# The ecohydrology and restoration of an enclosed estuarine wetland

# Kevin E. Wilkinson

B.Sc., Dip. Ed., Grad.Dip.Phys.

A thesis submitted for the degree of PhD,

University of New England

February 2014

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my supervisors, Martin Thoms and Michael Reid, for their efforts in guiding me through the process of preparing a PhD thesis and congratulate them on surviving the process.

I would also like to acknowledge the role of the staff at the regional National Parks and Wildlife Service, who made the rehabilitation of the Yarrahapinni Parks become a reality. Their foresight, determination and efforts in restoring this important wetland, often in face of opposition and criticism, are to be commended. I thank them for inviting me to be included in this project and supporting me in any way possible in the preparation of this thesis. I particularly wish to thank Penny Kendall for her stewardship of the project and her friendship, support and encouragement of my involvement. I would also like to commend the Yarrahapinni community working group, who were an integral part of this whole project, some of whom have been involved for up to 20 years in striving to see this wetland restored.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge Bill Barber and Frank Kemp (both recently deceased), who were commercial fishermen on the Macleay River all of their lives. In the 47 years of our friendship, they have been an extraordinary source of knowledge on the fisheries on the Macleay River and supported this project in every way possible. They both expressed a concern that they may not live long enough to see restoration of the Yarrahapinni Wetland commence and both were thrilled when they did.

This thesis was edited by Elite Editing and editorial intervention was restricted to Standards D and E of the *Australian Standards for Editing Practice*.

### Abstract

Many coastal floodplain wetlands on the eastern Australian coast have undergone anthropogenic changes to achieve flood mitigation and agricultural land reclamation benefits. Most of these wetlands have suffered degradation of their environments and biota populations, altered hydrological conditions and formation of acid sulfate soils because of these changes. This has resulted in the growth of restoration programs using tidal re-inundation to rejuvenate the wetland without causing salinity intrusion onto surrounding agricultural land.

The Yarrahapinni Wetland, on the New South Wales north coast, has undergone a program of incremental openings to the controlling floodgate system, and the changes to the hydrology and ecology have been monitored. By adopting an ecohydrological approach, this study used an understanding of the hydrological processes within the changing wetland to develop a scientific understanding of the behavioural patterns of the fish and crustacean populations of the system.

Changes to the hydrological connectivity across the floodgate system were quantified based on areas of the various floodgate openings and compared with the tidal flow and range. It was found that a 25% opening level is sufficient to restore sufficient tidal influence on the hydrology of the system for extensive rehabilitative. The correlation between the salinity of the wetland and effects of flooding events was examined, and the water level of the controlling freshwater reservoir was found to be a more effective indicator of salinity than rainfall data.

A mathematical model of the salinity of water available externally to the floodgate system for re-inundation was developed and the controlling freshwater reservoir was also found to be a more accurate indicator than rainfall data.

The changes to the fish and crustacean abundances in close proximity to the inside of the floodgate system were compared before and after the first small incremental opening. It was found that 12 of the 26 species of fish and crustacean sampled in the external reference creeks were not present within the wetland in significant abundances before the floodgate-opening trial began. After a small magnitude of hydrological connectivity was introduced to the wetland, 10 of these species had reappeared.

An analysis of fish and crustacean abundance distribution throughout the wetland revealed that the species involved belong to three distinct groups: those that stay in the more saline sections, those that move to the more brackish regions and those who have distributed equally throughout the system.

### Certification

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used have been acknowledged in this thesis.

7

Signature

# **Table of Contents**

Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iii
Certification	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xii
List of Abbreviations	xix
CHAPTER 1 Introduction	21
1.1 The Need for This Research	21
1.2 Aims and Objectives	23
1.3 Precis	23
CHAPTER 2 Thesis Literature Survey	26
2.1 Ecohydrology	26
2.2 Hydrological Connectivity	29
2.3 Wetlands	32
2.3.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	37
2.4 Wetland Restoration	40
2.4.1 Australian East Coast Wetland Restoration	42
2.5 Fisheries Research	45
2.5.1 Fish Sampling Methods	45
2.5.2 School Prawn (Metapenaeus Macleayi)	48
2.6 Conclusions	49
CHAPTER 3 The Study Area and Its History	51
3.1 Introduction	51
3.2 Macleay Valley Catchment Area	51
3.3 Macleay Estuary—Geomorphology	53

