SURVIVAL, BREEDING AND MOVEMENTS OF REINTRODUCED ASIATIC HOUBARA (*Chlamydotis [undulata] macqueenii*) IN MAHAZAT AS-SAYD RESERVE, SAUDI ARABIA

A thesis submitted in

partial fulfilment of

the requirements for the

Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Zoology

by

RICHARD F. MALONEY

University of New England

2003



Sub-adult houbara shortly after release in 1996

Abstract

The houbara (*Chlamydotis [u.] macqueenii*) is a desert-dwelling bustard whose ecology and behaviour is poorly known. Populations of houbara are probably in decline throughout their range. Techniques for the conservation and recovery of populations include creation of reserves and reintroduction of captive-reared sub-adults. The process of reintroduction has not been well studied for this species. Conservation biologists have tried different methods of release to test which is the most successful, but further work is required to improve release survival rates. There is also a need to consider the behaviour and ecology of reintroduced populations offer opportunities to address specific questions about houbara biology that are not easily achieved in other populations, where individuals are not individually identifiable. From 1995 to 1997, I released 152 sub-adult houbara into the 2250 km² Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve in west-central Saudi Arabia and followed their fate. In this thesis, I report on the success of releases, and on the breeding performance, nesting habitat, home range, movements, display and lekking behaviour of reintroduced houbara.

Releases were successful. In Chapter 3, I showed that 27 % of released houbara reached breeding age, and released birds generally stayed within the reserve. However, death rates were consistently high, for all years and when using two different release methods. Most deaths in the reserve (76/79) were due to predators, and 81 % of confirmed causes of death were assigned to red foxes (Vulpes vulpes). Half of all deaths occurred within 0.9 - 1.6 km of the release site and within 14 days of release. Translocating foxes from around the release site did not increase the distance or time to death, and previous conclusions that translocation of predators increased the distance from the release to death site for houbara were not supported over longer time periods and with larger sample sizes. Houbara were more likely to die during the first moonlit period that they were free-living in the reserve, but death rate was not related to release year, release group size, age or gender of released birds, temperature after release or rainfall prior to release. I recommend that radical changes in the release of houbara are needed to increase the number of birds being recruited each season. These changes include eradication of predators at release sites, and a greater use of portable release cages for use in sites where predator densities are naturally low, and where and when feeding conditions are optimised.

In Chapter 4, I closely monitored the outcomes for 51 breeding attempts from reintroduced houbara: including 46 nests with eggs, and calculated egg (0.88), hatching (0.35), fledging (0.27), and overall breeding success (0.083) for 15 females in this population. Only females were observed incubating and caring for young. Nest failure rates were high, mainly due to predation, but females compensated for nest loss by re-nesting. Up to three replacement clutches were laid, and two cases of double brooding were identified. Identity of predators was largely unknown: foxes took eggs from two nests, a hen harrier caught a chick, and a cat killed a fledgling. I documented many breeding parameters that have been poorly studied in this species, including egg, clutch and chick size, incubation period, start and finish dates for breeding attempts, female success, age at first nesting, and fledging period, and I compared these to data from other studies where possible.

In Chapter 5, I described vegetation and substrate characteristics at 49 nest sites, to determine the nest site requirements of reintroduced houbara. I compared the location of nests to an existing coarse scale habitat map for the reserve. For a sub-sample of 22 nests, I further compared in detail vegetation, substrate, and cover at and near each nest site to cover values and vegetation at each of 98 transects located throughout the reserve. Nests were not randomly distributed in the reserve, and were more likely to be found in the south and north east, in the presence of Acacia spp. trees and Panicum turgidum and Ochthochloa compressa tall grasses. No nests were found in areas of salt pan or Haloxylon salicornicum. Mean vegetation cover in the reserve was similar to that near to nest sites, and all plant species commonly found in the reserve were common at nest sites, except for H. salicornicum and Zvgophyllum simplex. Nests were sited 4 - 60 m from dense cover, and that cover was most often sandy patches with Acacia spp. and tall grasses. Nests were never sited in vegetation clumps, but, with one exception, there was always some type of low growing plant, debris, or rocks near to the nest bowl. Surprisingly, nests were not clustered in the most open areas of the reserve, at sites similar to those described throughout central Asia. I suggest that houbara are very adaptable in their nesting requirements, and that nesting in areas that have trees may be a natural behaviour within the southern extremity of their range, rather than an aberrant behaviour by naive captive-reared and released birds.

