

Potential for genetic improvement of Sydney rock oysters
(*Saccostrea glomerata*)

By

ANNA HANSSON

B. Rur. Sc. (Hons), UNE

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF

RURAL SCIENCE

OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND

December, 2007

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

..... 

Acknowledgements

Huge thanks to my supervisors Dr Kim Bunter (AGBU) and Prof. Julius van der Werf (UNE) for their guidance and hard work. This thesis would not have been achieved without your time and wisdom. Massive thanks to my primary supervisor Kim for her quality and quantity (and inexhaustible) supervision. This thesis was conducted as part of the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC) Project "Industry management and commercialisation plan for the Sydney rock oyster breeding program" (Project Number 2005/209) The FRDC generously granted financial, and much needed, support for this thesis through a scholarship.

People who assisted with my Masters project are numerous, however I would particularly like to show my appreciation to Ray Tynan (Principle Investigator: Select Oyster Company) and the Port Stephens Fisheries staff, in particular Drs Wayne O'Connor and John Nell for feedback and support over the last two years. Thanks to all the people who provided support during my survey travels. Thanks also to the oyster farmers on the east coast of Australia who took the time to answer questions from a comprehensive survey. Thanks especially to Tony Troup who provided accommodation and gave feedback on some of my work and answered my many questions.

Thanks go to the AGBU social crew (Kim, Andrew, Wayne, Chris, Kath, Marlene, Matt, Kirsty, Nathan, Christie, Rob and Helen) for providing time out and a laugh. In particular, much gratitude goes to my adopted parents, Wayne and Chris Upton who have gone above and beyond everything! To my office mate Kirsty, a huge thanks for providing an ear and shoulder and encouragement and reassurance during this project. Thanks for your insight and generosity with your time and providing solid support.

Thanks to Kathy Dobos (AGBU) for all your time helping out with the editing and formatting of this thesis, which didn't always want to behave. Thanks to family and friends for your understanding and support.

To all those who assisted with this thesis. I hope my work meets your expectations. I anticipate that this project can provide the SRO industry as a whole with a valuable insight into their industry, and highlights the potential for vast genetic progress under a breeding program.

Abstract

Since 1990, Sydney rock oysters (SRO: *Saccostrea glomerata*) have been successfully selected for fast growth and resistance to the two major SRO diseases; QX disease and Winter mortality in a mass selection breeding program operated by the NSW Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries (DPI&F). However, these are not the only traits of economic importance to SRO farmers and their customers, thus a survey was conducted to establish which traits are of importance to their businesses. Weighted totals from survey results indicated that, in decreasing order of importance, growth rate, meat condition, shell shape, general mortality, WM resistance, QX resistance, meat colour, appearance and eating quality were all considered to be traits of importance to SRO farmers. In comparison, wholesalers did not consider factors that did not directly affect their business (e.g. growth rate or mortality) as important but regarded meat condition, shell shape, meat colour, presentation and size as important factors affecting their operation.

Survey results also included production and economic (returns and variable costs) data. Strategies were developed to estimate the economic values for the first seven traits reported above, using this data. Economic values have not previously been available for SRO traits, possibly because industry standards for measuring traits are largely absent. Consequently, trait genetic parameters are also largely unknown for SROs, compounded by difficulties in achieving planned mating structures to ascertain these parameters. Nevertheless, after assumption were made regarding trait measurement procedures and parameters, the relative importance of traits were established by multiplying the calculated economic values by the assumed genetic standard deviation (GSD) for each specific trait. Economic values were derived on a dollars per dozen basis for each one unit change of the trait expression. Mortality due to QX and WM were the most important traits (\$2.72/GSD and \$1.13/GSD), followed by growth rate (average \$0.70/GSD), meat condition (\$0.56/GSD), shell shape (\$0.016/GSD) and strength (average \$0.018/GSD). Since the measurement of meat condition, an important trait, is typically destructive, strategies will need to be developed to measure condition on live animals for mass selection. Alternatively, improvements in hatchery reproductive performance are required to generate family structures for

developing more sophisticated breeding programs, whereby sacrificed relatives can provide some of the necessary data.

