.

00:39:59.630 --> 00:40:06.220

PL7

A much stronger network already. Yeah, so I kind of think, don't underplay that sort of work, yeah?

00:40:06.730 --> 00:40:07.530

Facilitator

Absolutely.

00:40:08.260 --> 00:40:25.600

Facilitator

PL8 you're sort of in the same your project that you oversaw with Analyst X and MIT. Uhm I just wanted to query like so 'cause I'm not really fully aware of the full extent of where that where that ended up.

00:40:26.300 --> 00:40:29.180

Facilitator

You could you enlighten us in terms of yeah.

00:40:27.910 --> 00:40:30.810

PL8

Well, it's not really ended yet, so it's.

00:40:30.940 --> 00:40:33.150

Facilitator

No no, no no no, not at all, not at all.

00:40:31.310 --> 00:40:31.790

PL8

So at.

00:40:33.520 --> 00:40:42.020

PL8

So at the moment we've got it. We've got the Atlas app on our DTI website, so it's open to public view.

00:40:42.680 --> 00:40:46.470

PL8

And, uh, what we're just finalizing now is.

00:40:46.520 --> 00:40:47.010

PL8

Said

00:40:48.210 --> 00:41:22.140

PL8

some of the economic data which which is really useful. But unless you sort of got a little bit of explanation about what it's actually explaining to somebody who's not used to you know some some terms and and maybe what the data is explaining to them. So we're just sort of finalizing a CHEAT SHEET around that, and also maybe some popups which might explain things more for the for the general business community. So so that's a sort of a useful thing, but what we have, we've done some workshops and presentations of the data.

00:41:15.170 --> 00:41:16.230

Facilitator

Yeah yes yeah.

00:41:22.640 --> 00:41:34.880

PL8

To small business communities and and they've really thought it was fantastic to be able to get that sort of insight which helps them with locating their business understanding what their customers who they are, what they look like.

00:41:34.930 --> 00:41:51.480

PL8

Like, uh, what sort of spending patterns they've been doing 'cause we've also included other data apart from blade around. Banking spend data and, and we're also looking at potentially movement data through telephone and mobile telephones.

00:41:52.100 --> 00:42:18.210

PL8

So that's sort of trying to get a little bit more insight about what a community looks like, and that community is really understanding that is really useful for businesses to to get a better understanding of where where the opportunities lie for those businesses. So Blade is is important in in a certain aspects that about turnover at the type of employees and and that sort of information is very useful.

00:42:19.370 --> 00:42:19.780

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:42:19.520 --> 00:42:20.800

PL8

So it's all going put it that way.

00:42:21.140 --> 00:42:35.740

Facilitator

Yes, yes no, thank you. I wasn't aware but yeah, thank you for that. So I think we've we've sort of come to the end of the the focus group discussion. Any parting thoughts from anyone here?

00:42:36.700 --> 00:43:06.450

PL6

I just. I mean it's not. It's not terribly insightful, but you were saying you know, what do you see? Sort of going forward as the potential I guess for the impact. And there's there's a lot that goes behind these kind of three ... I was thinking of three kind of key things that lead from each other. And it's pretty much going forward. I mean, I see a lot of potential for fitting under those those banners of more informed leading to better decisions leading to improved growth.

00:43:06.600 --> 00:43:29.910

PL6

In the economy, that's really the three main steps that I see, but there's a lot that goes behind those. But yeah, I I could definitely see that attention for that going forward, as as people are more connected as people better understand what's going on in the economy. Governments learning from what worked what didn't work. Yeah, I see those three sets of being better informed, making better decisions and then and then improved growth.

00:43:07.740 --> 00:43:08.180

Facilitator

Wow.

00:43:12.510 --> 00:43:12.880

Facilitator

Hm

00:43:30.520 --> 00:44:00.980

PL8

Yeah no. I I agree with that. PL6 and I think that's sort of like the MIT. What MIT was trying to get to with with the Atlas was by providing data you're gonna have people better informed to make better decisions, both at a sort of a day to day life as well as governments. So I think that's a really important use of data, and I think that's why they were so intent and and to a degree the purpose of the living lab and the MIT Bigdata Living Lab was to actually get data out. Get it into the community.

00:44:01.180 --> 00:44:03.670

PL8

And so people could be better informed make those decisions.

00:44:04.660 --> 00:44:05.010

PL6

Yeah.

00:44:05.860 --> 00:44:08.970

Facilitator

excellent anyone else just to wrap up.

00:44:09.880 --> 00:44:24.130

Facilitator

I'm thinking about the programs as well, so you were talking about like just providing access to data and making it public thinking also about maybe the the grants and the you know, PL5 mentioned the Investors stream visa.

00:44:24.940 --> 00:44:31.490

Facilitator

Anything on that like? Would we be able to spend our money as a state government better? Do you think PL3?

