

THE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF MIXTURES
OF A AND B HORIZONS AND EVALUATION
OF PROFILE MIXING FOR MANAGEMENT
OF TRANGIE RED-BROWN EARTH.

By
Ian Douglas Hollingsworth

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF
RURAL SCIENCE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND
March 1989

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.



Abstract

Conventional methods of seedbed preparation have caused crop yields to decline on red-brown earths. This yield decline is attributed to reduced physical fertility brought about by the collapse of soil structure. Regeneration of favourable structure may be achieved by several means, one of which is mixing of subsoil with topsoil. Deep mouldboard tillage, which mixes A and B horizon soil, has increased cotton yield on some irrigated red-brown earths in the Lower Macquarie Valley of New South Wales. The beneficial effect of deep mouldboard ploughing may be enhanced by gypsum application. Deep mouldboard tillage loosens the topsoil to approximately 400 mm and changes the texture of the plough layer by mixing clay subsoil with loam topsoil. However, the physical basis for the yield response to deep mouldboard tillage has not been thoroughly investigated.

The morphology, particle size distribution and clay mineralogy of a red-brown earth on the Agricultural Research Centre, Trangie, N.S.W., indicates that it is prone to structural degradation when used for irrigated cultivation. The aim of this study is to quantify the compaction constraints to soil physical fertility of the Trangie red-brown earth and to determine the likely beneficial effects of deep mouldboard tillage. The results of an investigation of the penetration resistance, soil water and oxygen transmission properties affecting the physical fertility of Trangie red-brown earth soil as a function of compaction and soil horizon mixing is reported in this thesis. The effect of gypsum application on soil penetration resistance is also reported.

Drop cone penetrometer measurements of the plastic and liquid limits as a function of soil horizon mixing show that soil horizon mixing increases the liquid limit

and thereby increases the plasticity index. The plasticity index is related to the tillage energy required to produce a seedbed. The amount of soil horizon mixing attributable to deep mouldboard ploughing does not substantially increase the plasticity index of the soil and hence the energy required in tillage operations. The plasticity index is closely related to the geometric mean particle diameter of the soil horizon mixtures. The desirable amount of mixing, on the basis of energy required for seedbed preparation, appears to be that which does not substantially increase the plasticity index.

The purpose of Chapter 3 is to describe the effects of soil water content and soil horizon mixing on soil compaction behaviour under uniaxial compression. These and other effects, were investigated using cores reconstituted from disturbed soil by uniaxial compression. Particular levels of compaction were attained by varying soil water content and compaction force. Soil cores formed from mixtures of A and B horizon were prepared in a similar manner using ratios of 91:9, 75:25, 50:50 and 25:75 A:B horizon soil. The A and B horizon mixing increases soil resistance to compaction relative to A horizon alone while increasing soil water content reduces soil resistance to compaction. Soil compressive strength at the plastic limit is dependent upon the plasticity index. This relationship may be used to predict soil compaction at the maximum water content recommended for tillage. A multiple regression relationship between void ratio, uniaxial stress and soil water content is developed for use in the compaction management models in Chapter 7.

Soil penetration resistance directly affects root growth and, a quantitative model of penetration resistance is useful for predicting compaction conditions restrictive to root growth and in assessing the soil horizon mixing effect of deep mouldboard tillage. The material in Chapter 4 reports on an investigation of soil penetration resistance as a function of soil compactness, water content, soil horizon mixing and gypsum application. Penetration resistance is measured with a cone penetrometer on disturbed soil cores and the results are compared with cone penetrometer measurements in the field.

Field penetration measurements indicate that a level of compaction which restricts root penetration occurs beneath the tilled layer of Trangie red-brown earth.

The benefits of deep mouldboard tillage may result from a greater depth of loosened soil which does not mechanically impede root growth over the range of plant available soil water contents. The critical void ratio for root limiting penetration resistances in the plant available water range is $0.75 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the A horizon and $0.96 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the B horizon soil. Laboratory cone index measurements are not significantly different to cone index measured in the field. Consequently, the laboratory study of cone index as a function of void ratio, water content and soil horizon mixing may be used to describe penetration resistance in the field. Cone index is a logarithmic function of void ratio, water content and an interaction term. Soil horizon mixing increases soil penetration resistance. This effect will counteract any beneficial effect of an increase in resistance to compaction attributed to soil horizon mixing (Chapter 3).

Gypsum application (5 t ha^{-1}) does not affect the penetration resistance of the A horizon soil or a soil horizon mixture representative of deep mouldboard ploughing. Consequently the benefits derived from applying gypsum do not result from changes in the penetrability of the soil mass. It is possible that gypsum ameliorates a surface crusting problem on this soil.

