

The Effect of Regulations on Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Promoting Small Business Growth in Ghana

Joyce Ama Quartey

B.A. Economics, Dip. Ed. University of Cape Coast, MSc Development Finance, University of Manchester

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England

September, 2015

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all other sources used, have been duly acknowledged in the thesis.



September, 24th 2015

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my loving and supportive husband, Jonathan Dagadu Quartey and precious daughter, Joanna Efa Quartey who sacrificed so much to ensure the successful completion of my work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I deeply appreciate and acknowledge the mercies and gracious hand of God upon my life throughout the period of the thesis and thank Him wholeheartedly for ensuring its successful completion. To His matchless name be glory, praise and honour for ever.

My special thanks go to my principal supervisor, Associate Professor Bernice Kotey, for the invaluable support and guidance she gave throughout this study. She painstakingly scrutinized and offered constructive suggestions which helped greatly in refining the thesis. Her encouraging words, especially during the final stages of the study kept me on my toes and are deeply appreciated.

I am also very thankful to my second supervisor, Dr. Bernard Bollen, for his much needed input which contributed immensely to the success of this study.

I am most grateful to the Christian Service University College Council and its Chairman, Professor. Sam K. Afrane who in 2009 pushed for sponsorship of my PhD studies, as part of the University Council's Staff Development Initiative. May the Lord continue to bless the Council for making my dream come true.

I also express my heartfelt gratitude to Professor Emmanuel Frempong, the immediate past President of Christian Service University College for his prayers, support, love and fatherly advice throughout the programme.

I am very thankful to Mr. Collins Amponsah-Mensah, the Chairman of the Ghana Association of Microfinance Companies for his support and useful contributions to my study. I also thank all the managers of the rural banks and microfinance companies who provided information for my study and thereby contributed immensely to the success of the study.

I wish to thank my data collection assistants, Edward Asamoah, Daniel Gbadago and Felix Boakye Yiadom for their efforts in ensuring the success of the data collection process. I also sincerely thank Wisdom Ametorwotia for his assistance in typing and formatting the thesis.

I am deeply grateful to my dear husband who took over some of my responsibilities at home and ensured that I had sufficient time to finish this thesis on schedule and to my lovely daughter for her patience and understanding when mummy was too occupied with her work and could not spend enough time with her. My sincere gratitude also goes to all my siblings for their love and encouragement.

I am also thankful to all my colleagues at Christian Service University College, especially Mrs. Cecilia Boakye-Botwe, Mrs. Christine Adjei Glover, Mr. Adade Yeboah, Mr. and Mrs. Ben Amankwah, Mr. Stephen Oti, Mr. And Mrs. John Ntsiful, Mrs. Theodora Oduro and our Chaplain Rev. Dr. (Mrs.) Margaret A. Aboagye for their encouragement and prayer support and to all others who supported me in diverse ways, I say thank you and may the Lord bless you all.

ABSTRACT

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) have emerged to provide financial services to the poor who were hitherto, excluded from the formal financial system. MFIs have pursued the objective of providing financial services to the poor through two different approaches: the welfarist approach and the institutional approach. The welfarist approach focuses on poverty alleviation by emphasizing the depth of outreach, i.e. reaching the very poor and vulnerable in society with microfinance products. The institutionalists, on the other hand, focus on institutional sustainability by pursuing financial self-sufficiency while serving significant numbers of the poor i.e. breadth of outreach. Despite differences in the two approaches, the performance of MFIs should be assessed on the extent to which they fulfil their common objective of meeting the financial needs of the poor. However, for MFIs to reach out to large numbers of the poor with financial services, their businesses should be conducted on sound operating principles. This requires that MFIs are regulated. Regulation is therefore, important to effective operation of MFIs but can limit their ability to reach the very poor with appropriate financial services. Up until recently, MFIs in Ghana were regulated by various bodies. The rural and community banks and savings and loan companies, regulated by the Bank of Ghana (BoG), had the most rigorous compliance requirements ensuring effective governance. In contrast “susu” operators, regulated by the Ghana National Association of “Susu” Collectors, had the least compliance requirements and the most weak governance structures. This study seeks to examine the effect of regulation on the performance of MFIs in promoting small business growth in Ghana. Small business provides an avenue for income generation by a large segment of the poor, many of whom do not have the qualifications and experiences to be employed in positions that generate sufficient income to meet their needs.

