

**Physiological and productivity evaluation of Napier
grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schumach.) cultivars
under variable water supply, temperature and carbon
dioxide conditions**

Solomon Waweru Mwendia

B.Sc. (Animal Production)
Egerton University, Nakuru, Kenya

M.Sc. (Livestock Production Systems)
University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

**A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of
the University of New England
Australia**

May, 2015

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank God the almighty for care, protection and opportunity He granted me during the study.

To my university supervisors Dr. Isa Yunusa, Prof. Brian Sindel and Prof. Wal Whalley who tirelessly guided me throughout the study including my Kenyan supervisor Dr. Innocent Kariuki, their efforts are highly appreciated. Thanks to all UNE staff in the Agronomy and Soil Science especially, Michael Faint, George Henerndez, Leanne Lisle and fellow post graduate students in the department for support and encouragement when I needed it. Also to Jackson Wamalwa, Steve Mutuol and Donestone Shirungu who tirelessly assisted me when conducting field trials in Kenya. Director Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI) who granted me study leave to enable me accomplish this work and the centre directors KARI–Muguga South and KARI-Katamani for support and logistics during the implementation of the field trials.

I would heartily like to thank the Australian Government through the AusAID programme for awarding me the study scholarship and supported me throughout the study period. This enabled me to gain the Australian experience and made friends that I will reckon in life time.

I would also like to appreciate my wife Margaret Warweno Waweru for enduring my absence and taking care of our daughters, Daisy Wairimu, Felicity Wacera, Harriet Wanjiru and son Emmanuel Mwendia during the times I was in Australia. Thanks to my parents in Kitale and my sisters and brothers who encouraged me and wished me well. Thanks to the many good friends who were ready to even share with my family when I was away and for remembering me in prayers. And to many others who assisted me in various ways and I may not remember, thank you, and God bless you all.

Prologue

The main layout of this thesis follows the Style Guide of the University of New England

http://www.une.edu.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/63229/Journal-Article-Format-for-PhD-Thesis-at-UNE-Guidlines.pdf. Formatting of the papers which constitute the major part of the thesis follows the editorial style of the relevant journal. For other chapters, the format adopted by Crop and Pasture Science journal was maintained. Figures and tables are located throughout the text.

Candidate's Certification

I certify that the work presented in this thesis has not been presented in any institution of higher learning for a degree or diploma award.

To the best of my knowledge and understanding this work has not been written by another author(s) except where due reference is made.



19/05/2015

Publications arising from this thesis

Journal articles

Mwendia S.W., I. Yunusa, R.D.B. Whalley, B. Sindel, D. Kenny and I. Kariuki (2013).

Use of plant water relations to assess forage quality and growth of two cultivars of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) subjected to different levels of soil water supply and temperature regimes. *Crop and Pasture Science*, **64**, 1008–1019.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/CP1325>

Conference proceedings/presentations

Mwendia S.W., I. Yunusa, B. Sindel, R.D.B. Whalley and I. Kariuki (2012). Water

relations in two cultivars of Napier grass under variable water supply and temperature conditions. Proceedings of 16th Australian Society of Agronomy conference: Capturing Opportunities and Overcoming Obstacles in Australian Agronomy. 14—15th October 2012, University of New England, Armidale, NSW, Australia.

[http://www.regional.org.au/au/asa/2012/soil-water-](http://www.regional.org.au/au/asa/2012/soil-water-management/8042_mwendiasw.htm)

[management/8042_mwendiasw.htm](http://www.regional.org.au/au/asa/2012/soil-water-management/8042_mwendiasw.htm)

Mwendia S.W., I. Yunusa, I. Kariuki, R.D.B. Whalley and B. Sindel (2013).

