# Interactive effect of dietary calcium and phytase on broilers challenged with subclinical necrotic enteritis: 3. Serum calcium and phosphorus, and bone mineralization

H. K. Zanu,\* S. K. Kheravii,\*, N. K. Morgan,\* M. R. Bedford,† and R. A. Swick\*,1

\*School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia; and †AB Vista, Marlborough, Wiltshire SN8 4AN, United Kingdom

**ABSTRACT** Calcium is chelated by phytic acid and forms phytate-mineral complexes reducing Ca availability and the ability of phytase to hydrolyze phytate. An increased Ca concentration in the gut favors the activity of Clostridium perfringens (C. perfringens). Therefore, it was hypothesized that high dietary calcium with high dietary phytase would decrease serum Ca and P and bone mineralization during necrotic enteritis occurrence. A total of 768 one-day-old Ross 308 male chicks were randomly allocated to 8 treatments with 6 replicate pens, each housing 16 birds. A  $2 \times 2 \times 2$ factorial arrangement of treatments was applied: dietary Ca (0.6 or 1.0%), phytase (500 or 1,500 FTU/kg), and challenge (no or yes). Half of the birds (384) were challenged with Eimeria spp. on day 9 and C. perfringens strain EHE-NE18 on day 14 and 15. Blood was collected from 2 birds per pen to determine Ca, P, and parathyroid hormone in the serum. The middle toe, tibia, and femur were excised from 2 birds per pen on day 16 and 29 for determination of ash, breaking strength (BS), and mineral concentration. The challenge decreased (P < 0.05)serum Ca<sup>+</sup> in birds regardless of dietary Ca level (day 16). There was a challenge  $\times$  Ca interaction (P < 0.05) for tibial BS (day 16), with challenge being more severe in birds fed high Ca than low Ca diets. A challenge  $\times$  phytase interaction (P < 0.05) was present for femur ash (day 16), with high phytase only increasing ash in challenged birds. The challenge decreased (P < 0.05) the BS of femur and tibia at each time point. Birds fed high dietary Ca had lower tibial Mg (P < 0.001), Fe (P < 0.001), Na (P < 0.001), and Zn (P < 0.05) concentrations (day 29). Altogether, high dietary Ca and phytase improved bone mineralization showing that attention to Ca and P nutrition and phytase matrix values is warranted when high levels of phytase are used.

Key words: bone mineralization, high dietary calcium, necrotic enteritis, phytase, serum

 $2020 \; Poultry \; Science \; 99:3617–3627 \\ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.04.012$ 

#### INTRODUCTION

Bone development is an important welfare and production concern in the commercial broiler industry. It is affected by a number of factors such as rapid growth rate (Julian, 1998), genetics (González-Cerón et al., 2015), management (Hester, 1994), nutrition (Saunders-Blades et al., 2009), and locomotion (Reiter and Bessei, 2009). Genetic selections have been carried out over the years to improve the growth performance in meat chickens without concurrent selection for a skeletal system that keeps up with increased body mass

Received January 7, 2020. Accepted April 7, 2020. (Dibner, 2007). Genetic advances have led to a bird that achieves a given weight on less feed. However, the nutrient composition of diets, especially minerals for bone development, needs to be reassessed.

In the skeleton, hydroxyapatite  $(Ca_{10}(PO4)_6(OH)_2)$ stores 99% of Ca and 80% of P of the body and is essential in bone development and mineralization. The remaining Ca is found in extracellular fluids, plasma, and within cells. Its functions include blood clotting, enzyme activation, neuromuscular function, muscle contraction, and intracellular signaling (Pilvi et al., 2008; Kozyreva et al., 2009; Vasin et al., 2010; Akbari Moghaddam Kakhki et al., 2018; Toyoda et al., 2018). Ca deficiency is not common in commercial chicken but there can be problems production, malabsorption. For instance, high dietary phytate and fiber in the diet can interfere with Ca absorption (Selle et al., 2009; Wilkinson et al., 2011; dos Santos et al., 2014; Sadeghi et al., 2015). Dietary Ca may also cause

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Poultry Science Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: rswick@une.edu.au

malabsorption of trace minerals through precipitation. Mineral antagonisms in the digesta can cause a secondary deficiency in Zn, Cu, or Mn as Ca might outcompete these trace elements for transporters if fed at too high levels. (Shafey, 1993; Dibner et al., 2007).

The Ca requirement in modern broilers has been recently reported (Applegate et al., 2003; Li et al., 2012, 2015, 2016, 2017; Abdulla et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2018b). The heightened interest in dietary Ca-related studies is due to its effect on phytase efficacy. Most broiler diets contain exogenous phytase, and their acceptance globally has led to the dietary reduction or exclusion of calcium phosphate and meat and bone meal. High dietary Ca in the form of limestone tends to elevate gut pH because of its acid-binding capacity and may cause Caphytate formation (Applegate et al., 2003). This chelate is refractory to phytase hydrolysis (Angel et al., 2002), thereby reducing the availability of Ca and phytatebound P (Pallauf, 1994; Sebastian et al., 1996). It has been reported that exogenous phytases are beneficial only at relatively low dietary Ca levels and close Ca:P ratios (Beeson et al., 2017). For instance, there was a decrease in P retention in chickens fed a phytasesupplemented diet when the ratio of Ca:P was 2:1, but a higher P retention was recorded when the Ca:P ratio was narrowed to 1:1 (Aksakal and Bilal, 2002).

Calcium has been implicated in the outcome of necrotic enteritis (**NE**) because of changes in gut pH. Phytase may also play a role in NE as it affects Ca and P availability and breaking strength (**BS**) (Williams, 2005; Paiva et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2017). However, the effect of NE on bone mineralization is rarely reported in literature. Therefore, this study was designed to evaluate the effect of 2 levels of phytase and Ca (low or high) on serum Ca and P and bone mineralization in birds challenged and unchallenged by *Eimeria spp.* and *Clostridium perfringens*.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## Birds and Management

All experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the University of New England's Animal Ethics Committee. A total of 768 chicks were weighed and randomly allocated to 48 floor pens (0.85 m²) with 6 replicate pens per treatment and 16 birds per pen. Softwood shavings were used as bedding material of about 8-cm deep in each pen. Each pen was fitted with a single tube feeder (32 cm in diameter) and 4 nipple drinkers. The lighting and temperature program during the experimental period followed the Ross 308 guidelines (Aviagen, 2014).

## **Diet Composition**

Diets were based on wheat, soybean meal, cold-pressed expeller canola meal and formulated to contain 3,000 kcal/kg MEn and 1.24% standard ileal digestible lysine in starter and 3,100 kcal/kg MEn and

1.14% and 0.99% standardized ileal digestible lysine in grower and finisher, respectively. Further details of diet composition are give in the study by Zanu et al., 2020. Four diets were formulated for each phase (starter, grower, finisher) in accordance with Ross 308 nutrient specifications (Aviagen, 2014). A  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of treatments was used. Factors were NE challenge (no or yes), Ca (0.6 or 1.0% for starter, 0.5 or 0.9% for grower, and 0.4 or 0.8% for finisher), and phytase (500 or 1500 FTU/kg, Quantum Blue; AB Vista, Marlborough, UK; both using 500 matrix values for Ca, P, Na, arginine, lysine, methionine, methionine + cystine, tryptophan, isoleucine, threonine, and valine of 1.65, 1.50, 0.35, 0.130, 0.170, 0.039, 0.390, 0.190, 0.255, 0.330, and 0.230 g/kg, respectively). Abasal diet was produced for each Ca level and then divided in half, with appropriate phytase added to each over the top. Diets were then remixed and pelleted. The available P was held at the same level irrespective of the Ca level, that is, Ca/P = 0.6/0.4 vs 1.0/0.4 S; 0.5/0.36 vs 0.91/0.36 G; and 0.43/0.30 vs 0.83/0.30 F. Diet with high dietary Ca had a wider Ca/P (2.5), and those with lower dietary Ca had a narrower ratio (1.5). The diets were offered ad libitum throughout the starter (day 0-14), grower (day 14-28), and finisher (day 28-42) phases. The starter diets were offered in a crumbled form while the grower and finisher diets were mixed and pelleted at 65°C.