Outreach and financial sustainability are the main performance measures used in the majority of studies to assess performance of MFIs. While these measures are concerned with the health of the financial institutions and the extent to which they meet the objective of reaching out to the poor, they fail to consider the effect of MFI products on the livelihood of their clients. The products of MFIs must make an impact on the livelihoods of their clients if they are to be effective in meeting the common objective of poverty alleviation. To investigate the effect of regulation on performance of MFIs, this study adopted a framework that incorporates outreach and financial sustainability as well as the impact of MFIs on the businesses of their clients as measures performance. The interrelationships among the various performance measures were

also assessed. Two types of regulated MFIs were examined; the BoG regulated MFIs and the self-regulated “susu” operators.

A mixed method research design was employed, comprising both quantitative and qualitative research methods. Fifty-five MFIs (24 BoG regulated MFIs and 31 self-regulated MFIs) and 164 clients of the MFIs were surveyed using structured and semi-structured questionnaires in face-to-face interviews for the quantitative phase of the study. In the second phase of the study, a qualitative research method was used to triangulate findings from the first phase. This involved in-depth interviews with managers of 33 MFIs. Focus group discussions were also held with 24 clients of the MFIs.

Performance of the MFIs was assessed by descriptive and inferential statistics while hierarchical regression and binary mediation tests were employed to examine the effects of regulation on the performance measures of outreach, financial sustainability and impact. Deductive thematic analysis was also used to analyse the qualitative data.

With respect to interrelationship among the performance measures, the results revealed a positive correlation between average loan size as a measure of depth of outreach and operational self-sufficiency, an indicator of financial sustainability of the MFIs. This finding implies that the need to remain sustainable could result in the exclusion of poor borrowers who usually require very small amounts of loans. This is because the administration of small loans is costly to the MFIs. The study also revealed that the BoG regulated MFIs reached out to more clients (breadth of outreach) than the self-regulated MFIs. However, the self-regulated MFIs were able to reach out to the poorer segment of the society (greater depth of outreach) than the BoG regulated MFIs. These findings suggest that regulatory involvement increases the client base but reduces the percentage of poor clients, many likely to be women. Furthermore, the study found that regulation increased the operating costs of MFIs, although these were offset by an increase in income through increased outreach, ensuring financial sustainability of BoG regulated MFIs. However, regulations did not have an effect on the impact of MFIs’ products on their clients’ businesses. This may be due to the myriad other factors that affect performance of clients’ businesses that could not be controlled for in the quantitative study.

In general, the findings suggest that regulations enhance corporate governance in MFIs and force them to increase their revenues to meet the high cost of operations. In turn, MFIs are

compelled to reach out to those with good credit risk, to provide bigger-sized loans and to reduce their administrative costs. Regulations therefore push MFIs away from their initial objective of providing financial services to the poor who are excluded from the formal financial system. This runs counter to their original mandate of helping to reduce poverty. Nonetheless, the governance structures imposed by regulation are necessary for access to wider revenue sources and for financial sustainability of the MFIs.

