

**Use of LiDAR data to quantify the influence of topography on
radiata pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) at the sub-compartment level**

Hanieh Saremi

A thesis submitted for the Degree

of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

of the

UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND

August 2014

Certification

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis and all sources used have been acknowledged in this thesis.

Hanieh Saremi



Abstract

Accurate estimation of inventory estimates, such as aboveground tree biomass, is a fundamental aspect of studies on carbon stocks of forest ecosystems since it reflects the accumulation of organic carbon and ecosystem productivity. Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) and tree height are the two main variables used for numerous forest inventory parameters, including stand stem volume and biomass. The significant variability within these factors, however, can challenge the acceptable levels of precision and bias required for inventory estimates at the operational Planning Unit.

In Hanging Rock State Forest, New South Wales, Australia, despite the similar genetic sources, edaphic (geology and soil type) and climatic (temperature and rainfall) variables as well as similar initial stocking rate, the radiata pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) trees displayed significant height and DBH variation within even-aged compartments. The aim of this research was to determine the significant factors causing these variations and identify the relationships between height and DBH variables with these factors. The knowledge of intra-compartment variability in tree height and DBH is important as these small area inventories are used for operational yield predictions and facilitate local silvicultural and harvesting decisions.

Airborne Light Detection and Ranging (ALS or LiDAR) system has demonstrated the ability to provide accurate metrics from stand attribute estimates. LiDAR offers a cost-effective, operationally flexible and robust sampling tool for forest managers. With the use of LiDAR, substantial data from tree canopy structure and its surrounding spaces can be acquired. In this study, not only LiDAR was used to derive different tree structural metrics, such as height and stocking, but also different terrain information such as slope, aspect as well as solar radiation was calculated from the highly accurate Digital Elevation Model (DEM).

The results of this study demonstrated significant relationships between DBH and height of *radiata* trees with slope and aspect, solar radiation and stand density ($P < 0.01$ or $P < 0.001$). Overall taller trees and trees with larger diameters were common on southerly aspects and on gentle slopes ($<20^\circ$). Also larger and taller trees were associated with low values of summer and winter radiation. A significant relationship was also seen between tree height and DBHs and stocking ($P < 0.001$), where taller trees were associated with high stocking while larger diameter trees were found on lower stocking sites. These results indicate initially the capacity of LiDAR to capture sub-compartment variation in these tree-level attributes and further define these factors suitable for use as a sub-compartment stratification variable as well as for possible inclusion in different *P. radiata* models for better and accurate resource predictions.

Dedications

This work is dedicated to my loving parents.

My father, Dr Hossein Saremi , whose unending love, moral character, and strength inspired my own perseverance and guided my being in this endeavour. He has been my role-model for hard work and persistence, and inspired me to set high goals and the confidence to achieve them.

My mother, Mahnaz Faghihzadeh, whose constant love, belief in me, encouragement, motherly care and support has helped realize my potential, and make this contribution to our world. She has emphasized the importance of education and given me the determination to keep going.

This work is also dedicated to my late Aunt Moazam, who passed away towards the end of second year of my research. Her words of encouragement and push for tenacity ring in my ears, I am sorry that she has not lived to see me graduate. She was like a mother to me, a source of motivation and strength during moments of despair and discouragement. I will cherish her memory with warm thoughts, laughter and tears as I reminisce of all the wonderful times that we have shared together.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to express deep gratitude to my supervisors Associate Professor Lalit Kumar and Dr Russell Turner for their encouragement, guidance and support throughout the duration of my PhD. I also would like to give a special thanks to Dr Christine Stone, principal research scientist in NSW Department of Primary Industries, without whose advice, directions, patience, great ideas and generous help it would have been impossible to complete my project.