Performance of Napier grass under limited soil-water conditions. Proceeding of the 11th World Conference on Animal Production held on 15th—20th October 2013, Beijing International Convention Centre, Beijing China.

http://www.chinaexhibition.com/trade_events/3935-

[WCAP2013The_11th_World_Conference](http://www.chinaexhibition.com/trade_events/3935-WCAP2013The_11th_World_Conference_on_Animal_Production.html) on Animal Production.html

Mwendia S.W., I. Yunusa, I. Kariuki, B. Sindel and R.D.B. Whalley (2013). Performance

of Napier grass in low rainfall environments. Agricultural Research Day 28th August 2013. Under Auspices of a Joint Conference of the Crawford Fund and Africa

Australia Research Forum: Theme: Mining Agriculture and development: Bread from stones? 25—28th August 2013. Perth, Western Australia.
<http://www.crawfordfund.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/CrawfordFund2013ConferenceProceedings.pdf>

Mwendia S.W., I. Yunusa, B. Sindel, R.D.B. Whalley and I. Kariuki (2014). Responses in tissue water relations and growth in a C₄ and C₃ forage grasses to elevated ambient CO₂. Presented at: climate change adaptation 2014: Future Challenges 30th September—2nd October 2014, Gold Coast, Australia.
http://www.nccarf.edu.au/conference2014/?page_id=4868

Abstract

Grasses have always been and will continue to be the most important resources for humans and their domestic animals. This study focused on one species of grass, Napier (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schumach.), that is valuable for fodder in both tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. Napier grass cultivation is likely to increase, especially in east Africa, associated with the increase in human population coupled with a growing demand for livestock products. However, because the present arable land is fully committed, cultivation is expanding into marginal areas. These areas are usually characterised by high temperatures and reduced precipitation, likely to be adversely impacted by global warming associated with increased atmospheric CO₂ levels. This study was designed to increase understanding about how different Napier grass provenances respond to reduced water supply through rainfall and rising temperatures and their impacts on herbage productivity and quality. Such understanding would guide recommendations for farmers in these marginal areas to improve Napier grass management. Techniques in tissue water status and gas exchange were applied to assess if they could be effective predictors of herbage yield and quality in Napier grass when subjected to water-stress and high temperature stress. The project was implemented in three phases: (1) a glasshouse study that tested the physiological techniques on two Australian cultivars, (2) field trials that tested the techniques on 10 accessions of Napier grass in two contrasting environments in Kenya, and (3) glasshouse study comparing Napier grass (C₄) with a common reed (C₃) subjected to water and heat stress and exposed to high atmospheric CO₂ concentrations.

The first phase of the study used two Napier grass cultivars grown under contrasting temperatures (15–25°C and 25–35°C) and soil-water supply conditions (25, 50 and 100% of field capacity) in a glasshouse at the University of New England, Armidale, Australia.

The trial aimed to address the hypothesis that Napier grass tissue water status is correlated with productivity performance. Tissue water status, stomatal attributes, water use, water use efficiency, biomass production and quality were quantified. Although leaf water potential (LWP), relative water content (RWC), stomatal conductance and gas exchange were all reduced with reduced soil-water supply and at high temperatures, there were only minimal differences in these response variables between the cultivars. These differences in response variables between the cultivars were not reflected in CO₂ assimilation rates, dry matter yields or water-use efficiency (WUE) within any watering regime and at 5–25°C or 25–35°C. Water use efficiency was generally higher under 15–25°C (28.5–35.1 kg ha⁻¹mm⁻¹) compared with 25–35°C regime (16.9–22.9 kg ha⁻¹mm⁻¹). However, dry matter increased as leaf area increased. It was concluded that any differences in the responses to water and heat stress between the two cultivars were not large enough to be detected in the physiological variables measured. It was then postulated that such techniques might be sensitive enough in discerning physiological responses amongst a much larger range of Napier grass accessions since the grass is known to differ widely in its growth vigour and productivity in the field, especially when water supply and temperatures fluctuate widely. Under such conditions any relationship between tissue water status and productivity would be revealed.

