AXE-MAKING AND AXE DISTRIBUTION FROM TWO QUARRIES IN EAST AUSTRALIA

RODERICK S CLIFF

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of New England

31st day of January 1996

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis



Roderick. S. Cliff

ABSTRACT

Axe-making and axe distribution from two quarries in east Australia

This study is about axe making at two quarries. I have derived a model based on formal economic theory for explaining the transfer of axes into a distribution system. There are three major kinds of axe output from quarries:

- (1) Axes for local use.
- (2) Axes for non-local exchange.
- (3) Axes traded for gain.

I argue that these outputs can be differentiated by their distribution, together with:

(1) The exchange potential of a good, which is established by symmetry in the shape of an axe and enables the axe to be recognised and accepted by others in an exchange transaction.

(2) Value-adding economic decisions in axe making, which can be established by measuring efficiency in the control of production. This gives an advantage to axe producers at some quarries.

Axe trade for gain would incorporate symmetrical axes, efficient production and a non-local distribution pattern.

My predictions for the two quarries, one at Gulong and one at Warren are initially derived from distribution patterns. The output at Gulgong was predicted to be trade-driven in that the axes would be symmetrically shaped for exchange, and axe making would be a value-adding economic activity within which efficient knapping actions controlled axe making. In contrast, output at Warren (Little Mount quarry) was predicted not to be based on trade for gain. The axes would not be symmetrical in shape and would not be manufactured by efficient means.

The potential of the quarries to supply axes for exchange and trade for gain is evaluated by, the selection and extraction of raw material, the process of shaping preforms, and the toolkits used in manufacture at the quarries.

From the results of testing symmetry and efficiency I conclude that axe making at Gulgong was not based on trade for gain. There was no efficient behaviour in axe making, but output had exchange potential through the symmetrical shape and the axes were tranferred over long distances. At Warren symmetry in shape was a weak feature of the axe making process and efficient actions did not control knapping, which suggested a regime of direct procurement and local distribution supported by the restricted dispersal of the axes in the region.

A decision process based on value-adding economic principles operates throughout the stages of the reduction sequence for axes in the production trajectory. Outputs from the production trajectory at the quarries are used for other purposes than as axes of symmetrical shape for exchange and trade into a distribution system, or as axes used locally. Some preforms abandoned from symmetrical shaping as axes become part of the toolkits at the quarries where they are used as hammerstones. The expansion of study material from axes to include manufacturing debris and toolkits emphasises the value of quarries as the point of manufacture, by which the nature of production in relation to the dispersal of axes can be evaluated. It also suggests ways of testing these propositions at other sites.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1. Supervisors

At UNE Associate Professor Iain Davidson and Dr Wendy Beck.

Dr Robin Torrence at The Australian Museum, Sydney, and Emeritus Professor Isabel McBryde at the ANU. Dr Robin Torrence and Professor McBryde accepted as additional supervisors for the research topic.

My thanks to them all for accepting the task.

Iain Davidson and Wendy Beck encouraged and advised in early developments of the research and commented throughout. Supervision from Iain Davidson in the later stages of writing was valuable for my thesis. My thanks to Robin Torrence for advice and direction on many drafts. My thanks to Professor McBryde for facilitating the research, for suggesting the quarries at Gulgong and Warren as being suitable for my study, and for advice on progress.

2. Fieldwork

At Gulgong:

Malcolm and Caroline Watt of 'Norbiton' for permission to work on the property and assistance with the logistics of return visits to the site.

Orange LALC and the Wiradjuri Regional Land Council (especially Roley Williams) at Wagga for supporting my research at the quarry.

Mr and Mrs Harry Seis and family at 'Winona' near Gulgong for the invitation to visit the property and discuss local historical matters with them.

The Gulgong Historical Museum for permission to inspect their collection.

At Warren:

Warren and the Lower Macquarie LALC and especially the late Jo (Josie) Carney for supporting my work at the site.

Roy and June Barker of Gongolgon for hospitality on my visit there and for permission to photograph some axes from their collection.

Ted and Margaret Johnson of 'Wiroona' near Cuddie Spring for permission to photograph axes from their collection.

The NPWS of NSW at the Head Office, Coonabarabran and Muswellbrook offices for information, support and permits.

3. Fieldworkers

Students from UNE Archaeology and Palaeoanthropology Department participated in the survey and excavations.

