FACTORS WHICH INFLUENCE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTEIN AND ENERGY IN RUMINANTS

A thesis submitted to the University of New England for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

JENNIFER JOAN DAVIS

B.Rur.Sci., University of New England M.Rur.Sci., University of New England

The Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Nutrition, The University of New England, Armidale, N.S.W., 2351, Australia

August 1991

PREFACE

The studies presented in this thesis were completed by the author whilst a postgraduate student in the Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Nutrition, Faculty of Rural Science, The University of New England, Armidale, N.S.W., Australia. Assistance given by other persons is indicated in the list of acknowledgments. All references cited are included in the bibliography. The work is otherwise original.

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degree.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis and all sources used have been acknowledged in this thesis.



August, 1991

Jennifer J. Davis

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincerest thanks and gratitude to Professor R.A. Leng for his interest, patience and guidance during the period of my candidature. I also wish to acknowledge the encouragement and guidance provided during these studies by Dr. S.H. Bird and Associate Professor J.V. Nolan.

The expert technical assistance of Mr. Frank Ball and his staff, Messrs. S. Stachiw, R.T. Woodgate and M.D. Porter is gratefully acknowledged. Special thanks are also extended to Messrs. G. Taylor and H. Deiderick for maintaining the cleanliness of pens and animals; and to Mr J. Hanlan for his efficient handling of supplies.

Sincere thanks are expressed to colleagues and members of staff for their continued interest and encouragement throughout my candidature. Appreciation is expressed to my fellow students G. Jones, M. van Houtert, S. McClennan, R. Hegarty, A. Navis and E. Elung' atta for their general interest and useful discussions.

Special thanks are due to Dr. J.F. Hecker for his encouragement and assistance in preparation of the tables and layout of this thesis.

Finally but most of all I offer my sincere thanks to my friends, especially Ania Tomaszewska and Manika Cockrem for their support and encouragement during my candidature, and also to my daughter, Katrina, for her patience whilst her mum was working.

I dedicate this work to my daughter and hope that she too will be given the opportunity to do what she wants.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS SUMMARY	ii iii iv xiii xiv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
 LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Scope of the Review 2.1.1 Definition of Low Quality Forages 2.2 Digestive Physiology of the Ruminant 2.2.1 Rumen Micro-organisms 2.2.1.1 Bacteria 	4 4 5 5 6 7
 2.2.1.2 Protozoa 2.2.1.3 Fungi 2.3 Stoichiometry of Rumen Fermentation 2.3.1 Carbohydrate Metabolism 2.3.2 Lipid Metabolism 2.3.3 Nitrogen Metabolism 	7 8 9 9 9 9 10
 2.4 Means of Manipulating Ruminant Production from Low Quality Forages 2.4.1 Factors Effecting Efficiency of Rumen Fermentation And Microbial Growth 2.4.1.1 Minerals 2.4.1.2 Rumen Ammonia 2.4.1.3 Amino Acids and Peptides 2.4.2 Methods to Increase the Efficiency of Utilisation 	12 12 13 14 16
of Poor Quality Roughages By Ruminants 2.4.2.1 Bypass Protein 2.4.2.2 Protozoa 2.4.2.3 Catalytic Effect of a Green Forage 2.4.2.4 Glucose Availability	16 17 19 20 20
 2.5 Glucose Metabolism in Ruminants 2.5.1 Glucose Absorption on Grain Based Diets 2.5.2 Gluconeogenesis 2.5.2.1 Propionate 2.5.2.2 Amino Acids 2.5.2.3 Glycerol 2.5.2.4 Lactate 2.5.2 Importance of Glucose Precursors in Effecting 	20 21 21 22 23 24 24
Metabolic Heat Production 2.6 Effect of Heat Stress on Ruminant Productivity 2.6.1 Introduction 2.6.2 Physiological Changes Occurring with Heat Stress 2.6.3 Feed Intake 2.6.4 Rumen Motility 2.6.5 Rumen Fermentation 2.6.6 Lipid Metabolism 2.6.7 Nitrogen Metabolism 2.6.8 Mineral Metabolism 2.6.9 Hormonal Response 2.6.10 Reproduction 2.6.11 Methods to Reduce Heat Stress in Ruminants	24 32 35 35 39 40 40 41 42 42 43 43

