# Chapter 10

# **Conclusions and Recommendations For Future Research**

- 10.1 Conclusions
- 10.2 Educational Implications of the Study
- 10.3 Limitations of the Study
  - 10.3.1 Treatment
  - 10.3.2 Instruments
- 10.4 Recommendations for Future Research.

## Chapter 10

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations For Future Research**

Some people possess the ability to climb mountains unaided, but it is much easier and less dangerous to utilise a guide, ropes, crampons, and such like. The same reasoning applies to the task of 'reading'. Some children will discover the alphabetic principle by themselves but, more importantly, some will fall by the wayside if they are not given the guidance and the keys to crack the alphabetic code.

This chapter presents the results and implications of this study in the following areas: conclusions, educational implications, limitations of the study and recommendations for future research.

### 10.1 Conclusions

The results of Study 1 confirm that children who are given training in phonemic awareness, alphabet knowledge and letter/sound correspondences show superior decoding and segmenting abilities to those that do not receive this training. The results of Study 2 and Follow-Up studies, confirm that the addition of explicit instruction in encoding/decoding, in addition to those aforementioned skills, equips children with the ability to decode novel real and pseudowords.

As stated at the outset of this study, the ultimate motive for efficient decoding is the ability to comprehend what is read. The present study cannot claim, directly, to have influenced comprehension scores as it was not feasible to test the children at the time when they were able to comprehend texts. However, other studies which have

used similar training techniques, have shown that these decoding skills are transferred to superior outcomes on measures of reading comprehension (Bradley & Bryant, 1985; Cunningham, 1990; Hatcher, Hulme & Ellis, 1994; Iverson & Tunmer, 1993; Pflaum, Walberg, Karegianes, & Rasher, 1980; Tunmer & Nesdale, 1985).

### 10.2 Educational Implications of the Study

Enormous amounts of money are invested into remedial reading programs but very little is spent on prevention of reading failure programs (Pikulski, 1994). Even though this statement refers to the American teaching system the same may be said of the Australian system. At present huge amounts of monies have been allocated to remedial reading in the high schools of New South Wales. It seems that the Parents and Citizens Associations (PCA) are more aware of the problems associated with reading failure than the government. The PCA suggested that funding should be directed to better reading instruction in primary schools. This same association made the point that, once children have failed it is very difficult to overcome the stigma of failure. Efforts to *remediate* reading problems beyond third grade are largely unsuccessful (Juel, 1988), whereas reading failure is *preventable* for all but a very small percentage of children (Pikulski). Juel found a .88 probability that a child in the bottom quarter on a standardised reading comprehension test at the end of first grade will still be a poor reader at the end of fourth grade.

An important note of caution has also been made by Wagner, Torgesen and Rashotte (1994). These researchers have noted the remarkable consistency in individual differences in phonological processing abilities. This finding has led to a recommendation for early screening of phonological processing abilities to identify

children who are at risk for reading failure (Jerger, 1996). However, this 'stability' effect (Torgesen, Wagner, & Rashotte,1994) may make it difficult to remediate these children as also noted by Byrne (forthcoming). Felton and Pepper (1995) suggest that screening tests (listed in their 1995 article) could be administered to children in kindergarten and all those who perform poorly could be given phonological awareness instruction (also listed in their 1995 article). They also recommend that this instruction should take place in an environment rich in literature, as does Blachman (in press).

Evidence abounds testifying to the fact that, special remedial programs, special teachers, and segregated instructional programs cannot match the effects of high quality classroom instruction (Allington, 1994). This is certainly true, but in reality is quality classroom instruction being provided? If the illiteracy rates represent between 20%-25% of the high school population, as indicated by recent research carried out by the Australian Centre for Educational Research (1997), then obviously something is lacking. What or who is at fault? Is it the teachers, the trainers of the teachers or some environmental cause eg., too much television viewing?

One area which has been studied, as being a possible cause, is that of 'teacher education.' As Blachman (in press) has pointed out, even though researchers have established what practices are necessary for the acquisition of reading, how are teachers to gain the knowledge needed to implement these practices. In a study conducted in America it was found that experienced teachers of reading had very limited knowledge of written and spoken language structure (Moats, 1994). Current minimum requirements in teacher education range from no coursework in reading instruction to an average of 12 course hours. Although 73% of teachers attributed

learning difficulties to inadequate reading skills, only 22% attributed the core deficit to linguistic processing (Moats). Reading difficulties were attributed to problems with "information processing," "memory," "attention," and "faulty learning strategies." As a result of these findings, Moats conducted training sessions on linguistic concepts with a group of 89 enthusiastic teachers of reading. Many of the teachers indicated that they should have been taught these principles before they started to teach, and 91% reported that this course should be a prerequisite for all teachers of reading. The recommendations for teachers, as a result of Moat's study, include a knowledge of: phonemic awareness, the speech sound system, and how our orthography represents spoken English. As a result of her studies on how print may represent speech in novice readers, R. Treiman (personal communication, March, 19, 1996), also recommends that teachers need training in linguistics and language structure.

However as Stuart (1995) warns, even when teachers are aware of the necessity of instruction in phonemic awareness, the children may fail to incorporate this knowledge into their spontaneous reading strategies. Somehow, although well meaning, the teachers are failing to make the connections explicit. The present study, plus recent studies by Beck and Juel (1995) and Foorman (1995), have indicated that some children will not make these deductions for themselves and that they must be shown the connections and given practice to combine their skills. It has also been noted, that for some children an extended period of instruction in phonemic awareness may be required, for them to reach the same levels of skill as the majority of children (Lovett, Warren-Chaplin, Ransby, & Borden, 1990; Byrne, forthcoming).

The most popular methods of literacy training in Australian universities are holistic, language oriented, child-centred, and those based on meaningful activities

(van Kraayenoord, 1994). Emphasis is placed on a holistic approach to language learning that does not focus instruction on component skills or delays introduction of literacy uses until subskills have been mastered. Communication of meaning is the main goal (Moats, 1994, p.219).

Counter to the observations made by Moats (1994), the results of the present study have provided evidence for the usefulness of prereading instruction in phonemic awareness, alphabet knowledge, and encoding/decoding. Prereading programs which include these skills are an effective means of preventing reading failure (Bradley & Bryant, 1985., Byrne & Fielding-Barnsley, 1991., Lundberg, Frost & Peterson, 1988., Maclean, Bryant, & Bradley, 1987).

However, for those children who fail to gain an understanding of the alphabetic principle during their first year of reading instruction, it may be wise to resort to an "early intervention" program, such as Reading Recovery (RR) (Clay, 1985) or, in preference a modified version of RR which includes instruction in phonemic awareness (Iverson & Tunmer, 1993). Clay refers to RR as being a preventative early intervention program designed to accelerate the progress of young readers who have failed to profit from 12 months of formal reading instruction. There appears to be a contradiction in terms in this statement. RR is better described as solution to the problem of early reading failure. Researchers have questioned the effectiveness of Clay's techniques which were developed in New Zealand schools, in Australian Schools. Center, Wheldall, Freeman, Outhred and Mcnaught (1995) conducted a study to evaluate the effectiveness of RR versus normal resource support in 10 schools in New South Wales. Their findings indicated that in the short term, 15 weeks, the RR group were superior to the control group, at 30 weeks the RR group

scored superior results on only one of eight tests and by 12 months after discontinuation of RR about 35% of students had benefited directly from the program. The RR programme does not provide systematic instruction in phonemic awareness which could help to explain the poor maintenance of the short term gains made by the children in the programme. Support for this explanation is reinforced by the study conducted by Freebody and Byrne (1988);

... word specific associations may serve a student adequately up to about second grade, but that failure to acquire and use efficient decoding skills will begin to take a toll on reading comprehension by grade 3. In contrast, Phoenicians \* may be hindered in comprehension performance in the early years, but begin to improve comparatively as they progress through school (p.441).

\* Phoenicians/ good nonsense-word but poor irregular-word readers.

Early intervention programs are more effective if they include phonological training. A modified reading recovery program which included more systematic phonological training proved to be 37 per cent more effective than one which included limited amounts of unsystematic phonological awareness training (Iversen & Tunmer, 1993). However, the need for any of these costly interventions could be reduced if effective prereading programs, including instruction in phonemic awareness and letter/sound correspondences, were implemented in all schools.

Several early intervention programs have been evaluated by Pilkulski (1994). It was found that the most successful programs, Winston-Salem and Boulder Projects,

included phonemic awareness instruction and attention to word patterns similar to those strategies used by Cunningham (1991).

