## NEMATODE PARASITISM, DIARRHOEA AND PRODUCTIVITY IN MERINO WEANERS ON THE NEW ENGLAND TABLELANDS.

by

### JOHN MACFARLANE DISTRICT VETERINARIAN ARMIDALE RURAL LANDS PROTECTION BOARD

}

)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement of the degree of Master of Rural Science

University of New England Armidale, NSW

17 June, 1999

Supervisors: Dr G D Gray Mr I A Barger (External)

## DECLARATION

I declare that no part of this thesis has been submitted for any degree or diploma, or is currently being presented for any other degree or diploma.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge this thesis contains no material previously published or written by any other person, except where reference is given to that author by direct credit in text or bibliography.

JOHN MACFARLANE

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The financial assistance of the following is gratefully acknowledged:-Rural Lands Protection Board, Armidale McGarvie Smith Institute, Sydney Smith Kline Beecham, Frenchs Forest Nufarm Animal Health, Seven Hills University of New England, Armidale Regional Veterinary Laboratory, Armidale CSIRO Chiswick Pastoral Research Station, Arding

The cooperation of the following property owners/managers is gratefully acknowledged:-

K Barnet, "Miramoona", Walcha

A Fletcher, "Cairnie", Walcha

D Gowing, "South Winscombe", Bundarra

R Maclean, "Saumarez", Armidale

J McLaren, "Nerstane", Woolbrook

N Schaeffer, "Glenowen", Wollomombi

The technical assistance of the following is gratefully acknowledged:-D Gray, Animal Science, University of New England I Barger, "Chiswick", CSIRO, Arding M van Houtert, "Chiswick", CSIRO, Arding R Windon, "Chiswick", CSIRO, Arding

O R Coverdale, Regional Veterinary Laboratory, Armidale

A McInnes, Regional Veterinary Laboratory, Armidale

M Holdsworth, NSW Agriculture, Armidale

M Knox, "Chiswick", CSIRO, Arding

I Davis, Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science, University of New England E Hanna, Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science, University of New England R Murrison, Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science, University of New England

. :

The practical assistance of the following is gratefully acknowledged:-P Berdar, Armidale Rural Lands Protection Board, Armidale H Officer, Armidale Rural Lands Protection Board, Walcha Office F Tanner, NSW Agriculture, Armidale

The secretarial assistance of the following is gratefully acknowledged:-Margie Bastow Ruth McGregor Juanita Swynenburg Cath Sharpe Finally, I give full credit and thanks to my wife, Sally Macfarlane, without whose encouragement and patience, support and forbearance, this thesis would never have been finished.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Cont</u>	uts	ıge
Decla	ation	ii
Ackn	wledgements	iii
Table	of Contents	v
Appe	lices	ix
List c	Figures	x
List o	Tables	xi
Map		xii
Sumn	ry	ciii
Chap	er One: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
Chap	er Two: Literature Review: nematode parasitism, diarrhoea and productivity in Merino weaners on the New England Tablelands	4
2.1	INTRODUCTION	4
2.2	MERINO WEANER GROWTH RATES	
2.3	NEMATODE PARASITES	10
2.4	2.4.1 The Mechanisms and Manifestations of Immunity       2.4.2 Effect of Age on Immunity	15 15 17 19 19
2.5	THE SHEEP - NEMATODE PARASITE INTERACTION -       DISEASE AND PRODUCTION EFFECTS       2.5.1 Clinical and Pathological Effects       2.5.2 Anorexia       2.5.3 Protein Digestion and Absorption       2.5.4 Other Metabolic Effects       2.5.5 Productivity	19 19 21 21 22 22
2.6	DIARRHOEA	

	2.6.2	Nutritional Diarrhoea	23
	2.6.3	Diarrhoea Due to Nematode Parasites	24
	2.6.4	Coccidiosis	25
	2.6.5	Yersiniosis	25
	2.6.6	Weaner Bacterial Enteritis	26
	2.6.7	Selenium Deficiency	
		Productivity Effect of Diarrhoea	
2.7		CLUSION	
2	0011		
Char	oter Thr	ee: A survey of properties running sheep to ascertain the	
•r		significance of nematode parasites and diarrhoea and	
			28
3.1	INTR		28
3.2	MATI		28
0.2			28
		0	28
	3.2.2		29
3.3		······································	
3.3 3.4	ANAI		
3.4 3.5	RESU		29 30
3.5			30
	3.5.1	Primary Analysis of Data Relating to General Features of	20
	252		30
	3.5.2		25
		Other Parasites and Diarrhoea	
		Cross-Tabulation of Results	
3.6			39
	3.6.1	0-	39
		2	40
	3.6.3		40
		Other Factors Associated With Scouring	
		Nutrition	
	3.6.6	Survey Design	
3.7	CONC	LUSION	42
Chap	ter Fou		
		weaners with field observations to study the relationship	
		between growth rates, diarrhoea, nematode parasites	
		and associated epidemiological factors.	
4.1		DDUCTION	
4.2	MATE		43
		Properties	
	4.2.2	On-Property Observations	44
	4.2.3	Sheep Parameters Monitored	44
		4.2.3.1 Sheep Weights	44
		4.2.3.2 Faecal Egg Counts (FECs)	