A study with 10 provenances of Napier grass was undertaken in semiarid lowland (Katumani) and a wet mesic highland (Muguga) over 8 growth cycles in tropical Kenya in 2011–2013. The 10 lines fell into 3 yield clusters: low yielding cluster (LYC), moderate yielding cluster (MYC) and high yielding cluster (HYC) based on dry matter yields, leaf yield, leaf to stem ratio and leaf area index. At both sites, biomass yield was mostly in the order HYC ≈ MYC > LYC and most yield reductions in LYC occurred during dry periods. Higher tissue water status at the wet site supported higher stomatal conductance and

consequently higher biomass than at the dry site. Water use efficiency ($\text{kg ha}^{-1}\text{mm}^{-1}$) followed the order HYC (34.3) > MYC (32.6) > LYC (24.9). Neither relative water content nor leaf water potential was correlated with biomass accumulation, and so neither could be a reliable predictor of productivity in Napier grass except leaf area index. These results when taken along with those from phase 1 strongly suggest that this grass may be maintaining its tissue water-status and gas exchange at the leaf level within a narrow range; this range is tighter than that found in biomass accumulation, which is the sum of all the leaf area active in gas exchange and water-use. The grass thus possesses effective mechanisms for maintaining tissue water status within the narrow range observed that was not quite understood in this phase of the study. Future predictions of climatic scenarios arising from increased CO_2 concentration suggest atmospheric conditions that would be vastly different from those of the present and are likely to alter plant responses to water stress.

A third phase of the study was therefore undertaken to evaluate how exposure to elevated CO_2 (eCO_2) and temperature would influence responses to water-stress by Napier grass, especially when compared with common reed (*Phragmites australis*), a C_3 grass species. This phase was also used to identify key mechanisms for maintaining favourable tissue hydration in the two species when subjected to limited water supply under temperature regimes of either 15/25°C or 17/30°C over three successive growth cycles. Physiological (LWP, osmotic adjustment) traits, stomatal morphology (density and distribution) and conductivity, along with dry matter accumulation were determined. Exposure to eCO_2 improved leaf water potential (LWP) in Napier grass at midday and in common reed at predawn when the plants were subjected to water stress. Exposure to eCO_2 increased the number of stomates in Napier grass under high temperature and reduced stomates in common reed under low temperature. The Napier grass generally maintained more

favourable tissue hydration when subjected to water stress, and showed a more positive response to eCO₂ in increasing carbon assimilation and biomass accumulation at both temperature levels, than common reed. Favourable tissue moisture in Napier grass was achieved largely through both osmoregulation and stomatal control unlike in the common reed that had poor stomatal control and lower osmotic adjustment compared with Napier grass. Furthermore, there was no correlation between tissue water status and productivity of either of the grasses.

In conclusion, temperature and water stresses triggered stomatal regulation to conserve water in Napier grass and this appeared to be at the expense of carbon assimilation. The Napier grass also exhibited strong osmo-regulation, in addition to stomatal closure, in conserving favourable tissue water status but penalised carbon assimilation. Thus leaf level physiological traits proved to be unreliable predictors of productivity, which showed a strong correlation mostly with leaf area. Also high leaf to stem ratio was positively correlated with forage quality (digestibility). High degree of leafiness thus suggests a potential for high yielding herbage of top quality even under water- and heat-stress conditions.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	ii
Prologue.....	iii
Candidate’s Certification	iv
Publications arising from this thesis	v
Abstract.....	vii
Table of Contents.....	xi
List of tables	xvi
List of figures.....	xix
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	24
1.1 background.....	24
1.2 Research aims	26
Chapter 2 Literature Review.....	31
2.1 Plant water relations.....	31
2.2 Carbon assimilation	34
2.3 Water use efficiency	36
2.4 Heat and water stress	37
2.5 Elevated atmospheric CO ₂ concentration and impact on plant performance.....	40
2.6 Nutritional quality.....	41
2.7 Conclusion	42
2.8 Research questions.....	44
References	44
Chapter 3 Use of plant water relations to assess forage quality and growth for two cultivars of Napier grass (<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>) subjected to different levels of soil water supply and temperature regimes.....	51
Abstract.....	51
3.1 Introduction.....	52
3.2 Materials and methods	55