Given that regulations enhance performance of MFIs, the recent move by the Government of Ghana to regulate all MFIs is in the right direction. Since regulations limit the ability of MFIs to reach out to the poor, it is recommended that the Government of Ghana set up a fund for the underprivileged and deprived in society which could be accessed by well-structured and well-performing MFIs to provide the critical services of reaching the poor with financial services. The ability to reduce operating costs could also help manage the trade-offs between depth of outreach and financial sustainability. MFIs should identify efficiency drivers, that is, factors that reduce their operating costs to enhance their efficiency. Careful management of operating costs could enhance the financial sustainability of the MFIs and enable them to extend their outreach to the disadvantaged in society. MFIs should also design and deliver innovative products and services that meet the needs of small business operators to sustain and enhance the growth of their businesses and ultimately reduce poverty.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY	1
1.2 PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION	3
1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.....	7
1.4 RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	8
1.5 THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	8
1.6 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	10
1.7 DEFINITION OF KEY TERMS	11
1.8 ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY	13
CHAPTER 2	15
THE MICROFINANCE INDUSTRY	15
2.1 INTRODUCTION	15
2.2 DEFINITIONS OF MICROFINANCE	15
2.3 EVOLUTION OF MICROFINANCE	17
2.4 GROUP LENDING WITH JOINT-LIABILITY	19
2.4.1 The Grameen Bank Model.....	21
2.4.2 Screening, Monitoring and Enforcement Problems in Group Lending	22
2.4.3 Criticisms of Group Lending	25
2.5 MFIs' PRODUCTS AND SERVICES	27
2.5.1 Financial Services	27
2.5.2 Non-Financial Services	30
2.6 SOURCES OF INCOME FOR MFIs	33
2.6.1 Donor and Government Grants and Soft Loans.....	33
2.6.2 Savings.....	34

2.6.3 Private-Sector Capital	35
2.6.4 Evolution of Sources of MFI Financing.....	38
2.7 TYPES OF MFIs.....	39
2.7.1 Formal Microfinance Institutions (MFIs)	40
2.7.2 Semi-Formal Microfinance Institutions	42
2.7.3 Informal Microfinance Institutions	45
2.8 OPERATIONS OF MFIs IN GHANA	47
2.8.1 Loan Disbursement	49
2.8.2 Savings Mobilization	52
2.8.3 Outreach.....	52
2.9 SMALL BUSINESS AND MFIs IN GHANA	54
2.9.1 Definitions of Small Enterprises in Ghana.....	54
2.9.2 Small Business Access to Finance in Ghana.....	55
2.10 CONCLUSION	58
CHAPTER 3	59
PERFORMANCE OF THE MICROFINANCE INDUSTRY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK OF MFIs IN GHANA	59
3.1 INTRODUCTION	59
3.2 TWO SCHOOLS OF THOUGHT ON THE GOALS FOR MFIs.....	59
3.3 PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR MFIs	62
3.3.1 Outreach of MFIs	62
3.3.2 Sustainability.....	63
3.3.3 Impact of Microfinance.....	70
3.4 REVIEW OF RESEARCH ON MFI PERFORMANCE.....	73
3.5 REGULATION OF MFIs IN GHANA	83
3.5.1 Regulatory Framework for Microfinance in Ghana.....	84
3.6 HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT.....	94
3.6.1 Interrelationships among the Performance Variables	94
3.6.2 The Direct Effect of Regulation on Performance of MFIs.....	98
3.6.3 Impact of Regulation on the Relationship between the Performance Variables	101
3.6.4 Factors Controlled for in Testing the Hypotheses.....	102
3.7 CONCLUSION	104
CHAPTER 4	106
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	106

4.1 INTRODUCTION	106
4.2 THE RESEARCH PROCESS.....	106
4.3 RESEARCH PHILOSOPHIES.....	107
4.3.1 Quantitative and Qualitative Research Approaches.....	110
4.3.2 Justification for the use of Mixed Method Research Approach.....	112
4.4 RESEARCH METHODS USED IN THE QUANTITATIVE STUDY	113
4.4.1 Survey Method.....	113
4.4.2 Measurement of Key Variables.....	115
4.4.3 Validity and Reliability of Measures	118
4.4.4 The Design of the Questionnaire	119
4.4.5 Pre-test of Questionnaire.....	122
4.4.6 Data Collection	122
4.4.7 Data Analysis	127
4.5 RESEARCH METHODS USED IN THE QUALITATIVE STUDY.....	135
4.5.1 Thematic Method of Analysis.....	136
4.5.2 The Data Collection Procedure.....	137
4.5.3 Data Analysis	142
4.5.4 Testing the Quality of Qualitative Study	144
4.6 CONCLUSION.....	149
CHAPTER 5	151
ANALYSIS AND RESULTS OF QUANTITATIVE DATA.....	151
5.1 INTRODUCTION	151
5.2 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	151
5.2.1 Reliability Assessment.....	157
5.3 RESULTS OF ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES USED	157
5.3.2 Hypothesis Testing Results.....	157
5.4 CONCLUSION.....	176
CHAPTER 6	177
FINDINGS OF THE QUALITATIVE DATA.....	177
6.1 INTRODUCTION	177
6.2 STAGES INVOLVED IN DATA CODING AND ANALYSIS.....	177
6.3 FINDINGS FROM THE QUALITATIVE DATA	179
6.3.1 Performance of the MFIs as Revealed by the Qualitative Data.....	180
6.3.2 Interrelationships among the Performance Measures.	194

