# **NON-FORMAL EDUCATION IN FIJI:**

# THE POTENTIAL FOR PRIMARY SCHOOL-BASED PROGRAMMES

## AKANISI KEDRAYATE LEWARAVU

T.Cert. (N. T.C, Fiji), C.Ed.Cert. (Waikato, N.Z),
M. Ed. (Hons) (Glasgow)

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

Acknowledge	ments		vii
Dedication			xi
Declaration			xii
List of Table		Figures	xiii
Abbreviations Abstract			xiv xvi
Abstract			AVI
CHAPTER	1.0	INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY	1
	1.1	Background to the Study	1
	1.2	The Choice of Primary School for the Study	5
	1.3	The Purpose of the Study	6
	1.4	Significance of the Study	7
	1.5	The Structure of the Thesis	8
CHAPTER	2.0	NON-FORMAL EDUCATION IN FIJI ITS GEOGRAPHY	
		SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND	
		POLITICAL CONTEXT	11
	2.1	Introduction	11
	2.2	Geographical Context	11
		2.2.1 NFE and Geography	13
	2.3	The Economy of Fiji and Economic	
		Needs for the Non-Formal Education	
		Programmes	15
		2.3.1 National Economic Situation	15
		2.3.2 Economic Needs for NFE	17
		2.3.3 Rural NFE for Economic Needs	18
	2.4	Social Structure In Fiji And Its Implications	21
		For Non-Formal Education Programmes	21
		2.4.1 Multi-Racial Component	21
		2.4.2 The Indigenous Fijian	22
		2.4.3 The Communal Social Structure	23
		2.4.4 The Indo-Fijians	26
		2.4.5 The Europeans	29
		2.4.6 Part-Europeans	30
		2.4.7 The Chinese	31
		2.4.8 NFE in a Multi-racial Fiji	32
	2.5	The Constitution and Government	_
		Structure in Fiji	33

		2.5.1 The Fijian Constitution Prior to the Military Coup	33
		2.5.2 The Republic of Fiji	34
		2.5.3 Local Government	36
	2.6	Conclusion	38
	2.0	Conclusion	30
CHAPTER	3.0	WHY NON-FORMAL EDUCATION (NFE) IN FIJI?	39
	3.1	Introduction	39
	3.2	Educational Development in Fiji	39
		3.2.1 Traditional Education	40
		3.2.2 Formal Schooling in Fiji	42
		3.2.3 Pre-Independence Schooling	43
		3.2.4 Post-Independence Education	45
		3.2.5 The Limitations of Schools	46
	3.3	Non-Formal Education	49
		3.3.1 Rationale for Non-Formal Education	49
	3.4	Non-Formal Education Provision in Fiji	51
		3.4.1 Definition of Non-Formal Education	51
	3.5	Describing Non-Formal Education in Fiji	54
		3.5.1 Institution-Based Non-Formal	
		Education	55
		3.5.2 Centre-Based Non-Formal Education	57
		3.5.3 Village or Community Based	59
		Non-Formal Education Programmes	
		3.5.4 School-Based Non-Formal Education	61
		(a) Secondary School-Based NFE	62
	2.6	(b) Primary School-Based NFE	63 65
	3.6	Summary	0.5
CHAPTER	4.0	METHODOLOGY	68
<b></b>	4.1	Introduction	68
	4.2	The Two Major Research Approaches	
		of the Study	68
		4.2.1 The Qualitative Approach	68
		4.2.2 The Case Study Approach	73
		4.2.3 Triangulation	76
	4. 3	_	77
		4.3.1 The Examination of NFE	

		Concept in Fiji	80
		4.3.2 Selection of Research Methodology	
		for the Study	80
		4.3.3 Selection of Field Research Approach and Strategy	81
		4.3.4 The Formulation of an Ideal Model of Non-Formal Education	81
		4.3.5 Investigation of School-based	
		Programmes	83
		4.3.6 Identification of Discrepancies	83
		4.3.7 Formulation of Key Findings, Implications and Recommendation	84
CHAPTER	5	THE SELECTION OF FIELD	0.5
	<b>5</b> 1	RESEARCH METHODS	85
	5.1	Introduction  Data Gallantian and Analysis	85
	5.2	•	86
	<i>5</i> 2	5.2.1 Defining the Data Required	86
	5.3	Selection of Schools to be Studied	86
	<b>-</b> 1	5.3.1 Ensuring Access to Schools	87
	5.4	Selection of Data Gathering Methods Used	88
		5.4.1 Document Sources	89
		5.4.2 Semi-Structured Interviews	91
	<i>5 5</i>	5.4.3 Workshops	95
	5.5	Community Entry	97
	5.6	Participants of the Workshop	98
	5.7	Interview Guides	99
	5.8	Conduct of Interviews and Workshops	103
	5.9	Conducting Workshops  Cross shoeling and Validating Data	105
	5.10		108 108
	5.11		108
	5.12		
	5.13	3	111
	5.14	Recommendations and Conclusions	112
CHAPTER	6.0	THE CONCEPTUALISATION OF NON-FORMAL EDUCATION	114
	6.1	Introduction	114
	6.2	Definitions	114
	6.3	NFE - Purposes	117