## Challenge

The NE challenge was performed in accordance with reported procedures (Stanley et al., 2014; Rodgers et al., 2015). Half of the birds (384) were challenged with 5,000 oocysts of field strains of Eimeria acervulina and Eimeria maxima and 2,500 oocysts of Eimeria brunetti (Eimeria Pty. Ltd., Ringwood North, VIC, Australia) on day 9 and 10<sup>8</sup> CFU per mL of C. perfringens strain EHE-NE18 (known to express NetB toxin, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Geelong, Australia) on day 14 and day 15.

## Serum Ca, P, and Parathyroid Hormone

On day 16, blood samples were collected from 2 birds per pen after stunning and decapitation. The blood samples were centrifuged at  $3{,}000 \times g$  for 15 min, and the serum samples were collected. Serum calcium and phosphorus were determined by using Siemens Dimension Xpand Plus Autoanalyser (Siemens, Newark, NJ). The parathyroid hormone (PTH) was measured using the chicken PTH ELISA Kit (Abbexa Ltd., Cambridge Science Park, Cambridge, UK).

## **Bone Traits**

**Bone Dimensions and Breaking Strength** On day 16 and day 29 after hatch, 2 birds per pen of average body weight were euthanized. Toe (middle), tibia, and femur were excised from the right leg of each bird. For the

determination of BS, the tibia and femur were cleaned using a scalpel. The length (mm) (from the tip of the proximal end to the tip of the distal end) and width (mm) (at the medial region of the bone) of femur and tibia were measured using a Vernier caliper. They were then subjected to testing on a universal texture analyzer (Ametek Lloyd Instrument, Sussex, UK) set up with a 50-N load cell and a 3-point fixture bed at a test speed of 10 points of data per second. The Instron Blue Hill 3 software (Norwood, MA) was used to record the data. The force was applied to the midpoint of each tibia and femur with a 2-cm distance between the 2 fixed points supporting the bone.

**Bone Ash Content** The toe and remnants of the femur and tibia were dried at 100°C in a forced-air oven (Watson Victor Ltd., Sydney, Australia) to a constant weight (around 24 h), weighed, and ashed at 600°C overnight in a muffle furnace (Carbolite, Sheffield, England) for determination of ash (%).

**Bone Mineral Content** For the determination of minerals—Ca (%), P (%), K (%), Cu (%), Mg (%), Fe (mg/kg), Mn (mg/kg), Na (mg/kg), and Zn (mg/kg)—in the tibia, femur, and toe, approximately 1 g of ash were homogenized and digested in a Milestone Ultrawave Microwave (Milestone Srl, Sorisole, Italy) with nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>). Minerals were measured on inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer (Agilent, Victoria, Australia).

# Statistical Analyses

The data were evaluated as a fixed-effect model using the effects described in the statistical model, as follows:

$$\begin{split} Y_{ijkl} &= \mu + NE_i + P_j + Ca_k + (NE*P)_{ij} + (NE*Ca)_{ik} \\ &+ (P*Ca)_{jk} + (NE*P*Ca)_{ijk} + e_{ijkl} \end{split}$$

where  $Y_{ij}$  is the response expected independent variables,  $\mu$  = overall mean, NE<sub>i</sub> = fixed effect of NE  $(i = challenged or not challenged), P_i = the fixed effect$ of phytase (j = low or high),  $Ca_k$  = the fixed effect of calcium (k = low or high), (NE\*P) $_{ij}$  = interaction between NE and phytase,  $(NE*Ca)_{ik}$  = interaction between NE and Ca,  $(P*Ca)_{jk}$  = interaction between phytase and calcium, (NE\*P\*Ca)<sub>iik</sub> = is the 3-way interaction, and  $e_{ij}$  is the random residual error  $\sim N(0, s2e)$ . The study used a completely randomized design with data analyzed as a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of treatments using the Minitab 19 statistical software (State College, PA) to assess the main effects and 2- or 3-way interactions, with the factors as challenge (no or yes), Ca (low or high), and phytase (500 or 1500 FTU/kg). Tukey's mean separation test was used to make pairwise comparisons between treatment means (P < 0.05). The Box-Cox transformation of the Minitab 19 statistical software was used to test and confirm the normality of all the data before analysis. The statistical unit was the average of the 2 birds.

## **RESULTS**

# Serum Ca<sup>+</sup> and P on day 16

A challenge  $\times$  phytase interaction was observed for serum Ca<sup>+</sup> and P, where in the nonchallenged group, increasing phytase from 500 to 1500 FTU resulted in lower serum Ca<sup>+</sup> (P < 0.05), and the serum P was also lower when challenged than when nonunchallenged

Table 1	• Effect o	f necrotic (	enteritis ph	iy and	Ca on serum	Ca and P, day 16.
---------	------------	--------------	--------------	--------	-------------	-------------------

Effects				Serum Ca $(mg/dL)$	Serum phosphorus (mg/dL)	$\mathrm{PTH}\;(\mathrm{ng/mL})$
	NE	Phy	Ca			
2-Way interactions						
NE*Phy	_	500		$9.69^{\rm a}$	$8.17^{\rm a}$	1.47
	_	1,500		$9.08^{\rm b}$	$8.93^{\rm a}$	1.01
	+	500		$8.35^{\circ}$	$6.40^{\rm b}$	2.26
	+	1,500		$8.40^{\rm c}$	$6.15^{\mathrm{b}}$	1.37
NE*Ca		-,				
	_		Low	$8.98^{ m b}$	9.04	0.96
	_		High	$9.79^{\rm a}$	8.05	1.53
	+		Low	$8.26^{\circ}$	6.73	1.61
	+		High	$8.48^{ m b,c}$	5.83	2.01
Main effects			0			
NE	_			9.38	$8.55^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.24
	+			8.37	$6.28^{ m b}$	1.81
Ca			Low	8.62	$7.89^{a}$	1.28
			$\operatorname{High}$	9.13	$6.94^{ m b}$	1.77
SEM			0	0.52	0.77	0.96
P value						
NE				0.001	0.001	0.328
Phy				0.055	0.277	0.251
Ca				0.001	0.001	0.405
$NE \times Phy$				0.026	0.035	0.710
$NE \times Ca$				0.043	0.829	0.884
$Phy \times Ca$				0.319	0.710	0.179
$\widetilde{NE} \times Phy \times Ca$				0.620	0.131	0.371

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a-c</sup>Different superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase; PTH, parathyroid hormone.

Phytase = Quantum Blue 5G.

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

regardless of the level of phytase (Table 1). The challenge also decreased serum  $\operatorname{Ca}^+$  regardless of the level of phytase. A challenge  $\times$  dietary  $\operatorname{Ca}$  interaction was detected for serum  $\operatorname{Ca}^+$ , in that, there was more serum  $\operatorname{Ca}$  in the unchallenged birds fed high dietary  $\operatorname{Ca}$  and less serum  $\operatorname{Ca}$  in the challenged birds fed low dietary  $\operatorname{Ca}$  than any other treatment. Challenged birds at both  $\operatorname{Ca}$  levels had comparable serum  $\operatorname{Ca}$ . The challenge as a main effect decreased the serum  $\operatorname{P}$  irrespective of the level of phytase and  $\operatorname{Ca}$ . High dietary  $\operatorname{Ca}$  as a main effect decreased serum  $\operatorname{P}$  (P < 0.001). The PTH values were not affected (P > 0.05) by any of the factors.

