



The view looking north of Blackbutt Plateau and, immediately to its north, upper Koonyum Range located in the southwest corner of Nullum State Forest. This State Forest includes the rest of the forested areas in the photograph. The complex of old-growth forest communities on the Plateau was the subject of this research project.

THE NATURE OF THE BOUNDARIES BETWEEN
TALL EUCALYPT FOREST AND WARM TEMPERATE RAINFOREST
IN THE UNLOGGED COMPARTMENTS
OF NULLUM STATE FOREST IN NORTHEASTERN
NEW SOUTH WALES

by

GRAHAM CHARLES WATSON B.A. (Qld.), Dip. Nat. Res. (NE)

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of the
University of New England,
Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia.

Department of Ecosystem Management

October, 1994

PREAMBLE

In the years leading up to 1984, I had often paused to admire the majestic cliffs of a rhyolite massif projecting from the southern slopes of Mount Jerusalem, a peak on the southern rim of the great Mount Warning caldera in the north-east corner of New South Wales. The few outings in the grand forest growing on the rhyolite had always been a delight because of the plentiful wildlife and the tranquillity of the forest ambience. The mere presence of the huge Blackbutt trees, just one after another, infused me with an enormous sense of well-being to the point of being inspirational. It was therefore with considerable sadness that we learned one April morning in 1984 that the sound of detonations we had been hearing was, in fact, the Forestry Commission of NSW commencing the construction of a road up the face of the rhyolite in order to undertake a complete cut-over of the tall blackbutt forest. The logging operation was to be carried out as quickly as possible and, but for the staunch opposition to the project by the local residents, the forest would have been completely cut out in about three months. As it was, politicians were alerted and it was agreed to place an interim moratorium on the operation such that it was put back to 1989. In the meantime exceedingly heavy rainfall in 1987 and 1988 found weaknesses in the road construction such that several landslides completely cut off the newly contrived vehicular access to the forest. This development, along with the State Government's decision that the logging of designated old-growth forests should require an environmental impact assessment, further delayed the project and enabled me, with the support and assistance of the University of New England and, I should say, the complete cooperation of the Forestry Commission, to undertake some research in the endangered forest complex before it was severely disturbed. A feature of the old-growth forest was the range of distinct plant associations, some large, some small, which comprised the overall forest complex. It interested me why so many plant communities had formed in such a relatively small area and also what were their natural dynamics. So, as a first step I resolved, by compiling a detailed analysis of the vegetational response, to study the boundaries between the two major plant communities, the tall Blackbutt forest and the warm temperate rainforest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

While this project has been a lone toil, several people have made critical contributions to its progress, direction and enjoyment. I wish to thank my supervisor, Dr John Duggin who guided me in the planning of the experimental design, was always supportive during times of doubt and adroitly managed the financial and administrative side of the project. The technical staff of the Department of Ecosystem Management assisted with the provision of accurately calibrated field equipment and also provided guidance with several laboratory procedures. Terry Cooke provided the facilities and the instruction required for the digitizing of the hygrothermograms and Michael Roach drew the various maps of the study area. Elsewhere within the University I thank the staff of Dixon Library for professional pursuit of many obscure publications and a number of colleagues who knowingly or otherwise provided ideas and perspectives. I thank State Forests (then the Forestry Commission of N.S.W.) for permission to conduct this research on their estate and their personnel who, while remaining in the background, were always available and ready to assist. The field work was made immensely more manageable due to the assistance I received from Dorothee Lubecki, Renata Mattmüller and Thomas Mielke. The aerial photography was conducted expertly by Bill Mills who took many photographs for me over two days of flying. Additional colour photos were loaned to me by Byron Shire Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service while the (then) Department of Lands despatched sets of historical aerial photos of the study area. Mike Desalis at the Bureau of Meteorology kindly spent time helping me extract the climatic data measured at the Byron Bay recording station. I would also like to acknowledge the National Parks and Wildlife Service who trained me in the use of S-PLUS, the main software package used for analysis and graphics. I am grateful for the forbearance shown by my children for all those fatherless hours. Most of all I want to thank my wife, Gwynn Guyatt, who always encouraged me, assisted with some field work and stoically shouldered much of my burden of domestic responsibilities. In many ways she made it possible. For most of the duration of this study I was supported by a Commonwealth Postgraduate Research Award.

