

**NATURE, DISTRIBUTION AND ORIGIN OF SOILS ON
AN ALLUVIAL LANDSCAPE IN THE LOCKYER VALLEY,
SOUTH-EAST QUEENSLAND**

by

Bernard Powell, B.Agr.Sc. (Qld), Qld Dipl. Agr.

**A thesis submitted in partial
fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of
Rural Science, University of
New England**

December, 1987

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	vi
PREFACE	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF PLATES	xv
SUMMARY	xvi
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1. DEVELOPMENT OF ALLUVIAL LANDSCAPES	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Nature of deposited alluvium	3
1.3 Behaviour of river systems	5
1.3.1 Introduction	5
1.3.2 River competence	5
1.3.3 River capacity	7
1.3.4 Channel pattern and solid load	8
1.4 Types of alluvial landforms	13
1.4.1 Introduction	13
1.4.2 Alluvial fans	16
1.4.3 Wash plains	20
1.4.4 Floodplains and terraces	22
1.4.5 Deltas	25
1.5 Periodicity in alluvial landscapes	26
2. EVOLUTION OF EASTERN AUSTRALIA	30
2.1 Geological history	30
2.1.1 Pre-cambrian shield	31
2.1.2 Tasman geosyncline	32
2.1.3 Extensive Mesozoic basins	34
2.1.4 Mesozoic and Cainozoic uplift	35
2.1.5 Cainozoic volcanic activity	38
2.1.6 Tertiary sedimentation	41

	Page	
2.1.7	Cycles of Cainozoic weathering, erosion and deposition	42
2.1.8	Late Cainozoic changes in sea level	46
2.2	Climate	47
2.3	Current river systems	50
2.4	Alluvial soil landscapes	54
3.	STRATEGIES FOR INVESTIGATING ALLUVIAL SOIL LANDSCAPES	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Principles of soil stratigraphy	56
3.2.1	Law of superposition	57
3.2.2	Separate identity	57
3.2.3	Lateral continuity	57
3.2.4	Ascendancy and descendancy	58
3.2.5	Pedogenic persistence	58
3.3	Soil stratigraphic units	60
3.3.1	Recognition of buried soil stratigraphic units	61
3.3.2	Characterisation of soil stratigraphic units	63
3.3.3	Ranking of soil stratigraphic units	64
3.3.3.1	Soil properties	65
3.3.3.2	Shape analysis	68
3.3.3.3	Size-shape distribution	69
3.3.3.4	Sub-microscopic description	69
3.3.3.5	Landform and landsurface morphology	69
3.4	Dating techniques	72
3.5	Environmental reconstruction	74
	INVESTIGATION OF STUDY AREA	77
4.	DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA	77
4.1	Location	77
4.2	Physiography and geology (catchment source materials)	79
4.2.1	Palaeozoic blocks and Mesozoic sediments	81
4.2.2	Tertiary basalt	84
4.2.3	Valley floor erosion and deposition	85
4.3	Climate	86
4.4	Vegetation	86
4.5	Land use	88
5.	METHODS	91
5.1	Field methods	91

	Page	
5.1.1	Soil survey	91
5.1.2	Detailed transect examination	92
5.1.3	Detailed site examination	92
5.2	Laboratory methods	94
5.2.1	Particle size analysis	94
5.2.2	Mineral composition of the clay fraction	94
5.2.3	Mineral composition of the fine sand fraction	100
5.2.4	Radio carbon dating	101
6.	GEOLOGY, GEOMORPHOLOGY AND NATURE OF SOURCE MATERIALS	102
6.1	Lithology and extent	102
6.2	Weathering and erosion of source materials	104
6.3	Mineralogical studies	106
6.3.1	Clay mineralogy	107
6.3.2	Fine sand mineralogy	112
6.4	Conclusions	113
7.	DISTRIBUTION, PROPERTIES AND ORIGIN OF SOILS ON THE ALLUVIUM	115
7.1	Previous surveys	115
7.2	Surveys in progress	115
7.3	Soil map	116
7.4	Properties of soil profile classes	118
7.4.1	Soils of the major stream floodplains and levees	118
7.4.2	Soils of the major stream terraces and plains	122
7.4.3	Soils of the major stream elevated terraces, fans and pediments	128
7.4.4	Soils of alluvial fans derived from basalt (upper reach tributaries)	134
7.4.5	Soils of the alluvial fans and flats derived from upper Marburg beds (middle reach tributaries)	136
7.4.6	Soils of the alluvial fans and flats derived from lower Marburg beds (lower reach tributaries)	140
7.5	Substrate materials	142
8.	NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF DETAILED TRANSECT	144
8.1	Introduction	144
8.2	Soils and landform	145
8.3	Fuzzy classification of pH profiles	153
8.3.1	Method	153
8.3.2	Results and discussion	155
8.4	Fuzzy classification and ordination of morphological profiles	160