## Bone Traits, day 16

At day 16, a challenge × Ca interaction was present for tibial BS (Table 2), showing no difference between unchallenged birds fed low Ca and challenged birds fed high Ca, but unchallenged birds fed high Ca had significantly greater BS, and challenged birds fed low Ca had than all othertreatments.  $_{\rm BS}$ challenge × phytase interaction was detected for femur ash on day 16 (Table 3) (P < 0.05), indicating that challenged birds fed low phytase had lower femur ash than those fed other treatment diets. Tibial BS increased (P < 0.001) in the birds fed high phytase diet. Tibial length (P < 0.01) and width (P < 0.05) and femur length (P < 0.05) were decreased by the challenge (Table 2). High Ca as a main effect increased femur width (P < 0.05; Table 2) and toe ash (P < 0.001; Table 3). Ash content of toe (P < 0.01), femur (P < 0.05), and tibia (P < 0.001) were increased by high dietary Ca. High phytase as a main effect increased tibial ash (P < 0.001).

## Bone Traits, day 29

At day 29, a challenge  $\times$  phytase interaction was detected for tibial length, while in the nonchallenged birds, high phytase increased (P < 0.05) tibial length compare to those on low phytase (Table 4). The challenged birds recorded lower tibial length regardless of the level of phytase. The challenge decreased tibial width (P < 0.001), tibial BS (P < 0.001), femur width (P < 0.001), and femur BS (P < 0.001). High phytase as a main effect increased femur length (P < 0.01) and femur BS (P < 0.01). High Ca as a main effect increased tibial BS (P < 0.001), femur BS (P < 0.001), tibial ash (P < 0.001), femur ash (P < 0.01), and toe ash (P < 0.01) (Table 3).

## Toe Mineral Concentration, day 16

At day 16, a challenge  $\times$  phytase interaction was present for Mg, while in the challenge group, high phytase decreased (P < 0.05) Mg in the toe compare to birds on low phytase (Table 5). A challenge  $\times$  Ca interaction was also observed for Na indicating that in the nonchallenge group, low dietary Ca increased (P < 0.05) the Na content compare to those fed high dietary Ca. A strong tendency of phytase  $\times$  Ca interaction was observed for Zn (P = 0.051), that is, in birds fed high phytase, low dietary Ca increased Zn concentration compare to those on high Ca diet. Challenge as main effect decreased K (P < 0.001) and Zn (P < 0.001). High dietary Ca as the main effect decreased the K (P < 0.01), Mg (P < 0.001), and Mn (P < 0.001) deposition.

Table 2. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on bone traits, day 16.

Effects				Tibial length (mm)	Femur length (mm)	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Tibial} \\ {\rm width} \; ({\rm mm}) \end{array}$	Femur width (mm)	Tibial breaking strength (N)
	NE	Phy	Са					
2-Way interactions NE*Ca		v						
	_		Low	56.61	43.27	4.38	5.34	$94.23^{\rm b}$
	_		High	56.27	43.05	4.50	5.70	$131.17^{\rm a}$
	+		Low	55.26	42.54	4.26	5.33	$71.28^{c}$
	+		High	54.74	41.98	4.26	5.43	$91.80^{\rm b}$
Main effects			0					
NE	_			$56.44^{\rm a}$	$43.16^{\rm a}$	$4.44^{\rm a}$	5.52	112.70
	+			$55.00^{\rm b}$	$42.26^{\rm b}$	$4.26^{ m b}$	5.37	81.54
Phy		500		55.41	42.48	4.29	5.39	$91.60^{ m b}$
J		1,500		56.03	42.95	4.42	5.51	$102.64^{\rm a}$
Ca		_,	Low	55.94	42.90	4.32	$5.34^{ m b}$	82.75
			High	55.50	42.52	4.38	$5.57^{\rm a}$	111.48
SEM			0	1.12	0.71	0.10	0.18	10.16
P value								
NE				0.002	0.012	0.020	0.171	0.001
Phy				0.160	0.178	0.091	0.230	0.001
Ca				0.326	0.266	0.456	0.033	0.001
$NE \times Phy$				0.784	0.967	0.281	0.606	0.932
$NE \times Ca$				0.832	0.611	0.427	0.211	0.011
$Phy \times Ca$				0.946	0.755	0.068	0.087	0.527
$NE \times Phy \times Ca$				0.429	0.717	0.569	0.969	0.222

 $<sup>^{</sup> ext{a-c}}$ Different superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase.

Phytase = Quantum Blue 5G.

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

Table 3. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on bone ash (%), day 16 and 29.

Effects					day 16			day 29	
	NE	Phy	Ca	Toe ash	Femur ash	Tibial ash	Toe ash	Femur ash	Tibial ash
2-Way interactions									
NE*Phy	_	500		11.17	$48.69^{\rm a}$	47.77	11.60	46.32	48.07
v	_	1,500		11.34	$49.26^{\rm a}$	48.85	11.77	47.02	49.01
	+	500		11.00	$46.62^{\rm b}$	46.96	11.66	46.69	48.74
	+	1,500		11.04	$49.01^{\rm a}$	48.69	11.87	48.65	48.74
Main effects		,							
Phy		500		11.09	47.66	$47.37^{ m b}$	11.63	46.50	48.41
v		1,500		11.22	49.14	$48.77^{a}$	11.82	47.84	48.87
Ca		,	Low	$10.75^{\rm a}$	$46.42^{\rm b}$	$46.20^{\rm b}$	$11.37^{ m b}$	$46.21^{\rm b}$	$47.51^{ m b}$
			$_{ m High}$	$11.55^{\rm b}$	$50.37^{\rm a}$	$49.94^{\rm a}$	$12.08^{\rm a}$	$48.12^{\rm a}$	$49.76^{\rm a}$
SEM			O	0.33	1.00	0.89	0.44	2.96	0.75
P value									
NE				0.150	0.003	0.210	0.711	0.189	0.569
Phy				0.467	0.001	0.001	0.390	0.082	0.181
Ca				0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.015	0.001
$NE \times Phv$				0.631	0.016	0.397	0.916	0.402	0.179
$ ext{NE}  imes  ext{Ca}$				0.329	0.138	0.899	0.338	0.114	0.068
$Phy \times Ca$				0.603	0.197	0.141	0.737	0.454	0.688
$NE \times Phy \times Ca$				0.656	0.580	0.840	0.558	0.219	0.458

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a,b}}$ Different superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

## Toe Mineral Concentration, day 29

A challenge  $\times$  dietary Ca interaction was detected for toe Ca, that is, in the challenge birds, high dietary Ca increased (P < 0.05) toe Ca content (Table 6) to a level greater than all other treatments. A challenge  $\times$  Ca interaction was detected for Mg, Mn, and Na, showing that in the challenge birds, high dietary Ca decreased the contents of Mg (P < 0.05) and Na (P < 0.05). High dietary Ca

decreased Mn in both challenged and unchallenged. A phytase  $\times$  dietary Ca interaction was also detected for Mn and Zn where high dietary Ca decreased (P < 0.05) Mn in both low and high phytase groups. In birds fed high phytase, the Zn (P < 0.05) deposition was decreased by high dietary Ca compared to those fed low Ca diet. Challenge as a main effect increased P (P < 0.001) and Zn (P < 0.001) concentrations. High Ca as a main effect decreased (P < 0.01) K concentration.

Table 4. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on bone traits, day 29.