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being currently submitted for any other degree.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

.....

signature

PREFATORY NOTE

A large amount of botanical nomenclature is used in this manuscript. The names of specific taxa and all family and higher taxonomic levels are in accordance with the Flora of New South Wales (Harden 1990, 1991, 1992, and 1993). To maintain fluency, authorities of scientific names are omitted entirely from the text but are shown in the full species list comprising Appendix I. Terms such as "strategy" or "avoidance" are used strictly in the non-teleological sense.

ABSTRACT

This study examined the nature of the boundaries between montane wet sclerophyll forests (WSF) and warm temperate rainforests (WTRF) in far northeast New South Wales. The location was Nullum State Forest, a section of which contained approximately 500 ha of forest which, through isolation due to difficulty of access had remained unlogged. This particular forest community was essentially undisturbed and field evidence suggested a fire frequency cycle in the order of over 100 years. Given these conditions, the forest boundaries were considered "natural". The rainforest occurred as small stands of not more than 10 ha while the WSF occurred as larger stands which were interconnected via other types of sclerophyll forest. The WSF was dominated by *Eucalyptus pilularis* with significant populations of *Syncarpia glomulifera* and *Allocasuarina torulosa*. The WTRF patches were variously dominated by *Ceratopetalum apetalum* or *Schizomeria ovata* or else a mix of several species. Several transects comprising contiguous 0.1 ha plots were laid down across the study area from WSF patches through the transition zone into WTRF. From within the transects the measured attributes of the boundaries and the adjacent forests included elements of the microclimate, the abundances of all vascular plant species, the forest structure, the litterfall and aspects relating to the dynamics of the boundaries. The results show that the transitional zone is distinct from the wet sclerophyll forest and the warm temperate rainforest, exhibiting features which are absent from those two forest types. Several other measured attributes of the transition zone, however, were found to be mid-way between the values for open forest and closed forest.

The vascular plant species richness of the TZF was found to be significantly greater than either the WSF or the WTRF, but the species abundances were generally lower. Several species, notably *Acacia orites*, *Callicoma serratifolia*, *Canarium australasicum* and *Melicope hayesii*, in conjunction with a number of scandent taxa, achieve their best development in the TZF. Pattern analyses were undertaken for all floristics data and generalized additive predictive models (GAMs) were obtained for every species.

The structure of the TZF is different to the other forest types with essentially a two-tiered canopy, the upper tier consisting of tall

E.pilularis and the lower tier consisting of a mix of sclerophyllous and mesophyllous taxa. The understorey in the TZF is the least well developed. The overall complexity of the structural stratification in the TZF is mid-way between that of the WSF and WTRF.

Litterfall was compared for each forest type and the findings related to those of other studies. Total annual litterfall in the TZF was exactly mid-way between that of the WSF and the WTRF. Litterfall results were higher than most other reports but found to be consistent with expectations when plotted against rainfall.

Microclimate measurements were taken weekly at separate points along the vegetation gradient and included temperature, humidity and rainfall. The temperature in the transition zone forest (TZF) was found to have significantly lower ground-level minima than the other forest types during both summer and winter. This was attributed to the different mix and abundances of the understorey flora. The WTRF was found to ameliorate only the upper end of the temperature range as the lowest maxima were recorded in that forest type while minima were not significantly different to WSF readings. The temperature gradient is not a gradual change from WSF to WTRF but has special characteristics in the transition zone which were confirmed by the use of both maximum-minimum thermometers and hygrothermographs. Relative humidity is marginally higher in the TZF than the WTRF and both are more humid than the WSF. The vapour pressure deficit is also lowest in the TZF. Using an external data set for wind and cloud, in conjunction with topographical data and horizon angles, the variation in annual insolation was calculated across the study area. The WTRF patches were found to receive more daily direct sunshine than the WSF but most of this was obtained during the morning drying period while the WSF occupied the sites which obtained most direct radiation during the afternoon heating period.