The potential for genetic gain and inbreeding under different mass selection breeding program alternatives were compared, with particular reference to the current SRO breeding program. The population size was varied from 200 to 4000 animals with a fixed number of broodstock selected from each population (N: 200), thus selection intensities also varied. As expected, response increased with population size. However, when population sizes were increased from 2000 to 3000 or 4000 animals the differences in response were relatively small, thus a population size of 2000 was considered efficient to achieve a genetic gain of 0.31 units per generation while maintaining rates of inbreeding at 0.29% per generation, assuming single-trait mass selection for a moderately heritable (h^2 : 0.2) trait. When the population (N: 2000) was sub-divided into four separate sub-lines; genetic response decreased to 0.30 units per generation and resulted in a high rate of inbreeding (1.1% per generation). Therefore, sub-lines were not recommended. The final scenario investigated increasing the proportion of females selected relative to males while maintaining 200 broodstock, similar to what is expected to occur in the SRO breeding program. This scenario had a smaller effect on response (0.32 units per generation) relative the rate of inbreeding 0.33% per generation. An increase in trait heritability to 0.4 resulted in an increased response (0.59 units per generation) but also an increase in inbreeding (0.33% per generation). Overall, trait heritability had the largest influence on response and dividing the population into four lines had the greatest impact on inbreeding.

Further investigation into the estimation of genetic parameters for economically important traits is warranted for SROs. This is because progress in the current SRO breeding program is limited without parameters to predict how changes in breeding structures will affect genetic response and inbreeding, as well as correlated responses in traits. In addition, increased knowledge regarding the aetiology of the two major SRO diseases will improve options available for selecting to increase resistance. This will facilitate the calculations of expected changes in genetic gain and inbreeding under different breeding program recording and selection strategies. Sex identification before spawning would enable the proportion of males and females selected as broodstock to be optimised.

Contents

Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract.....	v
Contents.....	vii
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Figures.....	xv
List of Figures.....	xv
Chapter 1	1
General Introduction.....	1
Chapter 2	5
Literature Review	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.1.1 Aim.....	7
2.2 The Sydney rock oyster	7
2.2.1 The influence of biological characteristics on breeding program development and practical management.....	10
2.3 Threats and challenges facing the SRO: diseases and pests.....	12
2.3.1 Oyster diseases and pests.....	12
2.4 Genetic improvement	16
2.4.1 The development of breeding programs.....	16
2.4.2 Breeding objectives	18
2.4.3 Selection	18
2.4.4 Methods of selection.....	19
2.4.5 Estimates of genetic parameters	22

2.4.6 Economic weights	24
2.4.7 Measuring genetic progress: predicting response to selection	25
2.4.8 Inbreeding.....	27
2.4.9 Traits of interest.....	28
2.4.10 Correlations between traits	32
2.4.11 Dissemination of genetics.....	35
2.5 Review of current oyster breeding programs	37
2.5.1 Introduction	37
2.6 Summary and Conclusions	51
Chapter 3	53
Survey of the current status of the Sydney rock oyster industry: production and economic data.	53
3.1 Introduction	53
3.2 Material and methods	56
3.2.1 Survey of the Sydney rock oyster industry.....	56
3.3 Results and discussion	58
3.3.1 Characterisation of data obtained from survey.....	58
3.3.2 Production variables	61
3.3.3 Returns.....	69
3.3.4 Costs	72
3.3.5 Development of the economic models	76
3.4 Additional comments from the survey	83
3.4.1 Importance of SRO traits.....	83
3.5 Conclusions	85
Chapter 4	86
Derivation of economic weights.....	86
4.1 Growth rate	86