	6.4	Three Perspectives on NFE	119
	6.5	Selection of a General Concept of NFE	123
	6.6	Programme Planning Process 6.6.1 Definition and Description of	124
		Programming Planning	124
		6.6.2 Programme Planning Models	126
		6.6.3 Selection of Key Features in	151
	67	Programme Planning  Model of Non-Formal Education	
	6.7	Model of Non-Formal Education	151
CHAPTER	7.0	THE FIELD STUDY -	
		DESCRIPTION OF CASE STUDIES	154
	7.1	Introduction	154
	7.2	Similarities and Differences In The Selected Schools	156
	7.3	Descriptions of Selected Schools	158
		7.3.1 Damanu District School	158
		7.3.2 Vesi Public School	166
		7.3.3 Yaka School	175
		7.3.4 Dakua School	179
		7.3.5 Kauvula School	185
	7.4	Summary	190
CHAPTER	8.0	DISCREPANCIES AND FINDINGS	198
	8.1	Introduction	198
	8.2	Concept of NFE - Purpose	199
	8.3	Planning and Decision Making in NFE Programmes	207
	8.4	Participants in NFE Programme	213
	8.5	The Identification of Educational Needs	213
	0.5	in NFE Programme	217
	8.6	Content of NFE Programmes	222
	8.7	Instructional Methods In NFE Programmes	224
	8.8	The Organisation and Management	
		of NFE Programmes	228
	8.9	Resources in NFE Programme	233
		Summary Of Findings	242

CHAPTER 9.0	IMPLICATIONS AND	250
0.1	RECOMMENDATIONS	250
9.1	Introduction	250
9.2	Implications From the Study	250
	9.2.1 Implications for a Concept of School-Based NFE	251
	9.2.2 Implications For Structure of Provision	257
	9.2.3 Implications For Curriculum Content	262
	9.2.4 Implications For Delivery Strategies	264
	9.2.5 Implications For Resources	265
9.3	Recommendations	267
	9.3.1 Recommendation for Planning and Decision Making Structure	267
	9.3.2 Recommendations at the System Level	268
	9.3.3 Recommendations at the School Level	270
	9.3.4 Further Research	271
9.4	Conclusions	273
APPENDICES		276
Appendix A	Field Research Itinerary	276
Appendix B	Letter of Introduction to Government Officials	279
Appendix C	Letter of Introduction to Headteachers	284
Appendix D	Letter of Introduction to	
	Assistant Headteachers	289
Appendix E	Letter of Introduction to the Key Persons	294
Appendix F	Workshop for Selected Community Members	297
BIBLIOGRAPHY	<del>(</del>	303

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Life is a gift from God and learning from life's experience, pragmatically is a daily process. But the opportunity to pause and study our daily experience in depth, and to examine it systematically in the light of theory, is a luxury afforded to few. This opportunity was given to me by the University of the South Pacific and the University of New England through an award of the International Development Programme administered by the Australian International Development Assistance Bureau (AIDAB).

Learning involves interaction, support, encouragement and these have been generously provided for me during this research by a number of people with whom I accord my sincere gratitude and great indebtedness. First and foremost, to my two supervisors, Mr Barrie Brennan and Associate Professor Richard G. Bagnall who have been most helpful and provided invaluable advice, insightful comments and gave willingly their time to guide the progress of this final work. Their positive encouragement and support, was vital and greatly appreciated. At the beginning of this study, Dr. Ralph Clarke gave constant encouragement and helpful discussion.

Various other members of staff of the Department of Administrative, Higher and Adult Education Studies were very helpful, particularly Dr.Narottam Bhindi who contributed useful data on the Indo-Fijians.

The opportunity to explore the experience in the field was afforded to me by the Government and many people in Fiji. The fieldwork which I undertook in 1992 gave me the enormous enjoyment of seeing again and

interviewing many of my former colleagues and friends and capturing their voices on tape. In addition the pleasure of visiting the rural communities and to learn from the experience of the people was greatly enriching.