ţ

	4.2.3.3	Dag Score (diarrhoea scores) 44
	4.2.3.4	Blood Selenium Levels
	4.2.3.5	Other Factors
	4.2.4 Property	v Data Collected
	4.2.5 Manage	ment Data
	4.2.5.1	General Management Data 46
	4.2.5.2	Specific Treatment Data 46
	4.2.5.3	Property Map and Movement of Weaners 46
	4.2.5.4	Supplementary Feeding 46
	4.2.5.5	Statistical Analysis 47
4.3	RESULTS	
	4.3.1 Field Ob	servations
	4.3.2 Statistic	al Analysis
4.4	DISCUSSION	
	4.4.1 Liveweig	ghts
	4.4.2 Faecal E	gg Counts (FECs) 62
	4.4.3 Dag Sco	res
	4.4.4 Selenium	n Levels
4.5	CONCLUSION	
Chap		field experiment to determine the effect of supplementary
		eeding on responses to Trichostrongylus spp. and some
		roduction parameters in Merino weaners
		-
5.1	INTRODUCTIO	DN
5.1 5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A	DN
	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar	DN64ND METHODS64Id Supplementary Feeding65
	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo	DN
	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato	DN64ND METHODS64Id Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65
	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M	DN64ND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65Jeasurements65
	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica	DN64ND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis65
	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis6566
5.2	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig	DN64ND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis65
5.2	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis65I Analysis65gg Counts68
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo	DN64ND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis65ad Analysis65ad Analysis65ad Analysis65ad Analysis66gg Counts68orm Counts69
5.2	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.4 Antibody	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65conitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis65ad Analysis65ad Analysis65ad Analysis65ad Analysis65ad Analysis66gg Counts68orm Counts69y Levels70
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.4 Antibody 5.3.5 Fleece M	DN64ND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis65al Analysis65gg Counts66gg Counts68orm Counts69v Levels70Ieasurements71
5.2	INTRODUCTIO MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.4 Antibody 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65feasurements651 Analysis656666gg Counts68orm Counts69v Levels70feasurements71
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.4 Antibody 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION 5.4.1 Liveweig	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65I Analysis65I Analysis65gg Counts66gg Counts68orm Counts69v Levels70leasurements717272
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.4 Antibody 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION 5.4.1 Liveweig 5.4.2 Trichostr	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65feasurements65l Analysis65ad analysis66gg Counts66gg Counts68orm Counts69a Levels70feasurements71a Counts72chts72chts72congylus spp. Faecal Egg Counts73
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION 5.4.1 Liveweig 5.4.2 Trichostr 5.4.3 Total Wo	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ony Testing65Ieasurements65I Analysis65g Counts66gg Counts68orm Counts70Ieasurements717272thts72ongylus spp. Faecal Egg Counts73orm Counts73orm Counts75
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION 5.4.1 Liveweig 5.4.2 Trichostr 5.4.3 Total Wo	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65feasurements65l Analysis65ad analysis66gg Counts66gg Counts68orm Counts69a Levels70feasurements71a Counts72chts72chts72congylus spp. Faecal Egg Counts73
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Ma 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wa 5.3.4 Antibody 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION 5.4.1 Liveweig 5.4.2 Trichostr 5.4.3 Total Wa 5.4.4 T. colubr	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ony Testing65Ieasurements65I Analysis65g Counts66gg Counts68orm Counts70Ieasurements717272thts72ongylus spp. Faecal Egg Counts73orm Counts73orm Counts75
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.4 Antibody 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION 5.4.1 Liveweig 5.4.2 Trichostr 5.4.3 Total Wo 5.4.4 T. colubr 5.4.5 Wool Pro	DN64AND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65onitoring65ry Testing65Ieasurements65I Analysis65ad Analysis66gg Counts66gg Counts69a Levels70Ieasurements71
5.2	INTRODUCTION MATERIALS A 5.2.1 Sheep ar 5.2.2 Field Mo 5.2.3 Laborato 5.2.4 Fleece M 5.2.5 Statistica RESULTS 5.3.1 Liveweig 5.3.2 Faecal E 5.3.3 Total Wo 5.3.4 Antibody 5.3.5 Fleece M DISCUSSION 5.4.1 Liveweig 5.4.2 Trichostr 5.4.3 Total Wo 5.4.4 T. colubr 5.4.5 Wool Pro 5.4.6 Limitation	DN64ND METHODS64ad Supplementary Feeding65onitoring65ory Testing65feasurements651 Analysis65ad Supplements66gg Counts66gg Counts68orm Counts69v Levels70leasurements71