Especially Athlea Sullivan, Jan Wilson, Helene Hayes, Malcolm Ridges, Matthew Fischer and Patrick Gaynor.

Dr Tony Lynch from the Politics Department participated in the fieldwork.

4. Field advisors

Dr Nick Cook for advice on the geology of the site at Gulgong.

Dr Richard Fullaghar for discussions on a visit to the Warren site, and Judy Furby for invitation and introductions at Cuddie Spring and the Brewarrina area.

Dr Dan Witter for discussions in the field, site visits and helpful communications.

5. Experimental stone work

The team of knappers:

Patrick Gaynor at Gulgong and at UNE.

Graham Knuckey at the Department of Archaeology and Palaeoanthropology at UNE.

Warwick Pearson from the Department of Archaeology and Palaeoanthropology at UNE

The support team:

Malcolm Ridges

Matthew Fischer

Craig Wall. All students at UNE Department of Archaeology and Palaeoanthropology.

6. In-house assistance

My thanks to the staff in the Department of Archaeology and Palaeoanthropology for advice and assistance.

Doug Hobbs for instruction on use of the Wild theodolite and stadial tachaeometry, and dealing with the occasional computer crash.

7. Funds

I received a UNE postgraduate scholarship for most of the research candidature.

I acknowledge funds made available by AIAS for radiocarbon dates, and by The Australian Museum, Sydney for tests on stone.

8. Collections

My thanks to The Australian Museum, Sydney and Dr Jim Specht at the Anthropology Division for permission to work on the stone axe collection.

9. Production

In production of the thesis I was greatly helped by the following people:

Mary Geraets for proof reading chapters for sense and tense.

Claire Smith from the Department of Archaeology and Palaeoanthropology at UNE, did the same.

Hans Westerman checked the tables and figures and put together the bibliography from my mess.

Nat Cliff did the drawings of artefacts, maps and plans.

Tom Cliff photographed the Gulgong site and a series of artefacts from Gulgong and Warren.

Some other photographs were taken by Malcolm Ridges, Patrick Gaynor, Jan Wilson and Matthew Fischer.

Students Michelle Seignior and Jackie Lomath-Bird from the Department assisted with recording of the stone. And Carolyn Martin from the Department at UNE typed the bibliography.

Heather Burke from the Department at UNE (with her computer know-how) reset the tables and figures.

Acknowledgementsi -	iii
---------------------	-----

VOLUME ONE: TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Connections between trade, axes and quarries1
1.1 Introduction
1.2 Distribution systems and economic activity
1.3 The thesis problem
1.4 Economic resources and economic transactions
1.5 Stone axes and axe quarries in Australia 11
1.6 Contribution of the thesis 13
Chapter 2: Value-adding, efficiency and symmetry in production and distribution 15
2.1 A framework for axe making 15
2.2 Value-adding economic transactions 17
2.3 Hypothetical example of a mythical good 20
2.4 Control in axe making
2.4.1 Symmetry in control for axe making
2.4.2 Efficiency in control for axe making
2.5 Symmetry in the making and distribution of stone axes
2.6 Methods of evaluating control in making axes
2.7 General reduction sequence
2.7.1 Value-adding in the reduction sequence
2.7.2 Efficiency in the reduction sequence
2.8 The production trajectory for stone axes
2.9 Conclusion on control in production and distribution of axes
Chapter 3. Stone ave distribution and quarry sources in south-east

Chapter 3:	Stone axe distribution and quarry sources in south-east	
Australia		3

3.1	Stone axe quarries and exchange in Aboriginal prehistory
3.2	Stone axe quarries in New South Wales 48
3.3	Size and scale of quarries50
3.4	Distribution of stone axes in south-east Australia
3.5	Distribution of stone axes in New South Wales 55
3.6	Stone axes in the Gulgong and Warren areas
3.7	The quarries and stone axes in the landscape around Gulgong and Warren 60
3.8	Summary of data and implications for the research
Cha	apter 4: Gulgong and Warren as case studies74
4.1	Quarries as archaeological resources74
4.2	Choice of Gulgong and Warren as study areas
4.3	Attributes and variables recorded at the quarries78
4.4	Methods of surface study at Gulgong and Warren
4.5	Methods of depth study at Gulgong and Warren
	4.5.1 Excavations at Warren
	4.5.2 Excavations at Gulgong
4.6	Survey of sites at Gulgong and Warren
	4.6.1 Warren: the archaeological surface survey of Little Mount quarry
	4.6.2 Gulgong: field survey of the topography and physical features
	4.6.3 Gulgong: archaeological surface survey of the quarry
	4.6.4 Gulgong: excavations in the flake mounds
4.7	Comparison and contrast in the data at Gulgong and Warren101
4.8	Conclusion on potential of data from the two quarries102
Ch	apter 5: Symmetry in axes105
5.1	Symmetry and control
5.2	Symmetry as an ideal in axes107
5.3	Symmetry, technology and organisation in axe-making110