6.3.3 Effect of Regulation on the Performance Measures	200
6.3.4 Challenges Affecting the Performance of MFIs in Ghana.....	206
6.4 CONCLUSION.....	207
CHAPTER 7	209
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	209
7.1 INTRODUCTION	209
7.2 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.....	209
7.2.1 Performance of MFIs	211
7.2.2 Interrelationships among Performance Measures	214
7.2.3 Effect of Regulation on Performance of MFIs.....	217
7.2.4 Barriers to Performance of MFIs in Ghana.....	219
7.3 IMPLICATION OF FINDING FOR POLICY AND PRACTICE	221
7.3.1 Policy Implications	221
7.3.2 Implications for Managers of MFIs	224
7.4 CONTRIBUTION OF THE RESEARCH TO KNOWLEDGE	225
7.4.1. Empirical Contribution	225
7.4.2 Methodological Contributions	226
7.5 LIMITATIONS.....	227
7.6 DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	228
7.7 CONCLUSION.....	228
REFERENCES	231
APPENDICES	258
APPENDIX A: INFORMATION SHEET FOR INTERVIEW PARTICIPANTS	258
APPENDIX B: CONSENT FORM FOR PARTICIPANTS	261
APPENDIX C: SURVEY LETTERS FOR THE GHANA ASSOCIATION OF MICROFINANCE COMPANIES (GAMC).....	262
APPENDIX D: SURVEY LETTER FOR THE MANAGERS OF MFIs	264
APPENDIX E: QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MFIs.....	266
APPENDIX F: QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CLIENTS OF MFIs	276
APPENDIX G: INTERVIEW QUESTION GUIDE	283
APPENDIX H: TRANSLATED VERSION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CLIENTS OF MFIs	285
APPENDIX I: CALCULATION OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY VARIABLES	291
APPENDIX J: HIERARCHICAL REGRESSION RESULTS.....	292