2.6.11.1 Environmental Manipulation	44
2.6.11.2 Dietary Manipulation	46
2.6.11.3 Genetic Manipulation	49
2.7 Conclusions	52
3. GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	54
2.1. Introduction	51
2.2 Experimental Animala	54
3.2 Experimental Annuals	54
3.2.1 General Management	55
2.4 Someling of Dumon Eluid	55
3.4 Sampling of Rumen Fluid	33 56
3.5 Estimation of wool Growth	30 57
3.6 Enumeration of Protozoa in Rumen Fluid	57
3./ Feed Conversion Ratio	57
3.8 In Sacco Digestibility of Feed	57
3.9 Analytical Methods	28
3.9.1 Dry Matter	58
3.9.2 Total Nitrogen	58
3.9.3 Rumen Fluid Ammonia Nitrogen	58
3.9.4 Urea Nitrogen	59
3.9.5 Volatile Fatty Acids	59
3.9.6 Glucose	59
3.9.7 Acetate	60
3.10 Tracer Techniques	60
3.10.1 Estimation of the Specific Activity	~ ~
of ¹⁴ C-Bicarbonate in Blood	61
3.10.2 Estimation of the Specific Activity	
of ³ H-Glucose in Blood	62
3.10.3 Estimation of the Specific Activity of	
¹⁴ c-Urea in Urine	63
3.10.4 Counting of Radioactivity	63
3.10.5 Calculations For Tracer Methods	63
3.11 Acetate Clearance Rate	65
3.11.1 Injection Solution	65
3.11.2 Blood Samples	65
3.11.3 Calculation of Acetate Clearance	65 .
3.12 Statistical Procedures	66
4. USE OF PROTEIN MEALS TO BALANCE NUTRITION FOR	
PRODUCTION IN LAMBS FED LOW OUALITY DIETS	67
4.1 Introduction	67
4.2 Production of Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Oaten Chaff	•
Supplemented with Formaldehyde Treated Casein	70
4.2.1 Experimental	70
4.2.1.1 Animals and Housing	70
4.2.1.2 Experimental Design	71
4.2.1.3 Diets and Feeding	71
4.2.1.4 Procedure	71
4.2.1.5 Statistical Analysis	72
· · · · · · ·	