<b>Chapter Six:</b>		: A field experiment to determine the effect of	
-		supplementary feeding on development of immunity to	
		nematode parasites in Merino weaners and compare the	
		effect between random-bred weaners, and those bred for	
		nematode parasite resistance.	78
6.1	INTR	ODUCTION	78
	6.1.1	General	78
	6.1.2	Introductory Remarks on Breeding Resistance to Nematode	
		Parasites	78
	6.1.3	New England Resistant-Bred Flocks	
6.2		ERIALS AND METHODS	
		Genetic Background of Weaners	
	6.2.2	-	80
	6.2.3	Field Monitoring	80
	6.2.4	Laboratory Testing	
	6.2.5		80
6.3	RESU		81
	6.3.1	Liveweights	81
	6.3.2		81
	6.3.3	Egg Counts That Developed After Artificial Challenge	82
		Subsequent Measurements	
6.4	DISCU	USSION	83
	6.4.1	Liveweights	83
	6.4.2	Faecal Egg Counts During Period of Supplementation	83
		6.4.2.1 Effect of Time	83
		6.4.2.2 Effect of Supplementation	83
		6.4.2.3 Effect of Breeding	84
		6.4.2.4 Combined Effect of Breeding and Supplementation	84
	6.4.3	Faecal Egg Counts That Developed as a Result of Artificial Infection	84
	6.4.4	General Discussion	84
6.5	CONC	CLUSION	86
Chap	ter Seve	en: General Discussion	87
7.1			87
7.2	OVER	ALL RESULTS OF TRIALS	87
7.3		ALL RELEVANCE OF TRIAL RESULTS	
7.4	LONG	-TERM BENEFITS	89
7.5	CONC	CLUSION	90

### APPENDICES

A1	DETA	AILS OF LA	BORATORY PROCEDURES	. 91
	A1.1	Faecal Stre	ongyle Egg Count Method	. 91
	A1.2		lture for Identification of Nematode Larvae	
	A1.3		m Count - Examination of Intestinal Tract	
	A1.4		ective Nematode Larvae of Sheep	
		•	from Lyndal-Murphy 1993)	. 93
	A1.5		Antibody to Parasite Antigens	
A2	SURV	EY FORM	USED FOR CHAPTER THREE	. 94
A3			RMS MONITORED	104
			Farms	104
			bservations of Farms for Chapter Four	105
A4			ETHODS AND RESULTS FOR CHAPTER FIVE	130
	A4.1		Veights and Faecal Samples Over Period 10/1/92-7/1/98	130
	A4.2		aecal Egg Counts and Total Worm Counts for	100
	111.0		f 3 Post Mortem Sheep - Supplemented Group	131
	A4.3		aecal Egg Counts and Total Worm Counts for	151
	A4.J		f 3 Post Mortem Sheep - Non-Supplemented Group	132
	A4.4		wing Summary of Post Mortem Results	132
	A4.4		vailability/Supplementary Feeding	133
	A4.5			
		A4.5.1	Description of Trial Paddock Used for First 12 Months	133
		A4.5.2	Description of Trial Animals	133
		A4.5.3	Weather Details Over Past 12 Months	134
		A4.5.4	Table of Pasture Estimates for Period (based on the	
			method of Archer, Bell and Rose 1991)	134
		A4.5.5	Description of Supplementary Feed Used - Millmaster	
			Feeds '28% Rumen Bypass Pellets'	134
		A4.5.6	Summary of Supplementary Feeding	135
		A4.5.7	Calculations for Feed Intake and Production for July -	
			Copy of Grazfeed Printout (Relevant Sections Only) .	135
A5	DETA		THODS AND RESULTS FOR CHAPTER SIX	137
	A5.1		Field Testing for Immunity Development	137
	A5.2	Table of W	Veights of 4 Groups of Weaners Over the Period of	
			tation	137
	A5.3	Details of I	Results of Faecal Egg Counts That Developed in the 4	
		Groups of '	Weaners Over the Period of Supplementation	138
	A5.4	Table of W	eight and Faecal Egg Counts That Developed in the	
		Supplemen	ted and Non-Supplemented Sheep Over the Period of	
		Supplemen	tation (ie, Resistance and Random-Bred Groups Pooled)	139
	A5.5	Table of W	eights and Faecal Egg Counts That Developed in the	
			nd Non-Supplemented Sheep Over the Period of	
			tation (ie, Fed and Non-Fed Groups Pooled)	139
	A5.6		om Statistix Analytical program Using Transformed	-
			ECs That Developed After Artificial Challenge With	
			. contortus Larvae	140
		meenve n	. contontus Latvac	T-40
D			;	140
Refere	nces			142