The initial differences between mouldboard ploughed and non-mouldboard ploughed soil may result from differences in compactness, and hence penetrability. However, both tillage treatments compact to similar void ratios in the field over two growing seasons. This indicates that processes other than traffic compaction are important. Soil settling during rapid wetting and on subsequent drying is another process which may compact the topsoil.

Chapter 5 reports the results of an investigation of the effect of soil horizon mixing and compaction on the plant available water and saturated hydraulic conductivity. Soil water storage and transmission are aspects of soil physical fertility which affect penetration resistance and soil aeration. Water storage and transmission are determined by pore size distribution, which is modified by compaction and soil texture. The aim of work reported in this chapter is to model the effect of soil horizon mixing and soil compaction on water retention and hydraulic conductivity. Water retention between matric potential values of -0.2 and $-150 \text{ mH}_2\text{O}$ and

saturated hydraulic conductivity were measured in the laboratory for A and B horizon soil, and the range of soil horizon mixtures studied previously. Soil cores were compressed to different void ratios with a uniaxial compression testing instrument. The larger pores are removed by compaction, causing saturated and unsaturated hydraulic conductivity to decrease. Soil horizon mixing does not increase soil water storage. However, water transmission appears to be enhanced because of an increase in the tendency of soil to form aggregates during the wetting and sieving in soil preparation.

Critical water transmission and storage properties are determined for inclusion in the compaction management model (Chapter 7). A critical void ratio of $0.70 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ for rapid water intake is determined from saturated hydraulic conductivity data. Also, the upper and lower limits of plant available water are determined at matric potential values of -1 m and $-150 \text{ mH}_2\text{O}$ for each soil horizon and soil mixture.

Chapter 6 investigates soil aeration and the level of compaction critical for unrestricted oxygen supply to plant roots for the soil horizons and soil horizon mixtures. Plant root respiratory demand for oxygen is met by oxygen diffusing from the atmosphere, through the soil to the root surface. The supply of oxygen through the soil may be reduced by compaction.

Air-filled porosity, was measured on reconstituted soil cores at different void ratios. The cores were equilibrated at different matric potentials in pressure chambers. Transmission porosity is defined as the air-filled porosity at a matric potential of $-1 \text{ m H}_2\text{O}$ and represents the pore size fraction greater than $30 \mu\text{m}$ diameter. Void ratio is used as the index of soil compactness. The relative diffusion coefficient and oxygen flux density were calculated from oxygen concentration measured in a diffusion chamber using a polarographic electrode.

Compaction reduces transmission porosity, while creating a finer soil texture by soil horizon mixing tends to shift the relationship between transmission porosity and void ratio to higher void ratios. The relative diffusion coefficient is less sensitive than the oxygen flux density to changes in oxygen partial pressure gradient during the measurement period. Thus, the relative diffusion coefficient is used to model the oxygen transmission properties of the soil rather than the oxygen flux density. A two

part linear function is used to relate the relative diffusion coefficient to transmission porosity.

Critical void ratios for adequate oxygen supply to respiring roots were determined from the relationships between relative diffusion coefficient and transmission porosity, and transmission porosity and void ratio. The critical void ratio for adequate oxygen supply is $0.68 \pm 0.01 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the A horizon and $0.86 \pm 0.02 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ for the B horizon soil. Soil horizon mixing tends to increase the critical void ratio. The critical void ratios for unrestricted aeration are calculated for inclusion in the nomograms of soil physical fertility in Chapter 7.

The aim of Chapter 7 is to model the effects of compaction and soil horizon mixing on the physical fertility of Trangie red-brown earth soil. The model is used to interpret the effect of deep mouldboard tillage on the soil physical fertility. The results of uniaxial compression testing (Chapter 3) are used to model soil compaction caused by vertical pressure attributed to traffic. Soil penetration resistance (Chapter 4), saturated hydraulic conductivity (Chapter 5) and oxygen diffusivity measurements (Chapter 6) are used to characterise soil physical fertility at different levels of compaction for the range of matric potentials from -150 to -1 m of water, representing the range of plant available water contents.

The model of soil fertility can be used to determine soil physical conditions limiting to crop production and to guide tillage management so as to minimise compaction degradation caused by traffic. It can be seen from the nomogram that soil compaction by a 200 kPa surface pressure at the plastic limit water content will mechanically impede root growth and restrict soil water availability. This is a reduction in the soils physical fertility. Greater surface pressures and or traffic at higher water contents are needed to create restriction to water intake or soil aeration. Consequently, soil penetration resistance is the primary limiting factor to soil physical fertility in this compacted soil.

The nomogram indicates that the mouldboard tilled soil following sowing was not sufficiently compacted to cause restriction to root growth while the disc-harrowed soil was. This combined with evidence that soil horizon mixing attainable by deep mouldboard tillage does not reduce soil resistance to compaction damage suggests

that the beneficial effect of the deep mouldboard technique is temporary and related to soil loosening.