3.4 Flood Mitigation on the Macleay River	61
3.5 Yarrahapinni Wetland	62
3.5.1 Phragmites Australis	72
3.5.2 Wetland Administration	76
3.6 Andersons Inlet	77
3.7 Rainfall	80
3.8 Summary	83
CHAPTER 4 Changes to the Hydrological Connectivity and Their Effect	ts on the
Hydrology of a Wetland	85
4.1 Introduction	85
4.2 Study Area: History of Hydrological Connectivity Changes	88
4.2.1 Stage 0: Pre-Flood Mitigation—The Natural State	90
4.2.2 Stage 1: After Flood Mitigation: 1970 to December 2007	91
4.2.3 Stage 2: Start of Trial Openings 4 December 2007 to 5 Febru	uary 2010 100
4.2.4 Stage 3: 5 February 2010 to 24 July 2011	103
4.2.5 Stage 4: 24July 2011 to the Present	103
4.3 Data Analysis: Theoretical Context	104
4.4 Data Sources and Methods	106
4.5 Results	110
4.5.1 Phragmites Australis	110
4.5.2 Quality and Quantity of Water External to the Floodgates	121
4.5.3 Hydrological Connectivity at the Floodgates	121
4.5.4. Influence of the Upstream Freshwater Wetland Section	130
4.6 Summary and Discussion	139
CHAPTER 5 A Hydrological Predictive Model of the Salinity of the Wa	ter Entering
an Enclosed Wetland during Restoration of Connectivity	143
5.1 Introduction	143
5.2 Study Area	144
5.2.1 Tides	148

5.2.2 Rainfall	148
5.3 Methods	150
5.3.1 Data Loggers	151
5.3.2 Salinity Profiles	152
5.3.3 Recorded Data	152
5.3.4 Statistical Analysis	153
5.4 Results	153
5.4.1 Salinity Predictive Model	158
5.5 Discussion	162
5.6 Conclusion	163
CHAPTER 6 Changes to Fish Assemblages during Restoration of the Connectivity	
to a Wetland	
6.1 Introduction	166
6.2 Study Area	169
6.3 Data and Methods	171
6.3.1 Data Collection	171
6.3.2 Fish Sampling Methods	172
6.3.3 Water Quality	174
6.3.4 Data Analysis	174
6.4 Results	175
6.5 Discussion	191
6.6 Conclusions	195
CHAPTER 7 Patterns of Spatial Distribution of Fish and Prawns in a Regenerating	
Wetland	196
7.1 Introduction	196
7.2 Methods and Study Area	197
7.3 Results	
7.3.1 Seine Netting	
7 3 2 Eyke Netting	204

7.4 Background and Results for Individual Species	. 208
7.4.1 Acanthopagrus australis	. 208
7.4.2 Afurcagobius tamarensis	. 209
7.4.3 Ambassis spp.	. 210
7.4.4 Gambusia holbrooki	. 212
7.4.5 Hyperseleotris compressa	. 213
7.4.6 Philypnodon grandiceps	. 215
7.4.7 Pseudogobius olorum	. 216
7.4.8 Redigobius macrostoma	. 217
7.4.9 Gobiopterus semivestitis	. 218
7.4.10 Mugil cephalus	. 219
7.4.11 Pomatomus saltatrix	. 219
7.4.12 Metapenaeus macleayi	. 220
7.5 Summary	. 224
7.6 Discussion	. 229
CHAPTER 8 Discussion and Conclusions	. 231
References	. 236
Appendices	. 274
Appendix A Total Fish and Crustacean Abundances for the Four Sampling Sites,	
Before and After Connectivity	. 274
Appendix B Comparisons of Rainfall and Water Level for Collombatti Creek	. 275
Appendix C Unfiltered Readings for Salinity Recovery as Portrayed in Figure 5.5.	. 276

# **List of Tables**

Table 2.1:	Examples of connectivity in the science laws
Table 4.1:	Tidal prisms at various stations within the Macleay River (MHL 2004) 94
Table 4.2:	Comparisons of tidal ranges for the ocean, Macleay River, Macleay Arm
	and Andersons Inlet for 16 April 2003 (MHL2004)
Table 4.3:	Approximate connectivity for the various opening configurations 106
Table 4.4:	Coordinates of data loggers
Table 4.5:	Maximum incoming flow rates compared with percentage hydrological
	connectivity at the floodgates
Table 4.6:	Highest levels of recorded ion contents
Table 5.1:	Recovery times after recovery starts and after rainfall events for various
	levels of salinity
Table 5.2:	Dates of recovery periods and first point in Figure 5.6 162
Table 6.1:	Fish sampling dates
Table 6.2:	BIO-ENV comparisons of Spearman rank correlation factors for taxa
	abundances for all sampled sites compared with four environmental
	variables
Table 6.3:	Taxa abundances classified according to habitat migration categories 176
Table 6.4:	BIO-ENV comparisons of Spearman rank correlation factors for
	categorised taxa abundances compared with environmental variables
	for all sampled sites
Table 6.5:	BIO-ENV Correlations for sample sites R1 and R2 178
Table 6.6:	BIO-ENV Spearman correlation factors for individual taxa species for Site
	R2
Table 6.7:	BIO-ENV Spearman correlation factors for sampling site MG, with and
	without the connectivity variable
Table 6.8:	Mean and standard deviation comparisons for environmental variables
	for wetland site MG before and after restored connectivity