	Page
8.4.1 Method	160
8.4.2 Results and Discussion	161
8.5 Fuzzy classification and ordination of morphological horizons	168
8.5.1 Method	168
8.5.2 Results and Discussion	169
9. STRATIGRAPHIC RELATIONSHIPS AND GEOMORPHIC FRAMEWORK	178
9.1 Description of pedoderms	181
9.1.1 Woodbine-Townson Pedoderm	181
9.1.2 Tenthill-Blenheim Pedoderm	182
9.1.3 Wilson Pedoderm	184
9.1.4 Lockyer-Robinson Pedoderm	184
9.2 Soil geomorphic history	185
10. CONCLUSIONS	196
BIBLIOGRAPHY	199
APPENDICES	
1. Alluvial soil landscapes in eastern Australia.	223
2. Land resource survey sheets and data codes.	246
3. Field descriptions of profiles sampled for mineralogy.	254
4. Description of deep core profiles.	273
5. Radiocarbon method and results.	278
6. Detailed descriptions of soil profile classes.	282
7. Particle size and mineralogy of representative soils on the alluvial landscape.	336
8. Structure conversions for detailed transect analysis.	342
MAPS (see pocket inside back cover)	
1. Lockyer Valley study area - Geology	
2. Lockyer Valley study area - Tenthill Creek and Lockyer Creek alluvia - Soils	

PREFACE

This thesis follows the conventions of the CSIRO style guide. Maps are enclosed in the pocket in the back cover.

The thesis contains two major components. The first major component is a review of the literature relevant to the study of alluvial soil landscapes. The second component covers the investigation of the study area with the results of the study being discussed under the themes of alluvial source materials, soils on the alluvium, numerical analysis and stratigraphic-geomorphic relationships.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In conducting this investigation I have been extremely fortunate in being able to use the facilities at the Department of Agronomy and Soil Science, University of New England and the CSIRO Division of Soils at Cunningham Laboratories, St. Lucia. I particularly wish to thank CSIRO for their assistance with the deep drilling program.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the Queensland Department of Primary Industries and the assistance of my colleagues in Land Resources Branch, especially Mr N. G. Christianos and Mr A. L. Barton.

I am deeply indebted to Mr D. Alter of the Department of Agronomy and Soil Science for technical assistance and to Dr N. Donnellan of the Department of Geology for x-ray fluorescence determinations.

To staff members of the CSIRO Division of Soils who generously provided help, I am greatly appreciative, especially the assistance of Mr K. J. Smith and Dr A. B. McBratney.

I am also appreciative of the cooperation provided by landholders who permitted entry to their properties during the field work.

My sincere thanks go to my supervisor Dr D. A. MacLeod for his advice and encouragement during the research programme, and also for his constructive criticism of the manuscript. To my external supervisor Dr B. M. Schafer of the Queensland Agricultural College, I am deeply indebted for our many discussions and his assistance throughout the investigation.

Finally I would like to thank those involved in the production of the manuscript. Drafting Section of the Land Resources Branch reproduced the figures and maps; Ms K. Griffin of Land Resources Branch typed early drafts of the manuscript and Ms L. Landers typed the profile descriptions; the final draft was typed by Ms M. Bentley.