3.2.1 Study design.....	55
3.2.2 Planting	55
3.2.3 <i>Cultivars</i>	56
3.2.4 <i>Water supply treatments</i>	56
3.2.5 <i>Temperature regimes</i>	57
3.2.6 Measurements	58
3.2.7 <i>Data analyses</i>	60
3.3 Results.....	60
3.3.1 <i>Leaf relative water content and water potential</i>	61
3.3.2 Stomatal attributes	64
3.3.3 Growth variables, water use and water use efficiency	67
3.3.4 Forage quality	71
3.4 Discussion.....	76
3.4.1 Water stress and growth.....	76
3.4.2 Temperature and growth	79
3.4.3 Forage quality	80
3.5 Summary and conclusion.....	82
Acknowledgements.....	84
References.....	84
Chapter 4 ASSESSMENT OF NAPIER GRASS ACCESSIONS IN LOWLAND AND HIGHLAND TROPICAL ENVIRONMENTS: PRODUCTIVITY AND FORAGE QUALITY	93
SUMMARY	93
4.1 INTRODUCTION	94
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	97
4.2.1 Sites description.....	97
4.2.2 Experimental design.....	99
4.2.3 Trial establishment and maintenance	99

4.2.4 Measurements	100
4.2.5 Data analyses	102
4.3 RESULTS	102
4.3.1 Weather	102
4.3.2 Napier grass grouping	105
4.3.3 Leaf area index and leaf to stem ratio	107
4.3.4 Dry matter yields.....	109
4.3.5 Forage quality	114
4.3.6 Correlations.....	116
4.4 DISCUSSION	119
4.4.1 Forage productivity	119
4.4.2 Forage quality	120
4.4.3 Site differences.....	121
4.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	122
Acknowledgments.....	123
REFERENCES	123
Chapter 5 . ASSESSMENT OF DROUGHT TOLERANCE IN NAPIER GRASS ACCESSIONS AT A SEMI-ARID LOWLAND AND A MESIC HIGHLAND TROPICAL ENVIRONMENT: WATER STRESS INDICES, WATER-USE AND WATER-USE EFFICIENCY	131
SUMMARY	131
5.1 INTRODUCTION	132
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	134
5.2.1 Sites description.....	134
5.2.2 Experimental design.....	135
5.2.3 Trial establishment and maintenance	135
5.2.4 Physiological measurements	137
5.2.4.1 Stomatal conductance	137
5.2.4.2 Leaf relative water content (RWC)	137

5.2.4.3 Leaf water potential (<i>LWP</i>).....	138
5.2.5 Volumetric soil-water content.....	139
5.2.6 Weather data	139
5.2.7 Water use and water use efficiency.....	139
5.2.8 Data analysis	140
5.3 RESULTS	140
5.3.1 Weather conditions during study period	140
5.3.2 Stomatal conductance and tissue water status.....	142
5.3.3 Soil moisture	147
5.3.4 Water use and water use efficiency.....	147
5.4 DISCUSSION	151
5.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	155
Acknowledgements.....	155
REFERENCES	156
Chapter 6 Osmotic adjustment and stomatal function, not morphology, explain the differences in water-stress responses between C4 and C3 grasses exposed to elevated CO ₂ concentration	163
Abstract.....	163
6.1 Introduction.....	164
6.2 Materials and Methods.....	167
6.2.1. Grasses used and experimental setup.....	167
6.2.2 Experiment I. Effects of water stress under elevated CO ₂ concentration at 15/25°C. 169	
6.2.3 Experiment II. Effects of elevated CO ₂ concentration and temperature on recovery from water stress	170
6.2.4 Experiment III. Water stress responses under elevated CO ₂ concentration and 17/30°C	170
6.2.5 Measurement procedures	171
6.2.6 Data analyses	174
6.3 Results.....	174