Effects				Tibial length (mm)	Femur length (mm)	Tibial width (mm)	Femur width (mm)	Tibial breaking strength (N)	Femur breaking strength (N)
	NE	Phy	Ca						
2-Way interactions									
NE*Phy	_	500		$77.65^{\rm b}$	58.51	6.62	8.20	366	243
·	_	1,500		$79.69^{\rm a}$	60.03	6.78	8.31	389	264
	+	500		$75.56^{\rm c}$	57.37	6.25	7.67	310	202
	+	1,500		$75.79^{c}$	57.94	6.23	7.76	325	233
Main effects									
NE	_			78.67	$59.27^{\rm a}$	$6.70^{\rm a}$	$8.25^{\mathrm{a}}$	$377^{\rm a}$	$254^{\rm a}$
	+			75.68	$57.66^{ m b}$	$6.24^{ m b}$	$7.71^{\rm b}$	$317^{\rm b}$	$218^{\mathrm{b}}$
Phy		500		76.60	$57.94^{\rm b}$	6.43	7.93	338	$222^{ m b}$
		1,500		77.74	$58.98^{\rm a}$	6.51	8.03	357	$249^{\rm a}$
Ca			Low	$77.58^{\rm a}$	58.70	6.48	7.89	$319^{ m b}$	$199^{\mathrm{b}}$
			High	$76.76^{\rm b}$	58.22	6.46	8.07	$376^{\rm a}$	$272^{\rm a}$
SEM				1.08	1.01	0.22	0.21	28.16	22.45
P value									
NE				0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Phy				0.005	0.009	0.429	0.280	0.178	0.009
Ca				0.036	0.212	0.799	0.051	0.001	0.001
$NE \times Phy$				0.021	0.220	0.322	0.889	0.739	0.594
$NE \times Ca$				0.174	0.349	0.505	0.441	0.790	0.706
$Phy \times Ca$				0.406	0.393	0.102	0.379	0.844	0.719
$\overrightarrow{NE} \times \overrightarrow{Phy} \times \overrightarrow{Ca}$				0.487	0.833	0.973	0.691	0.503	0.572

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a,b}}$ Different superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase.

Phytase = Quantum Blue 5G.

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

 $Abbreviations:\ Ca,\ calcium;\ NE,\ necrotic\ enteritis;\ phy,\ phytase.$ 

 $Phytase = Quantum \; Blue \; 5G.$ 

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

Table 5. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on toe mineral concentration, day 16.

Effects				Ca, %	P, %	K, %	Mg, %	Mn, mg/kg	Na, mg/kg	Zn, mg/kg
	NE	Phy	Ca							
2-Way interactions										
NE*Phy	_	500		32.10	16.91	3.00	$1.01^{\rm a}$	43.43	4.56	507
J	_	1,500		32.52	17.19	2.89	$1.01^{\rm a}$	43.94	4.49	518
	+	500		32.45	16.95	2.42	$0.99^{a}$	50.91	4.31	462
	+	1.500		32.09	16.58	2.25	$0.92^{\rm b}$	47.28	4.18	462
NE*Ca		,								
	_		Low	31.90	17.18	3.06	1.09	52.30	$4.76^{\mathrm{a}}$	521
	_		High	32.72	16.91	2.83	0.94	35.07	$4.29^{ m b}$	504
	+		Low	32.15	16.90	2.42	1.03	57.10	$4.26^{ m b}$	465
	+		High	32.39	16.64	2.26	0.89	41.10	$4.23^{ m b}$	459
Phy*Ca			Ü							
v		500	Low	31.82	16.97	2.78	1.07	57.09	4.50	$481^{\rm b}$
		500	High	32.73	16.89	2.64	0.93	37.25	4.37	$488^{\rm b}$
		1,500	Low	32.24	17.11	2.71	1.05	52.31	4.52	$505^{\rm a}$
		1,500	$\operatorname{High}$	32.37	16.67	2.44	0.09	38.91	4.15	$475^{\rm c}$
Main effects										
NE	_			32.31	17.05	$2.95^{\rm a}$	1.01	43.68	4.52	$512^{\rm a}$
	+			32.26	16.76	$2.34^{ m b}$	0.96	49.10	4.24	$462^{\mathrm{b}}$
Ca			Low	32.03	17.04	$2.74^{\rm a}$	$1.06^{\mathrm{a}}$	$54.70^{\rm a}$	4.51	493
			High	32.55	16.78	$2.54^{\rm b}$	$0.92^{\rm b}$	$38.08^{\rm b}$	4.26	482
$_{ m SEM}$			_	1.23	0.51	0.22	0.03	9.50	0.22	21.63
P value										
NE				0.928	0.155	0.001	0.001	0.074	0.005	0.001
Phy				0.947	0.824	0.053	0.044	0.600	0.293	0.553
Ca				0.253	0.179	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.010	0.213
$NE \times Phy$				0.385	0.099	0.625	0.020	0.486	0.711	0.548
$NE \times Ca$				0.526	0.982	0.591	0.594	0.836	0.022	0.539
$Phy \times Ca$				0.397	0.361	0.336	0.845	0.282	0.189	0.051
$\overrightarrow{NE} \times \overrightarrow{Phy} \times \overrightarrow{Ca}$				0.360	0.273	0.447	0.902	0.392	0.490	0.432

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a,b} {\rm Different}$  superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

Table 6. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on toe mineral concentration, day 29.

Effects				Ca, %	P, %	K, %	Mg, %	Mn, mg/kg	Na, mg/kg	Zn, mg/kg
	NE	Phy	Ca							
2-Way interactions										
NE*Ca										
	_		Low	$32.74^{\rm b}$	16.58	2.27	$0.91^{\rm b}$	$46.93^{\rm a}$	$4.71^{\rm a}$	486
	_		High	$33.20^{\rm b}$	16.57	2.16	$0.87^{\rm b}$	$38.65^{\rm b}$	$4.61^{\rm a, b}$	451
	+		Low	$33.36^{ m b}$	17.00	2.35	$0.98^{\rm a}$	$53.41^{\mathrm{a}}$	$4.86^{\mathrm{a}}$	496
	+		$\operatorname{High}$	$34.81^{\rm a}$	17.07	2.14	$0.88^{\rm b}$	$34.87^{\rm b}$	$4.32^{ m b}$	518
Phy*Ca										
-		500	Low	32.72	16.62	2.27	0.93	$44.88^{\rm b}$	4.74	$480^{\rm b}$
		500	$_{ m High}$	34.10	16.84	2.19	0.87	$37.16^{\rm c}$	4.50	$476^{\mathrm{b}}$
		1,500	Low	33.38	16.96	2.35	0.96	$55.46^{\rm a}$	4.82	$525^{\mathrm{a}}$
		1,500	$_{ m High}$	33.91	16.80	2.11	0.88	$36.36^{c}$	4.43	$472^{\rm b}$
Main effects										
NE	_			32.97	$16.58^{\rm b}$	2.21	0.89	42.79	4.65	$469^{\rm b}$
	+			34.09	$17.04^{\rm a}$	2.25	0.93	44.14	4.59	$507^{a}$
Ca			Low	33.05	16.79	$2.31^{\rm a}$	0.95	50.17	4.78	502
			$_{ m High}$	34.01	16.82	$2.15^{\rm b}$	0.87	36.76	4.46	474
$_{ m SEM}$				0.54	0.26	0.09	0.03	4.64	0.20	29.76
P value										
NE				0.001	0.001	0.466	0.008	0.519	0.450	0.001
Phy				0.324	0.171	0.915	0.215	0.023	0.984	0.050
Ca				0.001	0.799	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.008
$NE \times Phy$				0.872	0.644	0.232	0.568	0.124	0.244	0.146
$NE \times Ca$				0.042	0.740	0.294	0.020	0.018	0.014	0.512
$Phy \times Ca$				0.075	0.070	0.122	0.473	0.009	0.357	0.019
$NE \times Phy \times Ca$				0.754	0.724	0.489	0.720	0.697	0.628	0.082

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{a-c}}\mathrm{Different}$  superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase.

Phytase = Quantum Blue 5G.

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase. \\$ 

 $<sup>{\</sup>rm Phytase} = {\rm Quantum\;Blue\;5G}.$ 

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

## Femur Mineral Concentration, day 16

At day 16, the challenge as main effect decreased Ca (P < 0.01) and Cu (P < 0.001) content while it increased K (P < 0.001) and Mn (P < 0.001) content (Table 7) with no interaction P > 0.05). High phytase as a main effect decreased K concentration (P < 0.01) but increased Mn (P < 0.05) content with no interaction. High dietary Ca as a main effect increased (P < 0.001) femur Ca content while it decreased that of P (P < 0.01), K (P < 0.001), Mg (P < 0.001), Fe (P < 0.05), Mn (P < 0.001), and Na (P < 0.01).