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 The New Structure of Microfinance Sub-Sector in Ghana.....	7
Table 2.1 Evolution of MFI Financing	39
Table 2.2 Types of Institution Providing Microfinance in Ghana.....	40
Table 2.3 Types of “Susu” (Savings Collection) in Ghana	46
Table 2.4 Number of Microfinance Institutions in Ghana.....	48
Table 2.5 Total Loan Portfolio (in millions of US\$)	50
Table 2.6 Savings Mobilization by MFIs (in percentage)	52
Table 2.7 Female Composition of Outreach in 2007 to 2012.....	53
Table 2.8 Definition of Small Enterprise by NBSSI.....	55
Table 2.9 Credit Flow to Small Businesses in Ghana.....	57
Table 3.1 Ledgerwood’s Performance Indicators of Microfinance Institutions	74
Table 3.2 CGAP Performance Indicators of Microfinance Institutions	75
Table 3.3 Summary of Performance Indicators of MFIs used by Various Empirical Studies.....	81
Table 3.4 Current Reserve Requirements for RCBs (as percentage of deposits)	87
Table 3.5 S&Ls Provisioning Rate for Micro and Small Business.....	88
Table 3.6 Provisioning Rates for RCBs	88
Table 3.7 Legal and Regulatory Requirements for Different Types of MFIs – Ghana	95
Table 4.1 Comparing Quantitative and Qualitative Research	111
Table 4.2 Quantitative and Qualitative Research Types and Methods	114
Table 4.3 Links between the Questionnaire Items and the Variables Examined.....	122
Table 4.4 Phases of Quantitative Data Collection	126
Table 4.5 Survey Response Rate	126
Table 4.6 Total Number of Interviews held in Ashanti and Greater Accra Regions.....	142
Table 5.1 Descriptive Statistics of Variables	152
Table 5.2 Descriptive Statistics for BoG Regulated MFIs	152
Table 5.3 Descriptive Statistics for Self-Regulated MFIs	154
Table 5.4 Median of Impact Variables	154
Table 5.5 Frequency Distribution of Categorical Variable.....	155
Table 5.6 Type of Lending provided by MFIs.....	156
Table 5.7 Average Default Rate for Different Types of Lending.....	156
Table 5.8 Reliability Test Results	157
Table 5.9 Test of Association between NOAC and Financial Sustainability Variables.....	158
Table 5.10 Test of Association between ALS and Financial Sustainability Variables	159
Table 5.11 Test of Association between PWC and Financial Sustainability Variables	160
Table 5.12 Test of Association between ACIP and Financial Sustainability Variables.....	161
Table 5.13 Test of Association between ACIS and Financial Sustainability Variables.....	161
Table 5.14 Test of Association between ACIA and Financial Sustainability Variables	162
Table 5.15 Impact of Financial Sustainability on Clients’ Employment.....	162
Table 5.16 Diagnostic Results for Multicollinearity and Heteroskedasticity Test.	163
Table 5.17 Estimated Regression Results for the Relationship between Regulation and Breadth of Outreach (NOAC).....	164

Table 5.18 Diagnostic Results for Multicollinearity and Heteroskedasticity Test of the Model	165
Table 5.19 Estimated Regression Results for the Relationship between Regulation and Average Loan Size	166
Table 5.20 Diagnostic Results for Multicollinearity and Heteroskedasticity Test of the Model	167
Table 5.21 Estimated Regression Results for the Relationship between Regulation and Percentage of Women Clients.....	167
Table 5.22 T-Test Results on the Effect of Regulation on Financial Sustainability of MFIs	168
Table 5.23 T-Test Results on the Effect of Regulation of MFIs on clients' Businesses	169
Table 5.24 Contingency Table and Chi-Square Test Results	170
Table 5.25 Mediation Effect of Regulation on the Relationship between Breadth of Outreach and Financial Sustainability	171
Table 5.26 Mediation Effect of Regulation on the Relationship between Depth of Outreach (Average Loan Size) and Financial Sustainability.....	172
Table 5.27 Mediation Effect of Regulation on the Relationship between Depth of Outreach (Percentage of Women Clients) and Financial Sustainability	173
Table 5.28 Mediation Effect of Regulation on the Relationship between Financial Sustainability (Return on Assets) and Impact.....	174
Table 5.29 Mediation Effect of Regulation on the Relationship between Financial Sustainability (Financial Self-sufficiency) and Impact.....	175
Table 5.30 Mediation Effect of Regulation on the Relationship between Financial Sustainability (Operational Self-sufficiency) and Impact.....	175
Table 6.1 A-priori Codes Developed for Research Questions 1 and 2.....	177
Table 6.2 A-priori Codes Developed for Research Questions 3 and 4 – Regulation and Outreach	178
Table 6.3 A-priori Codes Developed for Research Questions 3 and 4 – Regulation and Financial Sustainability.....	178
Table 6.4 A-priori Codes Developed for Research Questions 3 and 4- Regulation and Impact	178
Table 6.5 Conceptually–clustered Matrix: BoG regulated and Self- regulated MFIs' Responses on Performance of MFIs.	181
Table 6.6 Conceptually–clustered Matrix: BoG regulated and Self- regulated MFIs Responses on the Interrelationships among the Performance Measures.	195
Table 6.7 Conceptually–clustered matrix: BoG regulated and Self- regulated MFIs responses on the effect of regulation on performance.....	200

