



T1900156666

**External Shocks and Adjustment Policies in the Kenyan  
Economy: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis  
with Special Reference to the Agricultural Sector.**

Stephen Njuguna Karingi  
B.Sc. Ag. Econ. (Egerton); M.Ec. (New England)

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the  
University of New England.

July 1998.

## Certification

---

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree or qualification.

I certify that any help received in preparing this thesis, and all sources used, have been acknowledged in this thesis.

..........

## **Dedication**

---

To my mother, Margaret Waitherero Karingi.

## Abstract

---

This study is an attempt to explain the performance of the agricultural sector in Kenya by analysing the effects of the macro environment created by external shocks and the various policies that the Kenyan government may have used to address these shocks. A CGE model for the Kenyan economy is developed. The model is used to simulate two external shocks that affected Kenya in the 1970s as a result of the first oil-crisis and the coffee-boom and to evaluate the implications of actual and alternative economic policies in response to these external shocks, on the performance of the agricultural sector. The analyses of the terms of trade shocks indicate that the economy was quite vulnerable to external shocks. Results also indicated that the export boom contributed in a positive way towards ameliorating the negative effects that the Kenyan economy was facing as a result of the oil-price shock. However, contrary to expectations, rural households involved in agricultural production did not experience significantly larger increases in nominal incomes than their urban counterparts.

With regard to actual government policy effects on the outcome of the external shocks, it was found that a contractionary fiscal policy through higher indirect taxes not only had slightly higher negative effects on overall GDP, but their impact on employment and hence income distribution made them a poorer option to higher import tariffs if the government's main concern was to improve or at least maintain the level of households' welfare. The other finding was that government spending in a small economy like Kenya is an important determinant of the level of growth and hence welfare of the various household groups.

In regard to alternative policies, the analysis shows that if the government had decided to revalue the currency then the gains from the existing export boom would have been almost wiped out. But if the government had considered the export boom to be a transitory phenomenon and devalued the currency then the gains from the positive terms of trade shock would have been maximised. Moreover, the results indicate that an expansionary fiscal policy implemented through either lower import tariffs or indirect taxes accompanied with concomitant reductions in government spending would have reduced gains to the economy from the external shocks in the short term. Lower growth

in the economy and lower increases in nominal incomes of households would have occurred if government had pursued such a policy.

With an updated database, the model was used to investigate whether the economy was still vulnerable to external shocks in the 1980s. Results show that it was still sensitive to terms of trade shocks. However, unlike in the 1970s, the shocks experienced in the mid-1980s did not produce as large changes in the economy as in the 1970s. There is some evidence that changes in the structure of the economy may have influenced the magnitudes of the responses to the shocks.

Further, with the updated database, the model was used to analyse the policy recommendations made by the World Bank and IMF in the mid-1980s. On the issue of fiscal austerity measures, the results show that a fiscal austerity measure through higher indirect taxes rather than through lower government spending or higher direct taxes is a better option for the government. This is in terms of the effects of these policies on both macro variables and income distribution.

The results also showed that trade liberalisation would have positive effects on GDP in the short run but have negative effects on various other macro variables. Of the two options available to government to offset the duty revenue losses, an increase in foreign capital was better than increasing indirect taxes. Overall, the trade liberalisation measures show that households would have lost in nominal income terms in the short run. These losses would have been even larger if indirect taxes had to be increased to raise government revenue. However, the income losses when trade liberalisation is supported by foreign capital were very minimal.

On the issue of foreign borrowing, the economy appears to be very sensitive to any moves to reduce the net inflow of foreign capital. Such a policy would be much worse if there was an attempt to reduce government spending to implement it. However, the results show that it is possible for the economy to withstand declines in foreign capital inflows if the exchange rate were devalued. Export earnings from the devaluation more than proportionately offset effects of reduced foreign capital inflow.

## **Table of Contents**

---

<b>CERTIFICATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 The Research Problem	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	10
1.4 Research Methodology	11
1.5 Organisation of the Study	11
<b>2. AGRICULTURE IN THE KENYAN ECONOMY</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Role of Agriculture in Kenya's Development Strategy	13
2.2.1 First development plan	13
2.2.2 Second development plan	14
2.2.3 Third development plan	15
2.2.4 Fourth development plan	16
2.2.5 Fifth development plan	16