Table 6.9: Species contributions to abundance similarities between Sites MG and	
R2	184
Table 6.10: Group abundances before and after connectivity	186
Table 6.11: BIO-ENV correlations of group species abundances and environmental	
variables	187
Table 6.12: Summary of comparison of fish sampling methods	190
Table 7.1: Coordinates for the fish and crustacean sites	200
Table 7.2: The total abundances and catch per unit effort for the seine hauling	
during 2008 and 2011	202
Table 7.3: Abundances for seine net sampling within the upper freshwater region	203
Table 7.4: Fyke net Abundances at the five sampling sites	204
Table 7.5: Species within each group and the group correlation coefficient	225
Table 7.6: Percentages of the total group abundances for each Site (Group D)	227

# List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Number of scientific articles published on the subject of ecohydrology
for five-year periods, from a Web of Science <sup>SM</sup> survey
Figure 2.2: Methodological trends and hydrological variables used in journal
articles titled ecohydrology (King & Caylor 2011)28
Figure 2.3: The role of connectivity in some laws of physical change
Figure 2.4: Ecological functions and socioeconomic benefits of a wetland (Turner et
al. 2003)
Figure 2.5: Iron floc outbreak in the Yarrahapinni Wetland (Source: Author,
February 2008)
Figure 2.6: Tidal buffering chemical reaction (Indraratna et al. 2002)
Figure 2.7: A schematic diagram of a precast concrete culvert fitted with one drop
board (NSW Fisheries 2002)44
Figure 2.8: Life cycle of <i>Metapenaeus macleayi</i> (Montgomery 2010) 48
Figure 3.1: Macleay River catchment area (DECC 2009)
Figure 3.2: Macleay River estuary (Kempsey Shire Council 2010) 54
Figure 3.3: Lower Macleay River estuary network (NPWS) 56
Figure 3.4: Schematic of the Macleay estuary in the mid-Holocene (from Cohen
2005)
Figure 3.5: Surface geology of the lower Macleay River area (Shortland Wetlands
Centre 1997, Roy 1984)60
Figure 3.6: Levy bank in the vicinity of Middle Island (NPWS)
Figure 3.7: Floodgate structure (NPWS)
Figure 3.8: Major flood mitigation structures on the Yarrahapinni Wetland (NPWS) 65
Figure 3.9: Acid sulfate scald area in the Yarrahapinni Wetland (NPWS) 66
Figure 3.10: Aerial photographs of the wetland before and after enclosure 67
Figure 3.11: Vegetation and water area of Yarrahapinni Wetland prior to 1969
(NPWS)
Figure 3.12: Altered Yarrahapinni Wetland (NPWS)

Figure 3.13: 2009 LIDAR image of elevations and minor drainage of the wetland	
(NPWS)	71
Figure 3.14: Partial blockage by common reed (Phragmites australis)	73
Figure 3.15: Start of total blockage of <i>Phragmites australis</i>	74
Figure 3.16: 2007 positions of <i>Phragmites australis</i> blockages (modified from LPI	
2002)	75
Figure 3.17: Schematic views of an Armon float controlled floodgate opening (NSW	
Department of Industry and Investment)	77
Figure 3.18: Andersons Inlet (LPI 2002) (scale 1 km grid)	78
Figure 3.19: Tidal plane comparisons for the ocean, South West Rocks and outside	
the Yarrahapinni floodgates in Andersons Inlet (MHL 2004)	80
Figure 3.20: Map of the Yarrahapinni Wetland catchment	81
Figure 3.21: Locations of Bureau of Meteorology stations (KSC 2010) (C)	
Collombatti, (E) Eungai, (F) Fishermans Reach	82
Figure 3.22: Rainfall figures for 2009–2011 for Collombatti (C), Eungai (E) and	
Fishermans Reach (F)	83
Figure 4.1: A schematic wetland hydrology model for the Yarrahapinni Wetland	87
Figure 4.2: Study area site location (NPWLS)	89
Figure 4.3: Aerial photograph of Yarrahapinni Wetland (1967) showing the area of	
interest highlighted in Figure 4.2	91
Figure 4.4: Aerial photograph (1976) of the same region after flood mitigation	
work	92
Figure 4.5: Inside view of the floodgate structure November 2007	93
Figure 4.6: Schematic diagram of the connectivity of saline ocean water at the	
various positions in the Macleay River in terms of the tidal prisms	95
Figure 4.7: Comparison of tidal ranges in the ocean, Macleay River and Andersons	
Inlet (MHL 2004)	96
Figure 4.8: Comparison of the water level within the wetland with the tidal levels	
outside the floodgates for a period of rising water levels (MHL 2001)	98

