

LAWRANCE/2

**In Search of Meaning: A Study of the Sociology Of
Ethnicity, Culture and Symbolism, And Its Implications
For A Policy Of Multiculturalism**

by

Richard Lawrance

Bachelor of Education, Diploma of Teaching
Tasmanian College of Advanced Education

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Master of
Education (Hons) of the University of New England

JANUARY 1995

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to acknowledge the staff of the Faculty of Education, Health and Professional Studies at the University of New England for their assistance in the author's preparation of this thesis, in particular those associated with the Department of Aboriginal and Multicultural Studies.

Particular thanks are due to my two thesis supervisors, Dr. Helen Andreoni and Dr. Siri Gamage, who have pursued my chosen topic with me over its lengthy and challenging course. I would also like to acknowledge the efforts of Department staff Peter Shanahan and Dianne Mitchell, without whose administrative support the work could never have come to fruition.

Acknowledgement must also be made, with gratitude, to my partner, Chris Johnson, without whose emotional support and indirect financial subsidy this work could not have been undertaken.

Richard Lawrance

January, 1995

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	5
Chapter One: Multiculturalism as Policy	8
Chapter Two: Multiculturalism as Theory	22
Chapter Three: Ethnicity, Race and Culture	64
Chapter Four: Ethnicity and Culture - the Phenomenological Approach	102
Chapter Five: Structuralism and Poststruct- uralism - The Sign, The Symbol and The Subject	149
Chapter Six: Ethnicity as a Symbol for Cha- nge, Multiculturalism as Ideology for Change	206
Bibliography	267

**IN SEARCH OF MEANING: * A STUDY OF THE SOCIOLOGY OF
ETHNICITY, CULTURE AND SYMBOLISM, AND ITS IMPLICATIONS
FOR A POLICY OF MULTICULTURALISM.**

* taken from Geertz, 1973:5

Abstract

The basic postulate of this thesis is that Multiculturalism as government policy in Australia fails because it attempts an unstated, conceptual division between what the thesis will define as cultural pluralism and what it will define as structural pluralism. The thesis suggests that government perpetuates this division partly out of its own conceptual limitations, but mostly out of an ideological imperative to control and direct social relations so that it retains government; in this instance, it seeks to appease ethnic voters and voters intellectually sympathetic to ethnic concerns.

Through a review of literature available on the subject, the thesis argues that this conceptual failure occurs because Multiculturalism as theory inherits the founding tenets of liberal humanism - a Western way of thinking that places the autonomous, sovereign individual at its centre as its core value, and the associated notion of human nature as its replacement for an all encompassing universal nature created by God. Structuralist sociology, the thesis argues, has

traditionally depended upon these tenets.

The thesis will seek recourse to sociological and critical approaches that do not so depend: primarily the anti-essentialist theories of poststructuralism, and secondarily the consocial formulation of ethnic identity found in the Honolulu School of Keesing, Howard, Linnekin and Poyer. The thesis will, through analysis of the cornerstone concept of Multiculturalism, ethnicity and ethnic identity, disquiet an unsubstantiated use of the concept 'symbol', and the process of symbolisation, which epistemologically unhinges the sociological concept of ethnicity. The thesis thus seeks to establish a workable concept of symbol and symbolisation that takes account of the influences of ideology and social practice on ethnicity and ethnic identity. Poststructuralist analysis in particular provides this.

The thesis then examines an example from the author's own experience - the Hong Kong Chinese - whose ambivalent attitudes concerning their own ethnic identity in general, and that of Business Migrants to Australia and Canada in particular, show the existing theoretical weakness of sociological concepts of ethnicity. This example is then used to show how the theory of symbolism developed in the thesis, Deep Symbol theory, can be applied to sociological analysis of ethnicity in a way

that does substantiate a working concept of ethnic identity.

The thesis will then conclude with a brief application of Deep Symbol theory to multicultural theory and multicultural government policy and practice in Australia to illustrate the extent to which the theory ameliorates the problematic bifurcation of structural and cultural pluralism referred to above. Particular reference will be made to education and the arts.