THE EFFECTS OF THE VENOM OF AIPYSURUS LAEVIS ON ITS PREY SPECIES

By Kenneth D. Zimmerman

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND June 1989

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Harold Heatwole, for his expert help and guidance especially during the writing stages of this thesis.

I would also like to gratefully thank Dr. Ian Davies for his help with the statistical portions of the thesis, Dr. Richard Gates for his help with the behavioural portions and Professor Clifford Hawkins and Dr Diane Watters of the University of Queensland for their assistance and the use of their lab facilities during the venom fractionation.

Special thanks and appreciation is also given to the following for their help.

For the following people who graciously offered their help and/or suggestions along the way: Dr. D. Adams, Dr. D. Davey, Mr. J. deBavay, Professor W. Danthanarayana, Mr. E. Hannah, Dr. A. Menez, Dr. J. Miller, A/Professor K. Rohde, Dr. P. Vickery, Dr. D. Watson, Ms. S. Zimmerman.

The technical staff of the zoology department, both past and present, for their help in countless different ways. The zoology secretaries, Mrs. V. Watt and Mrs. S. Higgins, for their help and patience in many matters. Mr. Peter Garlick of the Electron Microscopy Unit for his help over the years, especially during the venom fraction study, and Mrs B. Ward for the earlier micrograph development. The staff of the computer science centre, especially Ms. Lorraine Roberts for her expert help in many computer matters. The staff of the Media Resources Unit for their help with all of the photographs and photographic plates in this thesis. The staff and my research assistants at the Heron Island Research Station for countless hours of help during the field research.

Ms. Debbie Shohet for typing parts of this thesis and for her patience and support, especially during the last month before completion.

I would also like to thank my family for their support during my many years of schooling and Mr. and Mrs. R. C. Stone Jr. for their support and concern during the years of this study.

This thesis is dedicated to Mr. Rick Stone III (1947 – 1988), a man who touched deeply all those that knew him. He will certainly be missed and remembered by all.

Contents

A	Acknowledgements iii						
A۱	Abstract xvii						
1	Intr	oduction	1				
	1.1	Snake Venom	1				
		1.1.1 General Information	1				
		1.1.2 Hydrophiid venom	6				
		1.1.3 Neurotoxic components	6				
		1.1.4 Myotoxic components	8				
	1.2	Skeletal Muscle	12				
		1.2.1 General information	12				
		1.2.2 Muscle organization	13				
		1.2.3 Muscle ultrastructure	14				
		1.2.4 Muscle contraction	15				
	1.3	Fish Ventilation Mechanism	16				
2	Gen	eral Materials and Methods 2	20				
	2.1	Venom Collecting and Processing	20				
	2.2	Venom Injection Procedures	21				
	2.3	Prey Species and Collecting Procedures	21				
	2.4	Stock Tank Holding Facilities	23				
	2.5	Experimental Tank Holding Facilities	23				
	2.6	Whitespot Prevention	24				

iv

	2.7	Electron Microscopy	25
3	Init	ial Studies With Goldfish	37
	3.1	Introduction	37
	3.2	Materials and Methods	38
	3.3	Results	38
	3.4	Discussion	40
4	Сог	nparative Studies With Marine Prey Species	46
	4.1	Introduction	46
	4.2	Materials and Methods	47
	4.3	Results	48
	4.4	Discussion	50
5	Ver	itilation Studies	60
	5.1	Introduction	60
	5.2	Materials and Methods	60
	5.3	Results	62
		5.3.1 Mean ventilation rates: total number of fish	62
		5.3.2 Mean ventilation rates: surviving fish only	63
		5.3.3 Statistical analysis	64
		5.3.4 Dascyllus aruanus	65
		5.3.5 Istiblennius meleagris	66
		5.3.6 Chromis nitida	67
	5.4	Discussion	69
6	Beł	navioural Studies	81
	6.1	Introduction	81
	6.2	Materials and Methods	81
	6.3	Results	83
		6.3.1 Ethogram	83
		6.3.1.1 Stage one	83
		6.3.1.2 Stage two	83