Figure 4.9: Comparison of the water level within the wetland with the tidal levels
outside the floodgates for a period of falling water levels (MHL 2001) 99
Figure 4.10: Water levels at Site M1 for a 14-day period commencing 19 December
2004 (MHL 2004)
Figure 4.11: Dimensions and position of each gate and the smaller opening 101
Figure 4.12: Float-operated floodgate manufactured by Armon Engineering,
Kempsey, upper: gate position at low tide, lower: gate position at high
tide (Kroon et al. 2004) (note: gates shown have opening at a lower level
than those fitted)102
Figure 4.13: Status of floodgate openings for Stage 4
Figure 4.14: Schematic representation of hydrological connectivity through an
anthropogenic obstruction
Figure 4.15: Positions of recording sites for data loggers
Figure 4.16: 2007 positions of <i>Phragmites australis</i> blockages (modified from LPI
2002)
Figure 4.17: Blockage X on 25 November 2007
Figure 4.18: (a) Phragmites blockage at Y, November 2008 (note position of PVC
pipe for water level data logger), (b) position of blockage Y, November
2012, with the same (discoloured) PVC pipe
Figure 4.19: Start of the total blockage, November 2008
Figure 4.20: Positions of depth profiles (Glamore et al. 2011)
Figure 4.21: The depth profiles for the positions shown in Figure 4.20 (Glamore et
al. 2011)
Figure 4.22: Schematic representation of the areas of the three distinct zones 120
Figure 4.23: (a) Schematic drawing of floodgate openings, (b) water levels at Site
M1 for a 14-day period commencing 16 January 2010 122
Figure 4.24: (a) Schematic diagram of floodgate openings, (b) water levels at Site
M1 for a 14-day period commencing 26 June 2010
Figure 4.25: (a) Schematic diagram of floodgate openings, (b) water levels at Site
M1 for a 21-day period commencing 23 August 2011 124

Figure 4.26: Change in tidal range and mean water levels for four stages (mean
water level for Stage 1 approx. –0.3 AHD; MHL2004) 125
Figure 4.27: Tidal ranges for the four stages compared with connectivity 126
Figure 4.28: Comparison of hydrological connectivity and maximum flow rates
within the wetland 127
Figure 4.29: Tidal ranges during the four stages at recording station M5
Figure 4.30: Salinity of wetland compared with distance from floodgates, 12 May
2008
Figure 4.31: Salinity compared with distance upstream from floodgates 11
November 2012
Figure 4.32: Comparison of water level height and rainfall for the freshwater
region131
Figure 4.33: Water level heights from the MHL logger in the freshwater region 133
Figure 4.34: Hourly salinity readings from the data logger at M1 for the same
period as Figure 4.35
Figure 4.35: Hourly salinity recorded at M1 for a 31-day period 2012 134
Figure 4.36: Logarithmic relationship between wetland salinity and time of
recovery135
Figure 4.37: Logarithmic relationship between freshwater water levels and time of
recovery of salinity
Figure 4.38: Freshwater discharge curves for Stages 2 and 4
Figure 4.39: Comparison of pH and Salinity, April–September 1996 (MHL 2001) 137
Figure 4.40: Comparison of pH and salinity, 2008–2012
Figure 5.1: Study domain (NSW Department of Natural Resources 2009) 145
Figure 5.2: Andersons Inlet (LPI 2002) (scale 1 km gridlines)
Figure 5.3: (a) Longitudinal isohaline diagrams of salinity in the lower half of
Andersons Inlet, (b) isohaline diagrams of salinity in the upper half of
Andersons Inlet
Figure 5.4: Longitudinal salinity distribution curves for Andersons Inlet, (b) Hau
estuary, Vietnam (Nuygen & Savenije 2006, Fig. 7c) 157