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1. Classification of river patterns (Morisawa (1985), partly based on Schumm (1972) and Miall (1977).	9
Table 1.2. Genetic classification of valley sediments (Vanoni 1971).	15
Table 1.3. Characteristic textures of landform types in the Indus Valley (Holmes and Western 1969).	16
Table 2.1. Geological time scale and outline of events related to the tectonic evolution of Australia (Walker, 1980).	31
Table 2.2. Summary of characteristics and processes proposed for Quaternary fluvial landscapes at a range of locations in eastern Australia. (Adapted from Walker 1984).	53
Table 3.1. Soil diagnostic features and horizons grouped according to their mode of origin and relative persistence in paleosols (after Yaalon 1971).	59
Table 4.1. River patterns in the study area.	79
Table 4.1. Climatic data - Lawes (Australian Bureau of Meteorology 1975).	86
Table 4.2. Soil - vegetation associations.	87
Table 5.1. Sampling site details.	93
Table 5.2. Symbols used to report clay mineral results.	96
Table 6.1. Source materials in Tenthill Creek catchment.	103
Table 6.2. Sampling details for source materials.	106
Table 6.3. Particle size and clay mineralogy of source materials.	107
Table 6.4. Mineral composition of the 53-106 μ m sand fraction of source materials.	113
Table 7.1. Lithology - landscape soil groups and associated source materials.	116
Table 7.2. Morphology and landscape position of soils of the major stream floodplains and levees.	119
Table 7.3. Summary of analytical data for soils of the major stream floodplains and levees.	119
Table 7.4. Clay mineralogy of soils of the major stream floodplains and levees.	121
Table 7.5. Fine sand mineralogy of soils of the major stream floodplains and levees.	121

	Page
Table 7.6. Morphology and landscape position of soils of the major stream terraces and plains.	123
Table 7.7. Summary of soil analytical data for soils of the major stream terraces and plains.	124
Table 7.8. Summary of analytical data for Sippel profile class.	125
Table 7.9. Clay mineralogy of soils of the major stream terraces and plains.	126
Table 7.10. Fine sand mineralogy of soils of the major stream terraces and plains.	127
Table 7.11. Morphology and landscape position of major stream elevated terraces, fans and pediments.	131
Table 7.12. Summary of analytical data for soils of the major stream elevated terraces, fans and pediments (2 profiles).	132
Table 7.13. Clay mineralogy of soils of the major stream elevated terraces, fans and pediments.	133
Table 7.14. Fine sand mineralogy of soils of the major stream elevated terraces, fans and pediments.	133
Table 7.15. Morphology and distinguishing features of soils of alluvial fans derived from basalt.	135
Table 7.16. Summary of analytical data for soils of alluvial fans derived from basalt (2 sites).	135
Table 7.17. Clay mineralogy of soils of the alluvial fans derived from basalt.	136
Table 7.18. Morphology and landscape position of soils of alluvial fans and flats derived from upper Marburg beds.	138
Table 7.19. Summary of soil analytical data for soils of alluvial fans and flats derived from upper Marburg beds.	138
Table 7.20. Clay mineralogy of soils of alluvial fans and flats derived from upper Marburg beds.	139
Table 7.21. Fine sand mineralogy of soils of alluvial fans and flats derived from upper Marburg beds.	140
Table 7.22. Morphology and landscape position of soils of alluvial fans and flats derived from lower Marburg beds.	141
Table 7.23. Summary of analytical data for Stockyard profile class.	142
Table 8.1. The variates and transformations to stabilise variances.	145

	Page
Table 8.2. Brief description of soil profile classes on detailed transect.	148
Table 8.3. Dominant soil profile classes and landscape position of fuzzy pH groupings on detailed transect.	158
Table 8.4. Description of centroids of eight fuzzy morphological profile groups on the detailed transect.	163
Table 8.5. Description of eight fuzzy profile groups using soil profile classes.	164
Table 8.6. Main features of horizon centroids.	170
Table 8.7. Summary of Fuzzy centroid clusters on principal components 1 and 2.	177
Table 9.1. Landform components, soil facies and age of alluvial pedoderms of the Lockyer Valley.	181

