A MODEL OF BEGINNING READING INSTRUCTION: EXPLICIT INSTRUCTION IN PHONEMIC AWARENESS, ALPHABET KNOWLEDGE AND ENCODING/DECODING WITHIN A FRAMEWORK OF SHARED BOOK READING

Ruth Fielding-Barnsley, Dip. Teach., B. Ed., (Sp. Ed).

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England.

December, 1997

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degree.

Any help that I have received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged.



Ruth Fielding-Barnsley

ABSTRACT

In this study a model of reading was designed, implemented and evaluated over a period of 16 months. The significant parts of this study included training in phonemic awareness, alphabet knowledge, matching alphabet letters to the taught sounds using multi-sensory aids, and using this knowledge to read a set of words.

The first 12-week phase, instruction in phonemic awareness and alphabet knowledge, took place at preschool when the children were 4-5 years old. The second phase, learning to read words, took place in the children's first 10 weeks at school in the following year. The follow-up evaluation took place 16 months after the commencement of the initial preschool training.

The preschool phase included shared book reading. The aim of including shared book reading was to make the training phase more relevant to the children and to increase their awareness of concepts about print and how books function.

One hundred and thirty-four male and female children made up the first part of the study at preschool. One experimental group (E1) of 38 children was trained in phonemic awareness, alphabet knowledge and letter-sound matching, all in the context of shared book reading. The second experimental group (E2) of 33 children was given the same training as (E1) but did not receive shared book reading. A control group (C) of 63 children received only training in phonemic awareness.

Results indicated that both groups (E1 & E2) trained in phonemic awareness, alphabet knowledge and letter-sound matching outperformed the control group (C) in a forced word-choice test.

ii

The shared book reading group (E1) showed superior results in a test of concepts about print (CAP) over E2. However, no other measures, including alphabet knowledge, vocabulary (PPVT), or reading attitude (RA), distinguished the two experimental groups, E1 and E2.

The second part of the study included sub-groups totalling 32 experimental children, from the first part of the study. Seventeen children were selected from E1 and 15 children from E2. These groups were further split into two groups, one of which was taught to read a set of words using decoding and encoding methods (D/E) and the other taught to read the same set of words using a whole-word method (WW).

At posttesting the children taught by the decoding/encoding method were superior in reading and writing both novel real words and pseudowords, compared with the wholeword group. These results support the contention that explicit instruction in decoding is helpful even when children have high levels of phonemic awareness.

The 16-month follow-up study confirmed the superiority of both the experimental groups (E1 & E2) over the control group (C) in significantly higher scores on the Woodcock Word Identification Test. At the 16-month follow-up, the children from the second phase of the study who were taught by the decoding/encoding method produced superior spelling scores over the children who were taught by the whole-word method. Both trained groups (DE & WW) were superior to the untrained groups in pseudoword reading and spelling.

Implications for educationalists are discussed, for example, the inclusion of written and spoken language structure in teacher education programmes, and screening children for phonemic awareness during their first year of schooling.

iii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to the following:

- to the wonderfully responsive children who participated in this study;
- to my supervisors, Dr. Bruce Knight and Professor Brian Byrne for their untiring support through three and and a half years;
- ♦ to David Heap my computer expert, Chris Lisle my camera man, and to Warwick Olphert my proof reader;
- ♦ to my children, Paul and Anna, who cooked spaghetti bolognaise whenever I was late home, we ate a lot of spaghetti bolognaise! Lastly to my dog and cat who accepted the whole process unquestioningly.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACTii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv
TABLE OF CONTENTS v
LIST OF TABLES x
LIST OF FIGURES
CHAPTERS
Chapter 1 Introduction 2
1.1 Background to the Research Question
1.2 The Research Question
1.3 Summary
Chapter 2 Theoretical Framework
2.1 Introduction
2.2 The English Alphabet
2.3 The Alphabetic Principle: Speech to Print 11
2.4 Phonological and Phonemic Awareness 19
2.4.1 Phonological awareness tasks as predictors of reading
2.5 Models of Reading Acquisition
2.5.1 Stage models
2.5.2 Analogies
2.5.3 Direct-mapping and phonetic-cue models
2.5.4 The connectionist model
2.5.5 Dual-route models

2.5.6 Whole-language philosophy 4	3
2.6 Spelling/Encoding instruction as an aid to Reading Acquisition	3
2.7 Shared Book Reading	6
2.8 Summary	7
Chapter 3 Review of Relevant Literature	0
3.1 Introduction	0
3.2 Training Studies in Early Reading Acquisition Relevant to the Proposed Stu	ıdy
	1
3.2.1 Phonemic Awareness Training Studies5	2
3.2.1.1 Methods of Instruction in Phonemic Awareness	1
3.2.2 Phonemic Awareness plus Alphabet Training Studies 6	5
3.2.2.1 Methods of Alphabet Instruction	3
3.2.2.1.1 Multi-sensory approaches to alphabet instruction7	6
3.2.2.1.1.1 The Montessori Sensorial Approach	7
3.2.2.1.1.2 The Orton-Gillingham Approach7	9
3.2.2.1.1.3 The Lindamood Method	0
3.2.2.1.1.4 Mnemonics as an aid to alphabet instruction.	.82
3.2.3 Phonemic Awareness, Alphabet, plus Decoding/Encoding Instruction	n
	4
3.2.3.1 Methods of Decoding/Encoding Instruction	9
3.2.3.2 Summary 10	12
3.3 Shared Book Reading 10	12
3.3.1 Literacy Measure Outcomes Related to Shared Book Reading 10	14
3.3.2 Vocabulary Development Outcomes Related to Shared Book Reading	ng.
	6

