AN ANALYSIS OF FOOD CONSUMPTION EXPENDITURES OF RURAL HOUSEHOLDS : A CASE STUDY OF THREE REGIONS IN SRI LANKA

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Economics

of the

University of New England

by
D Jayantha P Kottege

Armidale NSW

July 1988

DECLARATION

I certify that:

- 1. the substance of this dissertation has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degree;
- 2. any help received in preparing this dissertation and all sources used have been acknowledd herein.

.

D J F Kottege

ABSTRACT

Disaggregated analysis of food consumption expenditures of the poorer sectors of an economy is a useful task and yet one that is not frequently undertaken in LDCs. It is useful due to its many potential contributions <u>inter alia</u> to the development of cost of living indices, standards of living indicators, 'poverty lines' and scales of income distribution crucial to effective policy-making.

In this study, a disaggregated analysis of data from mainly subsistence agricultural households, in three selected rural regions of Sri Lanka, was conducted using descriptive and quantitative methods. Quantitative methods include regression analysis using single and systems of equation demand models.

The results indicate that the three regions are largely similar in terms of socio-demographic characteristics that are likely to influence food consumption, leading to similar patterns of food consumption expenditure. The expenditure pattern for most items of food, is determined significantly by the level of total expenditure only. The variation in food consumption expenditures across the two seasons, corresponding to pre- and post-harvest periods of 1984, was found to be statistically significant. The total expenditure elasticities for the pre-harvest season were higher for most food categories than those for the post-harvest season.

Food plays a patently predominating role in the budget of all income classes. Almost all items of food, including staples, involve increasing expenditures with rising incomes, suggesting that the scope for subsidising any particular type of food, as a means to benefit only the poorer segments of the population, is limited.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Numerous organisations and individuals were instrumental in bringing this dissertation to fruition.

First of all, I owe an immense debt of gratitude to my supervisor Dr Kevin Parton, who patiently and diligently guided me at all stages of this dissertation. My sincere thanks are due to Professor Jock Anderson, Head of the Department of Agricultural Economics and Business Management. for the valuable support and splendid working environment that I enjoyed during my stay at the Department.

I thank Professor Bill Griffiths for the help in sorting out some of the more pressing econometric problems. Mrs Vera Fris for giving some handy hints about the computer and about life in general. Fellow graduate student Kailash Sharma took a genuine interest in my work and always obligingly responded to my numerous querries. I also express gratitude to Dr Martin Greelev, for cheerfully agreeing to let me use data from our joint research on Energy in Agriculture.

Editorial assistance and useful comments from Drs Bernard Swan and Mahinda Siriwardana are greatfully acknowledged. Thanks are also due to Sue Lucas for her part in typing this dissertation.

The Agrarian Research and Training Institute granted the study leave and Winrock International Institute provided me with a fellowship to study at the University of New England. I am grateful to all. who acted on their behalf.

Last but in no way least, I owe immensly to my wife Ranjula, whose love. understanding and support were a constant source of inspiration.

To My Parents:
Don Solomon Kottege
and
Helena Dinnely Kottege

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	х
Chapter	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The Nutritional Problem	1
1.2 Objectives and Hypotheses	3
1.3 Organization of the Study	4
2 THE THEORY OF CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR AND DEMAND FUNCT:	IONS 5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 The Utility Function	6
2.3 The Derivation of Selected Demand Models	7
2.3.1 The Engel curve	7
2.3.2 The linear expenditure system (LES)	9
2.3.3 The almost ideal demand system (AIDS)	10
3 CROSS-SECTIONAL CONSUMPTION STUDIES - A REVIEW	13
3.1 Introduction	13
3.2 Cross-sectional Consumption Studies	13
3.3 Variables Used	14
3.4 Methods of Estimation Used	15
3.4.1 Studies using single equation methods	16
3.4.2 Studies using systems of equations	20
4 THE ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK	23
4.1 Introduction	23
4.2 Single Equation Models	23
4.2.1 Linear functional form	24
4.2.2 Double-log functional form	25
4.2.3 Semi-log functional form	25
4.2.4 Log-log-quadratic functional form	26