Thanks also to Dr Gavin Melville, Research Scientist in NSW Department of Primary Industries for his support and generous invaluable statistical assistance along the way, as well as to Cate MacGregor and Stuart Green for helping me with my fieldwork and providing technical support. Cate not only provided academic and social support, but also emotional support along the rough road that finally became this thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude to the University of New England for the generous financial support that the DVCR and Completion Scholarship offered me.

Being away from my family and friends was sometimes hard, but my time at UNE was made enjoyable in large parts due to the many friends that became a part of my life. Amal Allbed, thank you for being such a wonderful, supportive and caring friend. Nukunu Nanendo, Dijah Saad, Khaled Alqadi, Bronwen Jackman and Maria O'Brien, thanks for the wonderful time together. I have to specially thank Isabelle Balzer for the stimulating research discussions and for the sleepless nights we were working at the office together before deadlines.

To Kate Drayton and her little daughter Bella, thanks for being an awesome landlord, neighbour and friend; you have always made sure I was taken care of. I am grateful for your kindness.

I finish with my family, where the most basic source of my life energy resides. My parents, sister, Reihaneh, and brother, Mohammad, have never left my side. Their support and prayers has been unconditional all these years; they have given up many things for me to be at UNE.

Above all, I thank GOD for helping me through this journey and making all things happen according to his will.

Note to Examiners

This thesis has been written in journal-article format. I have attempted to minimize the duplication of material between the chapters. However, some repetition remains, particularly in the methodology section. This is due to the requirements of the journals and the need for each of the papers to stand alone.

Although an effort has been made to ensure consistency in the format for the purposes of this thesis, I acknowledge that some inconsistencies remain due to the requirements of each of the journals to which the separate papers were submitted.

Publications from this thesis during Candidature

Saremi, H., Kumar, L., Turner, R., & Stone, C. (2014). Airborne LiDAR derived canopy height model reveals a significant difference in radiata pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) heights based on slope and aspect of sites. *Trees - Structure and Function*, 28(3), 733-744.

Saremi, H., Kumar, L., Turner, R., Stone, C., & Melville, G. (2014). Impact of local slope and aspect assessed from LiDAR records on tree diameter in radiata pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) plantations. *Annals of Forest Science*, 1-10. doi: 10.1007/s13595-014-0374-4.

Saremi, H., Kumar, L., Turner, R., Stone, C., & Melville, G. (2014). DBH and height show significant correlation with incoming solar radiation: a case study of a radiata pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) plantation in New South Wales, Australia. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 1-18. doi: 10.1080/15481603.2014.937901.

Saremi, H., Kumar, L., Stone, C., Melville, G., & Turner, R. (2014). Sub-compartment variation in tree height, stem diameter and stocking in a *Pinus radiata* D. Don plantation examined using airborne LiDAR data. *Remote Sensing*, 6(8), 7592-7609.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE NO.
	Abstract	ii
	Dedications	iv
	Acknowledgements	v
	Note to Examiners	vi
	Publications from this thesis during Candidature	vii
1.	Introduction and Background	1
	1.1 Introduction	2
	1.2 Significance of this study	6
	1.3 Aims and objectives of the study	7
	1.4 Structure of the thesis	7
2.	The potential use of airborne LiDAR in acquiring variables for forestry estimations	9
	2.1 Accurate forest inventories	10
	2.2 Biomass estimation	11
	2.2.1 Common variables used in allometric equations	12
	2.3 Factors affecting allometric equations	15
	2.4 Environmental factors used in allometric equations	17
	2.5 Deficiencies in allometric equations	19
	2.5.1 Non-incorporation of different explanatory variables	19
	2.5.2 Non-incorporation of environmental variables	21
	2.6 Data acquisition solution	22
	2.7 LiDAR and its application in forest studies	22
	2.7.1 Tree height	24
	2.7.2 Crown diameter	25
	2.7.3 Basal area and DBH	26
	2.7.4 Stand density	27
	2.7.5 Topography factors	28
	2.8 Summary	30
	2.9 Conclusion	33
3.	Airborne LiDAR derived canopy height model reveals a significant difference in radiata pine (<i>Pinus radiata</i> D. Don) heights based on slope and aspect of sites	34
	Abstract	35
	3.1 Introduction	36
	3.2 Methods	39
	3.2.1 Study area	39
	3.2.2 Field survey data	40
	3.2.3 ALS data	43
	3.2.4 Tree heights derived from ALS	43
	3.2.5 DEMs-derived from ALS	44