Figure 5.5: Comparison of salinity–recovery time results with predicted model	
curve Error! Bookmark not defir	າed.
Figure 5.6: Predicted model curve compared with results from other flooding	
eventsError! Bookmark not defir	าed.
Figure 6.1: Study area and reference creeks (adapted from NPWS (2009))	170
Figure 6.2: Fish and water sampling regime for reference creeks	173
Figure 6.3: Cluster analysis of the percentage similarities of taxa abundances for	
the Sites R2 and MG, before and after connectivity	181
Figure 6.4: nMDS ordination of abundances for Sites R2 and MG	182
Figure 6.5: PRIMER cluster dendrogram of abundance results for Gibbs et al.	
(1999), Kroon et al. (2004) and Boys et al. (2011) for the Yarrahapinni	
floodgate area (MG)	183
Figure 6.6: nMDS ordination of abundance results for Gibbs et al. (1999), Kroon et	
al. (2004) and Boys et al. (2011) for the Yarrahapinni floodgate area	
(MG)	183
Figure 6.7: Cluster dendrogram of fish abundance for site MG, before and after	
connectivity	185
Figure 6.8: Bivariate analysis of individual species abundance fluctuations before	
and after the connectivity introduction after sample number 10	188
Figure 6.9: Bivariate analysis of species Amb. spp. abundances before and after the	
introduction of connectivity	189
Figure 6.10: Reactions of <i>Philypnodon</i> species to connectivity	190
Figure 6.11: Cluster dendrogram of the similarities of the six seine hauls for site	
(MG), seine no. 23	191
Figure 7.1 Example of the structure of a fyke net (Fipec 2013)	198
Figure 7.2: Positions for the sites for fish and crustacean sampling	199
Figure 7.3: Downstream photograph of the freshwater section of Borirgalla Creek	
taken from Whalen's Bridge (NPWS)	201
Figure 7.4: Cluster dendrogram of fish and crustacean species for seine netting in	
2008 and 2010	203

Figure 7.5: Total abundances for each sampling event compared with the distance	
from the floodgates	205
Figure 7.6: Cluster dendrogram of total abundances for Sites 1 to 4	206
Figure 7.7: Cluster dendrogram showing similarities between Sites 1–5	206
Figure 7.8: An nMDS ordination of similarities of abundances between sites	207
Figure 7.9: Abundance of Acanthopagrus australis compared with distance from	
the floodgate	209
Figure 7.10: Abundances of Afurcagobius tamarensis compared with the distance	
from the floodgate	210
Figure 7.11: Abundances of <i>Ambassis</i> spp. compared with distance from the	
floodgates	212
Figure 7.12: Abundances of <i>Gambusia holbrooki</i> compared with distance from the	
floodgates	213
Figure 7.13: Abundances of Hyperseleotris compressa compared with distance	
from the floodgates	214
Figure 7.14: Abundances for <i>Philypnodon grandiceps</i> compared with distance from	
the floodgate	216
Figure 7.15: Abundances for <i>Pseudogobius olorum</i> compared with the distance	
from the floodgate	217
Figure 7.16: Abundances of <i>Redigobius macrostoma</i> compared with the distance	
from the floodgates	218
Figure 7.17: Total abundances of Metapenaeus macleayi compared with the	
distance from the floodgates	221
Figure 7.18: Prawn abundances compared with distance for Sites 1, 2, 3 and 5	222
Figure 7.19: The median carapace length of <i>Metapenaeus macleayi</i> samples	
compared with date of capture	223
Figure 7.20: The median carapace length of <i>Metapenaeus macleayi</i> samples	
compared with the distance from the floodgates	224
Figure 7.21: Group total abundances compared with distance from floodgates (a)	
Group A, (b) Group B, (c) Group C	226

Figure '	7.22: Significant group	abundances at	each sampling	site	228
barc	, 3.5cant 6.0ap	abanaances at	cacii sampiing	3166	

### **List of Abbreviations**

AHD Australian Height Datum

BOM Bureau of Meteorology

BP Before Present

BRUVS Baited Remote Underwater Video Stations

CL Carapace length

CPUE Catch per unit effort

DECC Department of Environment and Climate Change

DI&I Department of Industry and Investment

Fe<sup>2+</sup> Ferrous iron ions

FeS Iron monosulfides

FeS<sub>2</sub> Iron pyrite

KSC Kempsey Shire Council

LIDAR Light Detection and Ranging

LPI Land and Property Information

MBO Monosulfidic black ooze

MHL Manly Hydraulics Laboratory

MSL Mean sea level

nMDS Non-metric multidimensional scaling

NPWS National Parks and Wildlife Service

NSW New South Wales

PPT Points per thousand

SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> Sulfate ions

SWC Shortland Wetlands Centre

UNSW University of New South Wales