

Nitrous oxide emissions from acidic Black Vertosol: effects of residues, nitrogen additions and pH

Nazma Begum

BSc Ag. (BAU, Bangladesh), MSc Horticulture (University of Hannover,
Germany), MSc Nematology (University of Ghent, Belgium)

**A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)**

**School of Environmental and Rural Science
University of New England
Armidale, NSW, Australia**

July 2012

Acknowledgements

To my excellent supervisors, Dr Chris Guppy, Prof David Herridge and Dr Graeme Schwenke, I owe my deepest appreciation for their extraordinary guidance and enormous support without all of which this thesis would not have been possible.

I am greatly indebted to Dr Chris Guppy for giving me the privilege to work with him. He made the project possible by assuring all kinds of academic, administrative and financial support whenever I needed it. His sincere concern for student's personal and professional well-being is a great example as to why he is a great academic advisor.

I want to express my sincere gratitude to Prof David Herridge and Dr Graeme Schwenke, whose expertise and energy have greatly expedited the research. Professor David Herridge was always willing to take time out of his day to provide me with the knowledge and skills required to conduct and present scientific research, for which I am extremely grateful. Sincere thanks to Dr Graeme Schwenke for helping with gas sampling protocol, flux calculations and of course for lending the vacuum pump which made my work a lot easier.

Special thanks to Craig Birchall for assisting in the irrigation facilities and all kind of agronomic advice in the glasshouse experiments. My heart-felt thanks to Leanne Lisle, Gary Cluley and Michael Faint for their ever helping attitude and great technical assistance.

The University of New England (UNE) is greatly acknowledged for providing the scholarship to pursue my doctoral research.

My warmest thanks go to all of my fellow postgraduates and administrative assistant, Rozlyn Mortimer of Agronomy and Soil Science, UNE. Special thanks are due to Fazle Rabbi, Giregon Olupot, Nimai Senapati, Christine Walela, Hla Myo Thwe and Tania Khandakar for being friends, sharing their scientific knowledge and experience, friendly support and inspiration throughout the PhD. I would like to acknowledge the great assistance from Miranda Pen and Solomon Mwendia during harvesting.

I am indebted to my husband, MD Khaled Nasimul Bari and my son Ahnaf Intesar Bari for understanding and supporting me throughout. I also wish to thank my entire extended family back at home.

At last, but not least, I wish to thank my parents, MD Abdul Jalil (Late) and Mrs Maimuna Khatun (Late), who were always supportive, inspiring and proud of me. I dedicate this thesis to my mom, who passed away while I was writing this thesis.

Abstract

Agricultural soils are a major source of atmospheric nitrous oxide (N₂O), a potent greenhouse gas contributing approximately 6% of the total radiative forcing from anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. Vertosols are the major soil type throughout much of the grain-growing areas of northern NSW and southern Queensland in Australia. In these areas, cultivation of grain legumes, retention of crop residues after grain harvest and increased use of fertilizer-nitrogen (N) are common practices, all of which are potentially important sources of N₂O emissions. Vertosols are fine-textured and have poor internal drainage, which can lead to greater periods of saturated, anaerobic conditions conducive to N₂O emissions through denitrification. This underlines the need to better understand the relative contribution of different N sources (i.e. fertilizer-N, crop residue-N, biologically-fixed N₂) to N₂O emissions from cropped Vertosols in order to define the least emitting system. The long-term aim is reduction of N₂O emissions from Australian agricultural systems.

In the five experiments reported in this thesis, I examined the relative effects of fertilizer-N rates and biologically-fixed N₂ on N₂O emissions from an acidic Black Vertosol and further examined the effects of residue sources, particularly root *versus* shoot, and soil pH on N₂O emissions from the same soil. Previous studies indicated that a significant amount of N (30–50% of total plant N) remains below-ground after grain harvest. This constitutes a major portion of residue-N and C and could be an important factor affecting N₂O emissions during residue decomposition. The relevance and importance of below-ground residues was explored throughout the experiments, particularly in those examined in Experiments 2 (Chapter 4) and 3 (Chapter 5).

