# Public Participation in Planning: Political Prescriptions and Practitioners' Roles

NEIL BURDESS M.A.(Newcastle upon Tyne), B.A.(Hons) (Hull), Grad.Cert.Ed. (Hull)

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England



### **Abstract**

The post-war development of environmental planning has been accompanied by an on-going debate regarding the role of public participation. However, such discussion has often been limited both by the lack of any well-defined theoretical framework, and by the limited amount of empirical data in certain areas. This thesis contributes to the planning participation debate, both on a theoretical and empirical level, firstly by establishing a comprehensive political framework within which ideas concerning planning participation can be placed; and secondly, by determining how two potentially influential groups, local professional planners and local elected representatives, regard the concept of participation and their own role with reference to its practice.

The research shows that, on a theoretical level, the planning literature on participation can broadly be classified as predominantly taking either an elitist, pluralist or participationist approach, with the majority of comment relating to those forms which are most widely practised, that is pluralism and elitism. At the empirical level, although there are discernible differences in the level of support given by different subgroups of respondents, the dominant view of both local government planners and councillors is closely related to the elitist perspective, with public participation being regarded basically as a technique to stimulate the two-way flow of information between local government personnel and the general public.

# CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		1
	TER 2 IC PARTICIPATION AND MODERN DEMOCRATIC THEORY	8
2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6	A Critique of Pluralism Participatory Democracy A Critique of Participatory Democracy	8 14 18 22 26 32 37 41
	TER 3 IC PARTICIPATION IN PLANNING	45
	Introduction Democratic Elitism in Planning 3.2.1 The traditional approach	45 46 46 50
3.3	3.2.2 The modern approach A Critique of the Democratic Elitist Approach to Planning 3.3.1 A critique of the traditional approach 3.3.2 A critique of the modern approach	56 57 62
3.4 3.5	Pluralism in Planning A Critique of the Pluralist Approach to Planning 3.5.1 Relations between advocates and clients 3.5.2 Relations between advocates and politicians 3.5.3 Relations between groups in the bargaining process	69 74 74 78
3.6 3.7 3.8	Participatory Democracy in Planning A Critique of Participatory Democratic Approach to Planning	91 99
	TER 4 L PLANNING AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN NEW SOUTH WALES	105
4.1 4.2 4.3		104 106 109 110 112 115 117

4.4	Growth and Conflict: 1962-73 4.4.1 State Labor Government 4.4.2 State Liberal-Country Party Government	120 120 123
	4.4.3 Local government	127
	4.4.4 Planning profession	129
	4.4.5 General public	131
	4.4.6 Federal Labor Government	138
4.5		140
	4.5.1 State Liberal-Country Party Government	141
	4.5.2 State Labor Government	145 149
	4.5.3 Local government 4.5.4 Planning profession	151
	4.5.5 General public	155
4.6	Democratic Elitism and New South Wales Local Planning	157
4.7	Summary	160
СНАР	PTER 5	
	SSING THE LOCAL PLANNER'S PARTICIPATORY ROLE	165
	Introduction: Role Theory	165
5.2	Organisational Influences on Role Behaviour	167
	5.2.1 Office	168
	5.2.2 Organisation	170
E 2	5.2.3 Society	172 174
	Role-Set Influences on Role Behaviour Influence of the Focal Person on Role Behaviour	181
J.4	5.4.1 Motivation	185
	5.4.2 Abilities	192
5.5	Researching the Local Planner's Participatory Role	197
	New South Wales Local Planners: Data Collection	202
5.7	Summary	208
	TER 6	
THE	LOCAL PLANNER'S PERSONAL ROLE DEFINITION	210
6.1	Introduction	210
6.2	Perceived Value of Public Participation	210
6.3	Perceived Problems of Public Participation	221
	6.3.1 Quality and quantity of the public response	222
	6.3.2 Impact of participation on the planning	
6.4	process Summary	227 235
	·	
	TER 7	220
DETE	RMINANTS OF THE LOCAL PLANNER'S PERSONAL ROLE DEFINITION	238
7.1	Introduction	238
7.2	Professional Values	238
	7.2.1 Measures of professionalism	239
	7.2.2 Professionalism and public participation	249

	Social Expertise 7.3.1 Measures of social expertise 7.3.2 Social expertise and public participation Technical Expertise 7.4.1 Measures of technical expertise	260 260 266 272 273
7.5	7.4.2 Technical expertise and public participation Summary	288 302
-	TER 8 -SET DETERMINANTS: COUNCILLORS' EXPECTATIONS	305
8.1 8.2 8.3	Introduction Data Collection Councillors' Attitudes Regarding Public Participation	305 313 316
0.3	8.3.1 Arguments used by supporters of public participation 8.3.2 Arguments used by opponents of public	320
8.4	participation	328 329 330 334 338
8.5		341
	TER 9 NISATIONAL ROLE DETERMINANTS	345
	Introduction Data Collection Avenues for Participation 9.3.1 Citizen committees 9.3.2 Conduct of council and committee meetings 9.3.3 Group and individual meetings 9.3.4 School contacts 9.3.5 Public opinion polls 9.3.6 News media and council literature 9.3.7 Public relations officers and committees 9.3.8 Other participatory activities	345 356 359 363 366 367 368 371 372
9.4 1 9.5	The Participatory Environment and the Local Planner's Role Summary	374 379
	TER 10 LUDING COMMENTS	382