4.2 Mortality	92
4.3 Additional quality traits.....	98
4.3.1 Shell shape.....	98
4.3.2 Shell strength.....	102
4.3.3 Meat condition.....	105
4.3.4 Meat colour.....	109
4.4 Summary and Conclusions	112
Chapter 5	118
Simulation of response to selection and inbreeding in a closed Sydney rock oyster breeding program.....	118
5.1 Introduction	118
5.2 Materials and methods.....	121
5.2.1 Basic assumptions	122
5.2.2 Parameters	123
5.2.3 Simulation of the founder population.....	123
5.2.4 Simulation of subsequent generations	124
5.2.5 Information per record.....	125
5.2.6 Summary statistics.....	125
5.2.7 Input parameters	126
5.3 Results and discussion.....	127
5.3.1 Results for base scenario	127
5.3.2 The effect of number of selection lines	130
5.3.3 The impact of trait heritability (H0.2; H0.3; H0.4)	132
5.3.4 The impact of population size (P200, P400, P2000, P3000, P4000).....	134
5.3.5 The impact of the proportion of males and females selected	137

5.4 Comparisons with previous simulation studies	139
5.5 Model limitations.....	140
5.6 Discussion and Conclusions	141
Chapter 6	144
General Discussion and Conclusions	144
6.1 Survey results	145
6.2 Estimation of economic values.....	148
6.3 Evaluation of breeding programs using simulation.....	154
6.4 Conclusions and Recommendations.....	156
References	159

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Heritability estimates for traits in other aquaculture species.....	23
Table 2.2 Observed response to selection, expressed as a percentage change relative to the population mean, per year and per generation for a range of aquaculture species.....	26
Table 2.3 Overall acceptability: mean sensory score (%) over three sessions (S).....	31
Table 2.4 Results of consumer acceptability tests for cooked oysters (SD).....	31
Table 2.5 Estimates of genetic (r_g) and phenotypic (r_p) or Pearson (r) correlations between dimensional traits and weight for aquaculture species	33
Table 2.6 Comparison of growth rates in (means \pm se) Port Stephens second generation SRO selection lines (August 1993 – January 1995).....	40
Table 2.7 Comparison of growth rates in (means \pm se) Port Stephens third generation SRO selection lines (November 1995 – May 1997).....	41
Table 2.8 Comparison of growth rates in (means \pm se) the progeny of Port Stephens fourth generation SRO selection lines (February 2001 – July 2004).....	41
Table 2.9 Comparison of weight and mortality in (means \pm se) the progeny of Georges River second generation SRO disease resistant selection lines (July 2000 – February 2002).....	42
Table 2.10 Comparison of weight and mortality in (means \pm se) the progeny of Georges River third generation SRO disease resistant selection lines (February 2002 – February 2005)	43
Table 3.1 Improvements in whole weight of four lines selected for growth rate when compared to controls	54
Table 3.2 Improvements in cumulative mortality of lines selected for disease resistance after field exposure to QX, QX + WM (QXWM) or WM when compared to the control	55
Table 3.3 Maximum number of responses for each of the three categories.....	59

Table 3.4 Number of farmers who answered this question who were grouped into one of the three classes of productions systems	60
Table 3.5 Summary of age at sale.....	62
Table 3.6 Summary of proportion of sales	63
Table 3.7 Summary of proportion of sales for oyster farmers providing complete information (N: 19).....	64
Table 3.8 Summary of grade class weights from the Sydney rock oyster survey	65
Table 3.9 Summary of remaining production variables	67
Table 3.10 Summary of average returns for whole Sydney rock oysters.....	70
Table 3.11 Summary of average returns for opened Sydney rock oysters	71
Table 3.12 Summary of costs for the Sydney rock oysters	75
Table 3.13 Proportion of sales in different grade classes for the North (N: 10) and South Coast (N: 9) regions	77
Table 3.14 Proportion of grade class sales for SS (N: 9) and MXD (N: 8) production systems.....	78
Table 3.15 Returns (\$/doz) for farmers who had a production system of SS or MXD.....	79
Table 3.16 Returns (\$/doz) for North (Nth) and South (Sth) Coast farmers	80
Table 3.17 Costs (\$/doz) for farmers who farmed SS or MXD oysters	81
Table 3.18 Costs (\$/doz) for North (Nth) and South (Sth) Coast farmers.....	82
Table 3.19 Number of respondents who ranked traits according to the traits importance to the farmers operation*	84
Table 3.20 Number of respondents who ranked traits according to the trait's importance to the wholesaler's operation*	84
Table 4.1 Increases in growth rate for five average weights at sale (age constant basis)	87