In the first two experiments, I attempted to mimic field conditions of plant growth and residue decomposition by growing both winter (Chapter 3) and summer (Chapter 4) crop plants in 75-cm long cylindrical cores, repacked with soil from a nearby field, in a glasshouse. Watering was facilitated by an overhead watering system to simulate natural rainfall. After grain harvest, all above-ground plant residues were returned to the soil surface. Below-ground residues remained *in-situ* in the soil. Nitrous oxide emissions from each pot

were measured weekly during the growing season and fortnightly during the post-harvest fallow using a manual chamber method.

In Experiment 1 (glasshouse study), crop species were wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and canola (*Brassica napus*), and both were fertilized at low N (50 kg N ha⁻¹) and high N (150 kg N ha⁻¹) rates at sowing. Nitrous oxide fluxes ranged from -0.5 to 374 µg N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹ in the growing season and from 3.31 to 633 µg N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹ during the post-harvest period. Increasing the fertilizer-N rate increased cumulative emissions from wheat pots by 70% and from canola pots by 118% during the two-month period following fertilizer application. Two thirds of the annual N₂O emissions occurred during the post-crop fallow, which was related to soil moisture content and cumulative rainfall. On average, post-crop N₂O emissions from wheat pots (LN and HN) tended to be higher than for canola, which was presumably in part due to the higher soil moisture contents of the former resulting from earlier maturity, and possibly also to earlier residue decomposition.

The main objective of Experiment 2 (glasshouse study) was to compare the magnitude of N₂O emissions during plant growth and *in-situ* residue decomposition of soybean (*Glycine max* L.), grown without fertilizer-N, and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) fertilized at two different fertilizer-N rates, low N (50 kg N ha⁻¹) and high N (150 kg N ha⁻¹). Overall, there were no significant differences in N₂O fluxes between the legume system (non N-fertilized soybean) and sorghum fertilized with synthetic N. There was also a negative correlation ($R^2=0.86$, $p<0.01$) between soil NO₃⁻-N and the C:N ratios of above-ground residues. During the post-crop fallow, N₂O fluxes from soybean and sorghum were significantly and positively correlated with soil moisture, NO₃⁻-N and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). The cumulative N₂O losses from unplanted control pots were nearly three times greater compared to either soybean or sorghum pots. These higher losses were associated with higher soil moisture and NO₃⁻-N contents in the control pots. In this experiment, I also attempted to quantify the below-ground N addition from soybean and sorghum using a ¹⁵N leaf feeding technique. Unfortunately, the results for below-ground N estimations were inconclusive, principally because the methodology did not work for sorghum. However, the estimate for below-ground N for soybean, at 28% of the total plant N, appeared valid and closely paralleled estimates from other studies.

Experiment 3 was a laboratory incubation study designed to evaluate residue quality (%N, C:N ratio) effects on N₂O emissions and to evaluate the effects of the source of the residues, i.e. shoot *versus* root, on N₂O emissions. The same Black Vertosol soil used in the glasshouse experiments was amended with shoot or root residues of wheat, canola, soybean or sorghum and incubated at 25°C and 70% water filled pore space (WFPS) for a period of 56 days. The N₂O emissions patterns did not vary between shoot and root residues. Surprisingly, highest emissions in this experiment were associated with soils amended with high C:N ratio residues (wheat and sorghum roots) and, to some extent, lowest emissions were associated with low C:N ratio residues during the early stages of incubation (0–22 days). During this stage, there was a strong negative correlation between N₂O emissions and microbial respiration and a positive correlation between microbial respiration and DOC. These results suggest that residue decomposition negatively affected N₂O emissions during this early stage, presumably due to a combination of high background levels of NO₃⁻-N being denitrified and emitting N₂O, and different degrees of N immobilization affected by residue quality. In the later stages of the study (23–56 days), the high N, low C:N ratio residues of soybean shoot and canola roots had a three and two times higher N₂O flux, respectively, compared to the unamended control soil.