# Femur Mineral Concentration, day 29

A challenge  $\times$  dietary Ca interaction was detected for femur Ca concentration, indicating that high dietary Ca increased (P < 0.05) femur Ca only in the nonchallenge group (Table 8). A challenge × dietary Ca interaction was detected for P, Mg, and Na concetrations in femur, where high dietary Ca decreased the concentration of P (P < 0.05) in the challenged. The Mg content was decreased (P < 0.05) in both challenged and unchallenged groups fed high dietary Ca. The Na content decreased (P < 0.05) only in the challenge group fed high dietary Ca. A phytase X Ca interaction was detected for Mn concentration in femur, where the Mn decreased (P < 0.001) in both low- and high-phytase groups fed high dietary Ca. A phytase X Ca interaction was detected for Zn concentration in femur, where high Ca decreased (P < 0.001) Zn level only in the presence of high phytase. Challenge as a main effect increased Fe (P < 0.001) and Na (P < 0.001) contents but decreased that of Mn (P < 0.05). Birds on high dietary Ca had lower K (P < 0.001) and Fe (P < 0.001) concentrations.

# Tibial Mineral Concentration, day 16

At day 16, tibia concentration of Mn (P < 0.001) and Na (P < 0.001) was greater in challenged than in

unchallenged birds (Table 9). High phytase increased Mn (P < 0.05) and Zn (P < 0.01) concentration in tibia but decreased K (P < 0.05). High dietary Ca increased (P < 0.05) the Ca concentration in tibia but decreased K (P < 0.001), Fe (P < 0.001), Mn (P < 0.001), and Na (P < 0.01) concentration.

## Tibial Mineral Concentration, day 29

A phytase  $\times$  Ca interaction was detected for Mn, where high dietary Ca decreased (P < 0.01) Mn concentration in tibia of both low- and high-phytase groups (Table 10). Challenged birds recorded higher K (P < 0.01) and Fe (P < 0.05) concentrations in tibia. Birds fed diets with high phytase had higher (P < 0.001) Zn concentration in tibia. Birds fed diets with high dietary Ca had lower K (P < 0.001), Mg (P < 0.001), Fe (P < 0.001), Na (P < 0.001), and Zn (P < 0.05) concentrations in tibia.

#### DISCUSSION

# Serum Ca and P

Meeting the Ca requirement of chickens is essential for many biochemical processes and bone formation. In this study, the challenge decreased both serum Ca and P regardless of the level of phytase or dietary Ca. A reduction in serum Ca and P in birds during disease has been previously reported (Fernandez et al., 1994; Yarru et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2010; Yunus and Böhm, 2013; Igwe et al., 2018). The current observation might be due to the low feed intake and poor P digestibility as a result of poor gut integrity and increased endogenous losses that characterize NE incidence and was the case in the present study (Zanu et al., 2019). On the other hand, the high Ca diet depressed serum P and increased serum Ca only under the unchallenged condition, suggesting again that the serum was reflecting the imbalanced

<b>Table 7.</b> Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on femur inflieral concentration, day	necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on femur mineral concentration, da	ay 16
---	--	-------

Effects				Ca, %	P, %	K, %	Mg, %	$\mathrm{Fe},\mathrm{mg/kg}$	$\mathrm{Mn},\mathrm{mg/kg}$	Na, mg/kg
	NE	Phy	Ca							
Main effects		v								
NE	_			$38.31^{\rm a}$	18.07	$0.79^{\rm b}$	1.00	366	$20.83^{ m b}$	$1.06^{\rm b}$
	+			$37.86^{\rm b}$	18.04	$1.02^{a}$	1.02	359	$32.92^{\rm a}$	$1.34^{\rm a}$
Phy		500		38.09	18.08	$0.95^{\rm a}$	1.02	363	$25.60^{ m b}$	1.22
		1,500		38.09	18.03	$0.86^{\rm b}$	1.00	361	$28.14^{\rm a}$	1.19
Ca			Low	$37.77^{\rm b}$	$18.18^{\rm a}$	$1.01^{\rm a}$	$1.08^{\rm a}$	$392^{\rm a}$	$31.19^{\rm a}$	$1.26^{\mathrm{a}}$
			$\operatorname{High}$	$38.41^{\rm a}$	$17.93^{\rm b}$	$0.80^{\rm b}$	$0.94^{ m b}$	$331^{\rm b}$	$22.56^{\rm b}$	$1.14^{ m b}$
SEM				0.38	0.16	0.12	0.04	88.20	4.34	0.12
P value										
NE				0.003	0.755	0.001	0.327	0.805	0.001	0.001
Phy				0.997	0.521	0.003	0.254	0.892	0.023	0.464
Ca				0.001	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.016	0.001	0.002
$NE \times Phy$				0.116	0.975	0.151	0.089	0.499	0.271	0.812
$NE \times Ca$				0.275	0.097	0.581	0.709	0.176	0.139	0.472
$Phy \times Ca$				0.286	0.364	0.888	0.911	0.196	0.137	0.339
$NE \times Phy \times Ca$				0.315	0.925	0.257	0.261	0.100	0.445	0.873

 $<sup>^{</sup>a,b}$ Different superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

 $Abbreviations:\ Ca,\ calcium;\ NE,\ necrotic\ enteritis;\ phy,\ phytase.$ 

 $Phytase = Quantum \; Blue \; 5G.$ 

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

Table 8. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on femur mineral concentration, day 29.

Effects				Ca, %	P, %	K, %	Mg, %	Fe, mg/kg	$\mathrm{Mn,mg/kg}$	Na, mg/kg	Zn, mg/kg
	NE	Phy	Ca								
2-Way interactions											
NE*Ca											
	_		Low	$39.14^{\rm b}$	$18.50^{\rm a,b}$	0.85	$1.00^{\rm a}$	500	29.42	$1.13^{a}$	496
	_		$\operatorname{High}$	$40.01^{\rm a}$	$18.44^{\rm b}$	0.64	$0.92^{\rm b}$	378	18.66	$1.08^{a}$	483
	+		Low	$39.02^{\rm b}$	$18.50^{\rm a}$	0.98	$1.01^{\rm a}_{.}$	566	27.92	$1.07^{\rm a}_{.}$	502
	+		$\operatorname{High}$	$39.32^{\rm b}$	$18.13^{\rm b}$	0.79	$0.89^{\rm b}$	419	16.25	$0.92^{\mathrm{b}}$	476
Phy*Ca									ā		1
		500	Low	38.97	18.43	0.91	1.01	536	$25.50^{\rm b}$	1.11	$462^{\rm b}_{1}$
		500	$_{ m High}$	39.77	18.29	0.73	0.90	406	$16.95^{\rm c}$	1.10	$475^{\mathrm{b}}$
		1,500	Low	39.19	18.57	0.92	1.02	530	$31.84^{\rm a}$	1.07	$536^{\mathrm{a}}_{\cdot}$
		1,500	$_{ m High}$	39.55	18.28	0.70	0.91	392	$17.96^{\rm c}$	1.00	$484^{ m b}$
Main effects								,			
NE	_			39.57	18.47	$0.75^{\rm b}$	0.96	$439^{\rm b}$	$24.04^{\rm a}$	0.99	490
	+			39.17	18.31	$0.89^{\rm a}$	0.96	$492^{\rm a}$	$22.09^{\rm b}$	1.10	489
Ca			Low	39.08	18.50	$0.92^{\rm a}$	1.02	$533^{\rm a}_{,}$	28.67	1.10	499
			$_{ m High}$	39.66	18.29	$0.72^{\rm b}$	0.91	$399^{ m b}$	17.46	1.00	480
$_{ m SEM}$				0.30	0.12	0.05	0.02	21.63	1.60	0.05	16.08
P value											
NE				0.007	0.032	0.001	0.989	0.001	0.012	0.001	0.960
Phy				0.970	0.353	0.580	0.330	0.332	0.000	0.543	0.000
Ca				0.001	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.022
$NE \times Phy$				0.122	0.146	0.925	0.381	0.721	0.682	0.950	0.316
$NE \times Ca$				0.048	0.035	0.751	0.027	0.239	0.546	0.020	0.397
$Phy \times Ca$				0.124	0.278	0.364	0.840	0.701	0.001	0.601	0.001
$NE \times Phy \times Ca$				0.295	0.489	0.183	0.959	0.808	0.511	0.717	0.815

 $<sup>^{\</sup>text{a-c}}$ Different superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

Ca:P absorption taking place from the intestine. Diets with wide Ca:P ratios have been shown to depress serum P (Sebastian et al., 1996; Bilal et al., 2015). The present findings agree with a recent observation in ducklings in which a higher serum Ca<sup>+</sup> concentration was observed in the diet with 0.95% Ca level (Zhu et al., 2018). In that study, a low P diet led to a decline in serum P concentration as dietary Ca increased from 0.55 to 0.95%. This suggests that high dietary Ca might precipitate P and inhibit P absorption.