00:44:32.670 --> 00:44:33.760

PL3

Uh PL7 had his hand up.

00:44:34.500 --> 00:44:35.610

Facilitator

Oh sorry, PL7.

00:44:35.110 --> 00:44:35.600

PL3

Yes.

00:44:36.860 --> 00:44:41.550

PL7

It's a hand up competition, yeah, but I'm I'm I just.

00:44:43.030 --> 00:45:05.480

PL7

One of the things about these networks and communities of practice that we haven't touched on all my I would start we got in a little late to the meeting so I might have missed it, but our relationship with the Australian Government and DISER are and the insights that you've brought to the table through that and a strengthening relationship with the Australian with ABS we haven't really talked about those issues. I think they're pretty significant too, yeah.

00:45:06.520 --> 00:45:08.510

Facilitator

Yes, thank you for pointing that out.

00:45:06.830 --> 00:45:09.100

PL7

Yeah, that's all just other things, yeah?

00:45:09.740 --> 00:45:11.950

Facilitator

Thank you for pointing that out. And yes, and PL3.

00:45:13.110 --> 00:45:37.480

PL3

Just a quick comment and then potential suggestions, so the quick comment is I'm really looking forward to either using Blade to help dispel some myths, but also in using blade to try to answer some of the the curliest questions that we have. So the classic one I get.

00:45:37.530 --> 00:46:08.060

PL3

All the time is where will economic growth come from and you know you go. I will hang on. I've got 2 crystal balls here at one of them's a bit cloudy on the shore, but that you know what I, I really think the potential here is it when we start to get more quarterly based data that we might be able to drill down into really smaller cluster type activities. And it's not as if we're identifying.

00:46:08.110 --> 00:46:38.600

PL3

Where the growth is likely to come from, but it's like, uh, piling, you can use that information to just confirm that these are these. These really important activities, and I think in particular Hi tech is probably the sector that's probably most likely to use that to some advantage. Just the second point I would make is just getting back to the PL4's point about evaluation of programs and something that I think that we just simply.

00:46:38.650 --> 00:47:04.160

PL3

Can't do from an agency perspective, it probably requires an independent separate and maybe the Productivity Commission is it. But somebody to actually start looking at? You know how we define effectiveness etc of what we do etc. And Target and all the rest? I think that's going to be pretty important and that comes to the final point. I'd make Facilitator and that is.

00:47:05.760 --> 00:47:34.230

PL3

You know, as I said to you earlier today, you know you've got your group and all of the processes etc. All of the stuff that you guys have done around Blade Sabre, and then you've got the office of Data Analytics. So the building blocks are there. What we're actually missing is some high level direction around what some of the research priorities are and then associated with that. We need some some.

00:47:34.280 --> 00:47:54.530

PL3

Real dollars on the table, so it's not constantly piece meal that we're not just doing. You know, I'm interested in in understanding how the services economy is influencing export, but that's kind of piecemeal. But what we really need to do is some really high level priority and resource dollars. It's enough for me.

00:47:35.630 --> 00:47:35.930

Facilitator

Sorry.

00:47:55.300 --> 00:47:55.920

Facilitator

Thank you.

00:47:56.680 --> 00:47:57.540

Facilitator

Thank you so much.

00:47:58.260 --> 00:47:59.450

Facilitator

Alright UM.

00:48:00.340 --> 00:48:03.440

Facilitator

Thank you for all your comments and feedback, yes.

00:48:04.510 --> 00:48:08.620

Facilitator

And, uh, apologies for some of the technical difficulties on my end.

00:48:09.820 --> 00:48:17.030

Facilitator

Uh, so yeah with that I'd like to thank you unless anybody else just one last hurrah maybe.

00:48:20.800 --> 00:48:50.610

Facilitator

Sorry I lost. Can you hear me know it's sort of cut out, it's so silent. Everyones on mute yeah, OK, great, well we'll we'll leave it at that again let me thank all of you for your participation here today. This is going to help inform you, know the next round, and as an offshoot of that. Hopefully my thesis as well. Thank you for your cooperation. And yeah, look forward to continuing to work with you as we as we go on this journey as Christy said together.

00:48:51.160 --> 00:48:51.770

Facilitator

Thank you.

00:48:51.710 --> 00:48:52.970

PL8

Thanks, Facilitator was correct.

00:48:52.050 --> 00:48:53.130

PL3

Hey good luck Facilitator.

00:48:53.250 --> 00:48:54.200

PL10

So yeah.

00:48:53.370 --> 00:48:53.930

PL5

Thank you.

00:48:54.200 --> 00:48:54.660

Facilitator

Hi.

00:48:55.340 --> 00:48:56.160

PL6

Say guys

00:48:56.750 --> 00:48:57.090

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:48:57.860 --> 00:48:59.650

Facilitator

PL7, yet you end up.