The final two experiments (Experiments 4 and 5) were laboratory incubation studies designed to evaluate the short-term effect of changing soil pH on N₂O emissions from the same acidic Black Vertosol. I used lime additions to raise the pH from 5.5 to 6.5, 7.4 and 7.7. In Experiment 4, the soils were treated without any added N and C (control), with both N (0.1 mg KNO₃-N g⁻¹ dry soil) and C (0.3 mg glucose-C g⁻¹ dry soil) added, or N alone added. Incubations lasted for 3 days at 70% WFPS. In Experiment 5, I used the acetylene inhibition technique at two soil moisture contents (60% and 80% WFPS) to examine the effects of changing soil pH on N₂O emissions and on the N₂O:(N₂O+N₂) ratio of the emitted gases. A naturally occurring alkaline Black Vertosol was also used in this experiment as a reference. Across the two experiments, highest N₂O emissions were observed at the natural pH (5.5) of the acidic Black Vertosol, with emissions progressively declining as the pH was increased to 6.5, 7.4, and 7.7. This pH effect was a combination of changes in denitrification rates coupled with an increase in the N₂O:(N₂O+N₂) emissions product ratio. Denitrification

potential in the naturally-alkaline Vertosol did not vary with that from naturally-acidic Vertosol either unlimed or limed at the higher soil moisture content. Results illustrated the potential of lowering N₂O emissions by 40–70% through liming of acid soils, with trends in these two experiments consistent across various substrate conditions and moisture contents.

To conclude, although fertilizer-N rates, residue sources and soil pH all influenced N₂O emissions, soil moisture appeared to be the dominant factor controlling the magnitude of N₂O emissions from this acidic Black Vertosol, which was inherently rich in both total and mineral N.

Table of Contents

Declaration	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xvi
CHAPTER 1 General Introduction	1
<i>1.1 Background</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>1.2 Research questions</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>1.3 Structure of dissertation</i>	<i>6</i>
CHAPTER 2 Review of Literature	8
<i>2.1 The Greenhouse effect and the contribution of N₂O</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>2.2 Nitrogen transformations and processes involved in N₂O production in soil</i>	<i>9</i>
2.2.1 Mineralization	10
2.2.2 Nitrification	11
2.2.3 Immobilization	12
2.2.4 Denitrification	13
<i>2.3 Factors affecting the N₂O emissions from soil</i>	<i>14</i>
2.3.1 Moisture and aeration	14
2.3.2 Temperature	16
2.3.3 Soil pH	17
2.3.4 Soluble and readily decomposable carbon	18
2.3.5 Nitrate concentration	19
2.3.6 Tillage	20
<i>2.4 Anthropogenic sources of N₂O emissions in agriculture</i>	<i>20</i>
2.4.1 Fertilizer N inputs and N ₂ O emissions	21
2.4.2 Biologically fixed N ₂ and N ₂ O emission	23
2.4.3 Nitrous oxide emissions from crop residues	26
2.4.4 Below-ground residue-N	29

2.5 Comparison of N ₂ O emissions between legume and non-legume systems	31
CHAPTER 3 Assessing N₂O emissions from an acidic Black Vertosol during a growing season and post-crop fallow for wheat and canola	33
3.1 Introduction	33
3.2 Experimental details	35
3.3 Nitrous oxide measurement	36
3.4 Soil analysis	38
3.5 Statistical analysis	39
3.6 Results	39
3.6.1 Crop yield, N uptake by plants, N concentration and C:N ratio of residues	39
3.6.2 Soil moisture content	40
3.6.3 Soil mineral N	40
3.6.4 Nitrous oxide flux during the growing season and post-harvest period	41
3.6.5 Rainfall	44
3.6.6 Relationships between N ₂ O emissions and other measured variables	45
3.7 Discussion	48
3.8 Conclusion	51
CHAPTER 4 Nitrous oxide emissions from an acidic Black Vertosol growing either N₂-fixing soybean or N-fertilized sorghum	53
4.1 Introduction	53
4.2 Materials and methods	55
4.2.1 Experimental details	55
4.2.2 Labeling of plants with ¹⁵ N	56
4.2.3 Harvesting ¹⁵ N labeled plants and soil	57
4.2.4 Harvesting plants involved in N ₂ O measurements	57
4.2.5 Nitrous oxide measurement	58
4.2.6 Soil and plant sample analysis	58
4.2.7 Calculation of BGN	58
4.2.8 Statistical analysis	59
4.3 Results	60
4.3.1 Nitrous oxide emissions from biologically-fixed N ₂ and fertilizer-N	60
4.3.2 Cumulative N ₂ O emissions, soil moisture and soil mineral N during the post-harvest fallow period	62