The strategies used in the present study have also been shown to be beneficial for children with language impairments. A study by Korkman and Peltomaa (1993) in Finland, supported the notion of teaching phonemic awareness and grapheme-phoneme conversions to children with language impairments. Small groups of children (n = 2 to 5) were trained for one 45 minute session per week for one year before the commencement of kindergarten. (Children begin school at 7 years of age in Finland). On the posttreatment assessments, performed 2 years after the pretreatment assessment, the experimental group was significantly superior to the control group on three of four measures of reading and spelling. This study demonstrates that training in P.A. and Alphabet knowledge may alleviate the problems faced by children with language impairments. As the authors point out, the earlier the intervention or special education starts, the better are the prospects of avoiding cumulative, negative reading and spelling problems (Korkman & Peltomaa).

Another point made by Korkman and Peltomaa supports the early training procedures used in the present study. They claim that, as the intervention takes place much in the form of play and games, then there is no reason why children who start school at a younger age than 7 years could not receive preschool training. However, the point made by Blachman (in press) that, phonological awareness training may not be the highest priority for these language impaired children, must be taken into account.

Bowey (1996) reinforces the findings of the present study and suggests that it is not necessary to teach each individual letter-sound correspondence before

introducing reading. Bowey suggests teaching: the basic consonant, vowel, and diagraph correspondences, the detection of the phonological overlap in spoken words, a clear understanding of the alphabetic principle and strategies that focus on the entire word. These skills will then transfer to to the discovery of additional letter-sounds and higher order correspondences.

### 10.3 Limitations of the Study

A number of important issues relating to program implementation and to the instruments used in this study have emerged. These will now be discussed.

## 10.3.1 Treatment

It could be suggested that this study was limited in respect of the restricted number and length of words that the children learned to read. The writer does not claim to have taught the children how to read fluently. The claim is that children are able to decode monosyllabic words made up of up to six letters, including clusters. Similar strategies using a limited corpus of words have been trialed by Feitelson (1988) and Ehri and Chun (1996). Feitelson advocated moving preliterate children into text reading with very little letter-sound knowledge rather than waiting until most letters had been learned. Ehri and Chun taught their preliterate children only six letter-sound associations which were then used to assist the children in learning words beginning with those sounds. The advantage of this approach is that children are assisted to understand the alphabetic system at the outset. This understanding grows as more letter-sound knowledge accrues and the danger of compensatory strategies is avoided.

It was noted that there was little evidence of children using analogies to read novel words in this study. Even though an ideal situation existed for the children to utilise analogy they showed no inclination to profit by it. For example, when a child used plastic letters to form the word 'pam' and was then asked to form the pseudo word 'tam' they took all the letters away and started the new word from scratch. This is another example of the need to make teaching more explicit. Had the children been alerted to the fact that 'pam' and 'tam' had the same rime, they may well have utilised this knowledge when attempting to decode.

One limitation in the spelling component of the present study was that it was limited to regular words. This could be confusing for a child when confronted with irregular words. The irregularity of English spelling is illustrated by Gelb (1952, p.224) with an example of eleven different spellings for the long i sound (me, fee, sea, field, conceive, machine, key, quay, people, subpoena, Caesar). Even if a child does construct an interpretation of how the alphabetic symbols relate to the spoken language, this is not going to help him/her decipher irregularly spelt words. However if we look at the word 'night' as an example of an irregular word, there are clues as to its pronunciation in the letters n, i and t so the task of deciphering is not totally obscure (Stuart & Coltheart, 1988). As Adams(1990) points out "English is fundamentally alphabetic. With obvious exceptions, the letter sequences of its written words mimic the phonemic sequences of its spoken words. The majority of the irregularities are owed to the vowels" (p.219). Stuart and Coltheart (1988) have also reported that children with high prereading phonological awareness scores show superior results for reading both regular words, via the sublexical route and irregular

words, via the lexical route. Nevertheless the children in the present study would have benefited from some explanation about the irregularities of the English language.

As with most experimental studies, the present study was conducted with small groups of children and on a one-to-one basis. It would have been easier to generalise the findings to a regular classroom had this not been the case. However, the first part of the study which was conducted at preschool has been partially replicated in a more natural setting (Byrne & Fielding-Barnsley, 1995). The phonemic awareness component was taught to larger groups of approximately 20 children by regular preschool teachers. This group did show gains in phonemic awareness even though the gains made were not as impressive as those made by the children in the original experiment. In the original experiment the children were taught in groups of 4-6 by a trained primary teacher (Byrne & Fielding-Barnsley, 1991a). It appears that individual instruction, or small group instruction, is preferable for an understanding of phonemic awareness.

The Shared Book Reading component of the present study may have shown more significant results if it had been implemented in the home. The reason for excluding shared book reading in the home was that it was necessary to monitor the total exposure to the shared books.

#### 10.3.2 Instruments

The measure of Reading Attitude did not show acceptable validity in the present study. Some of the questions tended to be ambiguous and generated answers which were not related to reading books. eg. "How do you feel about going to a

bookshop?" generated answers such as; "It makes me feel sad because Mum won't buy me a book." or "It makes me feel sad because I get tired feet."

#### 10.4 Recommendations for Future Research.

Further work is needed to establish the long-term effects of teaching beginning readers a small set of words. It would be valuable to ascertain whether learning a set of simple words generated to the learning of, longer more difficult words.

As noted in the results of the present study, there were two children who failed to establish phonemic awareness during the preschool phase of instruction. Similar problems have been noted by Hatcher, Hulme and Ellis, 1995; and Hulme and Snowling, 1992. In future research it would be wise to ensure that all children gained insights into the skills being taught. One way to assist children, in respect of phonemic awareness, could be to introduce more accessible units of speech, eg., rhyme, syllables, onset and rime etc., before the phoneme. Some combinations of these more accessible units of speech have been used by Brady et. al. (1994); Bradley and Bryant, (1983); McClure, Ferreira, and Bisanz, (1996) and Walton, (1995). It has been found that sensitivity to onset and rime units is easier than comparable tasks requiring phonemic sensitivity (Bowey & Hansen, 1994; Coltheart & Leahy, 1992; Treiman, Goswami & Buck, 1990). This could be particularly relevant for those children who find phonemic sensitivity tasks difficult to master. Such children have been identified by Byrne & Fielding-Barnsley (1996) in their studies of children at risk of reading failure due to an hereditary factor. However, other studies have failed to show significant correlations between onset-rime segmentation scores and reading or spelling ability (Duncan, Seymour & Hill, 1996; Nation & Hulme, in press).

A recent study has demonstrated that phonological awareness is a heterogeneous ability rather than a homogenous ability (Treiman & Zukowski, 1996). These researchers have shown that phonological awareness develops along a continuum, beginning with an awareness of the word, syllable, onset/rime and finally the phoneme. In previous research, the linguistic status of a unit has been confounded with its size. For example a syllable may be easier to detect than an onset because it is composed of more phonemes. However, as a result of Treiman & Zukowski's research, it was noted that even though the length of the shared unit is important it is not the only factor. The linguistic status of the unit also matters. The finding that phonological awareness is composed of different linguistic levels has important implications for reading instruction. The easier units; syllables, onsets and rimes, could be introduced to children prior to the introduction of reading or writing. The phoneme may be introduced simultaneously with the informal learning of the alphabetic writing system (Treiman & Zukowski). These findings also help to clarify the debate on whether phonological awareness is a precursor of literacy, a by-product of literacy, or both. The answer to this question could be that it is dependent on the linguistic level of the phonological awareness being measured.

Several researchers have developed strategies which build upon a sound foundation of phonemic awareness, alphabet knowledge and encoding/decoding which could be incorporated into the reading curriculum at a later stage. Of note is the work carried out by Henry (1993, 1994), who advocates the use of the morphemic structure of words to further develop primary children's reading skill. Morphemes are the smallest meaningful parts of words. An understanding of the morphemic structure of words facilitates both reading and spelling. However, children who display poor

morphological skills also show deficits in phonological awareness (Fowler & Liberman, 1995). The morpheme, being in itself meaningful as opposed to the phoneme, makes it a more salient unit of speech. This fact may help some children who have had difficulty establishing phonemic awareness. As with the present study, it was noted by Fowler and Liberman that morphological awareness must be made explicit, especially for those poor readers who may not notice derivational patterns spontaneously through their reading. It is also important that decoding instruction, utilising the morpheme, is extended beyond the early grades of schooling (Henry, 1994).

The findings of Bentin and Leshem (1993), will be cited to complete this thesis as they encapsulate the results of the present studies. Bentin and Leshem worked with 508 kindergarten children in Israel. These researchers looked at the reciprocal influence of reading acquisition and phonemic awareness. They found that learning to read was the most important factor that accounted for improvement of phonemic segmentation skills during the first year of schooling. *However*, on the other hand, they found that by improving phonemic skills in kindergarten they facilitated reading acquisition in children *at risk* for developing reading disorders. They suggested that, for most children, exposure to the alphabet automatically triggers phonemic awareness *but* the emergence of phonemic awareness requires a previously developed sensitivity to phonology, which in some children may be absent. They suggested that, if phonological skills are absent, they may be developed in preschoolers by explicit training, thereby preventing failure in reading acquisition.

