

**QUALITATIVE ANALYSES OF A FUNDAMENTAL MOTOR SKILL
ACROSS THE LIFESPAN: LINKING PRACTICE AND THEORY**

Submitted by

John Ewen See Haynes

Master of Education
(University of New England, Armidale, NSW 1994)

Bachelor of Arts
(University of New England, Armidale, NSW 1984)

Diploma in Physical Education
(Wollongong Teachers' College, Wollongong NSW 1968)

This Thesis is presented for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of the
University of New England
School of Education

April, 2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the contributions of the following people. These include supervisors, colleagues, students, family and many friends.

Prof. John Pegg for your patience, sensitivity, dedication and timing.

Dr Judith Miller for her tolerance, support, skilful guidance and friendship.

To my colleagues and friends at the School of Education, for your interest, support and collegiality throughout this “trek across time”. In particular, Dr. Rosemary Callingham, many thanks for pointing me in the right direction with regard to the use of statistics. Mr Tony Brown for technical assistance with the conversion of visual data into a form that my computer could manage. I acknowledge the support and friendship of fellow Physical Education team members Mrs Annette Freak and Dr Claire Parker.

Special mention must go to the all participants and officials from the Gunnedah Gymnastics Club and their dedicated coaches Mr Kevin Maunder and Ms Debbie Croft. My thanks also to the members of the Coffs Harbour Gymnastics Club and the UNE students who volunteered to participate.

I also wish to acknowledge the support from two UNE sources. The Faculty of Education for the Internal Research Grant made available for the collection of data, and to the Special Studies Program Committee for granting study leave at the appropriate intervals. I am very appreciative of the timely support.

To the all members of my family who were always asking: “Are you there yet” ... “Yes, I think so.”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Publications	vi
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Appendices	xi
Abstract	xiv
PREAMBLE	1
CHAPTER ONE: HUMAN MOVEMENT: FROM THEORY TOWARDS PRACTICE	3
Movement: Theoretical Perspectives	3
Motor Development: A Maturational Perspective	3
Motor Learning and Control: Cognitive Perspective	8
Movement Changes: Dynamic Systems	13
Conclusion	17
Learning Domains	18
Bloom's Taxonomy	18
Models of the Psychomotor Domain	20
Conclusion	25
Terminology: A Perplexing Situation	26
Conclusion	27
Stage Models Of Learning	28
Stage Theorists	28
Conclusion	36
Chapter Conclusion	36
CHAPTER TWO: EXAMINING A PROBLEM	39
Motor Skill Acquisition	39
A "Benchmark" Model	40
Alternative Models of Skill Acquisition	43
Conclusion	45
Motor Skill Assessment In Practice	45
Assessment System for the Forward Roll	46
Alternative Assessments of the Forward Roll	50
Conclusion	59
The Lifespan Challenge: The Solo Model	60
Overview of SOLO	60
SOLO Modes and Forms of knowledge	61
Learning Levels	62
Learning Cycles	64
The Foundational Mode of SOLO: Is it tacit?	67
Research Potential: The SOLO Model and Movement Studies	69
Conclusion	71
Chapter Conclusion	72
Research Themes And Questions	74

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN	76
Pilot Study	76
Pilot Study Design	76
Main Study Design	82
Locations	82
Participants	83
Data Collection Plan	85
Interviews	88
Data Analysis	89
Rationale For Mixed Methodology	89
The Process of Analysis	90
Evaluation Of The Design	96
Validity	96
Reliability	100
Chapter Conclusion	102
CHAPTER FOUR: ESTABLISHING THE QUALITY OF MOVEMENT	104
Analysis Of Movement Quality	104
Determination of Movement Quality	104
Conclusion	109
Case Studies: A Comparison	109
Comparative Case Study Analysis: Children	110
Conclusion	115
Comparative Case Study Analysis: Young Adults	116
Conclusion	120
Comparative Case Study Analysis: Older Adults	121
Conclusion	126
Chapter Conclusion	127
Addressing Research Question One: Applicability of Models	127
Addressing Research Question Two: Best Fit of the Data	129
CHAPTER FIVE: DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF AN EMERGING FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING MOVEMENT QUALITY	131
Bodily Configurations	131
Beginning Sequence: Indicators and Descriptors	132
Bridging Sequence: Indicators and Descriptors	137
End Sequence: Indicators and Descriptors	146
Conclusion	150
An Emerging Framework	151
CHAPTER SIX: PERFORMANCE INDICATORS ACROSS COHORTS	155
Beginning Sequence Data	155
Across Cohort Comparisons: Beginning Sequence	157
Bridging Sequence Data	164
Across Cohort Comparisons: Bridging Sequence	165
End Sequence Data	167
Across Cohort Comparisons: End Sequence	168
All Sequences For All Cohorts	170
Chapter Conclusion	173