422 Results	72
4.2.2 Results 4.2.2.1 Intake	72
4.2.2.1 Interest $4.2.2.1$ Liveweight Gain	72
4.2.2.2 Envoyogni Guni 4.2.2.3 Feed Conversion Ratio	74
4.2.2.4 Wool Growth	74
4.2.3 Discussion	74
A 2 In Sacco Digestibility of Treated or Untreated Lupin Meal	77
4.5 In Succo Digestionity of Mealed of Ontrouted Dupin Meal	77
4.3.2 Diets and feeding	77
4.5.2 Dicts and recoming	77
4.5.5 Flocedule	78
4.3.4 Results	78
4.5.5 Discussion 4.4 Production of Lambs Fed a Basal Diet of Oaten Chaff and	,0
4.4 Floduction of Lamos red a Dasar Diet of Oaten Chair and	80
Supplemented with usea, tupins and formation you treated tupins	80
4.4.1 Experimental $4.4.1$ L Animals and Housing	80
4.4.1.1 Annihais and Housing	80
4.4.1.2 Experimental Design	80
4.4.1.5 Diets and recoming	81
4.4.1.4 Flocedule	81
4.4.1.5 Statistical Analysis	82
4.4.2 KCSUIIS 4.4.2 I Intoke	82
4.4.2.1 Intake 4.4.2.2 Liveweight Gain	82
4.4.2.2 Liveweight Galif 4.4.2.3 Feed Conversion Ratio	84
4.4.2.5 Feed Conversion Ratio	84
4.4.2.4 Wool Olowin 4.4.2.5 Rumen Fermentation	84
4.4.2.5 Rumen rementation	0.
A A 3 Discussion	80
4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production	80 on.
4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of	86 on,
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 	80 on, 88
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 	86 on, 88 88
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 	86 on, 88 88 90
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2 L Animals and Housing 	86 on, 88 88 90 90
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 90 91
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 90 91 92
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 	80 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 90 91 92 92
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 90 91 92 92 92 94
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 90 91 92 92 92 94 94
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 90 91 92 92 94 94 94
 4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.4 Wool Growth 	86 on, 88 90 90 90 90 90 91 92 92 94 94 94 95
 4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 91 92 92 94 94 94 95 95
 4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 	86 on, 88 90 90 90 91 92 92 94 94 95 95 95
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.4 Wool Growth 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 	86 on, 88 88 90 90 90 90 91 92 92 94 94 95 95 95 95
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.4 Wool Growth 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 4.5.3.8 Rumen Fermentation 	86 on, 88 90 90 90 91 92 94 94 95 95 95 95 95 98
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 4.5.3.8 Rumen Fermentation 4.6 In Vivo Digestibility of a Basal Diet of Ad Libitum Cottonseed Hulls 	86 on, 88 90 90 90 90 91 92 94 94 94 95 95 95 95 98
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 4.5.3.8 Rumen Fermentation 4.6 In Vivo Digestibility of a Basal Diet of Ad Libitum Cottonseed Hulls 	86 on, 88 90 90 90 90 90 91 92 94 94 95 95 95 95 98 98
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.4 Wool Growth 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 4.5.3.8 Rumen Fermentation 4.6 In Vivo Digestibility of a Basal Diet of Ad Libitum Cottonseed Hulls 	86 on, 88 90 90 90 91 92 94 94 95 95 95 95 98 98 98
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 4.5.3.8 Rumen Fermentation 4.6 In Vivo Digestibility of a Basal Diet of Ad Libitum Cottonseed Hulls with or without Formaldehyde Treated Casein 4.6.1 Experimental 	86 on, 88 90 90 91 92 94 94 95 95 95 95 98 99 99 90
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.5 Fred Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 4.5.3.8 Rumen Fermentation 4.6 In Vivo Digestibility of a Basal Diet of Ad Libitum Cottonseed Hulls with or without Formaldehyde Treated Casein 4.6.1 Experimental 4.6.1.2 Experimental Design 	80 on, 88 90 90 90 91 92 94 94 95 95 95 95 98 99 99 99 99
 4.4.3 Discussion 4.5 Effect of Protein, Propionate or Acetate Supplementation on Production Glucose Metabolism and Acetate Clearance in Lambs fed a Basal Diet of Cottonseed Hulls 4.5.1 Introduction 4.5.2 Experimental 4.5.2.1 Animals and Housing 4.5.2.2 Experimental Design 4.5.2.3 Diets and Feeding 4.5.2.4 Procedure 4.5.2.5 Statistical Analysis 4.5.3 Results 4.5.3.1 Intake 4.5.3.2 Liveweight 4.5.3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio 4.5.3.4 Wool Growth 4.5.3.5 Protozoa Numbers 4.5.3.6 Glucose Metabolism 4.5.3.7 Acetate Clearance Rate 4.5.3.8 Rumen Fermentation 4.6 In Vivo Digestibility of a Basal Diet of Ad Libitum Cottonseed Hulls with or without Formaldehyde Treated Casein 4.6.1.1 Animals and Housing 4.6.1.2 Experimental Design 4.6.1.3 Diets and Feeding 	80 on, 88 90 90 90 91 92 94 94 95 95 95 98 99 99 99 99 99 99 99