The forest boundaries were assessed as having reached a point of stability. Stability is argued as being a legitimate state for either sharp or diffuse boundary types. Predictive models for both boundary canopy floristics and distribution of boundary types were obtained. These models, albeit preliminary, supported the thesis of continued stability in the absence of perturbation. The study acknowledges the uncertainty concerning forest response to elevated levels of CO₂.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE PAGE | i i |
| PREAMBLE | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY | v |
| PREFATORY NOTE | v |
| ABSTRACT | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xv |
| LIST OF PLATES | xix |
| | |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Origins of the study | 1 |
| 1.1.2 Objectives of the study | 3 |
| 1.2 Description of the study area | 5 |
| 1.2.1 Location | 5 |
| 1.2.2 Physiography | 5 |
| 1.2.2.1 Geology and geomorphology | 5 |
| 1.2.2.2 Landform | 6 |
| 1.2.2.3 Soil types | 6 |
| 1.2.3 Climate | 8 |
| 1.3 Description of sites sampled | 9 |
| 1.4 Overview | 9 |
| | |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE | 17 |
| 2.1 Forest ecological research in Australia | 17 |
| 2.1.1 Old growth systems and wet sclerophyll forests | 17 |
| 2.1.2 Warm temperate rainforests | 20 |
| 2.2 Forest boundaries | 22 |

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.2.1 | Introduction | 22 |
| 2.2.2 | Rainforest - wet sclerophyll forest ecotones | 23 |
| 2.3 | Ecological concepts addressed in this study | 25 |
| 2.3.1 | Vegetation dynamics | 25 |
| 2.3.1.1 | Introduction | 25 |
| 2.3.1.2 | Community concept | 25 |
| 2.3.1.3 | Continuum concept | 27 |
| 2.3.1.4 | Switching | 28 |
| 2.3.1.5 | Hierarchical continuum concept | 29 |
| 2.3.2 | Diversity | 30 |
| 2.3.3 | Competition | 31 |
| 2.4 | Analysis of floristics | 32 |
| 2.4.1 | Background | 32 |
| 2.4.2 | Validity of the classification approach to vegetation analysis | 33 |
| 2.4.3 | Existing classifications | 34 |
| 2.4.4 | Identification of vegetation patterns | 36 |
| 2.4.5 | Transition zone vegetation pattern | 38 |
| 2.5 | Analysis of vegetation structure | 39 |
| 2.5.1 | Introduction | 39 |
| 2.5.2 | Identification of range of structural types | 39 |
| 2.5.3 | Sources of variation | 40 |
| 2.5.4 | Structural arrangement | 41 |
| 2.6 | Forest microclimate | 44 |
| 2.6.1 | Introduction | 44 |
| 2.6.2 | The status of forest microclimatic research | 51 |
| 2.6.2.1 | International work | 51 |
| 2.6.2.2 | Australian studies | 51 |
| 2.7 | Forest litterfall | 53 |
| 2.7.1 | Introduction | 53 |
| 2.7.2 | Status of litter research | 53 |
| 2.7.2.1 | Sclerophyll forests | 53 |
| 2.7.2.2 | Rainforests | 55 |
| 2.7.3 | The role of litterfall and seedling survival | 55 |

