

THE GENETIC ARCHITECTURE OF LIFE-
HISTORY TRAITS IN A NATURAL
POPULATION OF
TRIBOLIUM CASTANEUM

Robyn Claire Sweetnam

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND
February 1990

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

.....

Acknowledgements

I would like to briefly acknowledge the many people who have been involved in this project.

Without my husband and co-worker Tony, I doubt that I would have completed this thesis. His help was invaluable at all stages with good advice on both practical and theoretical aspects. I look forward to our future together with Tommy, Georgina and (hopefully) other little McRaes.

Many people in the Department provided a helping hand or at least a good laugh in those dark days of a postgraduate's life and I would like to thank all the Flies and Animals of the Animal Science Department for their friendship.

I would especially like to thank all those Flies who were particularly involved with my work: Jenny Druitt, Annette Edmonds, Don Fredline, Chris Leger, Margaret Low and Fran McDonald. A number of Animals also came to my aid: Neil Baillie, Graeme Bremner and Winston Hewitt.

I would be remiss not to mention my fellow postgraduates and their families: Alex and Judith del Bosque, Darren (Dazza) Schafer, Yuguang Shi, Ketut Sutama and Desley Rogers who provided support and friendship.

Special thanks are also extended to my supervisor, Professor Stuart Barker for his guidance and advice throughout my candidature, especially with the final preparation of this work.

I am very grateful to Dr. Arthur Gilmour (Department of Agriculture N.S.W.) who helped enormously on the, to me, intractable statistical analysis. Dr. Ian Davies and Dr. Vic Bofinger (Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science) also lit the way on many occasions. The assistance of Angus Shaw (Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science) in the statistical analysis is gratefully acknowledged. I would also like to thank Dr. Brian Kinghorn and Dr. John Thompson (Department of Animal Science) for their assistance.

Thanks must go to Lorraine Roberts for her work in the final preparation of this manuscript.

Finally, in recognition of their faith and support, I would like to dedicate this thesis to my parents, in particular my father Michael Sweetnam, who read story after story to me and my siblings as children.

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	xii
Abstract	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Aim of Project	1
1.2 The Cost of Reproduction	2
1.2.1 The Theorem of Reproductive Cost	3
1.2.1.1 Conditions of Proof	7
1.2.1.2 Experimental Design	9
1.2.1.3 Empirical Evidence	12
1.3 The Evolution of Senescence	19
1.3.1 Introduction	19
1.3.2 Stochastic Theories	20
1.3.3 Genetic Theories	21
1.3.3.1 Developmental Hypothesis	21
1.3.3.2 Antagonistic Pleiotropy	24
1.3.3.3 Unitary Hypothesis	28
1.3.3.4 Deleterious Mutation Accumulation	31
1.3.3.5 Running-out-of-Program	32
1.3.3.6 Group Selection Hypothesis	36
1.4 Genetic Constraints on Life-History Evolution	37

1.4.1	Introduction	37
1.4.2	Genetic Variation	38
1.4.3	Genetic Correlations	42
1.4.4	Developmental Constraints	44
2	Research Plan	46
2.1	Synopsis of Experimental Work	46
2.2	Experimental Organism	47
2.3	General Materials and Methods	50
3	Estimation of Genetic Parameters	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Materials and Methods	56
3.2.1	Experimental Procedures	56
3.2.2	Variables and Statistical Analyses	63
3.3	Results	73
3.3.1	Population Sampling	73
3.3.2	Means and Standard Deviations	73
3.3.3	Heritabilities of Male Traits	73
3.3.4	Heritabilities of Female Traits	77
3.3.5	Genetic Correlations between Male Traits	81
3.3.6	Genetic Correlations between Female Traits	83
3.4	Discussion	101
3.4.1	The Cost of Reproduction	101
3.4.2	Life-history Evolution	106
3.4.3	Evolution of Senescence	110
4	Selection Experiment	114
4.1	Introduction	114
4.2	Materials and Methods	115
4.2.1	Base Population	115
4.2.2	The Selection Program	116
4.2.3	The Life-history Assay	118
4.2.4	Statistical Analyses	119