6.3.1 Ambient conditions inside the glasshouse bays	174
6.3.2 Responses to water stress under elevated CO ₂ concentration at 15/25°C.....	176
6.3.3 Responses in stomatal and leaf morphology to water stress at different CO ₂ concentrations and low temperature	180
6.3.4 Rapidity in the recovery of tissue water status under elevated CO ₂ and temperature.	182
6.3.5 Correlations amongst physiological response variables	189
6.4 Discussion.....	193
Summary and conclusion.....	197
Acknowledgements.....	198
References.....	198
Chapter 7 . General conclusion	206
7.1 Introduction.....	206
7.2 Overall synthesis and contribution to science.....	209
Future research.....	214
References.....	214
Appendices.....	216

List of tables

Table 3.1 Average temperatures and vapour pressure deficits (VPD) during the three growth cycles in the glasshouse bays set at either low or high temperatures.....	61
Table 3.2. Leaf relative water content and water potential at predawn and midday pooled for the three growth periods for Napier grass subjected to variable water supply levels under low and high temperature conditions.	62
Table 3.3 Leaf area ($\text{m}^2 \text{ bin}^{-1}$) for Napier grass subjected to different water supply levels under low and high temperature conditions for three growth cycles.	69
Table 3.4 Sums for the shoot DM yields and for the total biomass (shoot +root), root to shoot ratio, water application (mm) and water use efficiency (kg DM/ha/mm) for the three growth cycles of Napier grass cultivars (Bana and Atherton) subjected to three water supply levels under low and high temperature.....	69
Table 3.5 Summary of key traits measured and their F-ratio values over three growth cycles	75
Table 3.6 Minimum leaf relative water content and water potential observed at midday for Napier grass cultivars subjected to three watering regimes under low and high temperature conditions during three growth cycles.	78
Table 4.1 Textural and selected chemical properties and bulk density of the topsoil at the trial sites at Katumani and Muguga, Kenya.	98
Table 4.2 Summary of weather attributes at Katumani and Muguga sites during each growth cycle.	104
Table 4.3 Key yield variables for Napier grass accessions during the study period at Katumani and Muguga in 2011–12 in Kenya: mean tiller density at harvest, mean plant height at harvest, and total (shoot and root) dry matter yields.....	113
Table 4.4 Correlation coefficients (r) amongst measured Napier grass attributes at Katumani and Muguga from pooled data over five growth cycles.	116
Table 4.5 Summary of traits measured and their F-ratio values at Katumani and Muguga sites by Napier grass cluster.....	117

Table 5.1 Volumetric soil-water content (m^3m^{-3}) down the topsoil and subsoil over the growth cycles in 2012 at Katumani and Muguga sites, Kenya.	147
Table 5.2 Water use and water use efficiency of Napier grass clusters at Katumani and Muguga over five growth cycles.	149
Table 5.3 Summary of physiological traits measured and their F-ratio values at Katumani and Muguga by Napier grass cluster.	150
Table 6.1 Mean values (\pm se) for temperature, carbon dioxide concentration, vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and relative humidity in the glasshouse bays during first, second and third experiments.	175
Table 6.2 Mean values (\pm se) in experiment I for osmotic potential (π), osmotic adjustment (OA) and instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE_i) for Napier grass and common reed exposed to either ambient (aCO_2) or elevated (eCO_2) concentration of carbon dioxide at 15/25°C. .	179
Table 6.3 Mean values (\pm se) in experiment I for stomatal density, stomatal area, stomatal area index (SAI), leaf area (LA), dry matter yield (DM), leaf to stem ratio (L:S), water use and productivity water use efficiency (WUE_p) for Napier grass and common reed exposed to either ambient (aCO_2) or elevated (eCO_2) concentration of carbon dioxide, at 15/25°C measured at 9 weeks after planting.	181
Table 6.4 Mean values (\pm se) in experiment III for osmotic potential (π), osmotic adjustment (OA) and instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE_i) for Napier grass and common reed exposed to either ambient (aCO_2) or elevated (eCO_2) concentration of carbon dioxide at 17/30°C, measured at 20 days after watering withdrawal and 2 days after resumption of watering.	187
Table 6.5 Mean values (\pm se) in experiment III for stomatal density, stomatal area, stomatal area index (SAI), leaf area (LA), dry matter yield (DM), leaf to stem ratio (L:S), water use and productivity water use efficiency (WUE_p) for Napier grass and common reed exposed to either ambient (aCO_2) or elevated (eCO_2) concentration of carbon dioxide at 17/30°C, at 17 weeks after planting.	188