			6.3.1.3	Stage three
			6.3.1.4	Stage four
			6.3.1.5	Stage five
			6.3.1.6	Stage six
		6.3.2	Behavio	ural stage profiles
		6.3.3	Percent	of fish found in each stage
		6.3.4	Analysis	of time to reach each stage and stage duration \ldots 87
			6.3.4.1	Time to reach each stage
			6.3.4.2	Stage duration 89
		6.3.5	Ventilat	ion rates at different stages
	6.4	Discus	ssion	
7	\mathbf{Ult}	rastruc	ctural St	udy 104
	7.1	Introd	uction .	
	7.2	Mater	ials and N	Methods
	7.3	Result	ts	$\ldots \ldots 106$
	7.4	Discus	ssion	
8	Ven	iom Fr	actions	118
	8.1	Introd	luction .	
	8.2	Mater	ials and N	Methods
	8.3	Result	ts	
		8.3.1	Chroma	tography 120
		8.3.2	Ventilat	ory study with fractions
		8.3.3	Behavio	ural study with fractions
			8.3.3.1	Fraction 1 (Behavioural Study) 124
			8.3.3.2	Fraction 2 (Behavioural Study) 124
			8.3.3.3	Fraction 3 (Behavioural Study) 125
			8.3.3.4	Fraction 4 (Behavioural Study)
			8.3.3.5	Fraction 5 (Behavioural Study) 126
			8.3.3.6	Fraction 6 (Behavioural Study)
			8.3.3.7	Fraction 7 (Behavioural Study) 128

			8.3.3.8 Fraction recombination (Behavioural Study) 12	9
		8.3.4	Ultrastructural study with fractions	0
			8.3.4.1 Fraction 1 (Ultrastructural Study) 13	0
			8.3.4.2 Fraction 2 (Ultrastructural Study) 13	1
			8.3.4.3 Fraction 3 (Ultrastructural Study) 13	1
			8.3.4.4 Fraction 4 (Ultrastructural Study) 13	1
			8.3.4.5 Fraction 5 (Ultrastructural Study) 13	2
			8.3.4.6 Fraction 6 (Ultrastructural Study) 13	2
			8.3.4.7 Fraction 7 (Ultrastructural Study) 13	3
	8.4	Discus	ssion	3
9	Cor	clusio	n 15	2
	9.1	Myoto	xic components	2
		9.1.1	Open and extended mouths 15	3
		9.1.2	Incomplete mouth closure	3
		9.1.3	Bottom rubbing	3
		9.1.4	Ultrastructural change	4
	9.2	Fish r	esistance to venom	4
	9.3	Evolut	tionary model of predator-prey interaction	5
	9.4	Final	remarks	6
R	efere	nces	15	7
\mathbf{A}_{j}	ppen	dices	17-	4
	Α	LD va	lues for marine fish species	4
	В	Profile	es of variability (whole venom)	6
	С	Proba	bility values (whole venom) 18	3
	D	Profile	es of probability (whole venom)	7
	Ε	Stand	ard error of the means (whole venom) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 19$	4
	F	Behav	ioural descriptions 19	8
	G	Behav	rioural stage times	2
	Η	Three	-dimensional chromatograph from venom fractionation \ldots . 20	5

Ι	Probability values (venom fractions)	206
J	Profiles of probability (venom fractions)	211

List of Tables

2.1	Venom dosages and injection volumes for Aipysurus laevis venom	30
2.2	Information on marine prey species used during the study	34
4.1	Probit analysis results	55
4.2	Probit line comparisons	57
4.3	LD50 values	58
4.4	Mean time to death with different venom doses	59
5.1	Means and standard deviations for total number of fish, ventilation data.	74
5.2	Means, standard deviations and n values for total number of living fish,	
	ventilation data	78
5.3	Probability comparisons from LSD computations	80
6.1	Ethogram of behavioural changes resulting form envenomation	97
6.2	Mean values, standard deviations and n values for analysis of time to	
	each stage	01
6.3	Means, standard deviations and n values for analysis of time in each	
	stage	02
8.1	Dose levels for venom fractions	40
8.2	Means and standard deviations for the venom fraction study 1	42
8.3	Probability comparisons from LSD computations for the venom frac-	
	tion study	43
8.4	Behavioural signs for the venom fraction study	44

List of Figures

1.1	Schematic representation of normal vertebrate skeletal muscle	19
2.1	Map of collecting and experimental sites	27
2.2	Aipysurus laevis	28
2.3	Collecting Aipysurus laevis	28
2.4	The fang of Aipysurus laevis	29
2.5	Milking Aipysurus laevis	29
2.6	Chromis nitida	31
2.7	Chromis atripectoralis	31
2.8	Dascyllus aruanus	32
2.9	Istiblennius meleagris	32
2.10	Istiblennius edentulus	33
2.11	Intramuscular injection site	33
2.12	Stock holding tanks	35
2.13	Experimental holding tanks	35
2.14	Head region of Dascyllus aruanus	36
3.1	Carassius auratus ventilation rates	44
3.2	Goldfish Carassius auratus control micrograph	45
3.3	Goldfish Carassius auratus saline-injected micrograph	45
3.4	Goldfish Carassius auratus envenomated micrograph	45
4.1	All species' probit curve	53
4.2	Fiducial limits	54
4.3	Comparisons of mean time to death with different venom doses	56