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.8 | The role of fire | 56 |
| 2.9 | Analytical techniques | 58 |
| 3 | METHODS | 60 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 60 |
| 3.2 | Sampling and data collection | 61 |
| 3.2.1 | Sampling strategy | 61 |
| 3.2.2 | Data collection | 62 |
| 3.2.2.1 | Existing data | 62 |
| 3.2.2.2 | Remotely sensed data | 62 |
| 3.2.3 | Field data methodology | 63 |
| 3.3 | Software | 64 |
| 3.4 | Floristics survey methods | 65 |
| 3.4.1 | API and community-based data collection | 65 |
| 3.4.2 | Field plot-based data collection | 66 |
| 3.4.3 | Modelling species spatial distribution | 70 |
| 3.4.3.1 | Database of environmental variables | 70 |
| 3.4.3.2 | Model construction | 72 |
| 3.4.4 | Vegetation classification | 75 |
| 3.4.4.1 | Classification objectives and evaluation criteria | 75 |
| 3.4.4.2 | Classification measures and mechanisms | 77 |
| 3.5 | Vegetation structure survey methods | 78 |
| 3.5.1 | Introduction | 78 |
| 3.5.2 | Structural dimensions | 79 |
| 3.5.3 | Acquisition of structural data | 81 |
| 3.5.4 | Organisation of structural data | 82 |
| 3.6 | Microclimate data collection methods | 83 |
| 3.6.1 | Introduction | 83 |
| 3.6.2 | Temperature | 84 |
| 3.6.3 | Humidity | 87 |
| 3.6.4 | Rainfall | 88 |
| 3.6.5 | Wind | 89 |
| 3.6.6 | Cloud cover | 91 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 3.6.7 | Radiation | 92 |
| 3.7 | Litterfall data methods | 94 |
| 3.7.1 | Data collection | 94 |
| 3.7.2 | Data processing | 96 |
| 3.8 | TREGRO - a model of boundary canopy floristics | 98 |
| 3.9 | Modelling boundary-environment associations | 99 |
| 4 | RESULTS | 101 |
| 4.1 | Plot-based floristic survey | 101 |
| 4.1.1 | Overview | 101 |
| 4.1.2 | Canopy patterns | 101 |
| 4.1.2.1 | Wet sclerophyll forests | 101 |
| 4.1.2.2 | Warm temperate rainforests | 103 |
| 4.1.2.3 | Transition zone forest | 105 |
| 4.1.3 | Mid-layer patterns | 108 |
| 4.1.4 | Understorey layer patterns | 116 |
| 4.1.5 | Canopy indicator species | 126 |
| 4.1.6 | Mid-layer indicator species | 128 |
| 4.1.7 | Understorey indicator species | 129 |
| 4.1.8 | Foliar discolouration | 132 |
| 4.1.9 | Floristics analysis | 133 |
| 4.1.9.1 | Classification of species and sites | 133 |
| 4.1.9.2 | Interpretation of classifications | 138 |
| 4.1.10 | Predictive species models | 146 |
| 4.2 | Vegetation structure survey | 151 |
| 4.2.1 | Growth forms | 151 |
| 4.2.2 | Vegetation layers | 155 |
| 4.2.3 | Crown density and light levels | 174 |
| 4.2.4 | Basal areas of trees | 183 |
| 4.2.5 | Classification of structural types | 196 |
| 4.3 | Litterfall survey | 200 |
| 4.3.1 | Litterfall within vegetation formations | 200 |
| 4.3.2 | Distribution of litter by species | 208 |
| 4.3.2.1 | Wet sclerophyll forest | 208 |
| 4.3.2.2 | Transition zone forest | 215 |
| 4.3.2.3 | Warm temperate rainforest | 216 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 4.3.3 | Wind and litterfall | 229 |
| 4.4 | Microclimate survey | 230 |
| 4.4.1 | Temperature | 230 |
| 4.4.2 | Humidity | 253 |
| 4.4.3 | Rainfall | 256 |
| 4.4.4 | Wind | 263 |
| 4.4.5 | Cloud cover | 264 |
| 4.4.6 | Radiation | 265 |
| 4.5 | Predictive modelling | 271 |
| 4.5.1 | TREGRO | 271 |
| 4.5.2 | Boundary model | 272 |
| 5 | DISCUSSION | 277 |
| 5.1 | Vegetation floristics | 277 |
| 5.2 | Vegetation structure | 281 |
| 5.3 | Forest litterfall | 284 |
| 5.3.1 | Comparison with other studies | 284 |