Table 6.6 Correlation coefficients (<i>r</i>) amongst midday leaf water potential (LWP), osmotic adjustment (OA), carbon assimilation (CA), stomatal conductance (<i>g_s</i>), transpiration (Tr), stomatal density (SD), stomatal area (SA) and stomatal area index (SAI) for Napier grass and common reed under glasshouse conditions.....	190
Table 6.7 Summary of traits measured and their F-ratio values during experiments I, II and III	192
Table A1. Treatment effects on diurnal RWC and LWP responses under 25°C or 35°C for Bana and Atherton Napier grass cultivars combined for three growth cycles	
Table A2. Summary of treatment effects gas exchange in Bana and Atherton Napier grass cultivars under 25°C or 35°C over three growth cycles	
Table A3. Napier grass accession performance attributes at Katumani semi-arid lowland in Kenya in 2012	
Table A4. Napier grass accession performance attributes at Muguga wet mesic highlands in Kenyan in 2012	

List of figures

Figure 2.1 Conceptual model of the main factors that influence Napier grass productivity.....	43
Figure 3.1 Napier grass growing in the glasshouse bay under 15/25°C temperature conditions in June 2011.....	56
Figure 3.2 Mean values (\pm SE) for diurnal trends in leaf relative water content (RWC) for Bana and Atherton cultivars averaged for the three growth cycles. The measurements were taken at 7 th (cycle 1) and 5 th (cycle 2 and 3) weeks of the growth cycle. (a) Low temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over all water supply levels, (b) High temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over all water supply levels, (c) Low temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over both cultivars and (d) High temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over both cultivars.	63
Figure 3.3 Mean values (\pm SE) for diurnal trends for leaf water potential for Bana and Atherton cultivars of Napier grass averaged for the three growth cycles. (a) Low temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over all water supply levels, (b) High temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over all water supply levels (c) Low temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over both cultivars (d) High temperature glasshouse bay, averaged over both cultivars.....	63
Figure 3.4 Mean values (\pm SE) for leaf processes in Bana (Ba) and Atherton (At) cultivars of Napier grass. Data are pooled for measurements made during the three growth cycles at week 4 of growth (cycle 1), weeks 4 and 7 (cycle 2) and weeks 3 and 8 (cycle 3). (a) Low temperature glasshouse bay, CO ₂ exchange, (b) High temperature glasshouse bay, CO ₂ exchange, (c) Low temperature glasshouse bay, stomatal conductance, (d) High temperature glasshouse bay, stomatal conductance, (e) Low temperature glasshouse bay, transpiration, (f) High temperature glasshouse bay, transpiration, (g) Low temperature glasshouse bay, photosynthetic water use efficiency and (h) High temperature glasshouse bay, photosynthetic water use efficiency.....	66
Figure 3.5. Mean values (\pm SE) for dry matter (g bin ⁻¹) produced by cultivars Bana (Ba) and Atherton (At) Napier grass during the three growth cycles under low and high temperatures. (a) 1 st growth cycle, (b) 2 nd growth cycle and (c) 3 rd growth cycle.	71