5.1	Chromis nitida mean ventilation, 2800 minutes, $n = total$ fish number	71
5.2	Chromis nitida mean ventilation, 400 minutes, $n = total$ fish number	71
5.3	Dascyllus aruanus mean ventilation, 2880 minutes, n = total fish number	72
5.4	Dascyllus aruanus mean ventilation, 450 minutes, n = total fish number	72
5.5	Istiblennius meleagris mean ventilation, 2880 minutes, n = total fish	
	number	73
5.6	Istiblennius meleagris mean ventilation, 450 minutes, $n = total$ fish	
	number	73
5.7	Chromis nitida mean ventilation, 2880 minutes, $n = total$ number of	
	living fish	75
5.8	Chromis nitida mean ventilation, 400 minutes, $n = total$ number of	
	living fish	75
5.9	Dascyllus aruanus mean ventilation, 2880 minutes, n = total number	
	of living fish	76
5.10	Dascyllus aruanus mean ventilation, 450 minutes, $n = total number of$	
	living fish	76
5.11	Istiblennius meleagris mean ventilation, 2880 minutes, $n = \text{total num}$	
	ber of living fish	77
5.12	Istiblennius meleagris mean ventilation, 450 minutes , $n = \text{total number}$	
	of living fish	77
5.13	Ventilation divisions	79
6.1	Behavioural profiles of Chromis nitida for 3 venom doses	98
6.2	Behavioural profiles of <i>Dascyllus aruanus</i> for 3 venom doses	98
6.3	Behavioural profiles of Istiblennius meleagris for 3 venom doses	98
6.4	Percent of behavioural stage entry for <i>Chromis nitida</i>	99
6.5	Percent of behavioural stage entry for Dascyllus aruanus	99
6.6	Percent of behavioural stage entry for Istiblennius meleagris	99
6.7	Behavioural line profiles through to stage two	100
6.8	Behavioural line profiles through to stage three	100
6.9	Behavioural line profiles through to stage six.	100

6.10	Ventilation rate and behavioural profile comparison for Dascyllus aru-
	anus low dose envenomation
6.11	Ventilation rate and behavioural profile comparison for Dascyllus aru-
	anus medium dose envenomation
6.12	Ventilation rate and behavioural profile comparison for Dascyllus aru-
	anus high dose envenomation
7.1	Osmolarity study with 32 parts / thousand sea water buffer 112
7.2	Osmolarity study with 24 parts / thousand sea water buffer 112 $$
7.3	Osmolarity study with 10 parts / thousand sea water buffer 112 $$
7.4	Electron micrograph of control sternohyoideus muscle (low mag.) 113 $$
7.5	Electron micrograph of control sternohyoideus muscle (high mag.) 113
7.6	Electron micrograph of saline-injected control sternohyoideus muscle
	(low mag.)
7.7	Electron micrograph of saline-injected control sternohyoideus muscle
	(high mag.)
7.8	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle (low mag.). 113 $$
7.9	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle (high mag.). 113
7.10	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle, 72 hour
	sample (low mag.)
7.11	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle, 72 hour
	sample (high mag.)
7.12	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle, 96 hour
	sample (low mag.)
7.13	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle, 96 hour
	sample (high mag.)
7.14	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle, 120 hour
	sample (low mag.)
7.15	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle, 120 hour
	sample (high mag.)

7.16	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle showing
	vacuolation and degeneration of muscle
7.17	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle showing
	interfibrillar space increase
7.18	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle showing
	affected mitochondria
7.19	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle showing Z
	line streaming
7.20	Electron micrograph of control sternohyoideus muscle, transverse sec-
	tion, showing normal muscle morphology
7.21	Electron micrograph of envenomated sternohyoideus muscle, transverse
	section, showing swelling of the sarcoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria. 115
7.22	Image enhanced electron micrograph of control sternohyoideus muscle
	showing normal muscle morphology, used for image analysis (transverse
	section)
7.23	Binary image from image enhanced electron micrograph of control ster-
	nohyoideus muscle used for image analysis (transverse section). \dots 116
7.24	Image enhanced electron micrograph of envenmomated sternohyoideus
	muscle showing sarcoplasmic reticulum swelling, used for image anal-
	ysis (transverse section)
7.25	Binary image from image enhanced electron micrograph of enveno-
	mated sternohyoideus muscle used for image analysis (transverse sec-
	tion)
8.1	Chromatograph for seven venom fractions of Aipysurus laevis venom. 139
8.2	Mean ventilation rates for venom fractions, recombination of fractions
	and control groups (2880 minutes)
8.3	Mean ventilation rates for venom fractions, recombinations of fractions
	and control groups (150 minutes)
8.4	Venom fraction 1 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of
	muscle