•

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	The initial Wormkill (launched in 1984) - the strategic drench program for the New England Tablelands
Figure 2.2	The current version of Wormkill - an integrated worm control program for the New England Tablelands
Figure 2.3	An annual average pasture production curve for Armidale (adapted from Hilder 1956, Vickery 1972)
Figure 2.4	Average body weights and daily growth rates of Merino weaners on the New England Tablelands (adapted from Hamilton <i>et al.</i> 1970; Wilkins <i>et al.</i> 1982 and Macfarlane 1993) 9
Figure 2.5	Recommended average minimum body weights and daily growth rates for Merino weaners on the New England Tablelands (adapted from Farquharson 1989, O'Halloran 1990 and Lollback 1992) 10
Figure 2.6	Life cycle diagram for nematodes (Donald et al. 1978) 12
Figure 2.7	Typical seasonal patterns of infective larval availability in New England Tablelands for <i>Trichostrongylus spp</i> . (from Waller, Anderson and Barger 1993)
Figure 2.8	Worm burden development in Merino lambs/weaners from birth to 8 months grazing contaminated pastures in Armidale (adapted from Southcott <i>et al.</i> 1976, Anderson <i>et al.</i> 1987 and Waller <i>et al.</i> 1993). 15
Figure 2.9	Development of resistance to <i>T. colubriformis</i> in lambs infected daily with 2000 larvae (Emery 1991)
Figure 3.1	Month of the year in which lambing occurs as a percentage of respondents with lambing ewes
Figure 3.2	Month of year in which diarrhoea occurs given as a percentage of respondents that reported diarrhoea in that month
Figure 3.3	Scatter plot graph showing stocking rate (in dry sheep equivalents) versus responses indicating worms and/or diarrhoea were important health problems
Figure 4.1	Assessment of dag score on the breech of scouring ewes - scores 0 and 1 (top row), 2 and 3 (middle) and 4 and 5 (bottom). Scores of 0 and 1 indicate nil or light dag, 2 and 3 moderate dag, and 4 and 5 severe dag, respectively

Figure 4.2	"Cairnie" live weights and FECs - 1988 to 1993 drop 47
Figure 4.3	"Miramoona" live weights and FECs - 1988 to 1992 drop 50
Figure 4.4	"Nerstane" live weights and FECs - 1988 to 1992 drop 53
Figure 4.5	"Saumarez" live weights and FECs - 1988 to 1992 drop 55
Figure 4.6	"South Winscombe" live weights and FECs - 1990 to 1993 drop 58
Figure 5.1	Liveweights of the two groups of weaners from 10/1/92 to 7/12/92; ie, until first shearing
Figure 5.2	Liveweights of weaners from 10/1/92 to 7/1/98 (ie, over a six year period). Supplement was only given in the period 1/4/92 to 11/11/92
Figure 5.3	Estimated <i>Trichostrongylus spp.</i> egg counts that developed as a result of continual exposure to field larvae in the two groups of Merino weaners from 10/1/92 to 7/12/92; ie, until first shearing 68
Figure 5.4	<i>T. colubriformis</i> ELISA antibody levels that developed as a result of continual exposure to field larvae in the two groups of Merino weaners over a 12 month period from weaning, one of which was supplemented over a period of low pasture feed availability
Figure 6.1	Mean body weights (kg) of the 4 groups of weaners over the period of supplementation
Figure 6.2	Faecal egg counts that developed as a result of continual field exposure in the 4 groups of Merino weaners over the record of supplementation
Figure 6.3	Faecal egg counts (arithmetic means in eggs per gram) of the 4 groups of Merino weaners that developed after artificial challenge with 10,000 infective larvae of <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> 82
LIST OF TA	ABLES
Table 1.1	Economic costs of some major endemic diseases in NSW and Australia for the year 1990-1991 (\$m), from Collins (1992) 1
Table 4.1	Spearmans Rank Correlation calculated for variables on five individual farms over a four year period, and 4 farms grouped together for one year