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page	
Fig. 1.1.	Relation of mean current velocity of water at least 1 m deep to the size of mineral grains that can be eroded from a bed of similar-sized grains and transported by the current. Dashed lines on upper curve are revisions proposed by Sundborg (1956).	6
Fig. 1.2.	Channel patterns as described in Table 1.1. Adapted from Miall (1977).	9
Fig. 1.3.	Diagrammatic representation of a hypothetical nine-unit land surface model (after Dalrymple <i>et al.</i> 1968).	13
Fig. 1.4.	Typical associations of valley sediments.	14
Fig. 1.5.	Stages in growth of a fan and development of a sole layer (after McCraw 1968).	18
Fig. 1.6.	Typical assemblage of mountainous desert landforms (after Bloom 1978).	19
Fig. 1.7.	Hypothesis of a "double surface of leveling" in a tropical savanna.	22
Fig. 1.8.	Fluvial sediment differentiation in riverine landscapes (after Walker and Butler 1983).	24
Fig. 1.9.	Variation of denudation rate with annual precipitation in the United States. Effective precipitation is defined as the precipitation necessary to produce a given amount of runoff (after Langbein and Schumm 1958).	27
Fig. 1.10.	Sea-level curve for the last quarter of a million years based on work in New Guinea (modified from Chappell 1974).	28
Fig. 2.1.	Cambrian-Ordovician palaeogeography, showing distribution of land areas of Precambrian rocks and of early Paleozoic seas (derived from Brown <i>et al.</i> 1968, Beckmann 1983).	33
Fig. 2.2.	Silurian to Permian palaeogeography, showing areas of terrestrial and glacial deposits (derived from Brown <i>et al.</i> 1968, Beckmann 1983).	33
Fig. 2.3.	Triassic-Jurassic palaeogeography, showing areas of terrestrial and of marine sedimentation, extent of land areas, and mountainous zone on east coast (derived from Brown <i>et al.</i> 1968, Beckmann 1983).	33
Fig. 2.4.	Cretaceous palaeogeography, showing areas of Lower Cretaceous shallow water marine sediments and Upper Cretaceous terrestrial sediments (derived from Brown <i>et al.</i> 1968, Beckmann 1983).	34
Fig. 2.5.	Drift of Australia during the Tertiary (positions relative to 40°S at various stages shown) and location of major tectonic displacements (derived from Beckmann 1983).	34

	Page	
Fig. 2.6.	The Western Rift model.	38
Fig. 2.7.	Map of central volcano provinces of Australia. Numbers refer to potassium-argon age in millions of years (data from Wellman and McDougall (1974)). Source: Ollier (1978).	40
Fig. 2.8.	Simplified representation of major elements of the early Tertiary landscapes of Australia (Beckmann 1983).	41
Fig. 2.9.	General fluvial systems model showing the four major landscape components W, R, L, O and associated features.	50
Fig. 2.10.	Areas of Australia in which the river systems are classified after Walker and Butler (1983) according to the presence of certain fluvial landscape components.	51
Fig. 3.1.	Stability series of the more common minerals (from Brunsden 1979).	67
Fig. 4.1.	Location map.	78
Fig. 4.2.	Major Palaeozoic and Mesozoic blocks and sedimentary basins (after Cranfield <i>et al.</i> 1976, Fig. 4).	82
Fig. 5.1.	Typical smoothed x-ray diffraction patterns for interlayered smectites and randomly interstratified mineral.	98
Fig. 5.2.	Smoothed x-ray diffractogram Spellman Prairie Soil (0-0.13 m).	99
Fig. 6.1.	Idealised cross-section of the geology of the study area.	103
Fig. 6.2.	Smoothed x-ray diffractogram of clay in a prairie soil (0.3-0.5 m) developed on Tertiary basalt (site 19).	108
Fig. 6.3.	Smoothed x-ray diffractogram of clay in a red podzolic soil (0.1-0.3 m) developed on Heifer Creek Sandstone (site 20).	109
Fig. 6.4.	Smoothed x-ray diffractogram of clay in landslide outwash from upper Marburg beds (site 9).	110
Fig. 7.1.	Cross section of four typical alluvial soil landscapes in the study area.	117
Fig. 8.1.	Soil distribution and relief on detailed transect.	147
Fig. 8.2.	FPI and NPE against number of groups using pH data.	154
Fig. 8.3.	Detailed transect pH centroids (m = 1.5).	156
Fig. 8.4.	Membership of detailed transect pH centroids (sum of unity) plus original extragrades fuzzy membership.	157