CHAPTER SEVEN: ANALYSIS OF THE MAMQ:FR FRAMEWORK	175
Data Treatment	175
Rasch Analysis: Results	177
Item and Case Estimate Results	178
Item Fit	180
Item difficulty	181
Conclusion	185
A New Theoretical Paradigm	185
The MAMQ:FR from a SOLO Perspective	186
The SOLO Observational Checklist (SOC)	190
Exemplars of Determining SOLO Levels using the SOC	192
Conclusion	203
Reliability	204
Conclusion	205
Chapter Conclusion	205
CHAPTER EIGHT: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	207
Possible Limitations Of The Study	207
Synopsis Of The Research Findings	209
Implications Of The Findings	212
Recommendations For Future Research	215
Chapter Conclusion	215
REFERENCES	217
APPENDICES	227

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Parts of this Thesis appear are published in the following:

Haynes, J. (2006). *Objective measurement using pictorial data*. Refereed paper presented, and published at the ICHPERD International Conference. Fusion Down-under Recipes for Movement: Challenging perspectives and constructing alliances Conference. Wellington. NZ. ICHPER-SD. ISBN 978-0-473-11990-4

Haynes, J.E.S. (2008). *The Use of Images in an Item-person Map*. Refereed paper presented, and published by the International Conference of Maths and Computers in Sport. Mathsport. Coolangatta, Queensland. ISBN: 978-0-9578623-4-0

Haynes, J., & Miller, J. (2006). *Differences and similarities between the performance of a fundamental skill in gymnastics for three cohorts: Children, young adults and older adults*. Refereed paper presented, and published by the Australian Association for Research in Education. (AARE) Adelaide SA. Paper N^o: HAY 06512.

Haynes, J., Miller, J., Callingham, R., & Pegg, J. (2005). *Applying Item Response Modelling to confirm the underlying construct of a new process instrument in Gymnastics*. Refereed paper presented, and published by the Australian Association for Research in Education (AARE). Sydney. NSW. Paper N^o: HAY 05720.

Haynes, J., Miller, J., & Pegg, J. (2006). *Searching for a Methodology to Bridge the Gap Between Ideas and Practice: An Investigation of the Sensorimotor Mode of Learning*. Refereed paper presented, and published in the proceedings of the Bridging the Gap Research Conference. Australian College of Educators. Deakin, ACT. ISBN 13 978-1-920819-23-1

#####

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Harrow's Elements of the Psychomotor Domain (1972)	20
Table 1.2: Simpson's Taxonomy for the Psychomotor Domain (1972)	21
Table 1.3: Dave's Categories of the Psychomotor Domain (1975)	22
Table 1.4: Characteristics and Interests of Children: Psychomotor Domain	24
Table 1.5: Abridged Version of Fischer's Levels of Cognitive Skills Development	30
Table 1.6: Mounoud's Stages of Cognitive and Motor Development	34
Table 2.1: General Faults Sheet: Floor Routines (Women)	48
Table 2.2: Descriptive Aspects of the Forward Roll	49
Table 2.3: Initial Phase of the Developmental Sequences for the Forward Roll	51
Table 2.4: Completion Phase of the Developmental Sequences for the Forward Roll	52
Table 2.5: Organisation of the Component System: the Forward Roll	53
Table 2.6: Descriptions of Steps Within Component Phases for the Forward Roll 1: Initial Phase	54
Table 2.7: Descriptions of Steps Within Component Phases for the Forward Roll: Late Phase	55
Table 2.8: Gallahue and Ozmun's Developmental Sequence of Body Rolling	56
Table 2.9: Key Observation Points: Proficiency of Rolling	58
Table 3.1: Summary of Participants' Profile	85
Table 3.2: Example of Video Data Transcription	91
Table 4.1: Time Based Movements: Lateral Aspect	111
Table 4.2: General Description for Claire	113
Table 4.3: Summary of all Perspectives for Claire	115
Table 4.4: Time Based Movements: Lateral Aspect	117
Table 4.5: General Description for Ewen	119
Table 4.6: Summary of all Perspectives for Ewen	120