00:49:01.500 --> 00:49:15.490

PL7

I'm too late. Yeah, uhm, one thing I forgot to sort of reinforce. To your extension of the program. Yeah like promoting it like having things out in about.

00:49:02.510 --> 00:49:04.290

Facilitator

Yeah no. I'm still recording though.

00:49:17.080 --> 00:49:34.030

PL7

You know, like we're trying to get you to go to, yeah, and or get somebody to this continuing extension there. Yeah, I think CEO was keen on it. Towed like promoting across government through policy form and the like. I don't know if it was relevant to this meeting we've just had, but.

00:49:28.760 --> 00:49:29.340

Facilitator

Promotion.

00:49:30.850 --> 00:49:31.530

Facilitator

Yes.

00:49:33.820 --> 00:49:35.680

Facilitator

No, no, no it is, it is.

00:49:35.240 --> 00:49:39.350

PL7

I've forgotten to sort of. I was thinking about it before and I'm sorry I forgot.

00:49:39.110 --> 00:49:42.050

Facilitator

Spreading spreading things. Yeah no in the in various.

00:49:40.890 --> 00:49:49.100

PL7

Yep, yeah, it's spreading the word. Yeah, yeah, adoption people know things more. You know, you know they'll join in, yeah?

00:49:43.200 --> 00:49:43.580

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:49:49.130 --> 00:49:51.040

Facilitator

Using it more, yeah for sure.

00:49:50.500 --> 00:49:55.930

PL7

Yeah, but it's probably more about the external program than what you're talking about, yeah?

00:49:55.820 --> 00:49:59.200

Facilitator

No, I'm covering everything like this is so yeah.

00:49:57.650 --> 00:50:04.070

PL7

Yeah, OK, so to me that sort of and I'm sorry I should have said it before we closed off.

00:50:03.430 --> 00:50:03.990

Facilitator

Sorry.

00:50:05.830 --> 00:50:10.880

PL7

Getting out and about with the results of this and and having that information release.

00:50:11.470 --> 00:50:23.760

PL7

That communication piece about the learning and publicizing it so that you can get more people in. And yeah, it to me is very important. Yeah, 'cause it's still a small relatively small.

00:50:17.560 --> 00:50:17.840

Facilitator

Yeah.

00:50:23.430 --> 00:50:24.380

Facilitator Small group, yeah?

00:50:24.350 --> 00:50:25.180

PL7

Yeah, yeah.

00:50:27.840 --> 00:50:28.500

PL7

Yeah, yeah.

00:50:28.540 --> 00:50:34.830

Facilitator

The Deloitte presentation for the creative sector, so the more more of that is what you're saying.

00:50:31.910 --> 00:50:32.660

PL7

Yeah, yeah.

00:50:34.980 --> 00:50:43.560

PL7

Yeah, yeah, and any other way I was trying to get you to come in November or somebody to come. I think we're scheduled for February so I'm I'm. I've got my on your right.

00:50:39.600 --> 00:50:40.080

Facilitator

Yes.

00:50:42.370 --> 00:50:42.900

Facilitator

OK.

00:50:43.880 --> 00:50:44.370

Facilitator

Alright.

00:50:45.550 --> 00:50:47.150

Facilitator

Not sure, I'm still committed to that.

00:50:47.310 --> 00:50:48.320

PL7

Yeah, good.

00:50:47.990 --> 00:50:52.680

Facilitator

OK well thanks PL7 and thanks for all the support you've given.

00:50:53.830 --> 00:50:54.760

PL7

Gonna oh.

00:50:54.300 --> 00:50:54.750

Facilitator

Today

00:50:55.350 --> 00:51:13.330

PL7

Yeah, there you go. There you go yeah and and it can I just CEO appreciates what he knows what you've done and and I'd I'd I think somebody you when you cut out for there for awhile just double check then the meeting that you've got. You'd heard from that economic data asset committee.

00:51:13.940 --> 00:51:17.950

PL7

The glowing acknowledgement of your work, right, yeah?

00:51:19.750 --> 00:51:26.120

Facilitator

thank you. Thank you. I sort of picked it up from PL9 yesterday but didn't realize. Yeah no thank you.

00:51:23.340 --> 00:51:24.740

PL7

Yeah good good good.

00:51:25.510 --> 00:51:28.410

PL7

Then on it was pretty. It was explicit. Yeah, yeah.

00:51:29.130 --> 00:51:30.940

Facilitator

Appreciate it now thanks PL7.

00:51:31.350 --> 00:51:32.530

PL7

Do you want cheese?

00:51:32.140 --> 00:51:32.540

Facilitator

Cheers.

00:51:33.280 --> 00:51:33.610

Facilitator

Bye.