	Page	
Fig. 8.5.	Digital gradient of detailed transect pH profiles (excluding extragrades).	159
Fig. 8.6.	FPI and NPE against number of groups using morphological profile data for $m = 1.25$.	161
Fig. 8.7.	Fuzzy membership of morphological profile centroids (including extragrades).	165
Fig. 8.8.	Multivariate planing of morphological profiles on detailed transect.	166
Fig. 8.9.	Multivariate planing of 8 fuzzy centroid morphological profiles on detailed transect.	167
Fig. 8.10.	Horizon membership ($m > 0.4$) for 12 morphological centroids on detailed transect.	172
Fig. 8.11.	Principal components 1 and 2 of detailed transect morphological horizons (numbered).	174
Fig. 8.12.	Multivariate planing of detailed transect morphological horizons.	175
Fig. 8.13.	Centroids of the 12 fuzzy horizon groups on first two principal components showing four clusters.	176
Fig. 9.1.	Pedoderms, soil facies and landform elements in the study area.	180
Fig. 9.2.	Late Pleistocene-Holocene Pedoderm sequence-Tenthill Creek and tributary alluvia.	190
Fig. 9.3.	Late Pleistocene-Holocene Pedoderm sequence-Lockyer Creek alluvia.	192

LIST OF PLATES

	Page
Plate 4.1. Headwaters with V-shaped valleys.	80
Plate 4.2. Wide terraced tributary valley.	80
Plate 4.3. Extensive alluvial plain of Lockyer Creek.	81
Plate 4.4. Irrigated crops on the Lockyer Valley alluvia with sandstone rise in background.	89
Plate 4.5. Backswamp depression in foreground fringing low sandstone rise.	90
Plate 4.6. Cultivated alluvial fan in foreground below landslip on cleared Heifer Creek Sandstone.	90
Plate 7.1. Middle reach of Tenthill Creek showing wide alluvial terrace (Tenthill profile class), narrow floodplain along creek (Lockyer profile class) and elevated, abandoned terrace on first rise in background (Townson profile class).	129
Plate 7.2. Gently sloping rises of isolated, dissected, elevated terrace (cultivated).	130
Plate 7.3. Deep Gully stream bank (site 11) showing Tenthill profile class overlying buried grey clay.	130
Plate 8.1. Lockyer soil profile class.	150
Plate 8.2. Blenheim soil profile class.	151
Plate 8.3. Lawes soil profile class.	152

SUMMARY

Lockyer Creek and its major tributary, Tenthill Creek, have extensive alluvial landscapes up to 6 km wide. These are derived from the erosion of upslope Tertiary basalt, the argillaceous Jurassic Walloon Coal Measures and the Jurassic Marburg Formation which is predominantly sandstone. The alluvial landscape has been largely cleared of its original vegetation and is intensively cropped. To investigate the nature, distribution and origin of the alluvial soil landscape, a multidisciplinary study incorporating aspects of pedology, geomorphology and statistics was carried out.

The geomorphology, and fine sand and clay mineralogy of upslope source materials and the alluvial soil landscape in the valley below were investigated and compared. Soils on alluvia along the major streams were found to have developed from predominantly basaltic sediment. Alluvial landforms of minor drainage lines were derived from mainly basaltic materials in upstream reaches, from upper Marburg beds in middle stream reaches, and from lower Marburg beds in downstream reaches.