I collected regular location data for all reintroduced adult houbara and used these to describe patterns of home range use in Chapter 6. Houbara have large (13000 - 16000 ha) home ranges. Male home ranges were smaller in the breeding and largest in pre-breeding seasons, whereas females had large breeding ranges and small pre-breeding ranges. Both sexes had multiple (1 - 4) cores within each range, and used most parts of their core areas. Half of all birds were site faithful to some part of core areas between seasons and years, but the level of actual home range overlap was low. Individual variation in overlap areas was high, and was similar for males and females. Home ranges were not exclusive, indicating a level of shared use of areas, but houbara were not gregarious, and were positively associated with one another on only 9.4 % of occasions. Generally, over a season, individual birds overlapped in the use of an area spatially, but not temporally. In the breeding season, associations were more prevalent. I discuss four non-exclusive hypotheses for differences between sexes in home range use: mate, nest-site or food searching by females, and contraction of range by males during display.

Houbara are a lekking species, where the males give elaborate displays for the purpose of obtaining a mate, but take no part in raising young. In Chapter 7, I described in detail the pattern and timing of male display behaviours, and tested whether males differ in the intensity of their display within each season. On 100 occasions, I recorded a total of 570 displays, given by 16 of 17 adult males in the reserve. Males spent a mean of 67 secs in running displays, 2 mins 14 secs between runs, and gave 17.8 display runs per hour. During a single run males could cover up to 1.2 km (straight-line distance), and range over an area that was 2.2 km in diameter during a morning. Males displayed from daylight for 4 hrs, and for 2.5 hrs before dusk until dusk, from January to May. No displays were seen outside that period. Intensity of displaying differed between males within a season, and thus, males were providing females with an opportunity to assess male fitness by the intensity of the display.

In Chapter 8, I used location data collected during observations on houbara breeding behaviour and home range studies to test predictions about the location of male lek sites relative to females. There are several competing models that attempt to explain why males cluster at specific sites during displays. I tested three of four predictions of one of these models: the hotspot model, and showed that two predictions were supported by my data. Male houbara display sites were most likely to be found at sites where female numbers were high, and where many female ranges overlapped, but not at sites where females spent the most time. I discuss the significance of these results based on the unpredictable nature of local movements of females, but strong female site fidelity to an overall home range area. I further suggest that males are maximising encounters with females by conspicuously displaying from the same site for long periods, rather than adopting an alternative "floater" strategy, where males would travel to a site near to a female's core home range, display to her, then move to the next female's range.

Finally, in Chapter 9, I summarise these results in the context of the value of this reintroduction project in advancing our understanding of the process of reintroduction, and of houbara survival, breeding, home range, movements and behaviour, and I make recommendations for future work on the species. Specifically, I recommend that future research focuses on quantifying breeding parameters that are limiting population growth so as to determine whether further supplementation of this reintroduction attempt is required, and on ensuring releases are conducted in sites where predators are more aggressively controlled, or where they are absent. Further, I recommend that houbara are released from more spacious cages that allow birds a greater degree of movement before release. In addition, houbara in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve offer an excellent opportunity to examine questions on the mating and social behaviour of the species, and on lek theory: research opportunities that are greatly aided by having population to further address these questions.

Acknowledgments

Work in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve was carried out with the support of HRH Prince Saud Al Faisal, Dr Abdulaziz H. Abuzinada, Jacques Renaud, and the National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development. I thank staff at National Wildlife Research Centre, Taif, for support, especially Abdul Rhaman Khoja, Patrick Paillat, Stephane Ostrowski, Philip Seddon, Yolanda van Heezik, and Jean Yves Cardrona. Thanks to Jacky Judas, Danny Lenain, Basheer Pazhayakandathil, Walid Rhaman, Philip Seddon, and Nicola Wells for assistance in the field, and to Captains' Hans Hemmingsen, Sulaiman Salem Al Mirabi, and particularly, Captain Clark Dechant, who piloted most flights. Basheer Chukkan and Kati Tsagarakis provided the base map for Figure 2.1. Special thanks to my supervisors, Hugh Ford, and Philip Seddon for their patience and support, and to Stuart Cairns for help with statistical analyses in Chapter 3. I am grateful for the use of breeding data from April and May 1998, presented in Chapter 4. These data were collected by Jacky Judas and are used with his permission. Jacky was trained by me in March 1998 to find and closely monitor the remaining houbara nests and chicks for that season, and he used techniques developed by me to do so. Finally, thanks to Nikki for spending those long hot days with me, exploring the deserts of Arabia, and sharing the joys and trials of working with houbara.