	4.3	Systems of Equations Models	26
		4.3.1 Linear model (1)	27
		4.3.2 Linear model (2)	28
		4.3.3 Working-Leser/AIDS model	28
5	THE	DATA	31
	5.1	The Principal Source of Data	31
	5.2	Selection of Field Areas	31
	5.3	Sample Selection	33
		5.3.1 The sampling unit	33
		5.3.2 Data preparation	35
	5.4	Variables Used	36
	5.5	Quality of Data	38
	5.6	Descriptive Statistics	39
		5.6.1 Regional variation	40
		5.6.2 Seasonal variation	44
		5.6.3 Income-class-specific variation	45
	5.7	Pattern of Food Consumption	49
	5.8	Data Flots	50
6	RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSION	53
	6.1	Introduction	53
	6.2	Single Equation Methods	53
		6.2.1 Linear functional form	54
		6.2.2 Double-log functional form	56
		6.2.3 Semi-log functional form	58
		6.2.4 Log-log-quadratic functional form	60
	6.3	Systems of Equations Methods	63
	6.4	Expenditure Elasticities	65
	6.5	Limitations of the Study	67
	6.6	Suggestions for Further Research	68
	6.7	Summary of Results and Conclusions	68
APPE	NDI	★	72
А	DA:	TA PLOTS	72
B.1	COI	RRECTING FOR HETEROSCEDASTICITY	80
B.2	THI	E ADDITIVITY RESTRICTION	83

84

REFERENCES

	LIST OF TABLES	
Table		
5.1	Selection of Sample Households	34
5.2	Selected Socio-demographic Characteristics by Region in the Rural Sector, Sri Lanka, 1984	40
5.3	The Average Expenditure by Expenditure Category, Total Expenditure and Total Income by Region in the Rural Sector, Sri lanka, 1984	41
5.4	The Average Budget Shares Allocated to Selected Items of Expenditure by Region in the Rural Sector. Sri Lanka, 1984	42
5.5	Food-Item-Wise Expenditure as a Percentage of Total Food Outlays by Region in the Rural Sector, Sri Lanka, 1984	43
5.6	The Average Expenditure by Expenditure Category and Total Expenditure by Season in the Rural Sector, Sri Lanka, 1984	44
5.7	Average Budget Shares by Season in the Rural Sector, Sri Lanka. 1984	45
5.8	Selected Socio-demographic Characteristics by Income and Expenditure Class in the Rural Sector. Sri Lanka. 1984	46
5.9	The Average Expenditure by Expenditure Category, Total Expenditure by Income Class in the Rural Sector. Sri Lanka, 1984	47

5.10 Food-Item-Wise Expenditure as a Percentage of Total 48
Food Outlays by Income Class in the Rural Sector.
Sri Lanka, 1984

5.11	Food Shares by Income Class in the Rural Sector. Sri Lanka. 1984	48
6.1	Estimated Linear Regression Equations	55
6.2	Estimated Double-log Regression Equations	57
6.3	Estimated Semi-log Regression Equations	59
6.4	Estimated Log-log-quadratic Regression Equations	61
6.5	Estimated Linear Model (1)	64
6.6	Estimated Linear Model (2)	64
6.7	Estimated W-L/AIDS Model	65
6.8	Estimated Expenditure Elasticities from Single Equation Models	66
6.9	Estimated Expenditure Elasticities from Systems of Equations Models	67
B.1	Summary of Diagnosic Tests for Heteroscedasticity	81
B.2	Estimated GLS Regressions for Systems of Equations Models	82
В.З	Conditions for Fulfilling the Additivity Restriction, Systems of equations Models	83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

5.1	Map of Sri Lanka Indicating Study Locations	32
5.2	Scattergram of Food Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	51
5.3	Scattergram of Food Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	51
5.4	Scattergram of Ln(Food Expenditure) versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	51
5.5	Scattergram of Non-Food Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	52
5.6	Scattergram of Non-Food Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure	52
5.7	Scattergram of Ln(Non-Food Expenditure) versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	52
A.1	Scattergram of Rice Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	73
A.2	Scattergram of Rice Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	73
А.З	Scattergram of Ln(Rice Expenditure) versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	73
A.4	Scattergram of Coconut Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	7 4
A.5	Scattergram of Coconut Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	7 4

A.6	Expenditure)	74
A.7	Scattergram of Vegetables Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	75
A.8	Scattergram of Vegetables Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	75
A.9	Scattergram of Ln(Vegetables Expenditure) versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	75
A.10	Scattergram of Fish Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	76
A.11	Scattergram of Fish Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	76
A.12	Scattergram of Ln(Fish Expenditure) versus Ln(Total Expenditure	76
A.13	Scattergram of Milk Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	77
A.14	Scattergram of Milk Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	77
A.15	Scattergram of Other Food Expenditure versus Total Expenditure	78
A.16	Scattergram of Other Food Expenditure versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	78
A.17	Scattergram of Ln(Other Food Expenditure) versus Ln(Total Expenditure)	78