4.6.2 Results	100
4.6.2.1 Digestibility Study	100
4.6.2.2 Protozoa Numbers	100
4.6.3 Discussion	101
5. THE EFFECTS OF HIGH ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURE ON R	UMEN
FERMENTATION, DIGESTION AND PRODUCTION IN LAMBS	104
5.1 Introduction	106
5.2 Liveweight Gain, Feed Intake and Wool Growth of Lambs Fed a B	asal Diet
of Cottonseed Hulls Supplemented with Bypass Protein and Kept at Hig	gh
Temperatures	106
5.2.1 Experimental	106
5.2.1.1 Animals and Housing	106
5.2.1.2 Experimental Design	106
5.2.1.3 Diets and Feeding	107
5.2.1.4 Temperatures	107
5.2.1.5 Procedure	107
5.2.1.6 Statistical Analysis	108
5.2.2 Results	108
5.2.2 Thermal Humidity Index	110
5.2.2.1 Internal Humany mack	110
5.2.2.2 Illiance	110
5.2.2.4 Feed Conversion Patio	110
5.2.2.4 Feed Conversion Ratio	110
5.2.2.5 Wool Glowill 5.2.2.6 Dymon Formentation	110
5.2.2.0 Rumen Fernentation	112
5.2.2.7 Protozoa Numbers	112
5.2.3 Discussion	113
5.3 Growth of Lambs Fed a Basal Diet of Ammoniated Barley Straw	
with or without Bypass Protein and Sodium Propionate and Kept	
at High Temperatures	116
5.3.1 Introduction	116
5.3.2 Experimental	117
5.3.2.1 Animals and Housing	117
5.3.2.2 Experimental Design	117
5.3.2.3 Diets	117
5.3.2.4 Temperature and Humidity	118
5.3.2.5 Procedures	118
5.3.2.6 Statistical Analysis	119
5.3.3 Results	120
5.3.3.1 Thermal Humidity Index	120
5.3.3.2 Intake	120
5.3.3.3 Liveweight	123
5.3.3.4 Feed Conversion Ratio	123
5.3.3.5 Efficiency of Gain	125
5.3.3.6 Wool Growth	125
5.3.3.7 Digestibility	125
5.3.3.8 Nitrogen Balance	127
5.3.3.9 Water Balance	127
5.3.3.10 Rumen Fermentation	129
5.3.3.11 Protozoa Numbers	129
5.3.4 Discussion	129

5.4 Measurements of Entry Rates of Glucose and CO ₂ in Blood, Urea I and A cost to Clearance in Lamba at Madium and High Environmental	Kinetics
Temperatures	136
5 4 1 Introduction	136
5.4.2 Europineentel	127
5.4.2 Experimental	157
5.4.2.1 Diets	137
5.4.2.2 Procedure	138
5.4.2.3 Statistical Analysis	141
5.4.3 Results	141
5.4.3.1 Glucose Metabolism	141
5.4.3.2 Acetate Clearance Rates	143
5.4.3.3 Urea Metabolism	143
5.4.4 Discussion	146
5.4.5 Conclusion	152
6. General Discussion	154
6.1 Research Findings	154
6.2 Future Research	166
	- 00

7. References	167
8. Appendix	185
9. Amenden	199

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Dry matter intake, liveweight gain, feed conversion ratio and wool growth of lambs fed a basal diet of oaten chaff and supplemented with casein, formaldehyde treated casein (FC) or FC plus urea (FCU). The oaten chaff was fed <i>ad libitum</i> (AL) or 80% AL (R).	73
Table 4.2 The <i>in sacco</i> dry matter digestibilities of lupin meal in the rumen of mature crossbred wethers fed a basal diet of oaten chaff and 100 g/d lupins	78
Table 4.3 Dry matter intake, liveweight gain, feed conversion ratio and wool growth of lambs given a basal diet of oaten chaff and supplemented with 10 g urea, 100g lupins, 100g formaldehyde treated lupins (FL) or FL plus urea (FLU). Oaten chaff was fed either <i>ad libitum</i> (AL) or 75% AL (R).	83
Table 4.4 Concentrations and proportions of VFA in the rumen fluid of lambs fed a basal diet of oaten chaff supplemented with urea, lupins, formaldehyde treated lupins (FL) or FL plus urea (FLU). The oaten chaff was fed either <i>ad libitum</i> (AL) or 75% AL (R).	85
 Table 4.5 Dry matter intake, liveweight gain, feed conversion ratio and wool growth of lambs fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls (CSH) and supplement with 20 or 40 g sodium propionate (P20, P40), 50g formaldehyde treated casein (FC), FC + P20 or 58 g sodium acetate (Acet). 	93
Table 4.6 Mean plasma glucose, glucose entry rate, glucose pool size and half time $(t^{1/2})$ for acetate in the blood of lambs fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls (CSH) and supplemented with 20 or 40 g sodium propionate (P20, P40), 50 g formaldehyde treated casein (FC), FC + P20 or 58 g sodium acetate	96
Table 4.7 Total concentration of VFA and molar proportions of individual VFA, ammonia levels and glucogenic energy ratio in the rumen fluid of lambs fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls (CSH) and supplemented with 20 or 40 g sodium propionate (P20, P40), 50 g formaldehyde treated casein (FC), FC + P20 or 58g sodium Acetate.	97
Table 4.8 Cottonseed hull intake and digestibility of a diet of cottonseed hulls supplemented with 0 or 50 g/d formaldehyde treated casein and fed to mature crossbred wethers	100
Table 4.9 Changes in the protozoa populations in the rumen fluid of sheep fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls with 0 or 50 g/d treated casein.	101