A soil survey of the alluvial soil landscape showed it to be dominated by cracking clays (Vertisols) with some prairie soils and chernozems (Mollisols) and minor areas of red-brown earths, solodic soils and soloths (Alfisols). A 1:50 000 soil map identified 25 soil profile classes which were grouped into six soil lithological - landscape groups. These are:

- (i) Soils of the major stream flood plains and levees;
- (ii) Soils of the major stream terraces and plains;
- (iii) Soils of the major stream elevated terraces, fans and pediments;
- (iv) Soils of the alluvial fans derived from basalt (upper reach tributaries);

- (v) Soils of the alluvial fans and flats derived from upper Marburg beds (middle reach tributaries); and
- (vi) Soils of the alluvial fans and flats derived from lower Marburg beds (lower reach tributaries).

The first three soil groups were associated with three separate terraces in the upper and middle stream reaches of Tenthill Creek. In contrast, the downstream reach along Lockyer Creek only contains the first two soil groups on a relatively featureless alluvial plain landscape. The remaining three soil groups occur on minor tributary alluvia.

To assess soil variability across the alluvial plain, morphological data was collected at 25 m intervals along a transect and subjected to numerical analysis. Fuzzy classification was carried out for pH profiles, morphology profiles and morphological horizons. Classifications of morphological profiles and horizons were usefully combined with ordination techniques, including multivariate planing. The numerical analysis showed relationships between pH profiles and landscape position, parent alluvium and addition of irrigation water high in dissolved salts. It also validated the field classification of soils and indicated which profile class definitions could be further improved. Numerical analysis of horizons within profiles showed that horizons incidence related to landscape position, buried palaeosols and gilgai incidence.

Radiocarbon dating of buried palaeosols showed that most of the present alluvial landscape developed during the last 20 000 years. The alluvial landscape is believed to have evolved in five stages with a major change from predominantly pediment erosion processes to fluvial erosion processes during the last global glaciation. The confined valley floors of upstream reaches responded to episodes of fluvial cut and fill by developing terraces whereas downstream episodes of erosion were dominantly vertical and were followed by widespread alluvial deposition and burial of older alluvial landscapes. Periods of stability between episodes of erosion and deposition led to the development of four soil stratigraphic units (pedoderms). These are:

- (i) The Woodbine-Townson Pedoderm;

- (ii) The Tenthill-Blenheim Pedoderm;
- (iii) The Wilson Pedoderm; and
- (iv) The Lockyer-Robinson Pedoderm.

These were identified on the basis of soil morphology, geomorphology, stratigraphy and radiocarbon dating. In some instances, clay mineral and fine sand mineralogy were used as indices of weathering to confirm the relative age of pedoderms.

Soils of the Woodbine-Townson Pedoderm developed on fine textured basaltic alluvia deposited prior to the peak of the last glaciation are predominantly grey and brown clays with neutral to weakly acid deep subsoils. Soils of the Tenthill-Blenheim Pedoderm developed on similar parent alluvium deposited during the post-glacial transgression are predominantly black earths and heavy textured chernozems with alkaline subsoils, commonly less than 1.5 m deep. With increasing age, fine textured soils become progressively richer in kaolinite clay and lower in weatherable fine sand minerals.

Soils developed on medium textured basaltic alluvia of stream levees were found to show greater profile differentiation with time compared to fine textured alluvia. Alluvia deposited during the post-glacial transgression have developed into red-brown earths with moderate texture differentiation (Tenthill-Blenheim Pedoderm), whereas soils of Holocene age have developed into prairie soils and chernozems with weak texture differentiation (Lockyer-Cavendish Pedoderm). During this time, smectites in the clay fraction have become increasingly interlayered towards the surface and fine sand minerals progressively weathered.

The soil-geomorphic framework established provides an orderly explanation of the nature, distribution and origin of the soils in the alluvial soil landscape.