For these experiences I am grateful to the former Permanent Secretary for Education (Mr Hari Ram), and senior members of his staff particularly the Chief Education Officer, Primary (Mr Sefanaia Koroi), the Divisional Education Officer Northern (Mr Tevita Ba), the Senior Education Officer Northern (Mrs Asenaca Vakaotia), Senior Education Officer Nadroga/Navosa (Ratu Eroni Tawake), Acting Divisional Education Officer, Central Division and Education Officer (Mr Savirio Lomavere) for facilitating my entry to the selected schools. These officers gave their time generously to be interviewed and some accompanied me to the school and communities. The Senior Education officer for Careers (Mrs Kolora Cavu) who is also the president for the Fiji Association of Non-Formal Educators (FANFE) provided a lot of useful data.

The headteachers, assistant headteachers and all the teachers of the selected schools were very helpful and gave their time generously in interviews. They were enthusiastic, open and contributed a lot of valuable data for this research. I am truly grateful to all the headteachers who organised my entry to the communities. They also made arrangements for the community workshops and provided accommodation and hospitality during my stay in the communities. To all the school committees and community members, who participated in the workshops and unreservedly provided valuable data, a big 'vinaka vakalevu' and 'dhanyabaad' (thank you very much).

The Ministry of Youth, Employment Opportunities and Sports facilitated the field research in a number of ways including providing transport, informing the schools and enabling officers to accompany me. Unrestricted access to documents and files played a vital role in obtaining crucial insights and copious high quality data. The Opportunity to be at their planning meeting as a resource was very much appreciated.

For all this I am grateful to the Hon. Minister then (Ratu Inoke Kubuabola) and Permanent Secretary (Dr Ahmed Ali) for their support. But it was the Director of Youth (Mr Cavu) who co-ordinated my field research and provided a great wealth of information and insight to the research. Mrs Vani Samuwai, the Youth Officer responsible for NFE school-based was very helpful and supportive and gave a lot of quality data. She and Mr Tale Karavaki not only gave their time to be interviewed but accompanied me to some of the communities. The Principal Administration Officer for Youth (Mr Waisea Davuiqalita), took time to pause from his work and provided some valuable information.

The School of Humanities at the University of the South Pacific facilitated the research in a number of ways, including supplying an office space and other facilities. Academic staff at the school, Dr Muralidhar, Dr Tupeni Baba, Dr Adele Jones, Mr Akhilanand Sharma, Mr Cliff Benson and Mr Joseph Veramu who acted as key informants gave their time willingly for interviews and provided valuable insights into the research. Other key informants at the university who made important contributions included Dr Vijay Naidu, Professor Asesela Ravuvu, Ms Cema Bolabola and Ms Emiliana Afeaki.

In addition to those mentioned, the following key informants made useful contributions: Mr Luke Ratuvuki, Mr Simione Nadakua, Health Sister Naomi Raiqio, Mr Rupeni Vakamoce, Mr Eroni Delai, Ms Seruwaia Waqabaca, Mr Hassan Khan, Mr Savenaca Nacanaitaba, Ms Tauga Vulaono, Mr Nelson Delailomaloma, Mrs Senitieli Leo, Mrs Loata Karavaki and Mr Ragwan Nand.

Getting all the data together on the computer and compiling this final report has been a big learning experience for me. It had been a real battle and cause of frustrations at times. But the expert advice and assistance given by a fellow student and friend, Mr Ben Crawford has been a tremendous help and to him I am very grateful.

Finally, and most particularly, I wish to acknowledge and express my thanks for the support and encouragement by my immediate family. Inoke has provided a lot of encouragement, support and emotional comfort whenever needed. His practical support and boundless tolerance and understanding has played a key role in the completion of this thesis. To the children Vika, Lo and Di Kula for the joy of their companionship and the delight in their own academic progress.

The challenges they provided as teenagers studying and living in another culture has constantly alerted me to pause from my pre-occupation with this thesis and to learn and understand their experiences. Last but not least, the prayers of my extended family in Fiji, particularly my late father, and the spiritual support of my Christian friends in Armidale and Fiji has provided me with patience, endurance and strength to complete this work.

## **DEDICATION**

This study is dedicated to my dear and loving late parents, Losena and Kemueli Kedrayate

for their sacrifice, support and
encouragement to my study and work.