Figure 3.6. Mean values (\pm SE) for leaf to stem ratio, Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) and Nitrogen (N) in Napier grass cultivars Bana (Ba) and Atherton (At) at the three watering levels under low and high temperature conditions averaged over the three growth cycles. (a) Leaf: stem ratio, low temperature glasshouse bay, (b) Leaf: stem ratio, high temperature glasshouse bay, (c) NDF (%), low temperature glasshouse bay, (d) NDF (%), high temperature glasshouse bay, (e) N (%), low temperature glasshouse bay and (f) N (%), high temperature glasshouse bay.73

Figure.3.7. Relationship between Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) and midday relative water content (RWC), as well as midday leaf water potential (LWP) during the third and final growth cycle. Bana (Ba) (closed circle symbols and solid line); Atherton (At) (open circles symbols and dotted line). (a) Low temperature glasshouse bay, midday RWC, (b) Low temperature glasshouse bay, midday LWP, (c) High temperature glasshouse bay, midday RWC and (d) High temperature glasshouse bay, midday LWP.....74

Figure 4.1 Cluster analysis for the Napier grass accessions productivity grouping: (a) Dendrogram of Napier grass groupings, and (b) ordination of the accessions from Principal Component Analysis. The analyses were based on dry matter yields (DM), leaf area index (LAI), leaf dry matter yield (Leaf DM) and leaf to stem ratio (LS ratio) pooled over the first 5 growth cycles at both Katumani and Muguga. Ordination loadings are based on pooled values of the above variables for the 10 Napier accessions at both Katumani and Muguga over the first 5 growth cycles. The direction of the influence of the variables are also indicated. Low yielding cluster (LYC), Moderate yielding cluster (MYC) and High yielding cluster (HYC).106

Figure 4.2 Mean values (\pm se) for leaf area index (LAI) (a, b) and leaf to stem ratio (c, d) for high yielding (HYC), medium yielding (MYC) and low yielding (LYC) clusters of Napier grass during the five growth cycles at Katumani (a, c) and Muguga (b, d). Each growth cycle lasted for 8 weeks, except cycle 1 that was 23 weeks.108

Figure 4.3 Mean values (\pm se) for (a, b) leaf dry matter yields (c, d) total above ground DM for high yielding (HYC), medium yielding (MYC) and low yielding (LYC) clusters of Napier grass during eight growth cycles at Katumani (a, c) and Muguga (b, d). Each cycle lasted eight weeks except the first cycle that was 23 weeks.111

Figure 4.4 Mean values (\pm se) for (a, b) root dry matter yields in the soil profile, and (c, d) root to shoot ratio, for high yielding (HYC), medium yielding (MYC) and low yielding (LYC) clusters of Napier grass measured after five growth cycles (389 days after planting) at Katumani (a, c) and Muguga (b, d).....	112
Figure 4.5 Mean percentages (\pm se) for (a, b) total nitrogen (N), and (c, d) neutral detergent fibre (NDF) in the shoot for high yielding (HYC), medium yielding (MYC) and low yielding (LYC) clusters of Napier grass determined at the end of each of the five growth cycles at Katumani (a, c) and Muguga (b, d). Each cycle lasted eight weeks except the first cycle that was 23 weeks.	115
Figure 4.6 Mean dry matter yields pooled for the three clusters and rainfall during the growth cycles in 2012/2013 at Katumani (a) and Muguga (b).	118
Figure 4.7 Total DM (shoot + root \pm se). Values are sums for each accession from the 1 st to 8 th growth cycles then averaged for accessions within a cluster. HYC is high yielding cluster, MYC is moderate yielding cluster and LYC is low yielding cluster	120
Figure 5.1 Napier grass trials growing at Katumani and Muguga sites in 2012	136
Figure 5.2 Measuring leaf water potential (LWP) with a pressure chamber in the field at Muguga in 2012 during second growth cycle.	138
Figure 5.3 Key weather variables at Katumani and Muguga during the study in 2012: (a) maximum and minimum temperatures, (b) vapour pressure deficits (vpd), and (c) rainfall.	141
Figure 5.4 Mean (\pm se) of stomatal conductance (g_s), LWP and RWC for Napier grass clusters (Low, Moderate, High yielders) at Katumani and Muguga over second (a, e, i), third (b, f, j), fourth (c, g, k) and fifth (d, h, l) growth cycles. Stomatal conductance means are from five measurements at noon on five days during each growth cycle at both sites; the 2 nd and 4 th growth cycles at Muguga had only four measurements. RWC and LWP means are from four measurements for each attribute during each growth cycle taken around midday.	144
Figure 5.5 Relationship between stomatal conductance (g_s) and vapour pressure deficit (VPD) (a), relative water content (RWC) and VPD (b) and leaf water potential (LWP) and VPD (c). Plot (a) contains pooled data for 330 measurements during the 4 th growth cycle for	