In the unchallenged birds, higher dietary phytase decreased serum Ca while it increased serum P,

suggesting the phytase released more P than Ca. This finding agrees with the report that phytase supplementation decreases serum Ca<sup>+</sup> in chickens (Viveros et al., 2002). There was no detectable effect of the treatments on PTH in this study.

#### **Bone Mineralization**

Skeletal integrity is commonly used as an indicator for mineral adequacy in broiler diets. Dietary inorganic nutrients are relevant to broiler bone development and mineralization. The interaction between dietary Ca

Table 9. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on tibial mineral concentration, day 16.

Effects				Ca, %	K, %	$\mathrm{Fe},\mathrm{mg/kg}$	$\mathrm{Mn},\mathrm{mg/kg}$	Na, mg/kg	Zn, mg/kg
	NE	Phy	Ca						
Main effects									
NE	_			38.07	0.95	352	$20.92^{\rm b}$	$1.45^{\rm b}$	466
	+			38.55	0.95	358	$31.79^{\rm a}$	$1.60^{\rm a}$	462
Phy		500		38.02	$0.98^{\rm a}$	362	$25.20^{ m b}$	1.54	$450^{ m b}$
v		1,500		38.62	$0.92^{\rm b}$	348	$27.51^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.51	$478^{\rm a}$
Ca		,	Low	$37.78^{\rm b}$	$1.05^{a}$	$395^{\rm a}$	$30.65^{\rm a}$	$1.57^{\mathrm{a}}$	463
			High	$38.84^{a}$	$0.85^{\rm b}$	$315^{\mathrm{b}}$	$22.06^{\rm b}$	$1.48^{\rm b}$	465
SEM			0	0.97	0.06	20.24	3.44	0.08	21.27
P value									
NE				0.138	0.836	0.592	0.001	0.001	0.682
Phy				0.054	0.049	0.123	0.024	0.386	0.006
Ca				0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.813
$NE \times Phv$				0.879	0.058	0.865	0.091	0.182	0.093
$NE \times Ca$				0.459	0.327	0.286	0.364	0.119	0.693
$Phy \times Ca$				0.857	0.648	0.357	0.124	0.488	0.192
$\overrightarrow{NE} \times \overrightarrow{Phv} \times \overrightarrow{Ca}$				0.573	0.419	0.587	0.777	0.594	0.658

a, b Different superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase.

Phytase = Quantum Blue 5G.

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase.

 $Phytase = Quantum \; Blue \; 5G.$ 

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

Table 10. Effect of necrotic enteritis, phy, and Ca on tibial mineral concentration, day 29.

Effects				$\mathrm{Ca},\%$	P,%	K,%	$\mathrm{Mg},\%$	$\mathrm{Fe},\mathrm{mg}/\mathrm{kg}$	$\mathrm{Mn},\mathrm{mg/kg}$	$\mathrm{Na,mg/kg}$	$\mathrm{Zn},\mathrm{mg/kg}$
	NE	Phy	Ca								
2-Way interactions Phy*Ca											
v		500	Low	39.31	18.37	0.90	1.00	455	$23.35^{ m b}$	1.04	446
		500	High	38.70	17.70	0.71	0.86	341	$15.27^{\rm c}$	0.90	434
		1,500	Low	39.44	18.48	0.91	1.00	444	$29.56^{\rm a}$	1.02	501
		1,500	High	39.56	18.17	0.73	0.90	345	$16.88^{\rm c}$	0.93	462
Main effects		,	O								
NE	_			39.50	18.26	$0.78^{\rm b}$	0.93	$382^{ m b}$	21.53	0.98	457
	+			39.01	18.1	$0.83^{\rm a}$	0.94	$410^{\rm a}$	20.99	0.97	464
Phy		500		39.00	18.04	0.81	0.93	398	19.31	0.97	$440^{ m b}$
v		1,500		39.50	18.32	0.82	0.95	394	23.22	0.98	$481^{a}$
Ca		,	Low	39.37	18.42	$0.91^{\rm a}$	$1.00^{\rm a}$	$449^{\rm a}$	26.45	$1.03^{a}$	$474^{\rm a}$
			High	39.13	17.94	$0.72^{\rm b}$	$0.88^{\rm b}$	$343^{\rm b}$	16.07	$0.92^{ m b}$	$448^{\rm b}$
$_{ m SEM}$			0	0.40	0.97	0.04	0.05	22.65	1.24	0.06	28.29
P value											
NE				0.391	0.528	0.004	0.517	0.022	0.464	0.909	0.525
Phy				0.377	0.271	0.528	0.126	0.759	0.001	0.843	0.001
Ca				0.668	0.061	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.020
$NE \times Phy$				0.235	0.222	0.507	0.237	0.401	0.650	0.999	0.052
$NE \times Ca$				0.451	0.353	0.535	0.091	0.235	0.537	0.104	0.481
$Phy \times Ca$				0.520	0.484	0.802	0.265	0.529	0.003	0.215	0.208
$NE \times Phy \times Ca$				0.349	0.326	0.286	0.247	0.967	0.630	0.210	0.860

a-cDifferent superscript alphabets in the same column means there is significant difference (P < 0.05).

and bone traits has been previously reported. Increase in bone BS and ash of chicks fed diets containing 1.25% compared with 1.00% of Ca were found by Abdulla et al. (2017). Increased tibial ash as a result of increasing dietary Ca has been reported (Watkins and Sothern. 1991; Onyango et al., 2003). These reports agree with the results of the present study, in that, with high dietary Ca, tibial BS, femur Ca, and toe, tibia, and femur ash were increased in both challenged and unchallenged birds. However, the findings of the present study revealed that during NE challenge, high dietary Ca may not improve the deposition of minerals other than Ca into the bone. The present study shows that minerals such as Mg, Mn, Na, and P were highly concentrated in the bone of birds fed low dietary Ca relative to those on high dietary Ca under challenge condition. For instance, the decrease in femur P on day 16 in birds fed high Ca diet might be because the high Ca depressed P availability from the diet, and this is reflected in both serum and bone P levels.

Li et al. (2012) also reported a significant decrease in tibial mineral composition in broilers fed a diet of wider Ca/P (Ca/nPP = 4.1) than in those on a narrower ratio (Ca/nPP = 2.2). Again, it is most probable that the wider Ca and P ratio led to the precipitation of these minerals, reducing their bioavailability (Saripinar-Aksu et al., 2012). That observation could be because excess Ca binds with phytate to form an insoluble complex that is less accessible to phytase. Also, a high concentration of dietary Ca relative to available P increases the chances of trace minerals competing for transporters, thereby leading to deficiency (Yan et al., 2005; Plumstead et al., 2008). The NE challenge and high Ca might have also resulted in a doubly depressive effect

on the absorption of other minerals due to mucosal damage.