### REFERENCES

- Adams, M.J. (1990). <u>Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print.</u>

  Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Adams, M.J. (1991). Why not phonics and whole language? In W. Ellis (Eds.), All language and the creation of literacy (pp.40-52). Orton Dyslexia Society, Baltimore, MD.
- Adams, M.J. (1994). Learning to read: Modelling the reader versus modelling the learner. In C.Hulme & M. Snowling (Eds.), <u>Reading development and</u> dyslexia. Whurr Publishers Ltd., London.
- Adams, M. J., & Bruck, M. (1993). Word recognition: The interface of educational policies and scientific research. Reading and Writing, 5, 113-139.
- Alexander, J.E., & Filler, R.C. (1976). <u>Attitudes and reading.</u> Newark, Delaware, International Reading Association.
- Algeria, J., Pignot, E., & Morais, J. (1982). Phonetic analysis of speech and memory codes in beginning readers. <u>Memory and Cognition</u>, <u>10</u>, 451-456.
- Allington, R.L. (1994). What's special about special programs for children who find learning to read difficult? Journal of Reading Behavior, 26, 1-21.

- Anderson, R.C. & Freebody, P. (1981). Vocabulary knowledge. In J. Guthrie (Ed.),

  <u>Comprehension and teaching (pp.77-117)</u>, Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Anderson, R.C., Hiebert, E.H., Scott, J.A., & Wilkinson, I.A.G. (1985). <u>Becoming a nation of readers: The report on the commission of reading.</u> Urbana-Champaign: Uni. of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading.
- Arnold, D.S. & Whitehurst, G.J. (1994). Accelerating language development through picture book reading. In D. Dickinson (Ed.), <u>Bridges to literacy</u>: <u>Approaches to supporting child and family literacy</u> (pp. 103-128). Cambridge, MA: Basil Blackwell.
- Arnold, D.H., Lonigan, C.J., Whitehurst, G.J., Epstein, J.N. (1994). Accelerating language development through picture book reading: Replication and extension to a videotape training format. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 86, 235-243.
- Athey, I. (1985). Reading research in the affective domain. In H.Singer & R.B.

  Ruddell (Eds.), <u>Theoretical models and processes of reading</u> (3rd.ed., pp. 527-557). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Backman, J. (1983). The role of psycholinguistic skills in reading acquisition: A look at early readers. Reading Research Quarterly, 18, 466-479.
- Ball, E. (1993). Phonological awareness: What's important and to whom? <u>Reading</u> and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 5, 141-159.

- Ball, E.W., & Blachman, B.A. (1991). Does phoneme awareness training in kindergarten make a difference in early word recognition and developmental spelling? Reading Research Quarterly, 26, 49-66.
- Baron, J., & Treiman, R. (1980). Use of orthography in reading and learning to read.

  In J.F. Kavanagh & R.L. Venezky (Eds.), Orthography, reading, and dyslexia

  (pp. 171-189). Baltimore, MD: University Park Press.
- Beard, R. (1995). Learning to read: Psychology and education. In E. Funnell & M. Stuart (Eds.), <u>Learning to read. Psychology in the classroom</u> (pp. 1-29).

  Oxford, Blackwell.
- Beck, I L., & Juel, C. (1995). The role of decoding in learning to read. <u>American</u>
  <u>Educator</u>, 19, 8, 21-25, 39-42.
- Beech, J.R., Pedley, H., & Barlow, R. (1994). Training letter-to-sound connections:

  The efficacy of tracing. <u>Current Psychological Research and Reviews</u>, 13, 153-164.
- Bentin, S., & Leshem, H. (1993). On the interaction between phonological awareness and reading acquisition: It's a two-way street. <u>Annals of Dyslexia, 43,</u> 125-148.
- Berlyne, D. (1960). Conflict, arousal and curiosity. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Blachman, B.A. (1987). An alternative classroom reading program for learning disabled and other low-achieving children. In R.Bowler (Ed.), <u>Intimacy with</u>

- <u>language: A forgotten basic in teacher education (pp. 49-55)</u>. Baltimore: Orton Dyslexia Society.
- Blachman, B.A. (1989). Phonological awareness and word recognition: Assessment and intervention. In A.G. Kamhi & H.W. Catts (Eds.), <u>Reading disabilities: A developmental language perspective</u> (pp.133-158). Boston: College-Hill Press.
- Blachman, B.A. (1991a). Phonological awareness: Implications for prereading and early reading instruction. In S.Brady & D.P. Shankweiler (Eds.), <u>Phonological processes in literacy</u> (pp. 29-36).
- Blachman, B.A. (1991b). Getting ready to read: Learning how print maps to speech. In J.F. Kavanagh (Ed.), <u>The language continuum: From infancy to literacy (pp.1-22)</u>. York Press, Inc. Maryland.
- Blachman, B.A. (1994a). What we have learned from longitudinal studies of phonological processing and reading, and some unanswered questions: A response to Torgesen, Wagner, and Rashotte. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 27, 287-291.
- Blachman, B.A. (1994b). Early literacy acquisition: The role of phonological awareness. In G.Wallach & K. Butler, (Eds.), <u>Language learning disabilities in school-age children and adolescents: Some underlying principles and applications.</u> (pp.253-274). Colombus, Ohio: Merrill.
- Blachman, B.A. (in press). Early intervention for children's reading problems:

  Clinical applications of the research in phonological awareness. <u>Topics in Language Disorders.</u>

- Blachman, B.A. (in press). Early intervention and phonological awareness: A cautionary tale. In B. Blachman, (Ed.), Foundations of reading acquisition and dyslexia: Implications for early intervention. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Blachman, B.A., Ball, E.W., Black, R.S., & Tangel, D.M.(1994). Kindergarten teachers develop phoneme awareness in low-income, inner-city classrooms.

  \*Does it make a difference? Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 6, 1-18.
- Bloomfield, L & Barnhart, C.L. (1961). <u>Let's read \*A linguistic approach\*</u> Wayne State University Press.
- Boder, M (1973). Developmental dyslexia: A diagnostic approach based on three atypicical reading-spelling patterns. <u>Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology</u>, 15, 663-687.
- Bond, G.L., & Dykstra, R. (1967). The cooperative research program in first-grade reading instruction. Reading Research Quarterly, 2, 5-142.
- Bowey, J.A. (1996). Phonological sensitivity as a proximal contributor to phonological recoding skills in children's reading. <u>Australian Journal of Psychology</u>, 48, 113-118.
- Bowey, J.A., & Francis, J. (1991). Phonological analysis as a function of age and exposure to reading instruction. <u>Applied Psycholinguistics</u>, 12, 91-121.

- Bowey, J.A., & Hansen, J. (1994). The development of orthographic rimes as units of word recognition. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 58, 465-488.
- Bradley, L. (1988). Making connections in learning to read and spell. <u>Applied</u>

  <u>Cognitive Psychology</u>, 2, 3-18.
- Bradley, L., & Bryant, P.E. (1983). Categorising sounds and learning to read A causal connection. Nature, 301, 419-421.
- Bradley, L., & Bryant, P.E. (1991). Phonological skills before and after learning to read. In S.A. Brady and D.P.Shankweiler (Eds.), <u>Phonological processes in literacy.</u> A tribute to Isabelle Y. Liberman. (pp. 38-45). Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc., Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Brady, S., Fowler, A., Stone, B., & Winbury, N. (1994). Training phonological awareness: An intervention study with inner-city kindergarten children. <u>Annals of Dyslexia</u>, 44, 26-59.
- Bruck, M., & Treiman, R. (1992). Learning to pronounce words: The limitations of analogies. Reading Research Quarterly, 27, 374-388
- Bryant, P.E., & Bradley, L. (1980). Why children sometimes write words which they do not read. In U.Frith (Ed.), <u>Cognitive processes in spelling</u>, (pp. 355-372).

  Academic Press. New York.
- Bryant, P.E., & Bradley, L. (1985). <u>Children's reading problems.</u> Oxford, England, Blackwell's.