Table of Contents

Abstract iii
Acknowledgmentsvii
Table of Contents
List of Figuresxi
List of Tables and Appendicesxiv
1. General introduction
2. General methods
3. Factors affecting survival of captive-reared sub-adult Asiatic houbara
(Chlamydotis [undulata] macqueenii) released into Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve,
Saudi Arabia
Abstract9
Introduction10
Methods14
Results
Numbers of birds released22
Survival of released birds23
Cause of mortality26
Location of mortality events28
Age of released birds and time to death28
Captures of predators
Discussion
4. Breeding performance of reintroduced female Asiatic houbara Chlamydotis
[<i>undulata</i>] <i>macqueenii</i> in Saudi Arabia
Abstract42
Introduction43
Methods45
Results47
Timing of nesting49
Nesting attempts related to rainfall49

Clutch size	51
Egg size	51
Incubation period	52
Fledging period	53
Chick weights	53
Nest fate	55
Causes of chick and fledgling mortality	59
Double brooding attempts	60
Nesting attempts and success related to female experience	60
Discussion	64
5. Location of Asiatic houbara (Chlamydotis [undulata] macqueenii) ne	ests in relation
to vegetation and substrate characteristics	
Abstract	73
Introduction	74
Methods	75
Results	79
Locations of nests relative to Launay's (1990) habitat types	79
Description of habitat near nest sites	80
Substrate and vegetation characteristics at and near nest sites	
Description of habitat at nest sites	
Discussion	
6. Home range and movements of adult Asiatic houbara (Chlamydo	otis [undulata]
<i>macqueenii</i>) in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve, Saudi Arabia	
Abstract	90
Introduction	91
Methods	93
Results	
Home range area	
Distances between locations and patterns of movement	
Site fidelity	
Interaction analyses	106
Range centre spacing	
Dynamic interactions	

Discussion	
7. Display behaviour of breeding male Asiatic houbara (Chlamydoti	s [undulata]
<i>macqueenii</i>) in Saudi Arabia	
Abstract	120
Introduction	121
Methods	124
Results	
Display running and inter-display periods	
Number of feather flashes during final phase	130
Distances travelled during display runs	
Occurrence of feeding when on display site	
Ranking of males over all three study years	
Length of time spent on the start phase	
Daily and seasonal timing of displays	
Interactions with other individuals	
Discussion	
8. Predicting locations of Asiatic houbara (Chlamydotis [undulata] macq	<i>ueenii)</i> leks:
Do males congregate at hotspots?	
Abstract	
Introduction	144
Methods	146
Results	151
Are displays at sites where females spend most time?	
Are display sites located where female densities are highest?	
Do males display at sites where most female home ranges overlap?	154
Discussion	156
9. General discussion	
10. References	
11. Appendices	

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Map of the Arabian Peninsula, showing the location of Mahazat as-Sayd
Reserve in Saudi Arabia, and other reserves mentioned in the text
Figure 2.2: Map of Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve showing the location of major tracks, small
hills, rain gauges, camp and release enclosure7
Figure 3.1: (A) Example of interior of "soft" cages used to hold houbara at the three release
sites in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve in 199715
Figure 3.1: (B) Plan and oblique views of the "soft" cage design used to hold houbara at the
three release sites in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve in 199716
Figure 3.2: A section of the 4 km ² mammalian predator-proof enclosure for houbara,
showing fence design and general habitat17
Figure 3.3: Location of cage and leg-hold trap sites adjacent to the release enclosure20
Figure 3.4: Effect of release site on mortality rate: Kaplan-Meier Product-Limit survival
analysis curves of the cumulative proportion of houbara surviving two months from
those released into the enclosure or directly into Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1995 to
199724
Figure 3.5: Location of release sites and sites where released houbara were found dead:
released from enclosure, Site 1, Site 2 and Site 3
Figure 3.6: Mean (+ 95 % C.I.) distance between release site and where houbara were
killed. Predator control occurred in all years and sites except for 199330
Figure 3.7: Cumulative proportion of birds that died related to the distance of the site of
death from the release site, for houbara released into the enclosure from 1995 to 1998,
and for those released into the reserve in 1997, and enclosure
Figure 4.1: Number of houbara nests found per month in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from
1996 to 1998, and the total monthly rainfall50
Figure 4.2: Comparison of egg volumes of houbara (C. [undulata] macqueenii) eggs from
seven sites52
Figure 4.3: Houbara chicks at three different ages
Figure 4.4: Comparison of weights for 19 houbara chicks of known age caught in Mahazat
as-Sayd Reserve in 1997 and 199855