4.3.3 Yields and N contents of harvested grain and residues	63
4.3.4 Biomass yields and ¹⁵ N enrichments of fractions of ¹⁵ N-labeled plants.....	63
4.3.5 Recovery and distribution of ¹⁵ N within the plant fractions and soil	64
4.3.6 Total plant N and estimated BGN.....	65
4.3.7 Influence of environmental and soil variables on N ₂ O emissions	66
4.4 <i>Discussion</i>	70
4.5 <i>Conclusion</i>	73
CHAPTER 5 Nitrous oxide emissions from an acidic Black Vertosol amended with shoot and root residues	74
5.1 <i>Introduction</i>	74
5.2 <i>Materials and methods</i>	76
5.2.1 Soil	76
5.2.2 Incubations	76
5.2.3 Gas sampling and analysis	77
5.2.4 Soil and plant sample analysis	78
5.2.5 Statistical analysis	79
5.3 <i>Results</i>	79
5.3.1 Nitrous oxide emissions.....	79
5.3.2 Carbon dioxide emissions	81
5.3.3 Changes of mineral N and DOC concentrations and their relationships with residue quality	82
5.3.4 The influence of C:N ratios of the residues, soil mineral N and DOC on N ₂ O and CO ₂ emissions.....	86
5.4 <i>Discussion</i>	89
5.4.1 Residue source, quality and N ₂ O emissions	90
5.4.2 Shoot and root residue effects on N ₂ O and CO ₂ emissions.....	91
5.5 <i>Conclusion</i>	92
CHAPTER 6 Increasing pH of an acidic Black Vertosol through liming lowers nitrous oxide emissions	93
6.1 <i>Introduction</i>	93
6.2 <i>Materials and methods</i>	95
6.2.1 Soils.....	95
6.2.2 Experiment 1	95
6.2.2.1 Gas sampling and analysis	96

6.2.3 Experiment 2.....	97
6.2.4 Soil analysis	98
6.2.5 Statistical analysis.....	98
<i>6.3 Results.....</i>	<i>98</i>
6.3.1 Experiment 1.....	98
6.3.1.1 Liming effect on pH.....	98
6.3.1.2 Effect of pH on N ₂ O emissions.....	99
6.3.1.3 Mineral N concentrations.....	101
6.3.2 Experiment 2.....	102
6.3.2.1 Effect of Vertosol pH on denitrification (+C ₂ H ₂ treatments).....	102
6.3.2.2 Effect of Vertosol pH on N ₂ O emissions (-C ₂ H ₂ treatments).....	103
6.3.2.3 Cumulative N ₂ O emissions and effect of pH on the N ₂ O:(N ₂ O+N ₂) ratio.....	105
6.3.2.4 Mineral N concentrations.....	107
<i>6.4 Discussion.....</i>	<i>108</i>
CHAPTER 7 General discussions, conclusions	111
Appendices	117
References.....	121

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Dry matter yield, N concentration and total N of grain and above-ground residues (AGR), C:N ratio of AGR, and harvest index (HI) from pots	40
Table 3.2 Soil mineral N (NO_3^- -N and NH_4^+ -N) concentration and gravimetric moisture content collected at 0–30 and 30–60 cm depth	41
Table 4.1 Dry matter yields and total N concentration of grain and above-ground residues (AGR), and C:N ratio of AGR from soybean pots (no fertilizer-N), sorghum	63
Table 4.2 Dry weight, total N contents and ^{15}N enrichments (atom% excess ^{15}N) at peak biomass of different plant fractions for labeled soybean, sorghum (Sgm)-LN	64
Table 4.3 Recovery and distribution of applied ^{15}N for shoot-labeled soybean, sorghum (Sgm)-LN and sorghum (Sgm)-HN grown in an acidic Black vertosol.....	65
Table 4.4 Below-ground N as a percentage of total plant-derived N for ^{15}N -shoot labeled soybean, sorghum (Sgm)-LN and sorghum (Sgm)-HN	66
Table 5.1 Selected physical and chemical properties of the 0–20 cm top soil layer of a Black Vertosol	76
Table 5.2 The N concentrations, C:N ratios and the amounts of N and C of incorporated plant residues	77
Table 5.3 Correlation coefficient (r) between %N and C:N ratios of plant residues and residue N mineralized in an acidic Black Vertosol	86
Table 5.4 Correlation coefficient (r) between the C:N ratio of plant residues and cumulative N_2O and CO_2 emissions during the early stage (first 22 days) and later stage	87
Table 6.1 Physical and chemical properties of the two Black Vertosols used in this study... ..	95
Table 6.2 The effect of lime on pH after 24 h and at the end (72 h) of the incubation period for an acidic Black Vertosol, either un-amended (control) or amended with	99
Table 6.3 Linear regressions between soil pH and cumulative N_2O emissions during 72 h of incubation for an acidic Black Vertosol, either unlimed or limed at different rates to produce a range of soil pHs (5.5, 6.5, 7.4 and 7.7)	101