Table 4.7: Time Based Movements: Lateral Aspect	122
Table 4.8: General Description for Gail	124
Table 4.9: Summary of all Perspectives for Gail	126
Table 4.10: Case Study Perspectives: An Overview	127
Table 6.1: Beginning Sequence for all Cohorts	156
Table 6.2: Bridging Sequence for all Cohorts	164
Table 6.3: End Sequence of Feet Position and Final Movements for all Cohorts	167
Table 6.4: Summary of Most Common Descriptors	172
Table 7.1: Coding Information	176
Table 7.2: Example of Data Code for a Single Participant	177
Table 7.3: Summary of Item Estimates	178
Table 7.4: Summary of Case Estimates	179
Table 7.5: Item Fit for Nine Indicators of the Forward Roll	181
Table 7.6: Number of Participants in each SOLO Cycle and Level	190
Table 7.7: SOLO Observational Checklist for the Forward Roll	191
Table 7.8: SOC for Aimee	196
Table 7.9: SOC for Ewen	199
Table 7.10: SOC for Claire	202
Table 7.11: Number of Participants In each SOLO Cycle and Level using SOC	203

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Phases of Motor Development: Gallahue and Ozmun (2006)	8
Figure 1.2: Case's (1985) Sensori-Motor Stage of Mental Development	32
Figure 1.3: SOLO: Modes and Forms of Knowledge (adapted from Biggs & Collis 1980)	35
Figure 1.4: An Hypothetical connection between Motor Development, Motor Skill Learning and Motor Control	38
Figure 2.1: Elements of the Forward Roll	50
Figure 2.2: SOLO Pathways	63
Figure 2.3: Cycles of Learning within the SOLO Model	65
Figure 3.1: Equipment Placement For The Pilot Study	78
Figure 3.2: Camera Location, Mat Placement and Roll Direction	86
Figure 4.1: Example of a Low Quality Performance	105
Figure 4.2: Example of Medium Quality Performance	107
Figure 4.3: Example of High Quality Performance	108
Figure 4.4: High Quality Performance	112
Figure 4.5: Medium Quality Performance	118
Figure 4.6: Low Quality Performance	123
Figure 5.1: Hand Position	133
Figure 5.2: Arm/Elbow Position	134
Figure 5.3: Head Position	135
Figure 5.4: Contact Points	136
Figure 5.5: Hip Flexion, Knee Extension followed by Flexion of both Legs (Sbc)	138
Figure 5.6: Hips/Knees remain Flexed (Bt)	138
Figure 5.7: Hip and Knee Flexion followed by Extension of Both (Bs)	139
Figure 5.8: Hip Flexion, Knees Remain Extended then Hip Extension (Ss)	140

Figure 5.9: Arms Remaining Straight or Showing Some Elbow Flexion at the Beginning; Moving in an Arc With Impetus (As)	142
Figure 5.10: Elbows Flexing then Straightening, with some Impetus (Af)	143
Figure 5.11: Arms Bending or Remaining Straight and Abducting, Little Impetus (Av)	144
Figure 5.12: Arms and Forearms Rotate with Upper Body then Arms Straighten with Little Impetus (Ar)	145
Figure 5.13: Arms Rotate with Upper Body, then Elbow, Forearm, and Hand(S) Contact the Surface. No Impetus from The Arms (Ae)	146
Figure 5.14: Feet Positions	147
Figure 5.15: Final Leg Movements	149
Figure 5.16: Final Rotational Movements	150
Figure 5.17: A Model for Assessing Movement Quality of the Forward Roll (MAMQ:FR)	152
Figure 6.1: Comparative Hand Descriptors for Children, Young Adults and Older Adults	157
Figure 6.2: Comparative Arm/Elbow Flexion Descriptors for Children, Young Adults and Older Adults	159
Figure 6.3: Comparative Head Descriptors for Children, Young Adults and Older Adults	161
Figure 6.4: Comparative Contact Point Descriptors	162
Figure 6.5: Bridging Sequence Comparisons	165
Figure 6.6: End Sequence Comparisons	168
Figure 7.1: Item Person Map	182
Figure 7.2: Illustration of the Logit Differences in Pictorial Format	184
Figure 7.3: Process for Determining MAMQ:FR Solo Cycles and Levels	187
Figure 7.4: Aspects of the Start: Aimee	193
Figure 7.5: Aspects of Rotation: Aimee	193
Figure 7.6: Aspects of the Finish: Aimee	194