5.4 Symmetry in axes from the areas around Gulgong and Warren111
5.4.1 Methods
5.4.2 Results
5.5 Symmetry in preforms at Gulgong and Warren114
5.5.1 Predictions for symmetry in preforms
5.6.2 Methods of data collection115
5.6 Results on symmetry from preforms at Gulgong and Warren116
5.6.1 Symmetry in stages of reduction117
5.6.2 Symmetry and abandonment of preforms119
5.7 Conclusion on symmetry120
Chapter 6: Raw material selection at the quarries
6.1 Value-adding and efficiency in selection and extaction of axe stone
6.2 Quarries as rock resources124
6.3 Stone suitable for axes in eastern Australia130
6.4 Raw material selection
6.5 Torrence's method in raw material selection
6.6 Prediction for selection of raw material at Gulgong and Warren137
6.7 Gulgong: field methods138
6.8 Results of raw material selection at Gulgong140
6.9 Warren: field methods145
6.10 Results of raw material selection at Warren146
6.11 Evaluation of raw material selection at the quarries
6.12 Raw material extraction
6.13 Predictions about extraction151
6.14 Method for extraction153
6.15 Results of raw material extraction at Gulgong and Warren154
6.15.1 Loose surface blocks154
6.15.2 Faces and ledges from bedrock156

6.15.3 In situ blocks157
6.16 Conclusion on selection and extraction at Gulgong and Warren
Chapter 7. Ave manufacture at the quarmy
Chapter 7: Axe manufacture at the quarry163
7.1 Value-adding in axe manufacture163
7.2 Prediction for efficiency and control in knapping164
7.3 Attributes used in the evaluation of efficiency in axe making
7.4 Reduction sequences at Gulgong and Warren quarries171
7.4.1 Gulgong quarry reduction sequence172
7.4.2 Reduction sequence from sources at Warren
7.5 Experiments as a method of approach to the analysis of debitage
7.5.1 Objective of the experimental archaeology
7.5.2 Experimental archaeology at Gulgong and Warren178
7.6 Results of experimental stone work
7.6.1 Gulgong extraction experiment on site at Gulgong
7.6.2 Warren material experiments at the commercial quarry
7.6.3 Gulgong raw material experiments on site at Gulgong quarry184
7.6.4 At UNE using material from Gulgong184
7.7 Experimental trials and comparison with archaeological data
7.8 Data from the research at Gulgong and Warren189
7.9 Knapping control in the axe making
7.9.1 Results from preforms recorded on transects at Gulgong and Warren
7.9.2 Results from preforms at Gulgong and Warren on hinge fractures
7.9.3 Results on control from the surface survey and excavation of GFM1 at Gulgong
7.10 Conclusion201
Chapter 8 Tool kits and specialisation in axe making
8.1 Hammerstones in tool kit technology at quarries
0.1 multimetsiones in toor kit teennology at quarties

8.2 Predictions for value-adding and efficiency in hammerstones
8.3 Hammerstones in the tool kits at Gulgong and Warren
8.4 Properties of hammerstones
8.5 Criteria for recognition of hammerstones at quarries
8.6 Hammerstones in the revised production trajectory for Gulgong and Warren217
8.7 Analysis of hammerstones at Gulgong and Warren
8.8 Hammerstone features at Gulgong and Warren
8.9 Conclusion on implications for axe making at the quarries
Chapter 9: Lessons from axe making on trade and distribution systems
9.1 The lessons of axe making
9.1.1 Symmetry at Gulgong and Warren
9.1.2 Value-adding decisions at Gulgong and Warren
9.1.3 Conclusion on value-adding and efficiency
9.2 Axe making at Gulgong and Warren and the pattern of axe distribution
9.3 Formalist models as explanation for distribution from quarries
9.4 Conclusion
Glossaryi-iv
Bibliographyi-xv