Table 5.1	Trichostrongylus spp. worm counts (average of 3 sheep) that developed as a result of continual exposure to field larvae in the two groups of Merino weaners over a 12 month period from weaning, one of which was supplemented
	over a period of low pasture feed availability
Table 5.2	Wool measurements of two groups of Merino weaners after a 12 month period from weaning, one of which was supplemented over a period of low pasture feed availability
Table 5.3	Fleece weights of the two groups at shearings from 1992 - 1998 71
MAP	
Map 2.1	New England Tablelands 4

#### SUMMARY

This thesis was initiated by ongoing field work and trials that developed from routine field veterinary investigations; these had indicated that gastrointestinal nematode parasitism was a major problem in Merino weaners on the New England Tablelands. This thesis includes a review of the relevant literature and descriptions of a survey and trials to investigate this subject further.

The published literature on gastrointestinal nematode parasites, diarrhoea in Merino weaners of particular relevance to the New England Tablelands is reviewed. This includes information on the species of interest and their impact on productivity. A farm survey was conducted to determine how much of a problem internal parasites and diarrhoea were perceived to be by sheep farmers. It did not include any on farm inspections, work on sheep, analysis of sheep production or financial records.

On-farm investigations were undertaken, involving Merino ewes from weaning until joining (generally covering the age 4 to 18 months), and includes their first winter period. This winter period has always been considered the most difficult time for Merinos in the New England Tablelands and has been associated with ongoing nematode parasite problems, scouring and illthrift, which often times are reflected in overall flock internal parasite burdens and reduced productivity. Being on-farm trials, sheep were under field (that is, non-control conditions) and seasonal condition varied from almost entirely drought declared through to above-average rainfall. Many other epidemiological factors were operating to affect both growth rates and parasite burdens and at best only trends could be established. In general, it was concluded that if sheep went into winter at a suitable body weight, did not suffer any major set backs and were not unduly stressed, then reasonable parasite control could be achieved, which meant weaners could be maintained over this period without parasites becoming a problem. Diarrhoea was determined to be a separate issue and was not specifically pursued further.

A specific trial was performed on Merino sheep from weaning age (4 months) in summer through their first winter period and into the late spring, by which time, seasonal conditions are favourable and sheep have matured. Two groups were compared. One group was maintained at a body weight that the field observations suggested would allow sheep to handle conditions over this period without undue productivity effects. The other group was maintained at a weight field observations had suggested that is minimal for sheep to survive this period. For this experiment, a weight difference of 5 kg was maintained. This was controlled using a computer model as a guide for the supplemention of by-pass protein pellets required to maintain the specific weight difference. Short-term effects indicated low weight sheep; that is, non-supplemented sheep, suffered from increased parasite burdens and consequences thereof, while long-term measurements indicated weight and productivity affects were not compensated for; that is, specifically low-weight sheep always had a significantly lower body weight and lower fleece weight. These long-term effects are consistent with observations from countries where similar nutritional restrictions occur and are found both in Australia and other countries.

A short-term field trial was performed in which both nutrition and genotype; that is, sheep selected for parasite resistance, were compared. In this case, it was concluded that feeding increased the ability of random-bred sheep to handle parasites at the end of the period of feeding, and that the genotype selected for parasite resistance did likewise. The combined effect suggested that the resistant-bred sheep maintained this effect on the lower plane of nutrition.

#### xiii

The general discussion makes the point that nutritional status is most critical during winter in the New England Tablelands, and this effect is most pronounced in Merino weaners. The long-term effects are to compromise internal parasite control programs on the farm through the development of anthelmintic resistance, and to cause long-term production effects in the flock (specifically lower fleece weights and lowered fertility).

These trials demonstrate that attention to nutrition in Merino weaners through the adoption of nutritional recommendations along with on-farm monitoring, can ensure good parasite control and improve weaner production. They also demonstrate the potential for breeding programs to improve parasite resistance and play a major role in Merino production, when used in association with nutrition and worm control programs. Together, these factors can be utilised to put into place a full integrated program that allows for the maximum production potential to be realised.