Table 5.1 Dry matter intake, liveweight gain, feed conversion ratio and wool growth of lambs fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls (CSH) and supplemented with 0 or 50 g/d formaldehyde treated casein (FC). Lambs were housed at 26 or 37 °C.	109
Table 5.2 Molar proportions and total concentration of VFA in the rumen fluid of lambs fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls and supplemented with 0 or 50 g/d formaldehyde treated casein. Lambs were housed at either 26 or 37 °C.	111
Table 5.3 Protozoa numbers and percent decrease in protozoa numbers occurring in the rumen fluid of lambs kept at one of three temperatures (25, 27 or 37 °C) and fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls supplemented with 0 or 50 g/d formaldehyde treated casein.	113
Table 5.4 Dry matter intake and digestible energy intake, liveweight change, feed conversion ratio and wool growth of lambs fed a basal diet of ammoniated barley straw and supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein (FC) and sodium propionate (P20). Lambs were housed at 25 or 37°C.	121
Table 5.5 In vivo digestibility of total dry matter, nitrogen balance and nitrogen losses in faeces and urine in lambs fed a basal diet of ammoniated barley straw and supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein and sodium propionate (P20). Lambs were housed at 25 or 37°C	126
Table 5.6 Water intake and faecal, urinary and calculated evaporative water losses per day in lambs fed a basal diet of ammoniated barley straw and supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein (FC) and sodium propionate (P20). Lambs were housed at 25 or 37 °C.	128
Table 5.7 Total concentrations of VFA, molar proportions of individual VFAs, G/E ratio, ammonia concentration and protozoa numbers in the rumen fluid of lambs fed a basal diet of ammoniated barley straw and supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein (FC) and sodium propionate (P20). Lambs were housed at 25 or 37 °C.	130
Table 5.7 Schedule of events for injection of isotopes andcollection of samples.	138
Table 5.8 Plasma glucose concentration, entry rate of glucose and CO ₂ , glucose pool size and the $t^{1/2}$ of blood acetate in lambs fed a basal of ammoniated barley straw and supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein (FC) and sodium propionate (P20). Lambs were housed at 25 or 37 °C	142

Table 5.9 Combined regression equations (of the form Y=a + bx) with the S.E.'s of the regression coefficients between various parameters of glucose metabolism in lambs given ammoniated barley straw and supplemented with either	144
treated casein of sodium propionate and kept at 25 or 37 °C.	144
Table 5.10 Entry and excretion rates of urea in lambs fed a basal diet of ammoniated barley straw and supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein (FC) and sodium propionate (P20). Lambs were housed at 25 or 37 °C.	145

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 The effects of the concentration of ammonia in the rumen on the intake and digestibility of straw by cattle	15
Figure 2.2 The effect of age and production on potential nitrogen retention in relation to ME intake.	18
Figure 2.3 THI of climates in temperate countries as exemplified by Cambridge (UK) and Armidale (Australia) as compared with tropical countries as exemplified by Bangkok (Thailand) and Mymensingh (Bangladesh).	33
Figure 2.4 Intake of low-quality forage by cattle in relation to intake of the forage following supplementation with a bypass protein meal or bypass protein meal plus urea in different climatic zones.	37
Figure 4.1 Changes in protozoa numbers over time in the rumen fluid of lambs fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls.	102
Figure 5.1 Effects of supplementation with formaldehyde treated casein (FC) and sodium propionate (P20), and of environmental temperature, on the dry matter intake of lambs. Separate plots are shown for lambs housed at 25 and 37 °C.	122
Figure 5.2 Effects of supplementation with formaldehyde treated casein (FC) and sodium propionate (P20), and of environmental temperature, on the liveweight of lambs. Separate plots are shown for lambs housed at 25 °C or 37 °C.	124
Figure 5.3 Relationship between digestible energy intake and glucose entry rate in lambs fed ammoniated straw diets with and without formaldehyde treated casein and/or sodium propionate. Lambs were housed at 25 or 37 °C.	148
Figure 5.4 Relationship between digestible energy intake and glucose entry rate in lambs.	148
Figure 5.5 Relationship between the rate of acetate disappearance and the intake of digestible energy	150
Figure 5.6 Relationship between digestible energy intake and liveweight gain of lambs fed ammoniated barley straw diets with or without formaldehyde treated casein and/or sodium propionate. Lambs were housed at 25 or 37 °C.	150