Figure 5.1: Typical examples of vegetation and nest sites from aerial images taken in 1997. 78 Figure 5.2: Location of 49 nests sites in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1996 to 1998......80 Figure 5.4: Compass directions for location of the nearest vegetation, debris, or stones, for Figure 5.5: Box plots (black squares = means, boxes = S.D., lines = \pm 95 % C.I.) of (A) vegetation height and (B) distance to vegetation, within a 2 m quadrat at 22 nest sites in Figure 6.1: Mean (± 95 % C.I.) home range areas calculated using the 70 % Bivariate Normal Ellipse method for male and female houbara in each of nine seasonal periods from May 1995 to March 1998......100 Figure 6.2: Mean (+ 95 % C.I.) core home range areas calculated using the 70 % Hierarchical Incremental Cluster Analyses method for male and female houbara in each of nine seasonal periods from May 1995 to March 1998.....101 Figure 6.3: Mean (+ 95 % C.I.) number of home range core areas per houbara per season over three years......102 Figure 6.4: Movement patterns for three male and three female adult houbara (tx frequencies given) over a one-year period in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve in 1997.104 Figure 6.5: Mean (+ 95 % C.I.) distance (km) moved between successive locations for male and female houbara in each of nine season-year periods......105 Figure 6.6: The proportion of individual houbara home ranges (70 % HIC) that were overlapped with at least one other of its own core ranges from another season, for males and females in the breeding, pre- and post-breeding seasons......106 Figure 6.7: Area of overlap categories for individual houbara home ranges (70 % HIC) for males and females in the breeding, pre- and post-breeding seasons.108 Figure 6.8: (A) 70 % BNE plots of representative home ranges of houbara in Mahazat as-Figure 6.8: (B) 70 % HIC plots of representative home ranges of houbara in Mahazat as-Figure 6.9: Proportion (± 95 % C.I.) of interactions that were female-female, female-male

xii

Figure 6.10: Plot of weekly locations of adult houbara in Mahazat as-Savd Reserve, Figure 7.1: Male houbara in typical posture during a display run. The erect white plumes Figure 7.2: Mean (+ 95 % C.I.) time (secs) spent in display runs for individual male houbara Figure 7.3: Mean (+95 % C.I.) time (secs) spent in inter-display periods for individual male Figure 7.4: Mean (+ 95 % C.I.) number of feather flashes given in final phase of displays for Figure 7.5: Mean (+ 95 % C.I.) distance ran during displays for individual male houbara Figure 7.6: Daily timing of male houbara displays in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1996 to Figure 8.1: Percent of female core home ranges (70 % HIC) that encompassed (open bars), or excluded (shaded bars) one or more male display sites in each of three spring seasons Figure 8.2: Location of cores of female home ranges (70 % HIC polygons) and male display sites in Mahazat as-Savd Reserve in each of three spring seasons from 1996 to 1998. Figure 8.3: Percentage of male display sites in 25 km² grid cells for four categories of female density, for three spring seasons from 1996 to 1998......153 Figure 8.4: Location of female home ranges (70 % BNE) showing regions of overlap, and male display sites (orange circles) in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve in each of three Figure 8.5: Observed location of male display sites at regions of differing female home

Figure 8.6: Typical display sites used by houbara males in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve.159