Katumani and Muguga while (b) and (c) comprise 30 measurements taken on the 17 th September 2012 at Muguga during the 4 th growth cycle.	145
Figure 5.6 Diurnal trends in relative water content (RWC) and leaf water potential (LWP) for low yielding (a, f), moderate yielding (b, g) and high yielding (c, h) clusters of Napier grass and selected weather variables (temperature and vapour pressure deficit) at Katumani and Muguga for days when soil-water content was relatively high (a –e) or low (f–j). The days were 19 th and 24 th May 2012 for high soil-water content and 11 th and 14 th September 2012 for low soil-water content at Muguga and Katumani respectively.....	155
Figure 6.1 Napier grass (<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i>) and <i>Phragmites australis</i> growing in bins in one of the glasshouse bays	169
Figure 6.2 Mean values (\pm se) for leaf water potential (LWP) measured at predawn and midday (a, b), carbon assimilation (c, d) and transpiration (e, f) for 3 weeks old Napier grass and common reed under ambient (aCO ₂) or elevated (eCO ₂) carbon dioxide concentration under 15/25°C, after 2 (a, c, e) or 3 weeks (b, d, f) of watering withdrawal.....	177
Figure 6.3 Mean values (\pm se) in experiment II for leaf water potential (LWP) measured at predawn and midday (a, b), carbon assimilation (c, d) and transpiration (e, f) for 11 weeks old Napier grass and common reed under ambient (aCO ₂) or elevated (eCO ₂) concentration of carbon dioxide and 15/25°C or 17/30°C. Both LWP and CO ₂ assimilation were measured one week after watering withdrawal (before watering) and a day after watering was restored.	183
Figure 6.4 Mean values (\pm se) in experiment III for leaf water potential (LWP) at predawn or midday(a), carbon dioxide assimilation (b), and transpiration (c) for 15 week old Napier grass and common reed under ambient (aCO ₂) or elevated (eCO ₂) concentration of carbon dioxide following 3 weeks of watering withdrawal under 17/30°C.....	185
Figure 6.5 Correlations between midday leaf water potential (LWP) and carbon assimilation (a) and stomatal density and stomatal area (b) for Napier grass and common reed. Pooled data from experiments I and III. Both stomatal area and density were obtained at the end of the experiments.	191
Figure A1. Stomata on the abaxial leaf surface of Napier grass (<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> Schumach.) under x10 magnification	

Figure A2. Stomata on the adaxial leaf surface of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schumach) under x10 magnification

Figure A3. Stomata on the abaxial leaf surface of common reed (*Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. Ex Steud) under x10 magnification

Figure A4. Stomata on the adaxial leaf surface of (*Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. Ex Steud) under x10 magnification.