

**Evaluating Apple Orchard Management
using a Bioeconomic Model**

Susan Margaret Hester
B.Ec (Hons) (WAust)

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
at the University of New England
Armidale NSW

September 1999

Certification

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis



Acknowledgements

This doctorate was undertaken with supervision from Dr Oscar Cacho and Dr Phil Simmons of the School of Economic Studies, UNE. Their complementary areas of expertise proved to be extremely useful given the interdisciplinary nature of this study. In particular, I acknowledge the patience, advice and enthusiasm of Oscar as I developed as a 'biologist' and as a 'modeller' in what was, for me, a very rewarding and enlightening process.

Additional professional advice, for which I am very grateful, was given by Dr Simon Middleton of the Granite Belt Horticultural Research Station, Stanthorpe. Simon gave willingly of his time and was always enthusiastic about this research. He also allowed me to use data from various trials and research studies he has undertaken while at Stanthorpe. The opportunity to use the various research facilities of the Horticultural Research Station on numerous occasions is also much appreciated.

Important price data and information on orchard design were generously given by various private and public organisations: Batlow Fruit Co-operative, Batlow; NSW Agriculture; Seed and Grain Sales, Armidale; Southern Cross Irrigation, Tamworth; and Tamworth Treated Timbers.

Financial support for this thesis was derived from an Australian Post-graduate Award, administered by the University of New England.

The editing skills of Ron and Cathy Coleman were called upon and the wonderful work they undertook is gratefully acknowledged.

Abstract

Managers of deciduous perennial fruit crops must consider both biological and economic relationships in determining orchard design and life-time orchard management strategies. Orchardists require a good understanding of the many environmental, physiological and horticultural factors that influence tree growth, fruit production and fruit quality. Of particular importance in apple-tree management is knowledge of how the growing environment and horticultural manipulation of past years affect current and future growth habits and productivity of the tree.

In addition to understanding biological factors that influence apple-tree productivity, a diverse range of orchard systems are currently available to orchardists. Each system, consisting of a particular combination of cultivar, rootstock, tree spacing and training method, has implications for fruit quality, quantity and ultimately profit.

A dynamic simulation model of apple orchard production is developed in this research, and used to investigate a range of issues of relevance to the commercial apple orchardist. The model is developed in a bioeconomic framework and consists of biophysical and economic components. The biophysical component describes the vegetative and reproductive physiology of an apple tree, factors affecting the quantity and quality of apples produced and interrelationships between these factors. The economic component describes the costs and revenues associated with each orchard system from planting to maturity.

In addition to simulating profitability of selected orchard systems, the bioeconomic model is applied to the issue of thinning fruit each year in order to maximise orchard profitability given the inherent biennial bearing nature of an apple tree. Thinning is one of the few ways an orchardist may influence annual profitability of an orchard, once decisions about orchard design have been made and trees planted. The level of thinning was considered in non-optimising and optimising frameworks. The thinning strategy that maximised profit was the one that reduced the biennial bearing patterns to a negligible level, and hence smoothed fruit production over time.

The bioeconomic model was also used to investigate the effect on orchard profitability of reliance on biological control agents to control two-spotted mite outbreaks. Although the results of this part of the study were limited by data availability, it provides an example of how the model can be applied in dealing with integrated pest management. Other possible uses of the model are also discussed.

Table of Contents

	Page
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 National Background	1
1.2 Trends in Orchard Design	2
1.3 Economics of Orchard Design	3
1.4 Modelling Orchard Design and Profitability	4
1.5 Thesis Structure	5
Chapter 2: Modelling in Deciduous Fruit Tree Research	7
2.1 The Role of Modelling	7
2.2 Previous Studies	8
2.3 Summary	14
Chapter 3: Biophysical Model	15
3.1 The Apple Tree	15
3.2 Conceptual Model	16
3.2.1 Overview of the model	16
3.2.2 Seasonal effects	17
3.3 Carbon Balance Model	18
3.3.1 Light interception	20
3.3.2 Photosynthesis of an apple tree canopy	21
3.3.3 Respiration	22
3.3.4 Environmental parameters	22
3.4 Partitioning	24
3.4.1 Accumulation and use of reserves	24
3.4.2 Development of leaf canopy and trunk cross-sectional area	25
3.4.3 Dry matter partitioning	27
3.5 Fruit Growth	28
3.5.1 Dormancy release and heat requirements for bloom	28
3.5.2 Fruit growth and harvest	31
3.5.3 Fruit size	32
3.5.4 Fruit colour	32
3.6 The Apple Orchard	33
3.6.1 Orchard light interception and photosynthesis	33
Chapter 4: Management Choices and Practices	36
4.1 Cultivar	36
4.2 Tree Density	37
4.3 Tree Size (Rootstock Choice)	38
4.4 Pruning and Training	42
4.5 Thinning	44
4.6 Tree Replacement	46