4.2.4.1	Selection Response	119
4.2.4.2	Phenotypic Assay	121
4.3	Results	125
4.3.1	Response to Selection	125
4.3.2	Phenotypic Assay	132
4.3.2.1	Means and Standard Deviations	132
4.3.2.2	Analyses of Variance	138
4.4	Discussion	141
4.4.1	Additive Genetic Variation in Adult Emergence	141
4.4.2	Correlated Responses	142
4.4.2.1	Longevity	142
4.4.2.2	Bodyweight	142
4.4.2.3	Fecundity	143
4.4.3	Conclusions	144
5	General Conclusions	146
5.1	The Cost of Reproduction	146
5.2	The Evolution of Senescence	146
5.3	Genetic Variation and Life-History Evolution	148
5.4	Direction of Future Work	150
	References	154
	Appendix 1	187
	Appendix 2	189
	Appendix 3	192
	Appendix 4	195
	Appendix 5	197
	Appendix 6	199
	Appendix 7	201

Appendix 8	204
Appendix 9	221
Appendix 10	223

List of Tables

1.1	Experimental work on reproductive cost since 1982.	16
1.2	Evolutionary theories of senescence.	20
3.1	Comparisons between bodyweight measurements of beetles ($n = 42$) weighed on day of adult eclosion and 70 days after day 0.	64
3.2	Genetic expectations for heritability and variance components estimates.	72
3.3	Comparison of developmental rates of beetles retained for the diallel analysis (Group1) with the developmental rates of discarded beetles (Group 2).	73
3.4	Means, standard deviations(SD) and coefficients of variation(CV) of male characters for 1052 beetles that lived beyond 70 days.	74
3.5	Means, standard deviations and coefficients of variation of female characters for 1090 beetles that lived beyond 70 days.	75
3.6	Heritability estimates (\pm SE) for male life-history traits.	76
3.7	The upper limits for the proportion of phenotypic variance which is due to dominance or epistasis for male variables.	76
3.8	Heritability estimates (\pm SE) for female life-history traits.	78
3.9	The upper limits for the proportion of phenotypic variance which is due to dominance or epistasis for female variables.	79
3.10	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for male traits from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	82
3.11	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, PUPN-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	84

3.12	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, ADULT-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	85
3.13	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, WT70-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	86
3.14	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, GR-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	87
3.15	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, ALS-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	88
3.16	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, DEATH-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	89
3.17	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, EGGTOT-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	90
3.18	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, MAXEGG-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	91
3.19	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, LASEGG-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	92
3.20	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, RLS-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	93
3.21	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, DAY011-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	93
3.22	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, DAY711-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	94

3.23	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, EGG54-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	94
3.24	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, Q1R-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	95
3.25	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, Q2R-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	95
3.26	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, Q3R-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	95
3.27	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, Q4R-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	96
3.28	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, ADFR-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	96
3.29	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, ADFQ1R-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	96
3.30	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits, ADFQ2R-*, from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	97
3.31	Genetic correlation estimates (\pm SE) for female traits MED1 and MED2 from paternal (r_S) and maternal (r_D) half-sibs and from the combined sire and dam (r_{S+D}) components of variance and covariance.	97
3.32	Predictions for correlated responses to selection for increased and decreased duration of time to adult emergence.	110
4.1	Realized heritabilities for the separate replicates and for the means of all Fast and Slow developing lines of <i>Tribolium castaneum</i> . Regression coefficients (b) are presented with standard errors (\pm SE).	132

4.2	Means and standard deviations of male traits for replicates of the Fast developing lines in the phenotypic assay.	133
4.3	Means and standard deviations of male traits for replicates of the Control lines in the phenotypic assay.	133
4.4	Means and standard deviations of male traits for replicates of the Slow developing lines in the phenotypic assay.	134
4.5	Means and standard deviations of female traits for replicates of the Fast developing lines in the phenotypic assay.	135
4.6	Means and standard deviations of female traits for replicates of the Control lines in the phenotypic assay.	136
4.7	Means and standard deviations of female traits for replicates of the Slow developing lines in the phenotypic assay.	137
4.8	Coefficients of Variation (%) for ADULT of males and females for selected and control lines.	138
4.9	F-ratios and significance levels from the ANOVAs for male traits.	138
4.10	F-ratios and significance levels from the ANOVAs for female traits.	140