VOLUME TWO

TABLES

Chapter 2

Table 2.1	Output of the production trajectory classified by symmetry2-1
Table 2.2	Output of the production trajectory classified by distribution2-2

Chapter 3

Table 3.1 Axes quarries in NSW	2-3
Table 3.2 Size of axe quarries in NSW	2-4
Table 3.3 Distribution of McBryde's grouping of axes in the Wilcannia area and Currabubula.	2-5
Table 3.4 Australian Museum axes from tribal areas around Gulgong and Warren	2-6
Table 3.5 Stone axes from Warren district and Lower Macquarie River in the Australian Museum	2-8

Table	4.1	Attributes on flakes	2-9
Table	4.2	Surface surveys at Gulgong and Warren	2-10
Table	4.3	Gulgong: Transect stone count	2-11
Table	4.4	Gulgong: Transect #12 stone count	2-12
Table	4.5	Gulgong: Stone density along transects	2-13
Table	4.6	Warren: Rock outcrops along transects	.2-14
Table	4.7	Warren: Axe preforms along transects	.2-15
Table	4.8	Warren: Flake scatters along transects	2-16
Table	4.9	Warren: Hammerstones along transects	2-17
Table	4.10	Warren: Grinding grooves along transects	2-18
Table	4.11	Warren: Cores along transects	2-19
Table	4.12	Gulgong: Surface stone density per square metre	2-20
Table	4.13	Warren: Surface stone density per square metre	2-21
Table	4.14	Excavations at Gulgong and Warren	2-24

Table 4.15 Gulgong: Stone from excavation at rock outcrops 22 and 25	2-25
Table 4.16 Gulgong: Archaeological features recorded on stone in GFM12	2-26
Table 4.17 Gulgong: Stone attributes recorded from excavation in GFM12	2-27
Table 4.18 Gulgong: GFM1 stone greater than 20mm length from 4 squares asworked or not worked: summary from Table 4.172	2-28
Table 4.19 Gulgong: GFM1 flake LWT measures	2-29
Table 4.20 Gulgong: summary of GFM1 flake LWT measures	2-30
Table 4.21 Gulgong: GFM1 stone greater than 20mm length from 4 squares in size classes. 2	2-31
Table 4.22 Gulgong: GFM1 flake size in 3 categories2	-32
Table 4.23 Gulgong: GFM1 squares density of stone in cubic metres	2-33
Table 4.24 Gulgong: GFM1 square 4C stone by weight in kilos: Greater than 20mm length compared with less than 20mm length	2-34
Chapter 5	
Table 5.1 Axes from the Gulgong and Warren areas at the Australian Museum2	2-35

Table 5.2 Summary of preforms recorded where maximum measures are greater than axial measures at Gulgong and Warren	36
Table 5.3 Symmetry in preforms from all transects (A, B, C) at Gulgong by the stages of reduction	7
Table 5.4 Symmetry in preforms on transects at Warren by the stages of reduction2-3	38
Table 5.5 Symmetry in all preforms from Gulgong by the stages of reduction2-3	39
Table 5.6 Symmetry in all preforms from Warren by the stages of reduction2-	40
Table 5.7 Edge damage and mass removal at Gulgong in symmetry and stages of reduction	41
Table 5.8 Edge damage and mass removal at Warren in symmetry and stages of reduction	42

Table 6.1	Tests used in rock mechanics research suitable for evaluating the selection of stone for axes	2-43
Table 6.2	Measures of rock mechanics on raw material for axe making	2-44
Table 6.3	Raw material type found at axe quarries in east Australia	2-44
Table 6.4	Ranking of rock outcrops at Gulgong by stoneworkers in prehistory:	

summary of Table 6.52-46
Table 6.5 Rock outcrop survey of Gulgong Areas A, B, C, D2-47
Table 6.6 Extraction and archaeological material at Gulgong rock outcrops
Table 6.7 Fine-grained rock outcrops in flake mound Area B.X atGulgong: summary of Table 6.8
Table 6.8 Rock outcrops in flake mound Area B.X at Gulgong2-50
Table 6.9 Rock outcrops along transects at Warren
Table 6.10 Flake scatters along transects at Warren2-52
Table 6.11 Flake scatters along four transects at Warren
Table 6.12 Random metre square surface stone density at Warren: summary of 6.132-54
Table 6.13 Random metre square stone density at Warren2-55