| 5.3.2 | The effects on litterfall of rain and drought | 292 |
| 5.3.3 | Litterfall and forest boundaries | 293 |
| 5.4 | Forest microclimate | 297 |
| 5.5 | Predictive modelling | 299 |
| 5.6 | General review of forest boundaries | 302 |
| 5.7 | Sources of boundary identity | 304 |
| 5.7.1 | Microclimate | 304 |
| 5.7.2 | Vegetation structure | 306 |
| 5.7.3 | Floristics | 310 |
| 5.7.4 | Forest fire | 313 |
| 5.8 | Usefulness of the boundary concept | 289 |
| | REFERENCES | 317 |
| | APPENDICES | |
| I | Vegetation data | 354 |
| II | Program descriptions | 362 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1.1 | Gross differences between transects | 10 |
| 4.1 | Summary of diversity for each forest | 102 |
| 4.2 | Incidence of canopy species in WSF transects | 104 |
| 4.3 | Incidence of canopy species in WTRF transects | 106 |
| 4.4 | Incidence of canopy species in TZF transects | 107 |
| 4.5 | Summary of diversity for mid-layer | 116 |
| 4.6 | Separation of genuine and transitory understorey species for each forest type | 118 |
| 4.7 | Summary of diversity for understorey | 125 |
| 4.8 | Significance of canopy species for each forest | 127 |
| 4.9 | Mid-layer indicator species for WSF | 128 |
| 4.10 | Mid-layer indicator species for TZF | 129 |
| 4.11 | Mid-layer indicator species for WTRF | 130 |
| 4.12 | Area to abundance conversion table | 131 |
| 4.13 | Understorey indicator species for WSF | 132 |
| 4.14 | Understorey indicator species for WTRF | 132 |
| 4.15 | Distribution by size class of two indicators | 145 |
| 4.16 | Distribution of species by growth form | 153 |
| 4.17 | Distribution of abundances by growth form | 154 |
| 4.18 | Tree stem packing density | 155 |
| 4.19 | Distribution of average abundances by growth form | 156 |
| 4.20 | Tree height variations between forest types | 184 |
| 4.21 | Average basal areas by size class for each forest type | 186 |
| 4.22 | Total litterfall for each forest type | 201 |
| 4.23 | Monthly leaf fall for each species in WSF | 209 |
| 4.24 | Monthly leaf fall for each species in TZF | 216 |
| 4.25 | Monthly leaf fall for each species in WTRF | 223 |
| 4.26 | Summary of data from max-min thermometers | 231 |
| 4.27 | Summary of the seasonally warmer temperature for the two height positions on the gradient | 249 |
| 4.28 | Summary of average throughfall return | 261 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.29 | Summary of average wind velocities | 264 |
| 4.30 | Average incidence of high winds | 265 |
| 4.31 | Summary of average cloud cover | 266 |
| 5.1 | Growth form contribution to TZF definition | 308 |
| 5.2 | Growth form contribution by restricted common species | 308 |
| 5.3 | Growth form contribution by restricted common species by transect | 312 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1.1 | Study area locality map | 2 |
| 1.2 | Study area position within Nullum State Forest | 7 |
| 1.3 | Location of transects within the study area | 12 |
| 2.1 | Range of definitions of old-growth forest | 18 |
| 4.1 | Accumulation of mid-layer species in WSF | 110 |
| 4.2 | Accumulation of mid-layer species in TZF | 111 |
| 4.3 | Accumulation of mid-layer species in WTRF | 112 |
| 4.4 | Species richness in the mid-layers | 113 |
| 4.5 | Species diversity in the mid-layers | 114 |
| 4.6 | Species evenness in the mid-layers | 115 |
| 4.7 | Accumulation of understorey species in WSF | 119 |
| 4.8 | Accumulation of understorey species in TZF | 120 |