3.2.6	Data preparation and analysis	44
3.2.6.1	Mixed linear models	45
3.2.7	Verification process	46
3.3	Results	48
3.3.1	Accuracy of ALS tree height estimation	48
3.3.2	Effect of slope and aspect on tree height	49
3.3.2.1	The 1977 site	49
3.3.2.2	The 2002 site	51
3.3.3	Independent verification of the topography and tree height relationship	53
3.4	Discussion	56
3.4.1	ALS analysis	56
3.4.2	Effect of slope-aspect on tree height	56
3.5	Conclusion	60
4.	Impact of local slope and aspect assessed from LiDAR records on tree diameter in radiata pine (<i>Pinus radiata</i> D. Don) plantations	63
	Abstract	64
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Methods	67
4.2.1	Study area	67
4.2.2	Field survey data	69
4.2.3	LiDAR data	72
4.2.4	Data preparation and analysis	73
4.2.4.1	Mixed linear models	74
4.2.5	The DBH comparison within height classes	75
4.3	Results	77
4.3.1	Effect of slope and aspect on DBH	77
4.3.1.1	The 1977 site	77
4.3.1.2	The 2002 site	79
4.3.2	The effect of slope-aspect on DBHs of similar height trees in the 1977 and 2002 sites	80
4.4	Discussion	83
4.5	Conclusion	85
5.	Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) and height show significant correlation with incoming solar radiation: A case study of a radiata pine (<i>Pinus radiata</i> D. Don) plantation in New South Wales, Australia	89
	Abstract	90
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	Methods	94
5.2.1	Study area	94
5.2.2	Field survey data	96
5.2.3	LiDAR data	97
5.2.4	Solar radiation model	98
5.2.5	Tree detection from LiDAR	100
5.2.6	Data analysis	101
5.3	Results	103
5.3.1	Height-DBH relationship	103
5.3.2	The 1977 stand	103
5.3.3	The 2002 stand	106
5.4	Discussion	109

5.5 Conclusion	112
6. Sub-compartment variation in tree height, stem diameter and stocking in a radiata pine (<i>Pinus radiata</i> D. Don) plantation examined using airborne LiDAR data	115
Abstract	116
6.1 Introduction	117
6.2 Methods	119
6.2.1 Study Area Description	119
6.2.2 Field survey data	120
6.2.3 LiDAR data acquisition	122
6.2.4 Visual tree counts using LiDAR point cloud and WorldView-2 imagery	122
6.2.5 DBH and height relationship with stocking	123
6.2.5.1 Multivariate mixed linear model	124
6.2.6 Verification process	125
6.2.6.1 Field measured DBH and field measured tree counts	125
6.2.6.2 LiDAR-derived tree counts and height	125
6.3 Results	127
6.3.1 The accuracy of tree counts from LiDAR	127
6.3.2 Effect of stocking on DBH and height	127
6.3.2.1 The 1977 stand	127
6.3.2.2 The 2002 stand	129
6.3.3 Independent verification of the effect of stocking on DBH and LiDAR height	131
6.4 Discussion	134
6.5 Conclusion	138
7. Synthesis and Conclusion	142
7.1 Research summary	143
7.2 Forest inventory estimations and some of their deficiencies	144
7.3 The use of LiDAR in forestry	148
7.4 Factors involved in DBH and height variability	150
7.5 The use of these factors in forestry estimates	152
7.6 Recommendations	155
Appendix	159
Bibliography	160