	Page
Chapter 5: Data and Assumptions	48
5.1 Orchard Systems	48
5.2 Labour Requirements	50
5.3 Capital	56
5.4 Chemicals	58
5.5 Fertiliser and Nutrients	61
5.6 Irrigation	62
5.7 Price of Apples	63
Chapter 6: Biophysical Simulation and Sensitivity Analysis	66
6.1 Annual Growth	66
6.2 System Comparison	67
6.3 Model vs Actual Data	68
6.4 Partitioning Parameters	72
6.4.1 Leaf parameters	74
6.4.2 Wood parameters	77
6.4.3 Fruit Parameters	77
6.5 Light Interception	77
6.6 Reserve Donation and Requirements for Good Colour	80
6.7 Summary	81
Chapter 7: Economic Model: Optimal Thinning Strategy	82
7.1 Conceptual Model	82
7.2 Mathematical Model	83
7.2.1 Biennial bearing and fruit size	86
7.3 Prices	86
7.4 Model Implementation	87
7.5 Solution Techniques	89
7.6 The Effect of Thinning	91
7.7 Dynamic Optimisation Results	93
7.8 Summary	97
Chapter 8: Comparing System Profitability	99
8.1 Simulation Design	99
8.2 Price Determination	101
8.3 Model Implementation and Solution Technique	102
8.4 Results and Discussion	103

	Page
Chapter 9: Assessing The Cost of Mite Attacks	106
9.1 The Two-spotted Mite	106
9.2 Predator-Prey Interaction	107
9.3 Modelling Predator-Prey Interactions	109
9.4 Experimental Design and Model Implementation	111
9.5 Results and Discussion	113
9.6 Sensitivity Analysis	117
9.6.1 Consumption rate of prey by predators	118
9.6.2 Rate of production of new predators	119
9.6.3 Predator mortality rate	120
9.7 Assessing the Value of Mite Control R&D	120
9.8 Summary	121
Chapter 10: Conclusion	122
10.1 Summary and Conclusions	122
10.2 Limitations of the Research	124
10.3 Issues for Future Research	126
References	127
Appendix A: Labour Requirements	139
Appendix B: Density Related Costs	144
Appendix C: Annual Yield, Price and Profits	146
Appendix D: Results for Thinning Level for Each Orchard System	153
Appendix E: Density Related Costs	155

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1.1: Comparison of apple tree numbers by variety, between 1992/93 and 1997/98	1
Table 2.1: A chronological sample of published tree-crop models	9
Table 3.1: Non-system specific parameter values	19
Table 3.2: Corresponding temperature and chill unit value from Shaltout and Unrath (1983)	29
Table 3.3: Bloom, pollination and harvest information assumed in the biophysical model	30
Table 4.1: Values of μ and δ used in the calculation of Trunk Cross-Sectional Area for each system under study	40
Table 5.1: Orchard systems used in this study	49
Table 5.2: Labour requirements (minutes per tree) for planting, pruning and training of apple cultivars in the orchard systems modelled	54
Table 5.3: Labour requirements (minutes per tree) for thinning of apple cultivars in the orchard systems modelled	55
Table 5.4: Capital costs (\$/hectare) assumed in the model	57
Table 5.5: Calendar of chemical use to treat pest and disease outbreaks	59
Table 5.6: Prices of chemicals used in cost calculations	60
Table 5.7: Calendar of fertilisers and nutrients applied to apple trees	61
Table 5.8: Prices of nutrients used in cost calculations	61
Table 5.9: Water requirements (litres per tree) assumed by the model	62
Table 5.10: Variable Irrigation costs used in the model	62
Table 5.11: Price data used to develop price-count relationship	64
Table 6.1: Information on model simulation and actual experiments for systems on the MM.106 rootstock	69
Table 6.2: Information on model simulation and actual experiments for systems on the M.9 rootstock	71
Table 6.3: Sensitivity of maximum values of state variables in year ten to changes in leaf partitioning parameters	75
Table 6.4: Sensitivity of maximum values of state variables in year ten to changes in wood partitioning parameters	75
Table 6.5: Sensitivity of maximum values of state variables in year ten to changes in fruit partitioning parameters	76
Table 6.6: Sensitivity of state variables (j) to changes in Tf , assuming base Tf is 0.37, minimum TCSA for bearing is 700 cm ² and system is Granny Smith/M9 at a density of 4400 trees/ha	76
Table 6.7: Sensitivity of maximum values of state variables in year ten to changes in the parameters $uplim$ and ξ , for Granny Smith on MM.106 at 1000 trees per ha	80