| 4.9 | Accumulation of understorey species in WTRF | 121 |
| 4.10 | Species richness in the understoreys | 122 |
| 4.11 | Species diversity in the understoreys | 123 |
| 4.12 | Species evenness in the understoreys | 124 |
| 4.13 | Classification of sites for canopy species | 134 |
| 4.14 | Classification of sites for mid-layer species | 135 |
| 4.15 | Classification of sites for understorey species | 136 |
| 4.16 | TWINSPAN classification using canopy species | 137 |
| 4.17 | Classification of canopy species | 140 |
| 4.18 | Classification of WSF sample units | 141 |
| 4.19 | Classification of TZF sample units | 142 |
| 4.20 | Classification of WTRF sample units | 143 |
| 4.21 | GAM for <i>Eucalyptus pilularis</i> | 147 |
| 4.22 | GAM for <i>Callicoma serratifolia</i> | 148 |
| 4.23 | GAM for <i>Ceratopetalum apetalum</i> | 150 |
| 4.24 | Range of tree heights for WSF | 157 |
| 4.25 | Separation of tree layers for WSF transect 1 | 159 |
| 4.26 | Separation of tree layers for WSF transect 2 | 160 |
| 4.27 | Separation of tree layers for WSF transect 3 | 161 |
| 4.28 | Separation of tree layers for WSF transect 4 | 162 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.29 | Separation of tree layers for WSF transect 5 | 163 |
| 4.30 | Separation of tree layers for WSF transect 6 | 164 |
| 4.31 | Separation of tree layers for WSF transect 7 | 165 |
| 4.32 | Separation of tree layers for TZF transect 1 | 167 |
| 4.33 | Separation of tree layers for TZF transect 2 | 168 |
| 4.34 | Separation of tree layers for TZF transect 3 | 169 |
| 4.35 | Separation of tree layers for TZF transect 4 | 170 |
| 4.36 | Separation of tree layers for TZF transect 5 | 171 |
| 4.37 | Separation of tree layers for TZF transect 6 | 172 |
| 4.38 | Separation of tree layers for TZF transect 7 | 173 |
| 4.39 | Separation of tree layers for WTRF transect 1 | 175 |
| 4.40 | Separation of tree layers for WTRF transect 2 | 176 |
| 4.41 | Separation of tree layers for WTRF transect 3 | 177 |
| 4.42 | Separation of tree layers for WTRF transect 4 | 178 |
| 4.43 | Separation of tree layers for WTRF transect 6 | 179 |
| 4.44 | Separation of tree layers for WTRF transect 7 | 180 |
| 4.45 | Relationships of increased canopy shading | 182 |
| 4.46 | Relationship of tree basal areas and heights | 187 |
| 4.47 | Relationship of tree basal areas and crowns | 188 |
| 4.48 | Relationship of basal areas and stem counts | 189 |
| 4.49 | Relationship of basal areas and shading index | 190 |
| 4.50 | Relationship of basal areas and understorey spp | 192 |
| 4.51 | Relationship of basal areas and exposed ground | 193 |
| 4.52 | Relationship of basal areas and tree species in the understorey | 194 |
| 4.53 | Relationship of basal areas and permanent woody understorey species | 195 |
| 4.54 | Classification of sites using structural data | 198 |
| 4.55 | Comparison of monthly litterfall | 202 |
| 4.56 | Comparison of monthly woody litterfall | 203 |
| 4.57 | Comparison of monthly leaf fall | 204 |
| 4.58 | Comparison of monthly reproductive litterfall | 207 |
| 4.59 | Monthly leaf fall for WSF | 210 |
| 4.60 | Monthly leaf fall for WSF for each layer | 211 |
| 4.61 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>E. pilularis</i> in WSF | 212 |
| 4.62 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>A. torulosa</i> in WSF | 213 |
| 4.63 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>S. glomulifera</i> in WSF | 214 |