8.5	Venom fraction 1 electron micrograph showing a medium magnification
	of muscle
8.6	Venom fraction 1 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of
	muscle
8.7	Venom fraction 1 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of
	muscle nucleus
8.8	Venom fraction 1 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of
	muscle nucleus
8.9	Venom fraction 1 electron micrograph showing muscle mitochondria. $\ 145$
8.10	Venom fraction 2 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of
	muscle
8.11	Venom fraction 2 electron micrograph showing a medium magnification
	of muscle
8.12	Venom fraction 2 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of
	muscle
8.13	Venom fraction 2 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of
	muscle nucleus
8.14	Venom fraction 2 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of
	muscle nucleus
8.15	Venom fraction 2 electron micrograph showing muscle mitochondria 146
8.16	Venom fraction 3 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of
	muscle
8.17	Venom fraction 3 electron micrograph showing a medium magnification
	of muscle
8.18	Venom fraction 3 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of
	muscle
8.19	Venom fraction 3 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of
	muscle nucleus
8.20	Venom fraction 3 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of
	muscle nucleus
8.21	Venom fraction 3 electron micrograph showing muscle mitochondria . 147

8.22	Venom fraction 4 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle	. 148
8.23	Venom fraction 4 electron micrograph showing a medium magnification	
	of muscle	. 148
8.24	Venom fraction 4 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle	. 148
8.25	Venom fraction 4 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle nucleus	. 148
8.26	Venom fraction 4 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle nucleus	. 148
8.27	Venom fraction 4 electron micrograph showing muscle mitochondria. $\boldsymbol{.}$	148
8.28	Venom fraction 5 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle.	. 149
8.29	Venom fraction 5 electron micrograph showing a medium magnification	
	of muscle	. 149
8.30	Venom fraction 5 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle.	. 149
8.31	Venom fraction 5 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle nucleus	. 149
8.32	Venom fraction 5 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle nucleus	
	Venom fraction 5 electron micrograph showing muscle mitochondria	149
8.34	Venom fraction 6 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle	. 150
8.35	Venom fraction 6 electron micrograph showing a medium magnification	
	of muscle	. 150
8.36	Venom fraction 6 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle	. 150
8.37	Venom fraction 6 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle nucleus.	. 150

8.38	Venom fraction 6 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle nucleus	50
8.39	Venom fraction 6 electron micrograph showing muscle mitochondria 15	50
8.40	Venom fraction 7 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle	51
8.41	Venom fraction 7 electron micrograph showing a medium magnification	
	of muscle	51
8.42	Venom fraction 7 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle	51
8.43	Venom fraction 7 electron micrograph showing a low magnification of	
	muscle nucleus	51
8.44	Venom fraction 7 electron micrograph showing a high magnification of	
	muscle nucleus	51
8.45	Venom fraction 7 electron micrograph showing muscle mitochondria 15	51

Abstract

The aim of this investigation was to examine the effects of *Aipysurus laevis* venom on its prey species. This was accomplished through LD50 studies with whole venom, and ventilation, behavioural and ultrastructural studies with both whole venom and venom fractions.

LD50 studies demonstrated differences in resistance to A. laevis venom among the species Chromis nitida, Chromis atripectoralis, Dascyllus aruanus, Istiblennius meleagris and Istiblennius edentulus.

Further investigations with whole venom showed A. laevis venom to significantly affect the ventilatory process, with ventilation and other behavioural signs proving to be similar in all fish tested (C. nitida, D. aruanus and I. meleagris). An ethogram showing the hierarchy of behavioural changes, as the result of envenomation, was constructed.

Ultrastructural examinations using muscle from D. aruanus revealed the occurrence of muscle necrosis resulting from envenomation. This suggests the presence of myotoxic components that affect the muscle of lower vertebrates, i.e. marine fish.

Venom fractions had different effects from whole venom. These differences are thought to be due to (1) the overshadowing of some components by more potent toxins, (2) cumulative effects producing stronger responses and (3) inhibition of the effects of some components by other constituents of the whole venom.

Ultrastructural examinations showed evidence of muscle necrosis caused by many of the fractions, but fraction 4 proved to possess the most potent myotoxic component(s). Fraction 6 had the greatest effect on ventilation and appeared to be the most potent neurotoxic fraction.