- Bryant, P.E., Maclean, M., Bradley, L.L., & Crossland, J. (1990). Rhyme and alliteration, phoneme detection, and learning to read. <a href="Developmental-Psychology">Developmental Psychology</a>, 26, 429-438.
- Bus, A.G., van Ijzendoorn, M.H., & Pellegrini, A.D. (1995). Joint book reading makes for success in learning to read: A meta-analysis on intergenerational transmission of literacy. Review of Educational Research, 65, 10-21.
- Byrne, B. (1988). <u>Acquiring the alphabetic principle: What kind of learning is it, and how can it be fostered?</u> Twelfth World Congress on Reading, Gold Coast, Australia
- Byrne, B. (1992). <u>Little speech scientists: Phonemic awareness in early literacy</u>

  <u>development.</u> Sydney: NSW Board of Studies (16pp.).
- Byrne, B. (1992). Studies in the acquisition procedure: Rationale, hypotheses, and data. In P.B. Gough, L.C. Ehri, & R. Treiman (Eds.), <u>Reading acquisition</u>, (pp. 1-34). Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum.
- Byrne, B. (1996). The learnability of the alphabetic principle: Children's initial hypothesis about how print represents spoken language. <u>Applied</u>

  <u>Psycholinguistics</u>, <u>17</u>, 401-426.
- Byrne, B. (forthcoming). <u>The acquisition of the alphabetic principle: An experimental analysis.</u> Erlbaum, U.K. Taylor and Francis.

- Byrne, B & Fielding-Barnsley, R. (1989). Phonemic awareness and letter knowledge in the child's acquisition of the alphabetic principle. <u>Journal of Educational</u>

  <u>Psychology</u>, 81, 313-321.
- Byrne, B & Fielding-Barnsley, R. (1990). Acquiring the alphabetic principle: A case for teaching recognition of phoneme identity. <u>Journal of Educational</u>

  <u>Psychology</u>, 82, 805-812.
- Byrne, B & Fielding-Barnsley, R.(1991a). Evaluation of a program to teach phonemic awareness to young children. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, <u>83</u>, 451-455.
- Byrne, B & Fielding-Barnsley, R. (1991b). <u>Sound Foundations.</u> Sydney, New South Wales, Australia: Peter Leyden Educational.
- Byrne, B & Fielding-Barnsley, R. (1993). Evaluation of a program to teach phonemic awareness to young children: A 1-year follow-up. <u>Journal of Educational</u>

  <u>Psychology, 85, 104-111</u>.
- Byrne, B., & Fielding-Barnsley,R. (1995). Evaluation of a program to teach phonemic awareness to young children: A 2- and 3-year follow-up, and a new preschool trial. Journal of Educational Psychology, 87, 488-503.
- Byrne, B & Fielding-Barnsley, R., & Ashley, L. (1996). What does the child bring to the task of learning to read? A summary of the New England reading acquisition projects. <u>Australian Journal of Psychology</u>, 38, 119-123.
- Byrne, B., & Fielding-Barnsley, R., Ashley, L., & Larsen, K. (1997). Assessing the child's and the environment's contribution to reading acquisition: What we

- know and what we don't know. In B. Blachman (Ed.), <u>Cognitive and linguistic foundations of reading acquisition</u>. (pp.265-285). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Byrne, B., & Liberman, A.M. (in press). Meaninglessness, productivity, and reading.

  In J. Oakhill, R.F. Beard and D.R. Vincent (Eds.), Reading development and the teaching of reading: A psychological perspective.
- Calfee, R.C., Lindamood, P., & Lindamood, C. (1973). Acoustic-phonetic skills and reading-- kindergarten through twelfth grade. <u>Journal of Educational</u>

  Psychology, 64, 3, 293-298.
- Castle, J.M., Riach, J., & Nicholson, T. (1994). Getting off to a better start in reading and spelling: The effects of phonemic awareness instruction within a whole language program. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, <u>86</u>, 350-359.
- Center, Y., Wheldall, K., Freeman, L., Outhred, L., & McNaught. (1995). An evaluation of Reading Recovery. Reading Research Quarterly, 30, 240-261.
- Chall, J.S. (1967). Learning to read: The great debate. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Chew, J. (1994). <u>Professional expertise and parental experience in the teaching of reading, or mother often knows best</u>. York: Campaign for Real Education.
- Claiborne, R. (1974). The birth of writing, Time-Life Books Inc.U.S.A.

- Clay, M.M. (1975). The Early detection of reading difficulties: A diagnostic survey.

  Auckland, New Zealand: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (1979). Stones- The concepts about print test. Exeter, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (1985). The early education of reading difficulties (3rd. ed.). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Coleman, E.B., & Morris, G. (1978). Generalization tests: A terminology that focuses attention on fixed-effect restrictions. <u>Journal of Reading Behavior</u>, <u>10</u>, 377-392.
- Coltheart, M. (1980). When can children learn to read-and when should they be taught? In T.G. Waller & G.E. MacKinnon (Eds.), Reading research:

  Advances in theory and practice. (pp. 1-30). New York, Academic Press.
- Coltheart. V., & Leahy, J. (1992). Children's and adults' reading of nonwords: Effects of regularity and consitency. <u>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning</u>, Memory, and Cognition, 18, 718-729.
- Coltheart. V., & Leahy, J. (1996). Procedures used by beginning readers to read unfamiliar letter strings. <u>Australian Journal of Psychology</u>, 48, 124-129.
- Coulmas, F. (1989). The writing systems of the world. Blackwell, N.Y.
- Crain, S. (1991). Language acquisition in the absence of experience. <u>Behavioral and</u>
  Brain Sciences, 14, 597-650.

- Crowne, D.P., & Marlowe, D. (1960). A new scale of social desirability independent of psychopathology. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 24, 349-354.
- Cunningham, A.E. (1990). Explicit versus implicit instruction in phonemic awareness.

  <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, <u>50</u>, 429-444.
- Cunningham, A.E., & Stanovich, K.E. (1990). Early spelling acquisition: writing beats the computer. Journal of Educational Psychology, 82, 159-162.
- DeBaryshe, B., Rodamel, S., Daly, B., & Huntley, L. (1992). Shared picture-book reading in the home: A language enrichment program for Head Start children.

  Paper presented to the Conference on Human Development, Atlanta GA.
- Daniels-McGhee, S., & Davis, G.A. (1994). The imagery creativity connection.

  Journal of Creative Behavior, 28, 151-176.
- DeFrancis, J. (1989). <u>Visible speech. The diverse oneness of writing systems.</u>

  University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu.
- Dickinson, D.K., & Smith, M.W. (1994). Long-term effects of preschool teachers' book reading on low-income children's vocabulary and story comprehension.

  Reading Research Quarterly, 29, 105-122.
- Duncan, L.G., Seymour, P.H.K., & Hill S. (1997). How important are rhyme and analogy in beginning reading? Cognition, 63, 171-208.
- Dunning, D.B., Mason, J.M., & Stewart, J.P. (1994). Reading to preschoolers: A response to Scarborough and Dobrich (1994) and recommendations for future research. <u>Developmental Review</u>, <u>14</u>, 324-339.

- Ehri, L.C. (1979). Linguistic insight: Threshold of reading acquisition. In T.G. Waller and G.E. McKinnon (Eds.), <u>Reading research: Advances in theory and practice, vol.1,</u> (pp.63-111). New York: Academic Press.
- Ehri, L.C. (1984). How orthography alters spoken language competencies in children learning to read and spell. In J.Downing & R Valtin (Eds.), <u>Language</u> awareness and learning to read (pp.119-147). New York, Springer Verlag.
- Ehri, L.C. (1986). Sources of difficulty in learning to spell and read. In M.L. Wolraich and D. Routh (Eds.), <u>Advances in developmental and behavioral pediatrics</u>, <u>7</u>, 121-195. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Ehri, L.C. (1987). Learning to read and spell words. <u>Journal of Reading Behavior</u>, <u>19</u>, 5-31.
- Ehri, L.C. (1989). The development of spelling knowledge and its role in reading acquisition and reading disability. <u>Journal of Learning Disbabilities</u>, <u>22</u>, 356-365.
- Ehri, L.C. (1992). Reconceptualizing the development of sight word reading and its relationship to recoding. In P.B. Gough, L.C. Ehri & R. Treiman (Eds.), Reading acquisition (pp.107-144). Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- Ehri, L.C. (1994). Develolpment of the ability to read words: Update. In R. Ruddell,
  M. Ruddell, and H. Singer. (Eds.), <u>Theoretical models and processes of</u>
  reading (4th, edition) (pp. 323-358). Newark, DE: International Reading
  Association.

- Ehri, L.C., (1995). Phases of development in learning to read words by sight. <u>Journal</u> of Research in Reading, 18, 116-125.
- Ehri, L.C., & Chun, C. (1996). How alphabetic/phonemic knowledge facilitates text processing in emergent readers. In J. Shimron (Ed.), <u>Literacy and education:</u>

  <u>Essays in memory of Dina Feitelson</u> (pp. 69-93). Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Ehri, L.C., Deffner, N.D., & Wilce, L.S. (1984). Pictorial mnemonics for phonics.