Table 7.1 Characteristic flakes found in axe reduction stages2-58
Table 7.2 Features recorded on preforms from Gulgong and Warren
Table 7.3 Attributes recorded for archaeological material from the excavation2-60
Table 7.4 Experimental stone reduction at Gulgong2-61
Table 7.5 Attributes recorded in the experimental stone reduction of Gulgong material at UNE
Table 7.6 Experimental trials on Gulgong material at UNE2-63
Table 7.7 Events recorded in experimental trials
Table 7.8 Experimental trials for extraction of raw material at Gulgong
Table 7.9 Experimental extraction of raw materal at Warren commercial quarry2-67
Table 7.10 Experimental trials on raw material at Gulgong of stone detachedabove 80mm in length
Table 7.11 Shape of flakes in the experimental axe making on Gulgong material2-69
Table 7.12 Experimental stone flakes classified by shape for the total of events in each experiment
Table 7.13 Large flakes and blocks (>180mm) from experimental trials with material from Gulgong
Table 7.14 Experimental stone flakes from extraction to the blocking out stage of

reduction2-73
Table 7.15 Experimental stone flakes from the blocking out stage of reduction2-74
Table 7.16 Experimental stone flakes from the shaping stage of reduction
Table 7.17 Experimental stone flakes from the thinning stage of reduction
Table 7.18 Experimental flaking of Gulgong stone: Squat and thick flakes2-77
Table 7.19 Experimental stone from Gulgong: Squat and thick flakes in the extraction stage
Table 7.20 Experimental stone from Gulgong: Squat and thick flakes from the blocking out stage of reduction
Table 7.21 Experimental stone from Gulgong: Squat and thick flakes in the shaping stage of reduction
Table 7.22 Hinge fractures by reduction stage in experimental trials on material from Gulgong
Table 7.23 Hinge flake measures from experimental trials with Gulgong raw material 2-82
Table 7.24 Reason for abandonment of preforms at Gulgong and Warren2-83
Table 7.25 Reason for abandonment of axe preforms by stages of reduction, along transects in Areas A,B and C at Gulgong2-84
Table 7.26 Reason for abandonment of axe preforms by stage of reduction along transects at Warren, Little Mount
Table 7.27Summary of stepped and hinge fractures on preforms from Gulgong and Warren
Table 7.28Number of hinge fractures on preforms from all recording at Gulgong and Warren
Table 7.29 Hinge flakes count by the stages of reduction, recorded from transects at Gulgong (Areas A,B,C) and at Warren2-88
Table 7.30 Percentage of hinge flakes grouped by stages of reduction from transects at Gulgong on Areas A,B,C and at Little Mount, Warren2-89
Table 7.31 Flaking control features from GFM12-90
Table 7.32 Comparison of square GFM1 2C with total of GFM12-91
Table 7.33 Hinged flakes from the 10x5 metre surface area at Gulgong2-92

Table 8.1	Tool kits in relation	n to the production	on trajectory and	d use-life for stone	axes2-93
Table 8.2	Hammerstones	from Gulgong	in total		2-94

Table 8.3	Hammerstones from transects at Gulgong	2-95
Table 8.4	Hammerstones from Warren in total	2-96
Table 8.5	Hammerstones from transects at Warren	2-97
	ummary of all hammerstones from Gulgong and Warren compared to nerstones from the transects	2-98
Table 8.7	Battering on hamerstones	2-99
Table 8.8	Hammerstones compared with axe preforms	2-100
Table 8.9	Large hammerstones recorded at Gulgong	.2-101
Table 8.10	Hammerstones grouped by the weights	.2-102
Table 8.11	Trajectory of all hammerstones recorded at Gulgong	2-103
Table 8.12	Trajectory of all hammerstones recorded at Warren	2-104
	Hammerstones from transects by type of material and point in the action trajectory	.2-105

FIGURES

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1	Production trajectory	for	· axes2-	106
Figure 2.2	Production trajectory for	or ax	xes from the quarry2-1	07

