

**Location Determinants and the Host-Country Impact of
Foreign Direct Investment in Zimbabwe**

by

Stephen Mandigora

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Master of
Economics Degree, UNE.

University of New England
Armidale, N. S. W.
Australia.

July 1995

Dedication

To my family, for their support and inspiration over the years.

Abstract

The importance of foreign capital in Zimbabwe's industrial and economic development cannot be over-emphasised. Indeed, foreign investment is regarded as a major component of the adjustment effort in the country's on going program of economic reform. The country has embarked on a campaign to attract more foreign investment through multinational corporations (MNCs) to complement the limited domestic savings in promoting investment.

This study is focused on analysing the host-country factors which may influence the location decisions of multinational firms in Zimbabwe and also the macroeconomic effects of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the country's private domestic investment, trade and economic growth in the period 1980 to 1993. The economic model used in this study is based on Dunning's (1974; 1988) eclectic paradigm of international production. The three-stage least squares (3SLS) technique is applied to estimate the multi-equation economic model simultaneously.

Location factors that are found to have a strong influence on FDI are tariffs, labour costs, corporate taxes, government policies and the country's political environment. The results also revealed a limited presence of resource-induced FDI in the country and a general absence of market-induced FDI. The statistical results also showed FDI as having a negative but insignificant effect on the country's private domestic investment. While a positive relationship was found between FDI and the country's exports, the highly significant positive correlation between FDI and imports reveals a strong import propensity of the multinational firms. The results also revealed that the stock of foreign capital in the country had a weak positive effect on economic growth.

While it can be concluded that Zimbabwe has a range of policy instruments which it can use to influence the pattern of FDI, the results have shown that such FDI flows did not improve the balance of payments situation. In fact, FDI may be argued to have added to the chronic current account deficit experienced in the country, due to its high import propensity. In addition, FDI did not result in the much needed expansionary effects on private domestic investment, hence its overall insignificant effect on economic growth. There is a need to further improve the macroeconomic and political environments in order to reduce the perceived business and political risk to investment. Although the results revealed some important insights on the host-country macroeconomic effects of FDI, micro-level studies are strongly recommended, in order to analyse the impact of FDI at the sector and industry level.

Table of Contents

DEDICATION	II
DECLARATION	III
ABSTRACT	IV
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	X
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	XI
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Economic and Political Background to Zimbabwe's Industrialisation	1
1.2.1 Early Multinational Companies and the Corporate State: 1890 -1922	2
1.2.2 Sectoral Diversification: 1923 -1965	3
1.2.3 Economic Isolation: 1965 -1979	4
1.3 Background to the Research Problem	5
1.4 Research Problem	7
1.5 Research Objectives	8
1.6 Guiding Hypotheses and Decision Criteria	8
1.7 Outline of the Study	8
1.7.1 A Guide to Literature Review	9
2. INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MOVEMENTS: MOTIVES AND WELFARE EFFECTS	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 The Rationale for Studying International Capital Movements	10

2.3 The Causes of International Capital Movements	11
2.3.1 Rates of Return	11
2.3.2 Imperfect International Product Markets	13
2.3.3 Cost and Marketing Considerations	14
2.3.4 Risk Diversification	14
2.4 Welfare Effects of International Capital Movements	17
2.4.1 Global Output Effects	17
2.4.2 National Welfare Effects	18
2.4.3 Income Redistribution Effects	19
2.4.4 An Extension to the Welfare Effects of International Capital Movements	19
2.5 International Investment and Trade: Substitutes or Complements	20
2.6 Concluding Remarks	21
3. THEORY OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT	22
3.1 Introduction	22
3.2 Alternate Theories of Foreign Direct Investment	22
3.2.1 A Microeconomic Approach for Explaining Foreign Direct Investment	23
3.2.1.1 The Market Internalisation Theory	23
3.2.2 A Meso-economic Approach for Explaining Foreign Direct Investment	24
3.2.2.1 The Market Power Theory	24
3.2.3 Macroeconomic Approaches for Explaining Foreign Direct Investment	25
3.2.3.1 The Product Life-Cycle Theory	25
3.2.3.2 The "Capitalisation Rate" Hypothesis	27
3.2.3.3 The Comparative Advantage Theory	28
3.2.3.4 The Level of Development Approach	28
3.3 The Eclectic Paradigm of International Production	30
3.3.1 The Eclectic Paradigm Hypothesis	30
3.3.2 The Ownership Advantages of Firms	31
3.3.3 The Internalisation Advantages	33
3.3.4 The Location Advantages	33