Table 6.4 Soil NO_3^- -N ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) and NH_4^+ -N ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) concentrations for an acidic Black Vertisol, either unlimed or limed to produce a range of pH levels (5.5–7.7) 102

Table 6.5 Soil NO_3^- -N ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) concentrations in naturally-acidic Black Vertosols, either unlimed or limed at different rates to produce a range of soil pHs (5.5, 6.5 and 7.7) .. 107

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. Schematic representation of major N dynamics in cropping soil	10
Figure 2.2. Transformations of mineral N in soil	12
Figure 3.1 Volumetric soil moisture content at (a) 15 cm and (b) 40 cm depth, and (c) nitrous oxide fluxes from pots (n = 3) growing wheat (Wh) and canola (Ca) at two N rates	42
Figure 3.2 (a) Cumulative N ₂ O emissions from pots growing wheat (Wh) and canola (Ca) at two N rates (LN = 50 kg N ha ⁻¹ and HN = 150 kg N ha ⁻¹).....	44
Figure 3.3 Relationship between cumulative rainfall and N ₂ O emissions over the 6-month fallow period from the pots growing wheat (Wh) and canola (Ca) at two N rates	45
Figure 3.4 Relationship between volumetric soil moisture content at 15-cm depth recorded from one replicate pot of each treatment and N ₂ O emitted from those pots throughout the growing season and the subsequent 6-month fallow period.....	46
Figure 3.5 Relationship between volumetric soil moisture content at 40-cm depth recorded from one replicate pot of each treatment and N ₂ O emitted from those pots throughout the growing season and the subsequent 6-month fallow period.....	47
Figure 4.1 Graphs showing (a) simulated rainfall during the periods of plant growth and post-harvest residue decomposition and (b) nitrous oxide emissions from control (no plants), soybean (no fertilizer-N), sorghum (Sgm)-LN and sorghum (Sgm)-HN pots	61
Figure 4.2 (a) Cumulative N ₂ O emissions, (b) soil moisture (0-15 cm), (c) soil NO ₃ ⁻ -N and (d) NH ₄ ⁺ -N for control (unplanted pots), soybean (no fertilizer-N), sorghum (Sgm)-LN (+50 kg N ha ⁻¹) and sorghum (Sgm)-HN (+150 kg N ha ⁻¹) pots	62
Figure 4.3 Relationships between log-transformed N ₂ O fluxes during the post-harvest fallow and (a) soil moisture, (b) soil NO ₃ ⁻ -N concentration and (c) and (d) the same relationships for soil moisture and soil NO ₃ ⁻ -N but excluding the unplanted control	67
Figure 4.4 Combined effect of soil NO ₃ ⁻ -N concentration and soil moisture on log transformed N ₂ O fluxes during the post-harvest fallow	68
Figure 4.5 Relationships between dissolved organic carbon (DOC) extracted from an acidic Black Vertosol in pots planted to soybean (no fertilizer-N), Sgm-LN (+50 kg N ha ⁻¹) and Sgm-HN (+150 kg N ha ⁻¹) and N ₂ O emissions	69