Figure 3.1	Stone axe quarries and axe distribution in tribal areas2	2-108
Figure 3.2	Stone axe distribution and quarries in south-east Australia2	2-109
	Stone axe quarries and axe distribution in Wilcannia area and abubula2-	-110
Figure 3.4	Stone axe collections housed in the Australian Museum2	2-111
Figure 3.5 Warr	Ground and unground axes in the Australian Museum from the en area2	-112
Figure 3.6	Axes on pebbles in the Australian Museum from the Warren area	2-113
Figure 3.7	Edge ground axes of hard rock material from the Warren area	2-114
Figure 3.8	Grinding grooves at Little Mount, Warren	2-115

Figure 3.9 Axes from the Gulgong area2-116
Figure 3.10 Axes on cobbles from the Gulgong area2-117
Figure 3.11 Archaeological material around axe quarries at Gulgong and Warren2-118
Figure 3.12 Stone axe quarries at Warren: Mount Harris; Mount Foster; Little Mount2-119
Figure 3.13 Axe of granitic material2-120
Figure 3.14 Axes of Warren material from near Macquarie Marshes, Western NSW2-121
Figure 3.15 Edge ground axe of Warren material from 'Wirroona' property at Carinda, Western NSW2-122
Figure 3.16 Flakes of Warren material from 'Wirroona' property at Carinda, Western NSW2-123
Figure 3.17 Edge ground axe of hard rock with anvil pitting from 'Wirroona' property at Carinda, Western NSW2-124
Figure 3.18 Discoid axe from Gongolgon, Western NSW2-125
Figure 3.19 Whetstones and edge grinders in the Australian Museum found in the Warren district from: (a) New Year Range; and (b) Yambacoona2-126
Figure 3.20 Cairnstones on Little Mount, Warren2-127
Figure 3.21 'Twenty stone' near the Warren Mounts2-128
Figure 3.22 Edge ground and symmetrically shaped axes of Warren material from the Dubbo-Narromine area, NSW2-129
Figure 3.23 Tia, near Walcha, NSW: (a) axe quarry; (b) axe preforms on site2-130

Figure	4.1	Gulgong quarry2-131
Figure	4.2	Warren, Little Mount quarry2-132
Figure	4.3	Blocks of axe material2-133
Figure	4.4	Preform for axe making2-134
Figure	4.5	Flakes from axe making2-135
Figure 4	4.6 C	Sulgong quarry: contour survey of Areas A, B, and C with transects2-136
Figure	4.7	Little Mount axe quarry, Warren2-137
Figure 4	4.8	Surface on transects at Gulgong and Warren2-138
Figure	4.9	Survey of surface by random metre squares2-139

Figure 4.10 Rock outcrops at Gulgong and Warren2-	140
Figure 4.11 Survey area for flakes eroding from the hillside at Warren2-	-141
Figure 4.12 Warren test pits2-	-142
Figure 4.13 Gulgong test pits in surface hollow2-	-143
Figure 4.14 Gulgong anvil stone excavation2-	-144
Figure 4.15 Gulgong extraction face excavation2-	-145
Figure 4.16 Gulgong quarry: contoured survey of Areas A, B, C, and D2	-146
Figure 4.17 Gulgong quarry: Areas A, B, C, with rock outcrops and flake mounds	-147
Figure 4.18 Gulgong quarry hillslope profile along transect #102	2-148
Figure 4.19 Gulgong quarry showing site Areas A, B, C, D2	2-149
Figure 4.20 Gulgong quarry: Areas A, B, C, with rock outcrops, flake mounds and transects	-150
Figure 4.21 Gulgong quarry surface density of stone contoured from transect data2-	151
Figure 4.22 Gulgong quarry surface stone in Area B.X2	-152
Figure 4.23 Gulgong excavation at GFM12-	-153
Figure 4.24 Gulgong stone mounds GFM1 area gridded for excavation2-	-154
Figure 4.25 Gulgong GFM1 square 1C excavation2-	-155
Figure 4.26 Gulgong GFM1 square 2C excavation2-	-156
Figure 4.27 Gulgong GFM1 square 4C excavation2-	-157