3.3.3 Intervention Studies 114	
3.3.4 Other Predictors of Literacy Skills Related to Shared Book Reading119)
3.3.4.1 Age	
3.3.4.2 IQ and Education 120	
3.3.5 Cautionary Advice on the Findings of Shared Book Reading 121	
3.4 Attitude to Reading 127	
3.4.1 Measures of Attitude to Reading 128	
Chapter 4 Study 1 133	
4.1 Chapter organisation	
4.2 Introduction	
4.3 Design	
4.3.1 Participants	
4.3.2 Teachers	
4.3.3 Ethical Considerations	
4.4 Prettests	
4.5 Posttests	
4.6 Stimuli	
4.7 Teaching Procedure	
4.7.1 Experimental Groups 1 & 2 145	
4.7.2 Lesson example 146	
4.7.3 Experimental Group 1148	
4.7.4 Experimental Group 2 149	
4.7.5 Control Group 1 149	
4.8 Statistical analyses150	

Chapter 5 Study 1 Results and Discussion 1	52
5.1 Results 1	52
5.1.1 Stony Brook Family Reading Survey 1	.60
5.1.2 Parental Education 1	61
5.1.3 Teacher Questionnaire 1	.62
5.2 Discussion 1	.62
Chapter 6 Study 2 1	.72
6.1 Procedure1	.72
6.1.1 Introduction1	.72
6.2 Design 1	.73
6.3 Participants 1	.75
6.4 Teachers 1	.77
6.5 Stimuli 1	.78
6.6 Teaching Procedure 1	.79
6.6.1 Lesson format 1	80
Chapter 7 Study 2 Results and Discussion 1	86
7.1 Discussion 1	91
Chapter 8 Sixteen Month Follow-Up 1	98
8.1 Design 1	98
8.2 Results and Discussion	201
8.3 Discussion	203
Chapter 9 Case Studies 2	206
9.1 Rationale	206
9.2 Lauren	206

9.3 Jessica	
9.4 Fergus	
9.5 Conclusions	208
Chapter 10 Conclusions and Recommendations For Future Research	
10.1 Conclusions	
10.2 Educational Implications of the Study	
10.3 Limitations of the Study	
10.3.1 Treatment	
10.3.2 Instruments	
10.4 Recommendations for Future Research.	
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	
Appendix A Correspondence	
Appendix B Teaching Materials	272
Appendix C Tests	
Appendix D Publication	301
Appendix E Video	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Phonemic Awareness Training Studies 57
Table 3.2 Training Studies which Include Letter/Sound Instruction with Phonemic
Awareness
Table 3.3 Studies Using Combinations of Phonemic Awareness, Alphabet Knowledge and
Encoding/Decoding Instruction
Table 4.1 Design of the experiment 136
Table 4.2 Preschools attended by group 137
Table 4.3 Time Line of Experiment 1
Table 5.1 Prettest Data 153
Table 5.2 Pre and Posttest Identity Scores 154
Table 5.3 Pre and Posttest Pre-Reading Measures 155
Table 5.4 Posttest Critical Letter and Word Choice Scores
Table 5.5 Numbers of participants Passing and Failing on Word Choice, Phoneme Identity,
and Critical Letter Knowledge159
Table 5.6 Correlations Between Family Reading Habits and Prereading Measures
Table 6.1 Time Line of Studies1 & 2
Table 6.2 Design of the Experiment 175
Table 6.3 Preschool Scores of Decoding/Encoding and Whole Word Groups on Phonemic
Awareness Measures 176
Table 6.4 City school teachers. Teaching experience and distribution of children within
classes 177
Table 7.1 Kindergarten Scores of Decoding/Encoding and Whole Word Groups on
Reading and Writing Measures

Table 7.2 Kindergarten Scores of Decoding/Encoding and Whole Word Groups on	
Reading and Writing Measures	8
Table 7.3 Kindergarten Scores of Decoding/Encoding and Whole Word Groups on	
Reading and Writing Measures	8
Table 7.4 Regression Analysis Predicting Novel Word Reading from Score on Instructed	
Words and Experimental Group 19)()
Table 8.1 Examples of Developmental Spelling Scores 20)1
Table 8.2 Follow-Up Scores of Trained, Untrained and Control Groups on Reading and	
Spelling Measures (subgroups of Trained [DE, WW] also included))2

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Stages of the development of writing. (Gelb, 1952, p.191)
Figure 2.2 Sound spectrogram of the spoken word "bag."
Figure 2.3 Sound spectrogram of spoken language 16
Figure 2.4 (Miller, 1981, p. 67.) 17
Figure 2.5 Models of reading acquisition
Figure 2.6 Diagram contrasting the connections that are established in reading words by
the visual-semantic route of dual-route theory and the visual-phonological route (Ehri,
1992. p. 115)
Figure 3.1 Scope and sequence for phonemic awareness activities.(Snider, 1995, p.449). 54
Figure 3.2 Two examples of multisensory activities for teaching segmenting where the
discs represent phonemes (Snider, 1995, p.452.)
Figure 3.3 (Whitehurst, Epstein, Angell, et al. 1994, p.543)
Figure 5.4 Mean Identity Scores. Pre and Posttest
Figure 5.5 Mean critical letters and word choice scores
Figure 8.1 Design of follow-up study