  Journal of Educational Psychology, 76, 880-893.
- Ehri, L.C., & Saltmarsh, J. (1995). Beginning readers outperform older disabled readers in learning to read words by sight. Reading and Writing, 7, 295-326.
- Ehri, L.C., & Wilce, L.S. (1982). The salience of silent letters in children's memory for word spellings. Memory and Cognition, 10, 155-166.
- Ehri, L.C., & Wilce, L.S. (1983). Development of word identification speed in skilled and less skilled beginning readers. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 75, 3-18.
- Ehri, L.C., & Wilce, L.S. (1985). Movement into reading: Is the first stage of printed word learning visual or phonetic? Reading Research Quarterly, 20, 163-179.
- Ehri, L.C., & Wilce, L.S. (1987). Does learning to spell help beginners learn to read words? Reading Research Quarterly, 22, 47-65.
- Elkonin, D.B. (1963). Methods of teaching reading. In J.Downing (Ed.), <u>Comaparitive</u> reading (pp. 551-579). New York, MacMillan.

- Elley, W.B. (1980). A comparison of content-interest and structuralist reading programs in Niue primary schools. New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies, 15, 39-53.
- Elley, W.B. (1989) Vocabulary acquisition from listening to stories. Reading Research

  Quarterly, 24, 174-187.
- Elley, W.B., & Mangubhai, F. (1983). The impact of reading on second language learning. Reading Research Quarterly, 19, 53-67.
- Feitelson, D. (1988). <u>Facts and fads in beginning reading: A cross-language</u> perspective. Norwood, NJ: Ablex
- Felton, R.H., & Pepper, P.P. (1995). Early identification and intervention of phonological deficits in kindergarten and early elementary children at risk for reading disability. School Psychology Review, 24, 405-414.
- Ferreiro, E. (1985). Literacy development: A psychogenetic perspective. In D.R.

  Olson, N. Torrance, & A Hildyard (Eds.), <u>Literacy, language, and learning:</u>

  <u>The nature and consequences of reading and writing</u> (pp.217-228). Cambridge:

  Cambridge University Press.
- Ferreiro, E. (1986). The interplay between information and assimilation in beginning literacy. In W.H. Teale & E. Sulzby (Eds.), <u>Emergent literacy: Writing and reading</u>, (pp.15-49). Ablex Publishing Corp. New Jersey.
- Ferreiro, E., & Teberosky, A. (1982). <u>Literacy before schooling.</u> Exeter, NH: Heinemann.

- Fitzgerald, J., Spiegel, D.L., & Cunningham, J.W. (1991). The relationship between parental literacy level and perceptions of emergent literacy. <u>Journal of Reading Behavior</u>, 23, 191-213.
- Fletcher, S. (1992). <u>Articulation: A physiological approach.</u> Singular Publishing Group, San Diego, U.S.A.
- Fodor, J.A., & Pylyshyn, Z.W. (1988). Connectionism and cognitive architecture: A critical analysis. Cognition, 28, 3-71.
- Foorman, B.R. (1995). Research on "The Great Debate": Code-oriented versus whole language approaches to reading instruction. School Psychology Review, 24, 376-392.
- Fowler, A.E. (1991). How early phonological development might set the stage for phoneme awareness. In S.A. Brady and D.P.Shankweiler (Eds.), <a href="Phonological processes in literacy">Phonological processes in literacy</a>. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Fowler, A.E., & Liberman, Y. (1995). The role of phonology and orthography in morphological awareness. In L.B. Feldman (Ed.), Morphological aspects of language processing. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Fox, B., & Routh, D.K. (1980). Phonemic analysis and severe reading disability in children. <u>Journal of Psycholinguistic Research</u>, 9, 115-119.
- Fox, B., & Routh, D.K. (1984). Phonemic analysis and synthesis as word attack skills: Revisited. Journal of Educational Psychology, 76, 1059-1061.

- Freebody, P., & Byrne, B. (1988). Word reading strategies in elementary school children: Relations to comprehension, reading time, and phonemic awareness.

  Reading Research Quarterly, 23, 441-453.
- Frith, U. (1980). Cognitive processes in spelling. New York: Academic Press.
- Frith, U. (1985). Beneath the surface of developmental dyslexia. In K.E. Patterson,

  J.C. Marshall & M.Coltheart (Eds.), <u>Surface dyslexia</u> (pp. 301-330). Erlbaum

  London.
- Funnell, E., & Stuart, M. (1995). Learning to read. Blackwell, Oxford, U.K.
- Gelb, I.J. (1952). A study of writing. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Gleitman, L.R., & Rozin, P. (1977). The structure and acquisition of reading 1:

  Relations between orthographies and the structure of language. In A.S.Reber & D.L.Scarborough (Eds.), <u>Toward a psychology of reading.</u> (pp.1-53).

  Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Goldenberg, C. (1988). Methods, early literacy, and home-school compatibilities: A response to Sledge et al. <u>Anthropology and Education Quarterly</u>, 19, 425-432.
- Goldenberg, C., Reese, L., & Gallimore, R. (1992). Effects of literacy materials from school on Latino children's home experiences and early reading achievement.

  American Journal of Education 100, 497-536.
- Goodman, K.S. (1967). 'Reading: A psycholinguistic guessing game' <u>Journal of the Reading Specialist</u>. 4, 126-35.

- Goodman, K.S. (1976). Reading: A psycholinguistic guessing game. In H.Singer & R.B. Ruddell (Eds.), Models and processes of reading. (pp.497-508). Newark De: International Reading Association.
- Goodman, K. (1986). What's whole in whole language? Scholastic Publications, Ontario.
- Goodman, K.S., & Goodman, Y.M. (1979). Learning to read is natural. In

  L.B.Resnick & P.A.Weaver (Eds.), <u>Theory and practice of early reading.</u> Vol.

  1, (pp.137-154). Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Goody, J. (1977). The domestication of the savage mind. Cambridge University Press.
- Goswami, U. (1986). Children's use of analogy in learning to read: A developmental study. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, 42, 73-83.
- Goswami, U. (1988). Orthographic analogies and reading development. <u>The Quarterly</u>

  Journal of Experimental Psychology, 40A (2) 239-268.
- Goswami, U. (1995). Phonological development and reading by analogy: What is analogy, and what is not? Journal of Research in Reading, 18, 139-145.
- Goswami, U., & Bryant, P. (1990). <u>Phonological skills and learning to read.</u> Hove, UK: Erlbaum.
- Gough, P.B. (1972). One second of reading. In J Kavanagh & I Mattingly (Eds.), Language by ear and eye. (pp. 331-358). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Gough, P.B., & Hillinger, M.L. (1980). Learning to read: An unnatural act. <u>Bulletin of</u> the Orton Society, 30, 179-196.
- Gough, P.B., Juel, C., & Griffith, P. (1992). Reading, spelling and the orthographic cipher. In P.B.Gough, L.C. Ehri & R. Treiman.(Eds.), Reading acquisition (pp. 35-48). Hillsdale, N.J. Erlbaum.
- Gough, P.B., & Tunmer, W.E. (1986). Decoding, reading, and reading disability.

  Remedial and Special Education, 7, 6-10.
- Goulandris, N., & Snowling, M. (1995). Assessing reading skills. In E. Funnell & M. Stuart (Eds.), <u>Learning to read. Psychology in the classroom</u> (pp. 93-129).

  Oxford, Blackwell,
- Guthrie, J.T., (1973). Models of reading and reading disability. <u>Journal of Educational</u>
  <u>Psychology</u>, 65, 9-18.
- Hatcher, P.J., Hulme, C., & Ellis, A.W. (1994). Ameliorating early reading failure by integrating the teaching of reading and phonological skills: The phonological linkage hypothesis. Child Development, 65, 41-57.
- Hatcher, P.J., Hulme, C., & Ellis, A.W. (1995) Helping to overcome early reading faillure by combining the teaching of reading and phonological skills. In E. Funnell & M. Stuart (Eds.), Learning to read. Psychology in the classroom (pp. 130-160). Oxford, Blackwell.
- Hayes, D.P., & Ahrens, M. (1988). Vocabulary simplification for children: A special case of 'motherese'? <u>Journal of Child Language</u>, 15, 395-410.

