

**A Stochastic Budgeting Analysis
of Off-farm Investment Strategies for Wool Producers
in the New England Region**

**A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Masters of Economics**

Andrew Robert Taylor

**Department of Agricultural & Resource Economics
University of New England**

1995

Declaration

I certify that the substance of this dissertation has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, any help received in preparing this dissertation, and all sources used, have been acknowledged.

A solid black rectangular box redacting the signature. To the right of the box, there are five dots indicating the signature continues.

Andrew Robert Taylor

Abstract

An obvious strategy available to Australian farmers to counter the vagaries of market and seasonal variation, is to diversify investments derived from income in favourable times.

The objective of the present research dissertation is to examine the financial implications of alternative off-farm investments to the farm business, whilst analysing the risks involved.

To achieve this objective a stochastic budgeting model of two case study farms in the New England region were simulated, with three different investment options over a ten year period. The investment options include selected representatives from the shares, property and cash type of investment groups.

Each simulation provided probabilistic results to display the likely returns and risk associated with each investment strategy. The results from each strategy were then analysed in two ways. One analysis included the stochastic efficiency criteria of stochastic dominance with respect to a function (SDRF). The second analysis included an approach which relied upon the individual farmer to rank the strategies from a set of illustrated simulation results. With this approach the complex beliefs and preferences of individual farmers were included in the analysis.

After ranking the investment strategies, it was concluded that with the exception of one case study farm with the SDRF criterion, the most preferred investment option was shares on both case study farms. Property and cash differed in ranking between case study farms and between analyses.

Contents

Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
Acknowledgments	ix
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Risk	1
1.2.1 Financial risk	2
1.2.2 Business risk	2
1.3 Business Risk Management Strategies	3
1.3.1 Stable enterprise selection	3
1.3.2 Diversification	4
1.3.3 Production flexibility	4
1.3.4 Forward contracts	4
1.3.5 Plant and Machinery	5
1.3.6 Insurance	5
1.3.7 Futures market	5
1.4 Efficiency Criteria	6
1.4.1 First degree stochastic dominance	7
1.4.2 Second degree stochastic dominance	8
1.4.3 Third degree stochastic dominance	8
1.4.4 Stochastic dominance with respect to a function	8
1.5 Research Objective	9
1.6 Chapter Outline	10
2. The Case Studies	11
2.1 Background	11
2.2 Risk Management Objectives	11
2.3 Investment Options	12
2.3.1 Capital reserve	12
2.3.2 Plant and machinery, and farm improvements	12
2.3.3 Lowering debt levels	12
2.3.4 Rural land	13

2.3.5 Off-farm investments	13
2.4 The Case Study Properties	15
2.4.1 Farm A	16
2.4.2 Farm B	16
2.5 Selected Investment Alternatives	17
2.5.1 Shares	17
2.5.2 Property	17
2.5.3 Cash	17
3. Risk Analysis of the Case Studies	18
3.1 Stochastic Budgeting	18
3.2 Simulation	19
3.3 Whole Farm Planning Analysis	20
3.4 The Stochastic Budgeting Model	21
3.4.1 Stochastic Variables	23
3.4.2 Correlations	25
3.4.3 Climate Link	26
3.4.4 Performance Measures	28
3.5 Stochastic Dominance with Respect to a Function	29
3.6 @Risk Presentations	30
4. Results	31
4.1 Data Generation	31
4.2 Analysis of Data	31
4.2.1 Analysis A: Stochastic dominance with respect to a function (SDRF)	31
4.2.2 Analysis B: @Risk presentations	37
5. Discussion and Conclusions	48
5.1 Assessment of Investment Strategies	48
5.1.1 Stochastic dominance with respect to a function (SDRF)	48
5.1.2 @Risk presentations	48
5.1.3 Method comparison	49
5.3 Limitations of the Study	49
5.4 Suggestions for Further Research	50
5.5 Conclusions	51
References	52

Appendix 1: Financial Budgets for Farm A	57
Appendix 2: Financial Budgets for Farm B	63
Appendix 3: Parameters for Stochastic Variables	68
Appendix 4: Stochastic Simulation Results	70
Appendix 5: Correlated Variables	72

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Physical and Financial Features of Case Study Farms	16
Table 4.1	SDRF Ranking of Net Worth and Net Cash Surplus with the Alternative Investment Strategies for Farm A	34
Table 4.2	SDRF Ranking of Net Worth and Net Cash Surplus with the Alternative Investment Strategies for Farm B	37
Table 5.1	Ranking of Alternative Investment Strategies	49

List of Figures

Figure 3.1. The Risk Simulation Methodology	19
Figure 3.2 General Flows of Whole Farm Planning Analysis	20
Figure 3.3 General Flows and Linkages of Stochastic Budgeting Model	22
Figure 3.4: Probability Distribution for Steer Prices as a Normal Function	24
Figure 3.5: Probability Distribution for Steer Weights as a Triangular Function	24
Figure 3.6 Probability Distribution of Annual Rainfall	28
Figure 4.1 Cumulative Distribution Functions of Net Cash Surplus for Farm A in Year 10 with the Three Investment Strategies	32
Figure 4.2 Cumulative Distribution Functions of Net Worth for Farm A after Year 10 with the Three Investment Strategies	33
Figure 4.3 Cumulative Distribution Functions of Net Cash Surplus for Farm B in Year 10 with the Three Investment Strategies	35
Figure 4.2 Cumulative Distribution Functions of Net Worth for Farm B after Year 10 with the Three Investment Strategies	36
Figure 4.5 Net Cash Flow For Farm A with Shares Investment Strategy	40
Figure 4.6 Net Cash Flow For Farm A with Property Investment Strategy	40
Figure 4.7 Net Cash Flow For Farm A with Cash Investment Strategy	41
Figure 4.8 Net Worth For Farm A with Shares Investment Strategy	41
Figure 4.9 Net Worth For Farm A with Property Investment Strategy	42
Figure 4.10 Net Worth For Farm A with Cash Investment Alternative	42
Figure 4.11 Net Cash Flow For Farm B with Shares Investment Strategy	45
Figure 4.12 Net Cash Flow For Farm B with Property Investment Strategy	45
Figure 4.13 Net Cash Flow For Farm B with Cash Investment Strategy	46
Figure 4.14 Net Worth For Farm B with Shares Investment Strategy	46
Figure 4.15 Net Worth For Farm B with Property Investment Strategy	47
Figure 4.16 Net Worth for Farm B with Cash Investment Strategy	47

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dr Merv Hill, Dr Kevin Parton and Mr David Thompson for their time and expertise. In particular I am most appreciative of the encouragement offered by Merv and his wife Janet.

The contribution from the wool growers who co-operated in this study is also gratefully appreciated. For confidentiality reasons they will remain nameless.

Finally, I am forever grateful to my family and close friends for their tremendous support throughout my studies. My post graduate studies have proved to be a long hard haul and without their encouragement and their emotional and financial support, I would never have made it.