List of Figures

3.1	Schematic presentation of the Diallel experiment.	57
3.2	Chronological sequence of measurements taken on individual female beetles.	58
4.1	Schematic presentation of the Selection experiment.	117
4.2	Mean time to adult emergence of males of the three replicates in each line, Fast, Control and Slow developing.	126
4.3	Mean time to adult emergence of females of the three replicates in each line, Fast, Control and Slow developing.	127
4.4	Responses to selection for replicate 1, expressed as deviations from the Control, plotted against cumulated selection differentials.	129
4.5	Responses to selection for replicate 2, expressed as deviations from the Control, plotted against cumulated selection differentials.	130
4.6	Responses to selection for replicate 3, expressed as deviations from the Control, plotted against cumulated selection differentials.	131

Abstract

The aim of this investigation was to study the nature of genetic constraints on life-history evolution in a natural population.

To identify possible constraints, estimates of variance and covariance components were obtained in the laboratory for a range of life-history characters in a population of *Tribolium castaneum* three generations after collection from the wild. Estimates were obtained by means of a diallel analysis. To test predictions about genetic constraints based upon the variance-covariance matrix, selection was conducted for duration of development in both directions for six generations followed by a phenotypic assay of all life-history traits in all lines.

After six generations of selection, there were significant differences between selected lines for both males and females for duration of development and growth rate, but no significant correlated responses in other characters. Some correlated responses, such as a decrease in late life egg production in slow developing lines approached significance and, if the selection program had been continued, may have become significant.

Both lack of genetic variation and negative genetic correlations between life-history traits can constrain response by a life-history trait to selection pressures.

It is unlikely that lack of genetic variation would constrain responses to selection of most life-history traits in this population as most exhibited low to moderate heritabilities. The exceptions were longevity and time to reach peak fecundity. These had virtually no heritable genetic variation but large amounts of non-additive genetic variation. This genetic architecture is suggestive that these traits had experienced strong directional selection and/or developmental buffering systems were suppressing their expression of additive genetic variation. Developmental buffering systems can be deregulated by stress and thus are not necessarily a long-term constraint on the ability of a trait to adapt to changes in the internal and/or external environment.

Although life-history traits were interrelated, the genetic correlations were generally low and should not constrain the response of individual life-history traits to short-term selection. Negative genetic correlations were found between some traits so that antagonistic pleiotropy could be important as a genetic constraint if selection is long-term. As a constraint, antagonistic pleiotropy also could be partially responsible for the maintenance of genetic variation in life-history traits.

Negative genetic correlations between reproduction and reproductive life-span are indicative of a “reproductive cost” which is probably the result of allocation of limited resources between reproduction and survival. This may be a universal constraint moulding the evolution of life-histories.

All fecundity indices were positively correlated but genetic correlations of less than unity and the decrease in late life egg production in slow developing lines suggest that the reproductive schedule is amenable to modification by selection.

Depression of late life fecundity in slow developing lines was not expected as late life fecundity had no heritable variation, and had low and insignificant positive correlations with duration of development. The depression may have been due to disruption of developmental processes as a result of selection for duration of development. It is possible that patterns of development characteristic of a taxon may restrict the range of future adaptations in that taxon. However, further investigation is needed before conclusions about this depression of fecundity can be drawn.

Experimental results do not support theories about the evolution and/or maintenance of senescence by the mechanisms of antagonistic pleiotropy between early and late life-history characters or the accumulation of deleterious mutations. Results do support the hypothesis that senescence is due to a running out of genetic program for internal repair and maintenance capabilities in organisms.

Whilst it is recognised that genetic variation and covariation are dependent upon environment, and thus genetic constraints may differ in different environments, studies which combine a quantitative genetic analysis of a population with a selection program carried out in a single environment are still useful. Firstly, they identify how the genetic variance-covariance matrix affects responses to selection in a specific environment. Secondly, they can help to identify possibly fundamental and universal constraints. Negative genetic correlations reflecting physiological trade-offs may be operational in all “realistic” environments. Studies would need to be repeated in a number of different environments to confirm the

identity of these universal genetic constraints.