- Henry, M.K. (1990). Tutor 2. <u>Structured, sequential, multisensory lessons based on</u>
  the Orton-Gillingham approach. Lex Press, Los Gatos, CA.
- Henry, M.K (1993). The role of decoding in reading research and instruction. Reading and Writing, 5, 105-112.
- Henry, M.K. (1994). Integrating decoding and spelling instruction for the disabled reader. Reading and Writing Quarterly, 10, 143-158.
- Hohn, W.E., & Ehri, L.C. (1983). Do alphabet letters help prereaders acquire phonemic segmentation skill? <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 75, 752-762.
- Hoien, T., Lundberg, I., Stanovich, K.E., & Bjaalid, I.K. (1995). Components of phonemic awareness. Reading and Writing, 7, 171-188.
- Homfray, M. & Child, P. (1983). <u>Children and education.</u> Montessori World Education Institute, San Luis Obispo, CA.
- Huey, E.B. (1908/1968). The Psychology and pedagogy of reading. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Hulme, C. (1987). Reading retardation. In J.R. Beech and A.M. Colley (Eds.),

  <u>Cognitive approaches to reading</u>. Chichester: Wiley.
- Hulme, C., & Snowling, M. (1992). 'Deficits in output phonology: A cause of reading failure?' Cognitive Neuropsychology, 9, 47-72.

- Isselbacher, K.S., Braunwald, E., Wilson, J., Martin, J.B., Fanci, A., & Kasper, P.L. (Eds.). (1995). <u>Harrison's principles of internal medicine</u> (6th. ed., pp.2384-2385). U.S.A. McGraw Hill.
- Iversen, S., & Tunmer, W.E. (1993). 'Phonological processing and the reading recovery program'. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, <u>85</u>, 112-126.
- Jerger, M.A. (1996). Phoneme awareness and the role of the educator. <u>Intervention in</u> School and Clinic, 32, 5-13.
- Johnston, P.H. (1985). Understanding reading disability. <u>Harvard Educational</u> Review, 55, 153-177.
- Juel, C. (1988). Learning to read and write: A longitudinal study of fifty-four children from first through fourth grade. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 80, 437-447.
- Kerstholt, M.T., Vanbon, W.H.J., & Schreuder, R. (1994). Training in phonemic segmentation-the effects of visual support. Reading and Writing, 6, 361-385.
- Korkman, M & Pelotomaa, A.K. (1993). Preventive treatment of dyslexia by a preschool training program for children with language impairments. <u>Journal of Clinical Child Psychology</u>, 22, 277-287.
- Lewkowicz, N.K. (1980). Phonemic awareness training: What to teach and how to teach it. Journal of Educational Psychology, 72, 686-700.
- Liberman, A.M., Cooper, F., Shankweiler, D., & Studdert-Kennedy, M. (1967).

  Perception of the speech code. Psychological Review, 74, 432-461.

- Liberman, I.Y. (1973). Segmentation of the spoken word and reading acquisition.

  Bulletin of the Orton Society, 23, 65-77.
- Liberman, I.Y. (1983). A language-oriented view of reading and its disabilities. In H. Myklebust (Ed.), <u>Progress in learning disabilities</u> (Vol. 5, pp. 81-101). New York: Grune & Stratton.
- Liberman, I.Y., & Shankweiler, D. (1985). Phonology and the problems of learning to read and write. Remedial and Special Education, 6, 8-17.
- Liberman, I.Y., Shankweiler, D., Fischer, F.W., & Carter, B. (1974). Explicit syllable and phoneme segmentation in the young child. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, 18, 201-212.
- Liberman, I.Y., & Liberman, A.M. (1992). Whole language v code emphasis:

  Underlying assumptions and their implictions for reading instruction. <u>Annals</u>
  of Dyslexia, 40, 51-77.
- Liberman, I.Y., Rubin, H., Duques, S., & Carlisle, J. (1985). Linguistic abilities and spelling proficiency in kindergarten and adult poor spellers. In J Kavanagh and D. Gray (Eds), <u>Biobehavioral measures of dyslexia</u>. Parkton MD.: York Press
- Liberman, I.Y., Shankweiler, D., & Liberman, A.M. (1989). The alphabetic principle and learning to read. In D.Shankweiler and I.Y.Liberman (Eds.), Phonology and reading disability, (pp.1-33). International Academy for research in Learning Disabilities Monograph Series, Number 6, Ann Arbor The University of Michigan.

- Liberman, I.Y., Shankweiler, D., Orlando, C., Harris, K.S., & Berti, F.B. (1971). Letter confusions, and reversals of sequence in the beginning reader: Implications for Orton's theory of developmental dyslexia. Cortex, 7, 127-142.
- Lie, A. (1991). Effects of a training programme for stimulating skills in word analysis in first-grade children Reading Research Quarterly, 26, 234-250.
- Lieberman, P. (1973). On the evolution of language: A unified view. <u>Cognition</u>, <u>2</u>, 59-94.
- Lindamood, C.H., & Lindamood, P.C. (1969). <u>Auditory discrimination in depth.</u>
  Boston: Teaching Resources.
- Lonigan, C.J. (1994). Reading to preschoolers exposed: Is the emperor really naked?

  <u>Developmental Review</u>, 14, 303-323.
- Lovett, M.W., Warren-Chaplin, P.M., Ransby, M.J., & Borden, S.L. (1990). Training the word recognition skills of reading disabled children: Treatment and transfer effects. Journal of Educational Psychology, 82, 769-780
- Lundberg, I., Frost, J., & Peterson, O. (1988). Effects of an extensive program for stimulating phonological awareness in preschool children. Reading Research Quarterly, 23, 263-284.
- Lundberg, I., Olofsson, A., & Wall, S. (1980). Reading and spelling skills in the first school years, predicted from phonemic awareness skills in kindergarten.

  Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 21, 159-173.

- Maclean, M., Bryant, P., & Bradley, L. (1987). Rhymes, nursery rhymes, and reading in early childhood. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 33, 255-281.
- Manassen, C. (1993) Rudolf Steiner and the pedagogy (Doctoral dissertation, University Of New England, 1993).
- Manis, F.R. (1985). Acquisition of word identification skills in normal and disabled readers. Journal of Educational Psychology, 77, 78-90.
- Marsh, G., & Desberg, P. (1978). Mnemonics for phonics. <u>Contemporary Educational</u>
  <u>Psychology</u>, 3, 57-61.
- Marsh, G., Friedman, M., Welch, V., & Desberg, P. (1981). A cognitive developmental theory of reading acquisition. Reading research: Advances in theory and practice, 3, 199-219.
- Mason, J.M. (1980). When do children begin to read: An exploration of four year old children's letter and word reading competencies. Reading Research Quarterly, 15, 203-227.
- Mason, J.M. (1992). Reading stories to preliterate children: A proposed connection to reading. In Gough, P.B., Ehri, L.C., & Treiman, R. (Eds.), Reading acquisition, (pp.215-241). Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc., New Jersey.
- Mason, J.M., & McCormick, C. (1985). <u>Little books for early readers.</u> Pintsize Prints, Charleston, IL.

- Masonheimer, P.E., Drum, P.A., & Ehri, L.C. (1984). Does environmental print identification lead children into word reading? <u>Journal of Reading Behavior</u>, <u>16</u>, 257-271.
- McBride-Chang, C. (1995). What is phonological awareness? <u>Journal of Educational</u>
  Psychology, 87, 179-192
- McClure, K.M., Ferreira, F., & Bisanz, G.L. (1996). Effects of grade, syllable segmentation, and speed of presentation on children's word-blending ability.

  Journal of Educational Psychology, 88, 670-681.
- McCormick, C., & Mason, J.M. (1986). Intervention procedures for increasing preschool children's interest in and knowledge about reading. In H. Teale and E. Sulzby (Eds.), <a href="Emergent literacy">Emergent literacy</a>. Writing and reading. (pp.90-115). Ablex Publishing Corp., New Jersey.
- McGuiness, D., McGuiness, C., & Donohue, J. (1995) Phonological training and the alphabet principle: Evidence for reciprocal causality. Reading Research

  Ouarterly, 30, 830-852.
- McKenna, M.C., Kear, D.J. (1990). Measuring attitude toward reading: A new tool for teachers. <u>The Reading Teacher</u>, <u>43</u>, 626-639.
- McKenna, M.C., Kear, D.J., & Ellsworth, R.A. (1995). Children's attitudes toward reading: A national survey. Reading Research Quarterly, 30, 934-956.
- Meyer, L., Wardrop, J.L., Stahl, S.A., & Linn, R.L. (1994). Effects of reading storybooks aloud to children. Journal of Educational Research, 88, 69-85.