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATION

- † P<0.10
- * P<0.05
- ** P<0.01
- *** P<0.001
- Acet acetate;
- AL ad libitum
- ATP adenosine triphosphate

But butyrate;

- CSH cottonseed hulls
- DEI digestible energy intake
- DM dry matter
- FCR feed conversion ratio
- FC formaldehyde treated casein
- FCU formaldehyde treated casein and urea
- GER glucose entry rate
- GP glucose pool size
- Ibut isobutyrate
- Ival isovalerate
- Lwt live weight
- LCFA long chain fatty acids
- ME metabolisable energy
- NH₃-N ammonia nitrogen
- NADP nicotinamide dinucleotide phosphate
- NADPH₂ reduced NADPH
- ns non-significant
- Prop propionate
- SEM standard error of the mean
- TCA cycle tricarboxylic acid cycle
- $t^{1/2}$ half time
- TDMI total dry matter intake
- UDR urea degradation rate
- UER urea entry rate
- Val valerate
- VFA volatile fatty acids

SUMMARY

The studies reported in this thesis were part of a continuing study on the effects of supplementation of low protein diets with proteins that escape ruminal fermentation.

A basal diet of oaten chaff fed to lambs, was supplemented with casein, formaldehyde treated casein (FC) or FC plus urea. Voluntary feed intake and liveweight change of lambs was measured over a 105 d period. Feed intake, liveweight gain, wool growth and feed conversion efficiency was increased by the addition of treated casein. Urea plus treated casein did not improve responses above that obtained by treated casein. Provision of a soluble protein source (untreated casein) did not increase feed intake, liveweight gain or feed conversion efficiency.

A second feeding trial using lambs fed a basal diet of oaten chaff, showed that supplementation with 100 g lupins, either untreated or treated with formaldehyde, did not produce greater growth responses or feed conversion efficiencies than did supplementation with 10 g urea.

Lambs fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls increased their liveweight gain, feed conversion efficiency and wool growth when supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein. Lambs fed cottonseed hulls as the basal diet had nil or very low protozoa populations in their rumen. Supplementation with sodium propionate or sodium acetate had no effect on liveweight gain, feed conversion efficiency or wool growth. No differences in glucose entry rates or acetate clearance was found in lambs fed cottonseed hulls as the basal diet and supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein, sodium propionate or sodium acetate. When lambs were kept at different environmental temperatures and fed a basal diet of cottonseed hulls, their feed intake decreased at 37 °C. Supplementation of the basal diet with formaldehyde treated casein resulted in increased liveweight gain, wool growth and feed conversion efficiencies by lambs.

Lambs fed a basal diet of ammoniated barley straw and kept at 25 or 37 °C decreased their feed intake at 37 °C. When supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein at either temperature, lambs responded by increasing their liveweight gain, feed conversion efficiency and wool growth. When supplemented with sodium propionate at 37 °C lambs lost weight and decreased feed intake. Water intake of lambs supplemented with treated casein remained relatively constant over the two temperatures whilst water intake by unsupplemented lambs or those supplemented with propionate increased considerably at 37 °C.

Metabolic studies of lambs at 25 or 37 $^{\rm O}$ C and fed a basal diet of ammoniated barley straw supplemented with formaldehyde treated casein and/or sodium propionate showed that the effect of temperature in decreasing glucose entry rates and urea entry rates was through its effect on feed intake. Less urea was recycled to the rumen of lambs housed at 37 $^{\rm O}$ C.

Supplementation with bypass protein increased growth responses by lambs in all experiments. Future studies are required to examine the effects of bypass proteins in different temperature environments to determine whether there is a temperature by supplement interaction.