In conclusion, penetration resistance is the primary limitation to root growth in this soil and is not affected by gypsum application. Maintaining topsoil at void ratios greater than $0.75 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ (bulk density less than 1500 kg m^{-3}) should prevent compaction restriction to root growth and crop yield. Compaction by wheel pressures greater than 100 kPa at the plastic limit water content may cause compaction damage. Wheel pressures should be kept below 100 kPa and wheel traffic should be confined to water contents less than the plastic limit. Also, there is evidence that the beneficial effect of deep mouldboard tillage is temporary and depends on thorough soil loosening, and not on an increase in the soils resistance to compaction damage brought about by soil horizon mixing. Any observed beneficial effects of deep mouldboard ploughing appear to be attributed to soil loosening, and aggregate formation, neither of which were investigated.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. Alf Cass and Dr. Don McLeod for their supervision and assistance. Also thanks are due to Dr. Paul Blackwell and Dr. Mac Kirby for contributing ideas, Mr. David Hall and Mr. David McKenzie for the use of the tillage field trial at Trangie for my study, Dr. Ian Davies and Ms. Kerry Mengersen for statistical advice, Mr. Dan Alter and Mr. Allen Mitchell for laboratory assistance, Mr. Bob Hope for workshop assistance and Mr. Gary Woods for electronics work. This work was funded by a grant from the National Soil Conservation Programme.

Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	ix
1 Introduction	1
2 Soil Properties	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Literature Review	5
2.2.1 Distribution	5
2.2.2 Morphology	7
2.2.3 Distribution	7
2.2.4 Chemical Properties	9
2.2.5 Mechanical Properties	9
2.2.6 Soil Consistency	10
2.2.7 Soil Horizon Mixing	11
2.3 Materials and Methods	12
2.3.1 Sample Collection	12
2.3.2 Sample Preparation	14
2.3.3 Particle Size Distribution	14
2.3.4 Soil Consistency Limits	15
2.4 Results and Discussion	16
2.4.1 Morphology	16
2.4.2 Chemical Properties	18

2.4.3	Mechanical Properties	19
2.4.4	Soil Horizon Mixing	20
2.5	Conclusions	23
3	Soil Compaction	24
3.1	Introduction	24
3.2	Literature Review	25
3.2.1	Compression Tests	25
3.2.2	Compaction Theory	28
3.2.3	Effects of Soil Water	29
3.2.4	Soil Texture	30
3.3	Materials and Methods	30
3.3.1	Water Content Equilibration	31
3.3.2	Uniaxial Compression Test	31
3.3.3	Core Dimension Effects	33
3.3.4	Virgin Compression Lines	33
3.4	Results	34
3.4.1	Virgin Compression Lines	34
3.4.2	Compression Index	34
3.4.3	Soil Horizon Mixing	42
3.4.4	Compaction Model	42
3.5	Discussion	45
3.5.1	Virgin Compression Lines	45
3.5.2	Soil Horizon Mixing	47
3.5.3	Compaction Model	48
3.6	Conclusions	49
4	Soil Penetration Resistance	50
4.1	Introduction	51
4.2	Literature Review	52
4.2.1	Theory	52
4.2.2	Laboratory Conditions	53

4.2.3	Root Impedance Criteria	54
4.2.4	Soil Factors	56
4.3	Materials and Methods	57
4.3.1	Field Study	57
4.3.2	Laboratory Study	58
4.3.3	Data Analysis	60
4.4	Results and Discussion	60
4.4.1	Field Data	60
4.4.2	Laboratory Data	62
4.4.3	Soil Horizon Mixing	63
4.4.4	Gypsum	67
4.5	Conclusions	68
5	Soil Water Properties	70
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Literature Review	72
5.2.1	Water Retention	72
5.2.2	Available Water Storage Capacity	73
5.2.3	Pore Size Distribution	75
5.2.4	Hydraulic Conductivity	76
5.3	Materials and Methods	78
5.3.1	Water Retention	78
5.3.2	Available Soil Water	79
5.3.3	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	79
5.4	Results	80
5.4.1	Water Retention	80
5.4.2	Total Plant Available Water Content	83
5.4.3	Pore Size Distribution	83
5.4.4	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	86
5.4.5	Unsaturated Hydraulic Conductivity	87
5.5	Discussion	87