3.3.5 Some Criticisms of the Eclectic Paradigm of International Production	34
3.5 Host-Country Macroeconomic Impact of Foreign Direct Investment	35
3.5.1 Private Domestic Investment Effects	35
3.5.2 Trade Effects	36
3.5.3 Aggregate Employment Effects	37
3.5.4 Terms of Trade Effects	37
3.5.5 Economic Growth Effects	38
3.5.6 Income Distribution Effects	38
3.6 Concluding Remarks	38
4. METHOD OF ANALYSIS	40
4.1 Introduction	40
4.2 An Overview of International Investments in Zimbabwe: 1980 -1994	40
4.2.1 The Foreign Investment Climate in Zimbabwe	41
4.2.2 The Country of Origin and Sectoral Distribution of Foreign Investments	44
4.3 Related Studies in the General Area	46
4.4 The Determinants and Macroeconomic Impact of Foreign Direct Investment in Zimbabwe	47
4.4.1 The Basic Characteristics of the Model	47
4.4.2 The Location Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment	48
4.4.3 Private Domestic Investment Function	51
4.4.4 The External Sector Functions	53
4.4.5 Economic Growth Function	55
4.5 Concluding Remarks	56
5. ECONOMETRIC METHODOLOGY AND DATA	57
5.1 Introduction	57
5.2 The Functional Form of the Model	57
5.3 Model Estimation	58
5.3.1 Three-Stage Least Squares Estimator	59

5.4 Database	62
5.5 Concluding Remarks	62
6. SYSTEM ESTIMATION: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	63
6.1 Introduction	63
6.2 Empirical Results	63
6. 2. 1 The Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment	63
6. 2. 2 Macroeconomic Effects of Foreign Direct Investment	68
6. 2. 2. 1 Domestic Investment Effects of Foreign Direct Investment	68
6. 2. 2. 2 Trade Effects of Foreign Direct Investment	69
6. 2. 2. 3 Economic Growth Effects of Foreign Direct Investment	70
6.3 Concluding Remarks	71
7. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	72
7.1 Introduction	72
7.2 Summary	72
7.3 Conclusions	73
7.4 Policy Implications	74
7.4.1 Investment Promotion	74
7.4.2 Export Promotion	75
7.4.3 Growth Promotion	76
7.5 Limitations of the Study	76
7.6 Further Research	77
7.7 A Final Note	77
APPENDIX: A	78
APPENDIX: B	79
APPENDIX: C	80
REFERENCES	83

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Sectoral Gross Domestic Product Shares in Zimbabwe	4
Table 3.1 The Ownership-Location-Internalisation Paradigm for Explaining Foreign Direct Investment	32
Table 4.1: Economic Indicators in Zimbabwe	41
Table 4.2: Approved Foreign Investment According to Country of Origin and Sector: 1993 -1994	45
Table 6.1: Empirical Results- Location Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment	64
Table 6.2: Empirical Results- Macroeconomic Effects of Foreign Direct Investment	65

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Zimbabwe's Real Economic Growth: 1980 -1993	6
Figure 2.1: International Capital Market Equilibrium:- A Two-Country Case	13
Figure 2.2: The Model of Portfolio Selection	16
Figure 2.3: National Welfare and Global Output Effects of International Capital Movements	18
Figure 3.1: The Product Life-Cycle Model	26
Figure 3.2: The Relationship Between Net Outward Direct Investment and per capita Gross National Product	30

Acknowledgments

I take pleasure in acknowledging the support and assistance received from a number of people during the preparation of this dissertation. To the Australian International Development Assistance Bureau (AIDAB) my humble thanks for the financial support during my entire period of study in Australia and also for sponsoring my research trip to Zimbabwe.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Brian Davidson for his invaluable support and guidance throughout the preparation of this dissertation. I thank him especially for the thoroughness and careful scrutiny in reading through all the draft chapters of this dissertation. I also appreciate his kindness and friendly style of supervision.

I want to extend my appreciation to members of staff and graduate students of the Faculty of Economics, Business and Law for their comments and advice during my presentation in the Graduate Student Workshop. I also would like to thank the members of staff of the following institutions in Zimbabwe for their assistance and cooperation during data collection: Central Statistical Office, International Finance Corporation, Post and Telecommunications Corporation, Ministry of Finance, Reserve Bank of Zimbabwe, University of Zimbabwe, World Bank and the Zimbabwe Investment Centre.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. Jerry Nkala, Stephen K. Njuguna, Mampiti Thamae, Mofe Ogisi, Gatachew Tessema and John Omiti for their comments and assistance with modelling and computer work at various stages of this study.

To the Nkalas, my sincere thanks for being there for me whenever I needed a family. I am also grateful to Siphon Ngwenya, Abdul Abdulkadri, Nancy Mutunga, Wilson C. Neto, Levy Phobo, Sikaniso Nkala and Monga Mzuku for their friendship and inspiration during my studies at the UNE. I also want to extend my sincere thanks to Miliana Cegumalua for being a good friend and lending a hand in typing.

My unreserved gratitude and sincere thanks goes to Rachel A. Agyare for her patience and assistance in typing most of the draft chapters of this dissertation. More importantly, I appreciate her friendship, company and the special moments we spend together.

Needless to say, residual errors and omissions in this study remain my sole responsibility.