Figure 7.7: Aspects of the Start: Ewen	196
Figure 7.8: Aspects of Rotation: Ewen	197
Figure 7.9: Aspects of the Finish: Ewen	198
Figure 7.10: Aspects of the Start: Claire	200
Figure 7.11: Aspects of Rotation: Claire	200
Figure 7.12: Aspects of the Finish: Claire	201

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A		
	Sample Gymnastic Judging Sheet	227
APPENDIX B		
	Sample Forward Rolling Checklist –Multiple Observations	228
APPENDIX C		
	Permission Letter/Consent Form For Children	229
APPENDIX D		
	Research Approval Notice	231
APPENDIX E		
	Transcripts of Interviews	232
APPENDIX F		
	Adult Information/Consent Form	253
APPENDIX G		
	Transcript of Selected Interviews	255
APPENDIX H		
	Leximancer Theme Map	259
APPENDIX I		
	Two Additional Children’s Cohort Case Studies	260
APPENDIX J		
	Two Additional Young Adult Cohort Case Studies	269
APPENDIX K		
	Two Additional Young Adult Cohort Case Studies	276
APPENDIX L		
	Coding for Beginning, Bridging & End Sequences: Children	282
APPENDIX M		
	Coding for Beginning, Bridging & End Sequences: Young adults	283
APPENDIX N		
	Coding for Beginning, Bridging & End Sequences: Older Adults	284
APPENDIX O		
	Selected SOLO Sequence Descriptions for the Forward Roll	285
APPENDIX P		
	Solo Levels and Cycles for all Children, Young Adults and Older Adults	293

APPENDIX Q		
Samples of SOLO Observation Checklists		296
APPENDIX R		
SOC Codes for all Cohorts		
APPENDIX S		
The Performers: Notes related to the findings		300

ABSTRACT

This study is an investigation of the sensorimotor mode of learning. This is facilitated by observations of individuals across a 44 year age range, performing the fundamental motor skill of the forward roll. This fundamental motor skill was selected because it has already been partially validated for developmental sequences.

The participants comprised 28 males and 89 females. The cohorts were: children ($n=48$); young adults ($n=24$); and, older adults ($n=45$). All participants ($N=117$) were video taped whilst performing the forward roll. In addition, the adults were interviewed about their performance.

The performances of all participants were analysed initially by comparing them to an “ideal”. Following this analysis, nine participants were selected for further analysis, on the basis of their representative age group – children, young adults and older adults, as well as the quality of their performance – low, medium or high. The purpose of this approach was to assess whether three currently used instruments, each representing an alternative assessment perspective, could provide an accurate measure of quality when applied to the nine performances. In so doing, a movement domain specific comparison of the performances for the fundamental movement skill was provided. The results of this analysis showed that the instruments did not accurately assess the quality of the performance of the forward roll across the lifespan.

A new instrument was developed from a fine-grained analysis of all participants’ performances that addressed the identified deficiencies. This instrument was termed the Model for Assessing Movement Quality of the Forward Roll (MAMQ:FR). It was based upon incremental observational components, which were termed indicators and descriptors. These indicators and descriptors were applied to three hypothetical sequences within the forward roll – the beginning, bridging and end.

The partial credit form of Rasch modelling, involving the *Quest* statistical package was applied to the data to determine the veracity of the underlying construct of the MAMQ:FR. The application of *Quest* to the data confirmed that the MAMQ:FR utilised a single underlying construct, that is, the quality of movement. An innovative addition to the statistical analysis was the presentation of the item fit map in pictorial format, whilst still accurately demonstrating the step difficulty and level of quality for a particular movement.

A comparative analysis and an interpretation of the performance indicator data for the forward roll, for all cohorts was also undertaken. The resulting analysis presented in both tabulated and graphical format demonstrated that the observable components for the forward roll were similar for children, young adults and older adults.

Based on the acceptable levels of the fit statistics, the SOLO theoretical paradigm was applied to the MAMQ:FR framework. This step was undertaken by using the three identified sequences within the forward roll and the indicators and descriptors of the MAMQ:FR. As a result the SOLO cycles and levels were identified and described for each sequence of the forward roll. In addition, further analysis using a SOLO Observation Checklist (SOC) indicated that cycles and levels could be applied to the skill using a whole body approach. The implications of this investigation include the first exploration of the cycles of learning in the sensorimotor mode of learning, within the SOLO model. This finding has implications for how the assessment of learning is approached in the movement domain and provides a bridge between the cognitive modes of learning and those of skilled human movement across the lifespan.