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Trends in Loan Portfolio by Subsector (million US\$ from 2004 to 2012).....	50
Figure 2.2 Loan Portfolio as a Percentage of Industry Total	51
Figure 2.3 Number of Clients by type of MFIs in 2007 and 2012 (000)	53
Figure 3.1 The Critical Triangle of Outreach, Financial Sustainability and Impact.....	61
Figure 3.2 A Conceptual Framework showing the Relationship between Regulation and the Three Performance Measures.....	103
Figure 4.1 The Research Process	107
Figure 4.2 Development of Semi-structured Questions.....	139
Figure 4.3: Diagrammatic Representation of the Stages involved in Data Analysis	144

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACEP	Agence de Crédit pour l'Entreprise Privée
ACIA	Average change in Asset
ACIP	Average change in profit
ACIS	Average change in stock
ADB	Asian Development Bank
ADOPEM	Asociación Dominicana para el Desarrollo de la Mujer
AfDB	African Development Bank
ALR	Annual loan loss rate
ALS	Average Loan Size
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
AROA	Adjusted return on assets
ASCA	Accumulating Savings and Credit Associations
ASSIP	Agricultural Services Investment Project
BKD	Badan Kredit Desa
BoG	BoG - Bank of Ghana
BRAC	Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee
BURO	Bangladesh Unemployed Rehabilitation Organization
CSR	Catholic Relief Service
CBAO	Compagnie Bancaire de l'Afrique Occidentale
CBO	Community Based Organizations
CBRDP	Community Based Rural Development Programme
CEOs	Chief Executive Officers
CGAP	Consultative Group to Assist the Poor
CUs	Credit Unions
DANIDA	Danish International Development Agency

DFID	Department for International Development
EMPL	Employment
ERP	Economic Recovery Programme
FFH	Freedom from Hunger
FINCA	Foundation for International Community Assistance
FINSIP	Financial Sector Improvement Project
FNGOs	Financial Non Governmental Organizations
FSS	Financial self-sufficiency
GAMC	Ghana Association of Microfinance Companies
GB	Grameen Bank
GCCUA	Ghana Co-operative Credit Unions Association
GCSCA	Ghana Co-operative Susu Collectors' Association
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHAMFIN	Ghana Microfinance Institutions Network
GHAMP	Ghana Microfinance Policy
GNP	Gross National Product
GSS	Ghana Statistical Services
GVLMA	Global Validation of Linear Model Assumption
IAIS	International Association of Insurance Supervisors
IDA	International Development Agency
IFAD	International Fund for Agricultural Development
IPO	Initial Public Offer
KfW	German Development Bank
K-REP	Kenya Rural Enterprise Programme
LPD	Lembaga Perkreditan Desa
LPG	loan portfolio guarantee

MASLOC	Micro Credit and Small Loans Centre
MBB	Micro Banking Bulletin
MCS	Microcredit Summit
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MFI	Microfinance Institutions
MM	Mix Market
NBFIs	Non-Bank Financial Institutions
NBSSI	National Board for Small-Scale Industries
NCBs	National Commercial Banks
NGOs	Non Governmental Organizations
NOAC	Number of Active Clients
OER	Operating Expense Ratio
OLS	Ordinary Least Square
OSS	Operational Self-Sufficiency
PSI	President Special Initiative
PWB	Percentage of Women Borrowers
PWC	Percentage of Women Clients
RCB	Rural and Community Banks
REP	Rural Enterprise Project
RFSP	Rural Financial Services Project
RIA	Regulatory Impact Assessment
ROA	Return on assets
ROE	Return on equity
ROSCAs	Rotating Savings and Credit associations
S&Ls	Savings and Loans
SAT	Snapi Aba Trust

SDI	Subsidy Dependence Index
SDR	Sustainability Dependency Ratio
SEWA	Self Employed Women's Association
SIF	Social Investment Fund
SME	Small and Medium-scale Enterprise
SOMED	Soweto Microenterprise Development
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Scientist
UNCDF	United Nations Capital Development Fund
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
WOCCU	World Council of Credit Unions
WWB	Women World Banking