	Page
Table 7.1: System specific parameter values used in base model run	88
Table 7.2: Values of key variables used in the Genetic Algorithm	91
Table 7.3: Comparison of cumulative fruit yield and NPV for each optimising routine	94
Table 8.1: System design and assumptions	100
Table 8.2: Results for cumulative yield over 15 years, NPV and thinning for each orchard system	104
Table 9.1: Simulation assumptions	113
Table 9.2: Additional predator-prey simulation results	114
Table 9.3: Results from mite control simulation	115
Table 9.4: Cumulative fruit yield and net present value of profits over 10 years for the base run and two mite-attack scenarios	117
Table 9.5: Sensitivity of photosynthesis, predator and prey populations, NPV and cumulative fruit yield to changes in the rate at which prey are eaten, k	118
Table 9.6: Sensitivity of photosynthesis, predator and prey populations, NPV and cumulative fruit yield to changes in the rate of production of new predators, k'	119
Table 9.7: Sensitivity of photosynthesis, predator and prey populations, NPV and cumulative fruit yield to changes in predator mortality rate, m	120

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 3.1: Conceptual model	17
Figure 3.2: Average daily temperature and calendar of Apple Tree Growth	18
Figure 4.1: Rootstock vigour: the relevant vigour of apple rootstocks as compared to apple seedling (100%)	39
Figure 4.2: Changes in <i>TCSA</i> over time for each rootstock considered in this study, assuming the parameter values of Table 4.1	41
Figure 4.3: V-trellis	43
Figure 4.4: Slender spindle	43
Figure 4.5: Vase shape	43
Figure 4.6: Central leader	44
Figure 5.1: A comparison of actual price data and price relationships used in the thinning optimisation model	65
Figure 6.1: An example of changes in mass of individual tree parts during a single growing season (year 10)	67
Figure 6.2: Yield per tree for various systems	68
Figure 6.3: Yield comparison between the model and experimental data	70
Figure 6.4: Yield comparison between the model and experimental data	71
Figure 6.5: The effect of thinning level on the relative proportion of dry matter partitioned to each tree component: fruit (F), leaf (L), wood (W) and root (R)	73
Figure 6.6: The relationship between <i>T_f</i> and cumulative fruit production	79
Figure 7.1: Diagrammatic representation of the bioeconomic model (j=variety, t=year)	83
Figure 7.2: Density related costs (<i>CN</i>) over the simulation period	88
Figure 7.3: The effect of thinning on average fruit weight (A), price (B), yield (C) and NPV (D)	92
Figure 7.4: Optimal thinning rates that result from optimising the model using a GA and NLP	93
Figure 7.5: Comparison of the effect of optimising routine on fruit yield (A), fruit weight (B), fruit price (C) and profit (D)	95
Figure 7.6: Annual fruit yield with optimal thinning strategy and no thinning	96
Figure 7.7: Comparisons of fruit load from no thinning and the optimal thinning strategy	97
Figure 8.1: Three price–count relationships adopted for Pink Lady and Fuji; Granny Smith and Red Delicious; and Gala apples	102
Figure 9.1: Changes in predator and prey populations given control	114
Figure 9.2: Fruit price in the base run and predator control simulation when optimal thinning strategies are adopted	116