Table 4.2 Proportion (%) of oysters sold in each of the five and three grade classes when the average weight of the population changes from 40g to 60g at 5g intervals	88
Table 4.3 Economic values with a 5g change in average sale weight for the base scenario, when differentiated into either five or three grades (assuming no changes to costs).....	91
Table 4.4 Preliminary economic values (\$/doz) for a 1g change in sale weight.....	92
Table 4.5 Final spat cost (\$/doz) after accounting for overall mortality	93
Table 4.6 Growth phase differentiation of age and cost (\$/doz) for hatchery (H) and wild caught (W) stock	93
Table 4.7 Economic values with a 10% change in mortality for the different growth phases under the five scenarios	97
Table 4.8 Differences in weighted average returns after changing the percentage sold in each grade class.....	103
Table 4.9 Difference in returns for Premium SROs and Average SROs (\$/doz).....	107
Table 4.10 Premiums and penalties associated with changes in meat condition	108
Table 4.11 Graded scoring criteria for localised gonad discolouration of diploid and triploid Sydney rock oysters.....	111
Table 4.12 Economic values for commercially important SRO traits per unit increase	112
Table 4.13 Economic values (EV) expressed on a common basis (e.g. per genetic standard unit: GSD) for commercially important SRO traits.....	115
Table 5.1 Mean number and range of progeny for each population size	122
Table 5.2 Input parameter values*	126
Table 5.3 Individual and mean breeding values (BV±se) for single trait selection in one line (L1) or across four lines (L4).....	131
Table 5.4 Mean breeding value (BV±se) for single trait selection at three different trait heritabilities	133
Table 5.5 Percent (%) inbreeding (F±se) for traits differing in heritability	134

Table 5.6 Selection intensities relative to population size and proportion of the population selected	135
Table 5.7 Response ($BV \pm se$) under five different population sizes (and selection intensities)	136
Table 5.8 Inbreeding ($\% \pm se$) under five different population sizes (and selection intensities)	137
Table 5.9 Response ($BV \pm se$) under selection under equal or unequal ratios of males to females	138
Table 5.10 Inbreeding ($\% \pm se$) under selection under equal or unequal ratio of males to females	139

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Photo of a SRO with annotated body parts	8
Figure 2.2 Life cycle of the Eastern oyster <i>Crassostrea virginica</i>	11
Figure 2.3 Cited in Nell 2006b	34
Figure 2.4 Diagram of the flow of genetics through the different levels from the nucleus	35
Figure 2.5 Schematic representation of the SRO breeding and line comparison programs.....	39
Figure 2.6 Photograph showing QX disease resistance line (left) and the control (right) which had been farmed in the Hawkesbury River (Photo: Anna Hansson, 2006).....	44
Figure 3.1. Returns (\$/doz) for whole oysters	72
Figure 3.2. Mean returns per farmer for North (N: 8) and South Coast (N: 7) regions	81
Figure 4.1. Normal distributions for five populations differing in average weight by 5g.....	87
Figure 4.2. The relationship between average weight of oysters and the returns received when differentiated into five grade classes	90
Figure 4.3. The relationship between average weight of oysters and the returns received when only differentiated into the three main grade classes (Plate, Bistro and Bottle)	91
Figure 4.4. Net returns (\$/doz) for the <i>final grow out</i> growth phase only for the five scenarios....	95
Figure 4.5. Cited in Nell, 2006	101
Figure 5.1. Means for simulated breeding value ($BV \pm se$) by generation averaged over 50 replications, along with the predicted response (R)	128
Figure 5.2. Means for inbreeding ($\% \pm se$) by generation averaged over 50 replications.....	129
Figure 5.3. The mean inbreeding coefficient (%) per generation ($F \pm se$) when the population was in one line (L1) or divided into four lines (L4)	131