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Examples of several allometric equations, with their formula, species type and statistical report.	14
Table 2.2	Some examples of allometric equations with environmental factors.	19
Table 2.3	Examples of biomass equations integrated with LiDAR metrics.	30
Table 3.1	Summary of the field data collected in this study.	42
Table 3.2	ANOVA results of the effect of the fixed effects (slope and aspect) on the tree heights in the 1977 site. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	50
Table 3.3	ANOVA results of the effect of the fixed effects (slope and aspect) on the tree heights in the 2002 site. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	52
Table 3.4	ANOVA results of the independent verification data in the 1977 and 2002 sites. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the models are reported in the lower parts of each study site.	54
Table 4.1	Summary of the field data collected in this study.	71
Table 4.2	The distribution of plots based on slope parameters in both study sites.	72
Table 4.3	LiDAR survey specification.	73
Table 4.4	Tree classifications for DBH comparison within height classes based on aspect and slope parameters in both study sites.	76
Table 4.5	ANOVA results of the effect of slope, aspect and their interaction on the DBH of trees in the 1977 site. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	78
Table 4.6	ANOVA results of the effect of slope, aspect and their interaction on the DBH of trees in the 2002 site. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	79
Table 4.7	ANOVA results of the effect of slope, aspect and their interactions on DBHs of similar tree heights of both 193 trees in the 1977 site and 254 trees in the 2002 site. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the models are reported in the lower parts of each study site.	81
Table 5.1	The range of slopes and aspects in the State Forest (in percentage).	95
Table 5.2	Summary of the field data collected in this study.	97
Table 5.3	Estimated sum of squares, Wald statistics, probability and the random effect results of the multivariate model in 1977 stand. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	105
Table 5.4	Estimated sum of squares, Wald statistics, probability and the random effect results of the multivariate model in 2002 stand. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	107
Table 6.1	Additional field data information collected in this study.	122
Table 6.2	Multivariate mixed model results for the effect of stocking on LiDAR height and DBH for trees in the 1977 site. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	128
Table 6.3	Multivariate mixed model results for the effect of stocking on LiDAR height and DBH for trees in the 2002 site. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the model are reported in the lower part.	130
Table 6.4	Linear mixed model results for the effect of stocking on LiDAR height and DBH for the independent verification in the 1977 and 2002 stands. The parameter estimates, standard errors and associated t-values of the models are reported in the lower parts of each variable.	132
Table 7.1	The mean (standard error) DBH and height of measured in both study sites.	151