Figure 5.1 Measures used in evaluating symmetry in shape on preforms from Gulgong and Warren	2-158
Figure 5.2 Preforms from Gulgong and Warren where symmetry can still be attained	2-159
Figure 5.3 Preforms from Gulgong and Warren where not possible for shaping to symmetry	2-160
Figure 5.4 Symmetrical shaped axes of Warren stone	2-161
Chapter 6	
Figure 6.1 Rock outcrops at Gulgong	2-162

Figure 6.2	Rock outcrops at Area B.X, Gulgong2	-163
Figure 6.3	Gulgong: rock outcrops across the site2-	-164
Figure 6.4	Gulgong: rock outcrop in Area B.X2-	-165
Figure 6.5	Extraction and flaking on rock outcrops in Area B.X at Gulgong2-	166
Figure 6.6	Coarse and fine grained raw material at Gulgong2-	-167
Figure 6.7	Rock outcrops and flake scatters at Little Mount, Warren2	-168
Figure 6.8	Rock outcrops and excavation sites at Gulgong2-	-169
Figure 6.9	Raw material in fissured blocks at Warren2-	-170
Figure 6.1	0 Gulgong: base of extraction face EG42	-171
Figure 6.1	1 Extraction from bedrock at Warren2-	-172

Figure 7.1 Axe preforms with transverse snap2-173
Figure 7.2 Axe preforms with edge damage2-174
Figure 7.3 Axe preforms with mass removal2-175
Figure 7.4 Raw material flaw in Gulgong stone2-176
Figure 7.5 Preforms and flakes with hinge fractures2-177
Figure 7.6 PFA on flake2-178
Figure 7.7 Reduction sequence for manufacturing at Gulgong and Warren2-179
Figure 7.8 Flakes characteristic of the reduction sequence for Gulgong and Warren
Figure 7.9 Flake squat and thick2-181
Figure 7.10 Flake long and thin2-182
Figure 7.11 Experiment on extraction at Gulgong2-183
Figure 7.12 Experiment on extraction at Warren commercial quarry2-184
Figure 7.13 Experimental work at Gulgong2-185
Figure 7.14 Experiment on knapping at UNE on Gulgong material: (a) the team; and
Figure 7.15 Flaked material from experiment at UNE on Gulgong material2-188

Figure 7.16 Experimental stone flakes from extraction to the blocking out stage of reduction2-189
Figure 7.17 Experimental stone flakes from the blocking out stage of reduction2-190
Figure 7.18 Experimental stone flakes from the shaping stage of reduction2-191
Figure 7.19 Experimental stone flakes from the thinning stage of reduction2-192
Figure 7.19A Angular block flakes produced during experiments for the various stages of reduction at Gulgong2-193
Figure 7.19B Flat thin flakes produced during experiments for the various stages of reduction at Warren2-194
Figure 7.20 Hinge flakes from knapping trials at UNE on Gulgong material2-195
Figure 7.21 Flake with PFA crushed2-196
Figure 7.22 Flakes from knapping trials at UNE on Gulgong material2-197
Figure 7.23 Flake features at Gulgong as a percentage of all preforms recorded2-198
Figure 7.24 Flake features at Warren as a percentage of all preforms recorded2-199

Figure 8.1 Battered edges on hammerstones	.2-200
Figure 8.2 Irregular shape on hammerstones	2-201
Figure 8.3 Recognition criterion for hammerstones: battering along margins and at ends	2-202
Figure 8.4 Recognition criterion for hammerstones: heavy reduction damage	.2-203
Figure 8.5 Battering at 1, 2, or 3 points on hammerstones	.2-204
Figure 8.6 Hammerstones from Warren rounded by use	.2-206
Figure 8.7 Reduction sequence for Gulgong revised to include the trajectory for hammerstones	2-207
Figure 8.8 Reduction sequence for Warren revised to include the trajectory for hammerstones	.2-208
Figure 8.9 Hammerstones from Gulgong adopted from stages of reduction	2-209
Figure 8.10 Hammerstones from Warren adopted from stages of reduction	.2-210
Figure 8.11 Hammerstones from cores at Warren	.2-211
Figure 8.12 Hammerstone from Gulgong of type of material not used for axe making	2-212
Figure 8.13 Hammerstone from excavation at Gulgong	.2-213

Figure 91	Major identified	axe trading blocs in	n eastern Australia	2-214
1 15010 7.1	major raominou	une trading brocs in	n oustorn rustrana	•••••••••••••••••••••••