| 4.64 | Monthly leaf fall for TZF | 217 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.65 | Monthly leaf fall for TZF for each layer | 218 |
| 4.66 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>C. serratifolia</i> in TZF | 219 |
| 4.67 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>A. orites</i> in TZF | 220 |
| 4.68 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>E. pilularis</i> in TZF | 221 |
| 4.69 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>S. glomulifera</i> in TZF | 222 |
| 4.70 | Monthly leaf fall for WTRF | 225 |
| 4.71 | Monthly leaf fall for WTRF for each layer | 226 |
| 4.72 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>C. apetalum</i> in WTRF | 227 |
| 4.73 | Monthly leaf fall for <i>S. ovata</i> in WTRF | 228 |
| 4.74 | Mean monthly temperatures | 234 |
| 4.75 | Mean monthly maximum temperatures | 235 |
| 4.76 | Mean monthly minimum temperatures | 236 |
| 4.77 | Mean monthly temperature ranges | 237 |
| 4.78 | Highest monthly maximum temperatures | 238 |
| 4.79 | Lowest monthly maximum temperatures | 239 |
| 4.80 | Highest monthly minimum temperatures | 240 |
| 4.81 | Lowest monthly minimum temperatures | 241 |
| 4.82 | Monthly temperature variation at 0 m | 243 |
| 4.83 | Monthly temperature variation at 1.5 m | 244 |
| 4.84 | Monthly temperature variation in WSF | 246 |
| 4.85 | Monthly temperature variation in TZF | 247 |
| 4.86 | Monthly temperature variation in WTRF | 248 |
| 4.87 | Annual temperatures measured at 75 mm | 251 |
| 4.88 | Temperature hygrothermograms | 252 |
| 4.89 | Annual relative humidity measured at 75 mm | 255 |
| 4.90 | Relative humidity and VPD in WSF during winter | 257 |
| 4.91 | Relative humidity and VPD in TZF during winter | 258 |
| 4.92 | Relative humidity and VPD in WTRF during winter | 259 |
| 4.93 | Monthly throughfall at six transects | 262 |
| 4.94 | Isohels of annual hours of direct radiation | 267 |
| 4.95 | Isohels of annual hours of direct radiation (a.m.) | 268 |
| 4.96 | Isohels of annual hours of direct radiation (p.m.) | 269 |
| 4.97 | Predicted abundance of TZF canopy species | 273 |
| 4.98 | GAM of diffuse boundary type | 274 |
| 4.99 | GAM of sharp boundary type | 275 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Species counts against proportion of sites occupied for all forest types | 278 |
| 5.2 | Comparison of litterfall and rainfall for WSF | 288 |
| 5.3 | Comparison of litterfall and rainfall for rainforest | 289 |
| 5.4 | Comparison of leaf fall and rainfall for WSF | 290 |
| 5.5 | Comparison of leaf fall and rainfall for rainforest | 291 |
| 5.6 | Tree basal areas vs litterfall | 296 |
| 5.7 | Width of transition zone vs basal area classes | 308 |
| 5.8 | Width of transition zone vs patch sizes | 308 |

LIST OF PLATES

| Plate | | Page |
|--------------|--|------|
| Frontispiece | View of Blackbutt Plateau and upper Koonyum Range | i |
| 2 and 3 | Transects 1 and 2 | 13 |
| 4 and 5 | Transect 3 | 14 |
| 6 and 7 | Transects 4 and 5 | 15 |
| 8 and 9 | Transects 6 and 7 | 16 |
| 10 | Wet sclerophyll forest canopy structure | 45 |
| 11 | Wet sclerophyll forest understorey structure | 46 |
| 12 | Transition zone forest structure | 47 |
| 13 | Transition zone forest structure | 48 |
| 14 | Warm temperate rainforest structure | 49 |
| 15 | Warm temperate rainforest understorey structure | 50 |
| 16 | Hygrothermograph in recording position | 86 |
| 17 | Rain gauge in recording position | 90 |