## **DECLARATION**

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Akanisi Lewaravu

# TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 2.1	The Contribution of Agriculture To GDP in Fiji	18
Table 5.1	Characteristics of Interviewees	113
Table 7.1	Non-Formal Education Project	163
Table 7.2	Characteristics of the School Case Studies	191
Figure 2.1	Map of Fiji	12
Figure 3.1	Institution-Based NFE	56
Figure 3.2	Centre-Based NFE	59
Figure 3.3	Village or Community-Based NFE	60
Figure 3.4	School-Based NFE	64
Figure 3.5	Learning Systems in Fiji	66
Figure 4.1	Steps in the Research Methodology Used in This Study	79
Figure 6.1	Comparison of Formal and Non-Formal of Fordham (1980)	120
Figure 6.2	The Nature of Education Needs	133
Figure 6.3	Maslow's Hierarchy Of Need	134
Figure 7.1	Locations of Schools Studied	155

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIDAB Australian International Development Assistance

Bureau.

APPEAL Asia and Pacific Programme of Education for All.

ASPBAE Asia and South Pacific Bureau of Adult Education.

BOS Bureau of Statistics.

CAPE Comprehensive Access to Primary Education.

CEOP Chief Education Officer.

CIDA Canadian International Development Assistance.

CO-PLANNER Community Participation in Planning and Management.

CPO Central Planning Office.

DEO Divisional Education Officer.

DO District Officer.

D.V.V Deutscher-Volkshochschul-Verband.

FANFE Fiji Association of Non-formal Educators.

FNTC Fiji National Training Council.

FCOSS Fiji Council of Social Services.

GDP Gross Domestic Product.

GO Government Officer.

HT Headteacher

ICAE International Council of Adult Education.

ILO International Labour Organisation.

MEWCST Ministry of Education Women Culture Science and

Technology.

MPI Ministry of Primary Industries.

MYEOS Ministry of Youth Employment Opportunities and

Sports.

NFE Non-Formal Education.

NGO Non-government Organisation.

PS Permanent Secretary.

PYO Principal Youth Officer.

SAO Senior Agricultural Officer.

SEO Senior Education Officer.

SOH School of Humanities.

SPC South Pacific Commission.

UN United Nations.

UNDP United Nations Development Programme.

UNESCO United Nations Educational Scientific

Cultural Organisation.

UNICEF United Nations Children Emergency Fund.

UPE Upper Primary Education.

USP University of the South Pacific.

VAT Value Added Tax.

YMCA Young Men Christian Association.

YWCA Young Women Christian Association.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Non-formal education is an accepted form of education in Fiji. Many programmes have been instituted by different providers either institutionally or community-based. Primary-school-based NFE is a recent innovation initiated mainly by headteachers in some rural schools, with the support of some government and non-government officers. The programmes that have attempted to respond to various perceived needs and problems in the community, showed a stop-start, ad hoc and uncoordinated nature. It will be established in this study whether there is lack of empirical knowledge and understanding of the concept of NFE and the potential of NFE primary-school-based programmes in Fiji. Thus, the central purpose of this study was to explore the potential of NFE primary-school-based programmes in Fiji.

The examination of Fiji's socio-economic, cultural and political background provided a context for the study. An examination of western adult education literature was then used to reveal essential features and strategies that were the basis on which an ideal model of NFE was constructed. These key features were of two types. The first feature is related to the conceptualisation of NFE while the remaining seven were concerned with programme planning at various level of organisation. These key features were operationally defined and provided the assumptions that were the basis on which the actual NFE programmes were assessed.

A qualitative approach was selected as appropriate to the cultural context of the study, using the case study strategy. Primary-school-based programmes were selected as case studies; three were still operating and

two had been discontinued. Data collection was undertaken in Fiji from May, 1992 to January, 1993.

Data were gathered by interviews, documentary evidence and community workshops all of which were considered relevant to the cultural context of the study.

The major findings were that, while the NFE concept in operation differed in the selected schools, NFE school-based programmes had considerable potential and were accepted and supported by the school and the community. However, if the potential of NFE was to be fully realised, it could no longer rely on ad hoc, unsystematic arrangements, but needed arrangements authorised and supported by government. The legitimation of the structure and processes at various levels - national, divisional and school or community was judged to be needed to enhance the realisation of the potential of NFE school-based programmes.

A number of recommendations are put forward with a view to affecting the current practice and commitment to the role of NFE in community and national development. They are an attempt to enable all the stakeholders in NFE (GOs policy and decision makers, divisional and senior education officers, headteachers, school committees and community groups) to develop and follow through a commitment to an organised, planned and adequately resourced course of action for NFE school-based programmes. Above all, the proposals are intended to take account of the data gathered and insights gained in the course of the study. Attempts have been made to stay true to the ideal identified in the literature examined, in examining the actual practice and in formulating the implications for school-based NFE in Fiji.