List of Tables and Appendices

Table 3.1: Release and conditioning protocols for houbara sub-adults released into Mahazat
as-Sayd Reserve from 1995 to 199718
Table 3.2: Dates of opening of cage traps adjacent to the release enclosure, and the number
of trap nights per year19
Table 3.3: Summary of number, group size, timing, and release location for houbara
released into Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1995 to 199723
Table 3.4: Number of birds that were released inside the enclosure or directly into Mahazat
as-Sayd Reserve (Sites 1 – 3) from 1995 to 1997, and their fate
Table 3.5: Number (%) of sub-adult houbara recruited into the breeding population in each
year from 1995 to 199726
Table 3.6: Fate as at 28 March 1998 of all houbara released from 1995 to 1997
Table 3.7: Mean ± 95 % C.I., minimum and maximum (a) age at release, (b) number of days
from release to leaving the enclosure, (c) age at death, (d) days from release until death,
and (e) days from leaving enclosure until death, of all sub-adult houbara released into
Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1995 to 1997, for which bodies were found31
Table 3.8: Total number of mammalian predators, and number of individuals captured
during trapping at houbara release sites, and the number of predators translocated from
these sites, from 1995 to 1997
Table 3.9: Details of red foxes previously tagged and released on site, or translocated, that
were recaptured at one of four sites in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve
Table 4.1: Age distribution of females within Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve in each year from
1996 to 1998, and the proportion of females that bred47
Table 4.2: Number of breeding attempts by female houbara in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve and
nests by managed and wild nest categories, in each year and for each female cohort48
Table 4.3: Total rainfall (mm) and months in which no rain fell from January 1995 to March
1998
Table 4.4: Fate of wild nests laid in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1996 to 1998. 56
Table 4.5: Fertility of eggs in all nests laid in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve. 57
Table 4.6: Number of nests that hatched chicks, number of females that were found with
chicks, and the fledging rate of those nests from 1996 to 1998

Table 4	4.7: Egg, hatching and fledging success rates and overall productivity for females in
М	ahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1996 to 199859
Table (4.8: Breeding parameters of female houbara in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1996
to	1998, based on prior breeding experience of the female61
Table 4	4.9: Timing of laying of first clutches in each year for females with no prior breeding
ex	sperience, compared to those with $1 - 3$ years prior experience
Table 4	4.10: Number of years of prior breeding experience of females in each year from 1996
to	199862
Table 4	4.11: Mean monthly temperature minima and maxima for each month and for two and
th	ree consecutive month combinations, over the three breeding seasons
Table	4.12: Comparison of other published studies with this study for various breeding
pa	trameters for Chlamydotis [undulata] macqueenii (C.u.m.) and C. undulata undulata
(C	Z.u.u.)
Table	5.1: Number (%) of houbara nests found from 1996 to 1998, for each of eight
su	bstrate/vegetation categories described by Launay (1990) in Mahazat as-Sayd
Re	eserve
Table :	5.2: Presence (+) or absence (-) of five substrate and vegetation types identified from
ae	rial photographs taken at 22 houbara nest sites in 1997
Table	5.3: Comparison of % cover of (A) substrate, (B) woody, (C) grass and (D) herb
ve	getation types reserve-wide with that found within 100 m and 2 m quadrats centred
or	n nest sites
Table :	5.4: Plant species, number of plants, mean (± 95 % C.I.) per site, for plants recorded
at	22 nest sites 1997
Table	6.1: Sampling periods (nine season-years and three seasons), number of male and
fe	male houbara, total and mean locations by sex for BNE, and total locations for HIC
hc	ome range analyses
Table	6.2: Mean home range (ha) and 95 % C.I. values for male and female houbara in the
br	eeding, pre- and post-breeding seasons (N = 3 years combined) as determined using
B	NE and HIC home range indices102
Table	6.3: Total number of possible home range combinations of any two individuals, the
pe	ercentage of combinations that overlapped, and the mean, and 95 % C.I. values for
th	ose combinations that overlapped, for each of nine season-year groups107

 Table 7.1: Type of observation of displays made from 1996 to 1998 in Mahazat as-Sayd

 Reserve.
 127

 Table 7.2: Male houbara display behaviours in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1996 to

 1998.

 Table 7.4: Ranking index for each displaying male houbara from 1996 to 1998......131

 Table 7.5: Number of sample periods per year and totals for all three years combined, in which individual male houbara fed or did not feed in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1996 to 1998.

 134

Appendix 1: (A) & (B) temperature data for Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1995 to March
1998. (C) Rainfall data from January 1997 to March 1998180
Appendix 2: Analyses and discussion of errors in locating houbara
Appendix 3: Sexing Houbara Bustards (Chlamydotis [undulata] macqueenii) using
footprint measurements
Appendix 4: Details of (A) all 152 houbara released in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve from 1995
to 1997, and (B) 21 adult houbara followed in this study that were released by
Combreau & Smith (1998)

xvii

	e			
	macqueenii nest in Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve, central Saud	li Arabia		195
Арр	endix 6: Identity of houbara that were located in a site	e more than	100 km	east of
	Mahazat as-Sayd Reserve, the timing of their departure an	d their return	1	198