397
10
10
12
114
16
122
135
137
141
141 143
145
150
152
154
6

# LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	A basic model identifying three types of democracy	11
2.2	The relative positions of three types of democracy	13
3.1	The relative positions of three types of planning participation in a democracy	103
5.1	Determinants of a local planner's participatory role	198
6.1	Local planners: perceived importance of a knowledge- able public	211
6.2	Local planners: median scores from Thurstone attitude scale	219
6.3	Local planners: response to the statement that 'Public participation should be seen as a very important part of the local planning process'	220
6.4	Local planners: perception of the current level of public participation in the planning of their administrative area	220
7.1	Local planners: planning qualifications	240
7.2	Local planners: areas of professional qualifications	242
7.3	Local planners: gross annual salary	244
7.4	Local planners: professionalism index scores	249
7.5	Local planners: introversion-extroversion scores	261
7.6	Local planners: social club memberships	263
7.7	Local planners: social expertise index scores	265
7.8	Local planners: technical expertise index scores	288
8.1	Local councillors: representation ratios, 1977-78	309
9.1	Levels of organisational role determinants	346
9.2	Local authorities: number of qualified planners	348
9.3	Local authorities: participation index scores	375

## LIST OF TABLES

4.1	Metropolitan primacy in New South Wales	105
4.2	Green Bans in Sydney	137
6.1	Local planners: perceived importance of a knowledge-able public	218
6.2	Local planners: average annual number of talks given to school groups during the previous two years	230
7.1	Local planners: professional qualifications	239
7.2	Local government planning as a full time occupation in N.S.W.	243
7.3	Local planners: membership of professional organisations	245
7.4	Local planners: public participation attitude and professional planning qualification and position	252
7.5	Local planners: public participation attitude and membership of professional groups	254
7.6	Local planners: public participation attitude and departmental status	255
7.7	Local planners: public participation attitude and salary	257
7.8	Local planners: public participation attitude and professionalism index score	258
7.9	Local planners: political activities	264
7.10	Local planners: public participation attitude and Eysenck introversion-extroversion scores	266
7.11	Local planners: public participation attitude and affiliation behaviour	268
7.12	Local planners: public participation attitude and political activity	270
7.13	Local planners: public participation attitude and social expertise index	271
7.14	Local planners: duration of work with current employer	275
7 15	Local planners: residence location	277

7.16	Local planners: knowledgeability of selected participation literature	281
7.17	Local planners: perceived importance of selected influences on participation attitudes	289
7.18	Local planners: attitude towards and experience of public participation	290
7.19	Local planners: public participation attitude and private advocacy activities	291
7.20	Local planners: public participation attitude and current job duration	292
7.21	Local planners: public participation attitude and residential location	293
7.22	Local planners: public participation attitude and knowledgeability of selected participation literature	294
7.23	Local planners: public participation attitude and perceived personal participation competence	296
7.24	Local planners: public participation attitude and technical expertise scores	297
8.1	Local councillors and planners: distribution of Thurstone attitude scale scores	317
8.2	Local councillors and planners: response to the statement that 'Public participation should be seen as a very important part of the local planning process	318
8.3	Local councillors and planners: perception of current level of public participation in planning	319
8.4	Local councillors and planners: perceived importance of a knowledgeable public	325
8.5	Local councillors, planners and N.S.W. adult males: age distribution	332
8.6	Local councillors and planners: length of service with current local authority	333
8.7	Local councillors: political party affiliations	337
8.8	Local councillors: public participation attitude, by political party affiliation	338

8.9	Local councillors in the labour force: occupational status	339
8.10	Local councillors in employment: occupation	339
8.11	Local councillors: public participation attitude and socio-economic status	341
9.1	Council clerks questionnaire: response rates	359
9.2	Local authorities: establishment of s.527 and s.530A citizen committees	363
9.3	Local authorities: number of occasions during 1978 when a citizen addressed a meeting of the full council	364
9.4	Local authorities: response to poll result	370
9.5	Local authorities: participation index scores by type of local authority	376
9.6	Local authorities: participation index scores by type of authority and employment of qualified planners	379

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This research was undertaken while I was on the teaching staff of the University of New England and, later, Warrnambool Institute of Advanced Education. I wish to thank my colleagues in both institutions for their support. In particular, I am indebted to my supervisor, Dr. Richard Day. Thanks also go to a number of people involved with the word processing: Bruce McKenzie, Helen McKenzie, Maureen Mackay, Val Walker and Robert Barraclough. Special thanks go to my wife for her assistance with proof-reading and, more especially, for her continued forbearance and encouragement. Finally, this study would not have been possible were it not for the cooperation of local government planners, elected representatives and council clerks throughout New South Wales. To them I must express my sincerest appreciation.