5.5.1	Water Retention	87
5.5.2	Total Plant Available Water Content	90
5.5.3	Pore Size Distribution	91
5.5.4	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	92
5.5.5	Unsaturated Hydraulic Conductivity	92
5.6	Conclusions	94
6	Soil Aeration	95
6.1	Introduction	96
6.2	Literature Review	97
6.2.1	Transmission Porosity	97
6.2.2	Aeration Intensity	98
6.2.3	Aeration Rate	98
6.2.4	Compaction	100
6.2.5	Aeration Limits to Plant Growth	101
6.3	Materials and Methods	103
6.4	Results and Discussion	106
6.4.1	Transmission Porosity	106
6.4.2	Aeration	107
6.4.3	Aeration Limits for Plants	114
6.5	Conclusions	116
7	Soil Management	117
7.1	Introduction	118
7.2	Literature Review	119
7.2.1	Soil Physical Factors	119
7.2.2	Soil Loosening	122
7.2.3	Conclusions	123
7.3	Methods	123
7.4	Results and Discussion	124
7.4.1	Compaction	124
7.4.2	Soil Horizon Mixing	133

7.4.3	Field Conditions	134
7.4.4	Management Strategies	137
7.5	Conclusions	145
7.5.1	Compaction	145
7.5.2	Soil Horizon Mixing	145
7.5.3	Management Strategies	146
7.5.4	Further Research	147

List of Tables

2.1	Trangie red-brown earth profile description	17
2.2	Chemical properties of red-brown earth soil from Block 4A, Agricultural Research Centre, Trangie, N.S.W..	18
2.3	Particle size distribution	19
2.4	Consistency limits	20
3.1	Coefficients of the soil compaction model	45
4.1	Values of θ_c (kg kg^{-1}) for field and laboratory data.	63
4.2	Parameters of the cone index model $\ln CI = a - bn - c\theta_g^2 + dn\theta_g^2$ for each soil horizon mix. $\sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c$ and σ_d are the standard deviations of the coefficients a, b, c and d respectively.	64
5.1	Coefficients of the water retention model $\psi = \psi_e \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_s}\right)^{-b}$	81
5.2	Total plant available water content for the A and B horizons and soil horizon mixtures of Trangie red-brown earth.	84
5.3	Transmission porosity as a fraction of total porosity for different soil horizon mixtures of Trangie red-brown earth compacted to different void ratios.	85
6.1	Critical void ratios for oxygen diffusion	114

List of Figures

2.1	The distribution of Urrbrae-type and sodic-type red-brown earths (from Northcote 1981)	6
2.2	Irrigation areas in south-eastern Australia showing the extent of red-brown earths (Cockroft and Martin 1981).	8
2.3	Trangie red-brown earth soil profile	13
2.4	Plasticity index versus geometric mean particle diameter.	22
3.1	Uniaxial compression test.	32
3.2	Virgin compression curves for the A horizon.	35
3.3	Virgin compression curves for the 91 A 9 B horizon mixture.	36
3.4	Virgin compression curves for the 75 A 25 B horizon mixture.	37
3.5	Virgin compression curves for the 50 A 50 A horizon mixture.	38
3.6	Virgin compression curves for the 25 A 75 B horizon mixture.	39
3.7	Virgin compression curves for the B horizon.	40
3.8	Virgin compression lines for each soil horizon mix, at the drop cone plastic limit	41
3.9	Compression coefficient versus soil water content for each soil mix.	43
3.10	(a) Compression index vs plasticity index; (b) virgin compression line intercept vs DCPI	44
3.11	Porosity versus compacting pressure for precompacted and virgin soils	46
4.1	Average cone penetration resistance as a function of depth for conventional and deep mouldboard tillage.	61
4.2	Laboratory and field measured cone index versus soil water content.	62
4.3	Modelled cone index versus water content for each soil horizon mix.	65

5.1	Water retention at high and low levels of compaction in Trangie red-brown earth A horizon soil	80
5.2	The relationship between water content and matric potential for A and B horizons and the A:B horizon mixtures.	82
5.3	Saturated hydraulic conductivity as a function of void ratio.	86
5.4	Predicted $K(\theta)$ at high and low levels of compaction.	88
5.5	Predicted $K(\theta)$ for the A and B horizons and the soil horizon mixtures	89
6.1	Oxygen diffusion measurement apparatus	104
6.2	Transmission porosity (E_T) versus void ratio (n)	108
6.3	Steady state diffusion	109
6.4	OFD versus $\frac{D}{D_0}$	110
6.5	$\frac{D}{D_0}$ versus E_a	112
6.6	Relative diffusion coefficient ($\frac{D}{D_0}$) versus transmission porosity (E_T .	113
7.1	Soil compaction model for Trangie red-brown earth soil.	126
7.2	Soil penetration resistance model for Trangie red-brown earth soil. .	127
7.3	Soil water transmission model for Trangie red-brown earth soil. . . .	129
7.4	Oxygen diffusion model for Trangie red-brown earth A horizon soil.	131
7.5	Compaction nomogram for the A horizon.	140
7.6	Compaction nomogram for the 91 A 9 B horizon mix.	141
7.7	Physical fertility nomogram for the 75 A 25 B horizon mix.	142
7.8	Physical fertility nomogram for the 25 A 75 B horizon mix.	143
7.9	Physical fertility nomogram for the B horizon soil.	144