Figure 4.6 Relationship between C:N ratio of the above-ground residues of soybean (no fertilizer-N), Sgm-LN (+50 kg N ha ⁻¹) and Sgm-HN (+150 kg N ha ⁻¹) and soil NO ₃ ⁻ -N extracted from these during the period of residue decomposition.....	70
Figure 5.1 Changes of N ₂ O and CO ₂ emissions during (a and c) the first 10 days and (b and d) last 46 days of incubation of an acidic Black Vertosol without any amendment (control) and amended with shoot (Sh) and root (Rt) residues of wheat (Wh), canola (Ca), soybean (Soy) and sorghum (Sgm).	80
Figure 5.2 Cumulative (a) N ₂ O and (b) CO ₂ emissions during the first 22 days and last 34 days of incubation of an acidic Black Vertosol without any amendment (control) and amended with shoot (Sh) and root (Rt) residues of wheat (Wh), canola (Ca), soybean (Soy) and sorghum (Sgm).....	81
Figure 5.3 Changes in (a) NO ₃ ⁻ -N, (b) NH ₄ ⁺ -N and (c) dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (C) contents during 56 days of incubation period of an acidic Black Vertosol without any amendment (control) and amended with shoot (Sh) and root (Rt) residues of wheat (Wh), canola (Ca), soybean (Soy) and sorghum (Sgm).	84
Figure 5.4 N mineralized from the residue-amended acidic Black Vertosol, calculated by subtracting mineral N of the control soil from that of a treatment. Amendments were shoot (Sh) and root (Rt) residues of wheat (Wh), canola (Ca), soybean (Soy) and sorghum (Sgm).	85
Figure 5.5 Relationship between DOC and CO ₂ fluxes during the early stage (first 22 days) of incubation for an acidic Black Vertosol amended with shoot (Sh) and root (Rt) residues of wheat (Wh), canola (Ca), soybean (Soy) and sorghum (Sgm).	87
Figure 5.6 Relationship between total CO ₂ and N ₂ O emissions during the early stage (first 22 days) of incubation for an acidic Black Vertosol amended with shoot (Sh) and root (Rt) residues of wheat (Wh), canola (Ca), soybean (Soy) and sorghum (Sgm)	88
Figure 5.7 Relationship between N ₂ O flux and NO ₃ ⁻ -N at the later stage of decomposition (D-37 and D-56) for an acidic Black Vertosol amended with shoot (Sh) and root (Rt) residues of wheat (Wh), canola (Ca), Soybean (Soy-Sh) and sorghum (Sgm)	89
Figure 6.1 Emissions of N ₂ O during 72 h of incubation from an acidic Black Vertosol, either unlimed or limed at different rates to produce a range of soil pHs (5.5, 6.5, 7.4 and 7.7) for (a) control (without any added N and C), (b) after addition of N only (100 µg KNO ₃ -N g ⁻¹ dry soil) and (c) after addition of N and C.	100

Figure 6.2 Fluxes of N_2O in the presence (+) and absence (-) of C_2H_2 from naturally-acidic Black Vertosols, either unlimed or limed at different rates to produce a range of soil pHs (5.5, 6.5 and 7.7) and from naturally-alkaline Black Vertosol of soil pH 8.5, amended with added N and C and incubated at (a) 80% WFPS and (b) 60% WFPS 104

Figure 6.3 Effect of soil pH on the cumulative emissions of N_2O in the presence (+) and absence (-) of C_2H_2 from naturally-acidic Black Vertosols, either unlimed or limed at different rates to produce a range of soil pHs (5.5, 6.5 and 7.7) and from naturally-alkaline Black Vertosol of soil pH 8.5. 105

Figure 6.4 Effect of soil pH on the molar ratio of $N_2O:(N_2O + N_2)$ of cumulative emissions from naturally-acidic Black Vertosols, either unlimed or limed at different rates to produce a range of soil pHs (5.5, 6.5 and 7.7), amended with N and C and incubated in the presence or absence of C_2H_2 at 80% WFPS for 3 days.. 106

List of Abbreviations

AGN	Above-ground nitrogen
AGR	Above-ground residues
BGN	Below-ground nitrogen
BGR	Below-ground residues
C	Carbon
C ₂ H ₂	Acetylene
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
D	Day
DAS	Days after sowing
DOC	Dissolved organic carbon
HN	High nitrogen
KNO ₃	Potassium nitrate
LN	Low nitrogen
N	Nitrogen
N ₂	Gaseous nitrogen
¹⁵ N	Nitrogen isotope with the mass 15
N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide
NH ₄ ⁺	Ammonium
NO ₃ ⁻	Nitrate
NSW	New South Wales
ng	Nanogram
µg	Microgram
Tg	Teragram
w/w	Weight per weight
w/v	Weight per volume
K ₂ SO ₄	Potassium sulphate
KCl	Potassium chloride