There are numerous reports on the benefits of phytase in improving bone characteristics and mineralization (Viveros et al., 2002: Gautier et al., 2018). In this study, high phytase improved femur ash in both unchallenged and challenged birds. High phytase increased bone length, width, BS, ash, and mineral concentration. Phytic acid decreases the availability of minerals as it has a strong affinity for multivalent metals such as Fe, Zn, and Ca. The use of phytases, especially at higher doses, hydrolyzes the phytate molecules and releases these ions which are important in bone formation. Therefore, phytase supplementation has been reported to improve bone traits and mineralization in many studies (Rutherfurd et al., 2012; Olukosi and Fru-Nji, 2014; Kim et al., 2018a; Leyva-Jimenez et al., 2018).

In the present study, the challenge decreased the bone lengths, widths, and BSs at each time point after hatch. In a similar challenge study, the ash and Ca content of tibia of broilers were decreased when chickens were infected with salmonella, but the inclusion of probiotics (Bacillus subtilis) to the diets of the challenged chicks compensated for the negative effects of the challenge (Sadeghi, 2014). Notwithstanding, there was an increase in the concentration of some minerals in the challenge birds. Intestinal epithelial tight junction disruption might have increased the paracellular absorption of these minerals. However, there is little information on the paracellular absorption of trace minerals as compared to Ca. Thus, Bronner (1998) was cautious in suggesting that all minerals were absorbed through the paracellular pathway. Increased mineral concentration

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; NE, necrotic enteritis; phy, phytase.

Phytase = Quantum Blue 5G.

<sup>2-</sup> or 3-Way interaction by Tukey.

in NE challenged birds, therefore, warrants further research.

In conclusion, the toe, femur, and tibia responded differently to the challenge, Ca and phytase levels. Nonetheless, generally, higher Ca benefited many measures of bone integrity regardless of challenge or phytase dose. The higher phytase dose was equivocal, and this may have been due to those diets having too much available P compared with Ca.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors hereby acknowledge AB Vista Feed Ingredient, Malborough, UK, for funding this research. They also do acknowledge Brett Ruth of Ruth Consolidated Industries Pty. Ltd for providing the phytase enzyme used in this study. Also, the University of New England (UNE), Armidale (Australia), is acknowledged for providing the international postgraduate research award to the lead author. The authors acknowledge the contribution of Mr. Jonathan Clay of the Depatrment of Biomedical Science, UNE, for help in the determination of the serum Ca, P, and PTH and Ms. Leanne Lisle of the Department of Agronomy, UNE, for help with ICP analysis of ash contents.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors did not provide a conflict of interest statement.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Abdulla, N. R., T. C. Loh, H. Akit, A. Q. Sazili, H. L. Foo, K. Y. Kareem, R. Mohamad, and R. Abdul Rahim. 2017. Effects of dietary oil sources, calcium and phosphorus levels on growth performance, carcass characteristics and bone quality of broiler chickens. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 45:423–429.
- Akbari Moghaddam Kakhki, R., T. Heuthorst, A. Mills, M. Neijat, and E. Kiarie. 2018. Interactive effects of calcium and top-dressed 25-hydroxy vitamin D<sub>3</sub> on egg production, egg shell quality, and bones attributes in aged Lohmann LSL-lite layers. Poult. Sci. 98:1254–1262.
- Aksakal, D. H., and T. Bilal. 2002. Effects of microbial phytase and 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol on the absorption of minerals from broiler chicken diets containing different levels of calcium. Acta Vet. Hung. 50:307–313.
- Angel, R., N. M. Tamim, T. J. Applegate, A. S. Dhandu, and L. E. Ellestad. 2002. Phytic acid Chemistry: influence on Phytinphosphorus availability and phytase efficacy. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 11:471–480.
- Applegate, T. J., R. Angel, and H. L. Classen. 2003. Effect of dietary calcium, 25-hydroxycholecalciferol, or bird strain on small intestinal phytase activity in broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 82:1140–1148.
- Aviagen. 2014. Ross 308 Broiler: Performance Objectives. Accessed Dec. 2019. http://en.aviagen.com/assets/Tech.Center/Ross. Broiler/Ross-308-BroilerPO-2014-EN.pdf.
- Beeson, L. A., C. L. Walk, M. R. Bedford, and O. A. Olukosi. 2017. Hydrolysis of phytate to its lower esters can influence the growth performance and nutrient utilization of broilers with regular or super doses of phytase. Poult. Sci. 96:2243–2253.
- Bilal, T., S. Atis, and O. Keser. 2015. The effects of microbial phytase on serum calcium and phosphorus levels and alkaline phosphatase activities in broilers fed diets containing different levels of phosphorus. Acta Sci. Vet. 43:1327.
- Bronner, F. 1998. Calcium absorption—a Paradigm for mineral absorption. J. Nutr. 128:917–920.
- Dibner, J. J., J. D. Richards, M. L. Kitchell, and M. A. Quiroz. 2007. Metabolic challenges and early bone development. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 16:126–137.

- dos Santos, T. T., C. L. Walk, and S. Srinongkote. 2014. Influence of phytate level on broiler performance and the efficacy of 2 microbial phytases from 0 to 21 days of age. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 23:181–187.
- Fernandez, A., M. T. Verde, M. Gascon, J. Ramos, J. Gomez, D. F. Luco, and G. Chavez. 1994. Variations of clinical biochemical parameters of laying hens and broiler chickens fed aflatoxincontaining feed. Avian Pathol. 23:37–47.
- Gautier, A. E., C. L. Walk, and R. N. Dilger. 2018. Effects of a high level of phytase on broiler performance, bone ash, phosphorus utilization, and phytate dephosphorylation to inositol. Poult. Sci. 97:211–218.
- González-Cerón, F., R. Rekaya, and S. E. Aggrey. 2015. Genetic analysis of bone quality traits and growth in a random mating broiler population. Poult. Sci. 94:883–889.
- Hester, P. Y. 1994. The role of Environment and management on leg Abnormalities in meat-Type Fowl. Poult. Sci. 73:904–915.
- Igwe, A. O., J. I. Ihedioha, and J. O. A. Okoye. 2018. Changes in serum calcium and phosphorus levels and their relationship to egg production in laying hens infected with velogenic Newcastle disease virus. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 46:523–528.
- Julian, R. J. 1998. Rapid growth problems: ascites and skeletal deformities in broilers. Poult. Sci. 77:1773–1780.
- Kim, J. W., N. Sanjayan, P. Leterme, and C. M. Nyachoti. 2018a. Relative bioavailability of phosphorus in high-protein sunflower meal for broiler chickens and effects of dietary phytase supplementation on bone traits, growth performance, and apparent ileal digestibility of nutrients. Poult. Sci. 98:298–305.
- Kim, S.-W., W. Li, R. Angel, and M. Proszkowiec-Weglarz. 2018b. Effects of limestone particle size and dietary Ca concentration on apparent P and Ca digestibility in the presence or absence of phytase. Poult. Sci. 97:4306–4314.
- Kozyreva, T. V., L. S. Eliseeva, and G. M. Khramova. 2009. Modulating effects of calcium on immune response of homoiothermal animal under thermoneutral conditions and during deep cooling. Bul. Exp. Biol. Med. 148:360–362.
- Leyva-Jimenez, H., A. M. Alsadwi, K. Gardner, E. Voltura, and C. A. Bailey. 2018. Evaluation of high dietary phytase supplementation on performance, bone mineralization, and apparent ileal digestible energy of growing broilers. Poult. Sci. 98:811–819.
- Li, W., R. Angel, S. W. Kim, E. Jimenez-Moreno, M. Proszkowiec-Weglarz, and P. W. Plumstead. 2015. Age and adaptation to Ca and P deficiencies: 2. Impacts on amino acid digestibility and phytase efficacy in broilers. Poult. Sci. 94:2917–2931.
- Li, W., R. Angel, S. W. Kim, K. Brady, S. Yu, and P. W. Plumstead. 2016. Impacts of dietary calcium, phytate, and nonphytate phosphorus concentrations in the presence or absence of phytase on inositol hexakisphosphate (IP6) degradation in different segments of broilers digestive tract. Poult. Sci. 95:581–589.
- Li, J., J. Yuan, Y. Guo, Q. Sun, and X. Hu. 2012. The influence of dietary calcium and phosphorus imbalance on intestinal NaPi-IIb and Calbindin mRNA expression and tibia parameters of broilers. Asian-Australasian J. Anim. Sci. 25:552–558.
- Olukosi, O. A., and F. Fru-Nji. 2014. The interplay of dietary nutrient specification and varying calcium to total phosphorus ratio on efficacy of a bacterial phytase: 1. Growth performance and tibia mineralization. Poult. Sci. 93:3037–3043.
- Onyango, E. M., P. Y. Hester, R. Stroshine, and O. Adeola. 2003. Bone densitometry as an indicator of percentage tibia ash in broiler chicks fed varying dietary calcium and phosphorus levels. Poult. Sci. 82:1787–1791.
- Paiva, D. M., C. L. Walk, and A. P. McElroy. 2013. Influence of dietary calcium level, calcium source, and phytase on bird performance and mineral digestibility during a natural necrotic enteritis episode. Poult. Sci. 92:3125–3133.
- Pallauf, J. 1994. Effect of phytase supplementation to a phytate-rich diet based on wheat, barley and soya on the bioavailability of dietary phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, zinc and protein in piglets. Agribiol. Res. 47:39–48.
- Pilvi, T. K., M. Storvik, M. Louhelainen, S. Merasto, R. Korpela, and E. M. Mervaala. 2008. Effect of dietary calcium and dairy proteins on the adipose tissue gene expression profile in diet-induced obesity. J. Nutrigenet. Nutrigenomics 1:240–251.
- Plumstead, P. W., A. B. Leytem, R. O. Maguire, J. W. Spears, P. Kwanyuen, and J. Brake. 2008. Interaction of calcium and