- McKenna, M.G, & Kear, D.J. (1990). Elementary reading attitude survey. <u>The Reading</u> Teacher, vol 43, 9, 626-639.
- Miller, G.A. (1981). Language and speech. W.H. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Moats, L.C. (1994). The missing foundation in teacher education: Knowledge of the structure of spoken and written language. Annals of Dyslexia, 44, 81-102.
- Montessori, M. (1966). The secret of childhood. Ballantine Books. New York.
- Morais. J. (1991). Constraints on the development of phonemic awareness. In S. Brady & D.P. Shankweiler. (Eds.), <u>Phonological processes in literacy. A tribute to Isabelle Y. Liberman.</u> Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc., Publishers, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Morais, J., Algeria, J., & Content, A. (1987). The relationships between segmental analysis and alphabetic literacy: An interactive view. <u>Cahiers de Psycholgie</u>

  <u>Cognitive. European Bulletin of Cognitive Psychology</u>, 7, 415-438.
- Morais, J., Bertelson, P., Cary, L., & Algeria, J. (1986). Literacy training and speech segmentation. <u>Cognition</u>, <u>7</u>, 323-331.
- Morais, J., Cary, L., Algeria, J., & Bertelson, P. (1979). Does awareness of spech as a sequence of phones arise spontaneously? <u>Cognition</u>, 7, 45-64.
- Murphy, M.D., & Brown, A.L. (1975). Incidental learning in preschool children as a function of level of cognitive analysis. <u>Journal of Experimental Child</u>

  <u>Psychology</u>, 19, 509-523.

- Naslund, J.C., & Schneider, W. (1996). Kindergarten letter knowledge, phonological skills, and memory processes: Relative effects on early literacy. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, 62, 30-59.
- Nation, K., & Hulme, C. (in press). Phonemic segmentation, not onset-rime segmentation, predicts early reading and spelling skills.
- O'Connor, R.E., & Jenkins, J.R. (1995). Improving the generalization of sound/symbol knowledge: Teaching spelling to kindergarten children with disabilities. The Journal of Special Education, 29, 255-275.
- O'Connor, R.E., Slocum, T.A., & Jenkins, J.R. (1995). Transfer among phonological tasks in kindergarten: Essential instructional content. <u>Journal of Educational</u>
  Psychology, 87, 202-217.
- Olofsson, A., & Lundberg, I. (1983). Can phonemic awareness be trained in kindergarten? Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 24, 35-44.
- Olofsson, A., & Lundberg, I. (1985). Evaluation of long-term effects pf phonemic awareness training in kindergarten: Illustrations of some methodologiacal problems in evaluation research. <u>Scandinavian Journal of Psychology</u>, 26, 21-34.
- Paivio, A. (1971). Imagery and verbal processes. Holt & Rinehart, New York.
- Papondropoulou, I. & Sinclair, H. (1974). What is a word? Experimental study of children's ideas on grammar. <u>Human Development</u>, <u>17</u>, 241-248.

- Payne, A.C., Whitehurst, G.J., & Angell, A. (1994) The role of home literacy environment in the development of language ability in preschool children from low-income families. <u>Early Childhood Research Quarterly</u>, 9, 427-440.
- Perfetti, C.A., (1995). Cognitive research can inform reading education. <u>Journal of Research in Reading</u>, <u>18</u>, 106-115.
- Perfetti, C., Beck, I., Bell., & Hughes C. (1987). Phonemic knowledge and learning to read are reciprocal: A longitudinal study of first-grade children. Merrill Palmer Quarterly, 33, 283-319.
- Perin, D.P. (1983). Phonemic segmentation and spelling. <u>British Journal of</u>
  Psychology, 74, 129-144.
- Pflaum, S.W., Walberg, H.J., Karegianes, M.L., & Rasher, S.P. (1980) Reading instruction: A quantitative analysis. Educational Researcher, 9, 12-18.
- Piaget, J., & Inhelder, B. (1969). Psychology of the child. Basic Books. New York.
- Pikulski, J.J. (1994). Preventing reading failure: A review of five effective programs.

  The Reading Teacher, 48, 30-39.
- Plaut, D.C., McClelland, J.L., Seidenberg, M.S., & Patterson, K. (1996)

  Understanding normal and impaired word reading: Computational principles in quasi-regular domains. Psychological Review, 103, 56-115.
- Purvis, A.C., & Beach, R. (1972). <u>Literature and the reader: Research in response to to literature, reading interests, and the teaching of literature.</u> Urbana, IL. National Council of Teachers of English.

- Rack, J., Hulme, C., Snowling, M., & Wightman, J. (1994). The role of phonology in young children learning to read words: The direct mapping hypothesis. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, <u>57</u>, 42-71.
- Read, C.R. (1986). Children's creative spelling. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London.
- Reed, K. (1979). Assessing affective responses to reading: A multi-measurement model. Reading World, 19, 149-156.
- Reitsma, P. (1983). Printed word learning in beginning readers. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, 36, 321-339.
- Robbins, C., & Ehri, L.C. (1994). Reading storybooks to kindergarteners helps them learn new vocabulary words. Journal of Educational Psychology, 86, 54-64.
- Rosenshine, B.A., (1986). A synthesis of research on explicit teaching. <u>Educational</u> <u>Leadership</u>, 43, 60-69.
- Rozin, P., Bressman, B., & Taft, M. (1974). Do children understand the basic relationship between speech and writing? The mownmotorcycle test. <u>Journal of ReadingBehavior</u>, 6, 327-334.
- Rozin, P. & Gleitman, L.R. (1977). The sructure and acquisition of reading II:. The reading process and the acquisition of the alphabetic principle. In A.S.Reber & D.L.Scarborough (Eds.), <u>Toward a psychology of reading</u>, (pp.55-141)

  Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Sampson, G. (1985). Writing systems. A linguistic introduction. Hutchinson, London.

- Scarborough, H. S. (1992). <u>Anticedents to literacy: Early skills, attitudes, and experiences.</u> Paper presented at the Canadian Psychological Association, Quebec City.
- Scarborough, H.S., & Dobrich, W. (1994). On the efficacy of reading to preschoolers.

  <u>Developmental Review</u>, 14, 245-302.
- Scarborough, H.S., & Dobrich, W., & Hager, M. (1991). Preschool literacy experience and later reading achievement. Developmental Review, 14, 245-302.
- Seidenberg, M.S. (1992). Dyslexia in a computational model of word recognition in reading. In P.B.Gough, L.C. Ehri, & R. Treiman (Eds.), Reading acquisition, pp. 243-274. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.
- Seidenberg, M.S., & McClelland, J.L. (1989). A distributed, developmental model of word recognition and naming. <u>Psychological Review</u>, <u>96</u>, 523-568.
- Senechal, M.S., LeFevre, E.H., & Lawson, E.P. (1996). Knowledge of storybooks as a predictor of young children's vocabulary. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 88, 520-536.
- Senechal, M., Thomas, E., & Monker, J. (1995). Individual differences in 4-year-old children's acquisition of vocabulary during storybook reading. <u>Journal of</u>
  Educational Psychology, 87, 218-229.
- Seymour, P.H.K., & Elder, L. (1986). Beginning reading without phonology.

  <u>Cognitive Neuropsychology</u>, 3, 1-36.

- Seymour, P.H.K., & MacGregor, C.J. (1984). Developmental dyslexia: A cognitive experimental analysis of phonological, morphemic and visual impairments.

  <u>Cognitive Neuropsychology</u>, 1, 43-83.
- Share, D.L., (1995). Phonological recoding and self-teaching: *sine qua non* of reading acquisition. <u>Cognition</u>, <u>55</u>, 151-218.
- Share, D.L., Jorm, A.F., Maclean, R., & Matthews, R. (1984). Sources of Individual Differences in reading acquisition. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 76, 1309-1324.
- Share, D.L. & Stanovich, K.E. (1995). Cognitive processes in early reading development: Accommodating individual differences into a model of acquisition. In J.S.Carlson. (Ed.), <u>Issues in education. Contributions from educational psychology</u>, (pp.1-57).JAI Press Inc. Connecticut.
- Smith, F. (1971). Understanding reading. Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York.
- Smith, F. (1979). Reading without nonsense. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Snider, V.E. (1995). A primer on phonemic awareness: What it is, why it's important, and how to teach it. School Psychology Review, 24, 443-445.
- Snow, C.E., & Ninio, A. (1986). The contracts of literacy: What children learn from learning to read books. In Teale, W.H., & Sulzby, E. (Eds.), <a href="Emergent Literacy"><u>Emergent Literacy. Writing and Reading</u></a>, (pp.90-115). Ablex Publ Corp. New Jersey.