List of Figures

Figure 3.1	Study areas are: (a) The location of the study site in Australia; (b) The Hanging Rock Forest and the extent of the study areas; (c) Location of the 77 center plots on Canopy Height Model (CHM) data. The large green patches are recently harvested areas.	41
Figure 3.2	Distribution of plots in the 1977 and 2002 sites, based on (a) aspect (North–South) and (b) slope (0° – 10° , 10° – 20° and $>20^{\circ}$) classifications.	42
Figure 3.3	Comparison of ALS heights to measured heights; (a) for 254 sample trees in 2002 plots and (b) for 193 trees in 1977 plots. The dashed lines represent a 1:1 relationship.	49
Figure 3.4	Bars indicate predicted mean ALS height values in two aspect classes (N and S) at (a) the 1977 site and (b) the 2002 study site. Predictions are averaged over slopes. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error.	51
Figure 3.5	Interactions between the slope and aspect classes on ALS height in (a) the 1977 and (b) the 2002 study site. Bars indicate mean height values. Predictions are averaged over slopes. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error.	53
Figure 3.6	Bars indicate mean height values of the verification data in the (a) aspect classes (N and S) and (b) slope classes ($>10^{\circ}$ and $<10^{\circ}$) at both 1977 and 2002 sites. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error.	55
Figure 3.7	Slope and aspect interactions of the verification data in the 2002 site. Bars indicate mean height values. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error.	55
Figure 4.1	(a) The study area in New South Wales, Australia; (b) The Hanging Rock State Forest and the extent of the two study areas; (c) A WorldView-2 (2011) image showing the location of the plots at each study site; a total of 42 and 43 plots were selected in the 1977 and 2002 sites, respectively. The large light blue-green patches are recently harvested areas.	69
Figure 4.2	Relationship between DBH and aspect for the two study sites. Bars indicate predicted mean DBH values in the two aspect classes at the (a) 1977 site and (b) 2002 site. Predictions are averaged over slope. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error.	78
Figure 4.3	Relationship between DBH and height with slope and aspect for the two study sites. The predicted DBHs of each height subclass for different topographic categories are obtained using mixed linear models: (a) DBH vs height and aspect classes for the 193 trees at the 1977 site, (b) DBH vs height and slope classes for the 193 trees at the 1977 site, (c) DBH vs height and aspect classes for the 254 trees at the 2002 site and (d) DBH vs height and slope classes for the 254 trees in the 2002 site. The differences in DBHs are significant in graph a; however the differences in graphs b, c and d are not significant. The Standard Error (SE) values are shown for each data point.	82
Figure 5.1	(a) The location of the study area in Australia, (b) the Hanging Rock State Forest and the extent of the study areas, (c) The location of the plots at each study site on a 2 m resolution LiDAR-derived DEM.	95
Figure 5.2	The distribution of the plots based on aspect parameters.	97
Figure 5.3	The relationship between DBH and height in the (a) 2002 and (b) 1977 stands.	103
Figure 5.4	The relationships between (a) height with winter radiation, (b) DBH with winter radiation, (c) height with summer radiation and (d) DBH with summer radiation in the 1977 stands. The line is based on the multivariate model and shows the relationship between predicted height and DBH vs summer and winter radiation. The R^2 values are calculated from the height and DBH residuals and are the same for both summer and winter radiation.	106

Figure 5.5	The relationships between (a) height with winter radiation, (b) DBH with winter radiation, (c) height with summer radiation and (d) DBH with summer radiation in 2002 stands. The line is based on the multivariate model and shows the relationship between predicted height and DBH vs summer and winter radiation. The R^2 values are calculated from the height and DBH residuals and are the same for both summer and winter radiation.	108
Figure 5.6	The differences between the monthly solar radiation on northerly and southerly aspects in the 1977 stand.	109
Figure 6.1	(a) Study area in Australia; (b) The Hanging Rock State Forest and the extent of the 1977 and 2002 study sites; (c) The location of the plots at each study site on a LiDAR-derived CHM.	121
Figure 6.2	Plot of LiDAR predicted stems per plot (manual detection) and observed stems per plot (measured) vs stocking; (a) for 53 plots in 2002 stand and (b) for 55 plots in 1977 stand. The dashed lines represent a 1:1 relationship.	127
Figure 6.3	The relationship between stocking with (a) DBH and (b) height in the experimental plots (34 plots) of the 1977 stand. The equations are derived from the multivariate mixed models.	129
Figure 6.4	The relationship between stocking with (a) DBH and (b) height in the experimental plots (43 plots) of the 2002 stand. The equations are derived from the multivariate mixed models.	130
Figure 6.5	The relationships between: (a) mean plot DBH with stocking in the 1977 stand (1,071 trees in 55 field plots); (b) mean plot height with stocking in the 1977 stand (1,789 trees in 125 virtual plots); (c) mean plot DBH with stocking in the 2002 stand (1,009 trees in 53 field plots); and (d) mean plot height with stocking in 2002 stand (1,382 trees in 100 virtual plots). The equations are derived from the multivariate linear mixed models.	133
Figure 6.6	The spatial distribution of: (a) predicted DBH from regression equation of Figure 6.5a in the 1977 stand; (b) predicted DBH from regression equation of Figure 6.5c in the 2002 stand.	134