- phytate in broiler diets. 1. effects on apparent precede digestibility and retention of phosphorus. Poult. Sci. 87:449–458.
- Reiter, K., and W. Bessei. 2009. Effect of locomotor activity on leg disorder in fattening chicken. Berl.und Munch. Tierarztl. Wochenschr. 122:264–270.
- Rodgers, N. J., R. A. Swick, M. S. Geier, R. J. Moore, M. Choct, and S.-B. Wu. 2015. A multifactorial analysis of the extent to which Eimeria and fishmeal predispose broiler chickens to necrotic enteritis. Avian Dis. 59:38–45.
- Rutherfurd, S. M., T. K. Chung, D. V. Thomas, M. L. Zou, and P. J. Moughan. 2012. Effect of a novel phytase on growth performance, apparent metabolizable energy, and the availability of minerals and amino acids in a low-phosphorus corn-soybean meal diet for broilers. Poult. Sci. 91:1118–1127.
- Sadeghi, A. A. 2014. Bone mineralization of broiler chicks challenged with Salmonella enteritidis fed diet containing probiotic (*Bacillus subtilis*). Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins 6:136–140.
- Sadeghi, A., M. Toghyani, and A. Gheisari. 2015. Effect of various fiber types and choice feeding of fiber on performance, gut development, humoral immunity, and fiber preference in broiler chicks. Poult. Sci. 94:2734–2743.
- Saripinar-Aksu, D., T. Aksu, and S. E. Önel. 2012. Does inclusion at low levels of organically complexed minerals versus inorganic forms create a weakness in performance or antioxidant defense system in broiler diets? Int. J. Poult. Sci. 11:666.
- Saunders-Blades, J. L., J. L. MacIsaac, D. R. Korver, and D. M. Anderson. 2009. The effect of calcium source and particle size on the production performance and bone quality of laying hens. Poult. Sci. 88:338–353.
- Sebastian, S., S. P. Touchburn, E. R. Chavez, and P. C. LaguË. 1996. Efficacy of supplemental microbial phytase at different dietary calcium levels on growth performance and mineral utilization of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 75:1516–1523.
- Selle, P. H., A. J. Cowieson, and V. Ravindran. 2009. Consequences of calcium interactions with phytate and phytase for poultry and pigs. Liv. Sci. 124:126–141.
- Shafey, T. M. 1993. Calcium tolerance of growing chickens: effect of ratio of dietary calcium to available phosphorus. World's Poult. Sci. J. 49:5–18.
- Stanley, D., S.-B. Wu, N. Rodgers, R. A. Swick, and R. J. Moore. 2014. Differential responses of cecal microbiota to fishmeal, Eimeria and Clostridium perfringens in a necrotic enteritis challenge model in chickens. PLoS One 9:e104739.
- Toyoda, T., K. Isobe, T. Tsujino, Y. Koyata, F. Ohyagi, T. Watanabe, M. Nakamura, Y. Kitamura, H. Okudera, K. Nakata, and T. Kawase. 2018. Direct activation of platelets by addition of CaCl<sub>2</sub> leads coagulation of platelet-rich plasma. Int. J. Implant Dent. 4:23.

- Vasin, A. L., D. V. Samigullin, and E. A. Bukharaeva. 2010. The role of calcium in modulation of the kinetics of synchronous and asynchronous quantal release at the neuromuscular junction. Biochem. (Moscow) Suppl. Ser. A: Membr. Cell Biol. 4:77–84.
- Viveros, A., A. Brenes, I. Arija, and C. Centeno. 2002. Effects of microbial phytase supplementation on mineral utilization and serum enzyme activities in broiler chicks fed different levels of phosphorus. Poult. Sci. 81:1172–1183.
- Watkins, K. L., and L. L. Southern. 1991. Effect of dietary sodium zeolite A and graded levels of calcium on growth, plasma, and tibia characteristics of chicks. Poult. Sci. 70:2295–2303.
- Wilkinson, S. J., P. H. Selle, M. R. Bedford, and A. J. Cowieson. 2011.
  Exploiting calcium-specific appetite in poultry nutrition. World's Poult. Sci. J. 67:587–598.
- Williams, R. B. 2005. Intercurrent coccidiosis and necrotic enteritis of chickens: rational, integrated disease management by maintenance of gut integrity. Avian Pathol. 34:159–180.
- Yan, F., R. Angel, C. Ashwell, A. Mitchell, and M. Christman. 2005. Evaluation of the broiler's ability to adapt to an early moderate deficiency of phosphorus and calcium. Poult. Sci. 84:1232–1241.
- Yan, F., J. J. Dibner, C. D. Knight, and M. Vazquez-Anon. 2017. Effect of carbohydrase and protease on growth performance and gut health of young broilers fed diets containing rye, wheat, and feather meal. Poult. Sci. 96:817–828.
- Yarru, L. P., R. S. Settivari, E. Antoniou, D. R. Ledoux, and G. E. Rottinghaus. 2009. Toxicological and gene expression analysis of the impact of aflatoxin B1 on hepatic function of male broiler chicks. Poult. Sci. 88:360–371.
- Yunus, A. W., and J. Böhm. 2013. Temporary modulation of responses to common vaccines and serum cation status in broilers during exposure to low doses of aflatoxin B1. Poult. Sci. 92:2899–2903.
- Zanu, H. K., S. K. Kheravii, N. K. Morgan, M. R. Bedford, and R. A. Swick. 2020. Interactive effect of two dietary calcium and phytase levels on broilers challenged with subclinical necrotic enteritis: 1. Broiler performance, gut lesions and pH, bacterial counts and apparent ileal digestibility. Poult. Sci. 99:1540–1550.
- Zhao, J., R. B. Shirley, J. D. Dibner, F. Uraizee, M. Officer, M. Kitchell, M. Vazquez-Anon, and C. D. Knight. 2010. Comparison of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate and yeast cell wall on counteracting aflatoxicosis in broiler chicks. Poult. Sci. 89:2147–2156.
- Zhu, Y. W., J. Wen, X. X. Jiang, W. C. Wang, and L. Yang. 2018. High calcium to phosphorus ratio impairs growth and bone mineralization in Pekin ducklings. Poult. Sci. 97:1163–1169.