- Snowling, M. (1980). The development of phoneme- grapheme correspondence in normal and dyslexic readers. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, 29, 294-305.
- Spalding, R.B. (1962). The writing road to reading. New York: Whiteside.
- Stahl. S.A., & Murray, B.A. (1994). Defining phonological awareness and its relationship to early reading. Journal of Educational Psychology, 86, 221-224.
- Stanovich, K. (1980). 'Towards an interactive-compensatory model of individual differences in the development of reading fluency'. Reading Research

  Quarterly, 19, 32-71.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1986). Matthew effects in reading: Some consequences of individual differences in the acquisition of literacy. Reading Research Quarterly 21, 360-407.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1994a). Does dyslexia exist? <u>Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry</u>, 35, 579-596.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1994 b). Who's romanticizing reality? Stanovich's reply. [Letter to the editor]. The ReadingTeacher, 48, 10-12.
- Stanovich, K.E., Cunningham, A.E., & Cramer, B.B. (1984). Assessing phonological awareness in kindergarten children: issues of task comparability. <u>Journal of</u>
  Experimental Child Psychology, 38, 175-190.
- Stanovich, K.E., & Stanovich, P.J. (1995). How research might inform the debate about early reading acquisition. <u>Journal of Research in Reading</u>, 18, 87-105.

- Stanovich, K.E., & West, R.F. (1989). Exposure to print and orthographic processing.

  Reading Research Quarterly, 24, 402-433.
- Steiner, R. (1981). A modern art of education. Rudolf Steiner Press, London.
- Sterelny, K. (1990). The representational theory of mind: An introduction. Oxford.

  U.K.:Basil Blackwell.
- Stuart, M. (1990). Processing strategies in a phoneme deletion task. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 42A, 305-327.
- Stuart, M. (1995a). Through printed words to meaning: Issues of transparency. <u>Journal of Research in Reading</u>, 18, 126-131.
- Stuart, M. (1995b). Recognizing printed words unlocks the door to reading: How do children find the key? In E. Funnell & M. Stuart (Eds.), <u>Learning to read.</u>

  <u>Psychology in the classroom</u>, (pp.31-59). Oxford, Blackwell.
- Stuart, M., & Coltheart, M. (1988). Does reading develop in a sequence of stages?

  <u>Cognition</u>, 30, 139-181.
- Stuart, M., & Masterson, J. (1992). Patterns of reading and spelling in 10-year-old children related to prereading phonological abilities. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, <u>54</u>, 168-187.
- Summers, E.G. (1977). 'Instruments for assessing reading attitudes: A review of research and bibliography.' Journal of Reading Behavior, 9, 137-166.

- Tangel, D.M., & Blachman, B.A. (1992). Effect of phoneme awareness instruction on kindergarten children's invented spelling. <u>Journal of Reading Behavior</u>, <u>24</u>, 233-261.
- Thompson, G.B., Cottrell, D.S., & Fletcher-Flinn, C.M. (1996). Sublexical orthogrtaphic-phonological relations early in the acquisition of reading: The knowledge sources account. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, 62, 190-222.
- Torgesen, J.K., Morgan, S.T., & Davis, C. (1992). Effects of two types of phonological training on word learning in kindergarten children. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 84, 364-370.
- Torgesen, J.K., Wagner, R.K., Balthazar, M, Davis, C., Morgan, S., Simmons, K., Stage, S., & Zirps, F. (1989). Developmental and individual differences in performance on phonological synthesis tasks. <u>Journal of Experimental Psychology</u>, 47, 491-505.
- Torgesen, J.K., Wagner, R.K., & Rashotte, C.A. (1994). Longitudinal studies of phonological processing and reading. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, <u>27</u>, 276-286.
- Treiman, R. (1983). The structure of spoken syllables: Evidence from novel word games. Cognition, 15, 49-74.
- Treiman, R. (1985). Onsets and rimes as units of spoken syllables: Evidence from children. <u>Journal of Experimenatal Child Psychology</u>, <u>39</u>, 161-181.

- Treiman, R. (1992). The role of intrasyllabic units in learning to read. In P.B. Gough.

  L.C. Ehri & R.Treiman (Eds.). <u>Reading Acquisition (pp.65-106)</u>. Hillsdale,

  NJ, Erlbaum.
- Treiman, R. (1997, March). <u>Learning to connect print and speech.</u> Paper presented at the meeting of The Departments of Psychology, Education Studies and Linguistics, and The New England Branch of The Australian Psychological Society, Armidale, New South Wales, Australia.
- Treiman, R., & Baron, J. (1983). Phonemic-analysis training helps children benefit from spelling-sound rules. <u>Memory and Cognition</u>, <u>11</u>, 382-389.
- Treiman, R., Goswami, U., & Bruck, M. (1990). Not all nonwords are alike:

  Implications for reading development and theory. Memory and Cognition, 18,
  559-567.
- Treiman, R. & Zukowski, A. (1991). Levels of phonological awareness. In S. Brady & D.P. Shankweiler. (Eds.). Phonological processes in literacy. A tribute to <a href="Isabelle Y. Liberman.">Isabelle Y. Liberman.</a> Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc., Publishers, Hillsdale, New Jersey.
- Treiman, R. & Zukowski, A. (1996). Children's sensitivity to syllables, onsets, rimes, and phonemes. <u>Journal of Experimental Child Psychology</u>, 61, 193-215.
- Truch, S. (1994). Stimulating basic reading processes using auditory discrimination in depth. Annals of Dyslexia, 44, 60-80.

- Tunmer, W.E., Herriman, M.L., & Nesdale, A.R. (1988). Metalinguistic abilities and beginning reading. Reading Research Quarterly, 23, 134-158.
- Tunmer, W.E., & Nesdale, A. R. (1985). Phonemic segmentation skill and beginning reading. Journal of Educational Psychology. 77, 417-427.
- Uhry, J.K., & Shepherd, M.J. (1993). Segmentation spelling instruction as part of a 1st-grade reading program-effects on several measures of reading. Reading Research Quarterly, 28, 218-233.
- Valdez-Menchaca, M.C., & Whitehurst, G.J. (1992). Accelerating language development through picture book reading: A systematic extension to Mexican day care. <a href="Developmental Psychology">Developmental Psychology</a>, 28, 1106-1114.
- Vandervelden, M.C., & Siegel, L.S. (1995). Phonological recoding and phoneme awareness in early literacy: A developmental approach. Reading Research Quarterly, 30, 854-875.
- van Kraayenord, C.E. & Paris, S.G. (1994). Literacy instruction in Australian primary schools. The Reading Teacher, 48, 218-228.
- Van Orden, G.C., Pennington, B.F., & Stone, G.O. (1990). Word identification in reading and the promise of subsymbolic psycholinguistics. <a href="Psychological Review">Psychological Review</a>, 97, 488-522.
- Wagner, R.W., & Torgesen, J.K. (1987). The nature of phonological processing and its causal role in the acquisition of reading skills. <a href="Psychological Bulletin">Psychological Bulletin</a>, 101, 192-212.

- Wagner, R.W., Torgesen, J.K., & Rashotte, C.A. (1994). Development of reading-related phonological processing abilities: New evidence of bidirectional causality from a latent variable longitudinal study. <a href="Developmental">Developmental</a>
  <a href="Psychology">Psychology</a>, 30, 73-87.</a>
- Walberg, H.J., & Tsai, S.L. (1985). Correlates of reading achievement and attitude: A national assessment study. <u>Journal of Educational Research</u>, 78, 159-167.
- Walley, A.C. (in press). The role of vocabulary development in children's spoken word recognition and segmentation ability. <u>Developmental Review.</u>
- Walton, P.D. (1995). Rhyming ability, phoneme identity, letter-sound knowledge, and the use of orthographic analogy by prereaders. <u>Journal of Educational</u>

  <u>Psychology</u>, 87, 587-597.
- Wells, G. (1985). <u>Language development in the preschool years.</u> Cambridge University Press, C ambridge.
- White, K. (1982). The relation between socio economic status and academic achievement. Psychological Bulletin, 91, 461-481.
- Whitehurst, G.J., Arnold, D.H., Epstein, J.N., Angell, A.L., Smith, M., & Fischel, J.E. (1994). A picture book reading intervention in daycare and home for children from low-income families. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 30, 679-689.
- Whitehurst, G.J., Epstein, J.N., Angell, A., Payne, A.C., Crone, D., & Fischel, J.E. (1994). Outcomes of an emergent literacy intervention in Head Start. <u>Journal</u> of Educational Psychology, 84, 541-556.

- Whitehurst, G.J., Falco, F., Lonigan, C., Fischel J., DeBaryshe, B., Valdez-Menchaca,
  M., & Caulfield, M. (1988). Accelerating language development through
  picture book reading. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 24, 552-559.
- Whitehurst, G. J., Fischel, J.E., Epstein, J., & Angell, A.(in press) Classroom interventions in shared reading in Head Start settings.
- Whitehurst, G.J., (1993). The Stony Brook Family Reading Survey.
- Williams, J.P., (1980). Teaching decoding with an emphasis on phoneme analysis and phoneme blending. Journal of Educational Psychology, 72, 1-15.
- Yopp, H.K. (1988). The validity and reliability of phonemic awareness tests. <u>Reading</u>
  Research Quarterly, 23, 159-177.