2.2.6 Sixth development plan	17
<b>2.3 Agricultural Development Efforts and Policy Initiatives</b>	<b>17</b>
2.3.1 Small-holder development programs	18
2.3.2 Some early agricultural export subsidy schemes	20
2.3.3 Agricultural price reviews and marketing boards	21
2.3.4 Agricultural credit, extension, education and research	23
2.3.5 Other agricultural development programs	23
<b>2.4 Performance Indicators for the Agricultural Sector</b>	<b>24</b>
2.4.1 Agricultural terms of trade	25
2.4.2 Agricultural inputs usage: a quantum measure appraisal	27
2.4.3 Total agricultural output and food production indices	29
<b>2.5 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>3. EXTERNAL SHOCKS ON THE KENYAN ECONOMY AND THE POLICY RESPONSES</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3.1 Introduction</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3.2 External Shocks</b>	<b>36</b>
3.2.1 The first oil-price shock	36
3.2.2 The main coffee boom	39
3.2.3 Commodity prices collapse, interest rate and second oil-price shocks	40
3.2.4 Tea and coffee price booms and fall in oil prices	41
<b>3.3 Disequilibrium Effects on the Balance of Payments: 1972-1981</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>3.4 Adjustment and Stabilisation Policies: Mid-1970s to Early 1980s</b>	<b>46</b>
3.4.1 Policy response to the first oil-price crisis	46
3.4.2 Policy response to the major coffee boom	47
3.4.3 Policy response to second oil-price shock and the fall in commodity prices	49
<b>3.5 Adjustment and Stabilisation Policies: Since Mid-1980's</b>	<b>49</b>

3.5.1 Stabilisation policies	51
3.5.2 Structural adjustment policies	51
<b>3.6 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>4. CGE MODELS FOR POLICY ANALYSIS: RATIONALE AND DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>54</b>
4.1 Introduction	54
4.2 Rationale for a General Equilibrium Model for the Study	54
4.3 CGE Model Differences with Input-output and Econometric Models	59
4.3.1 CGE models' differences with input-output models	59
4.3.2 CGE models' differences with econometric models	60
4.4 Theoretical Paradigms for CGE Models	61
4.4.1 Neoclassical CGE models	62
4.4.2 Macro-structuralist CGE models	63
4.5 Approaches to Modelling Neoclassical CGE Models	65
4.5.1 Johansen's type of model	66
4.5.2 Harberger-Scarf-Shoven-Whalley models	67
4.5.3 World Bank approach to CGE modelling	67
4.5.4 Econometric approach to CGE modelling	68
4.6 CGE Model Set Up: Model Choice and Functional Forms	69
4.6.1 Model choice	69
4.6.2 The representation of production technology in CGE models	70
4.6.3 Product demand in CGE models	73
4.6.4 Trade with the rest of the world in CGE models	74
4.7 CGE Model Implementation: Some Issues for Consideration	78
4.7.1 Non-linearity in CGE models	78
4.7.2 Calibration of CGE models	78
4.7.3 Normalisation of CGE models and closure rules	79



4.7.4 Computation of equilibria	81
<b>4.8 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>5. SOME APPLICATIONS OF CGE MODELS TO POLICY ANALYSIS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES</b>	<b>83</b>
5.1 Introduction	83
5.2 Adjustment to Macroeconomic Crisis: CGE Models Applications	84
5.2.1 The neoclassical CGE models	85
5.2.2 Financial CGE models	92
5.3 CGE Models Developed for the Kenyan Economy	100
5.3.1 Dick-Gupta-Mayer-Vincent (DGMV) model	100
5.3.2 Blomqvist-McMahon model	104
5.3.3 McMahon model	105
5.3.4 Tyler and Akinboade model	107
5.4 Concluding Remarks	112
<b>6. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR KENYAN ECONOMY GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM MODEL (KEGEM)</b>	<b>114</b>
6.1 Introduction	114
6.2 The Building Blocks of KEGEM	114
6.2.1 The production sectors	115
6.2.2 Factors of production	115
6.2.3 Institutional income groups	116
6.3 Production in the Real Economy	117
6.3.1 The Kenyan economy production technology structure	118
6.3.2 Input demands for the gross sectoral output production	123
6.4 Institutional Incomes	129
6.4.1 Sectoral profits from production	129
6.4.2 Household income	131

6.4.3 Government income	132
<b>6.5 Domestic Product Demand in the Real Economy</b>	<b>132</b>
6.5.1 Product demand for household consumption	133
6.5.2 Product demand for government consumption	135
<b>6.6 Savings and Investment</b>	<b>136</b>
6.6.1 Institutional savings	136
6.6.2 Investment demand	137
<b>6.7 Kenya's Trade with the Rest of the World</b>	<b>138</b>
6.7.1 Kenyan demand for imports from the rest of the world	138
6.7.2 Kenya's export supply function to the rest of the world	140
<b>6.8 The Price System in the Model</b>	<b>143</b>
6.8.1 The domestic or basic price	143
6.8.2 The composite producer price	144
6.8.3 The composite consumer price	145
6.8.4 Prices of effective inputs	145
<b>6.9 Equilibrium in the Products and Factor Markets</b>	<b>147</b>
6.9.1 Supply demand equilibrium of commodities produced	147
6.9.2 Balance of trade	149
6.9.3 Equilibrium in the labour and capital markets	149
<b>6.10 The Complete Model and Macroeconomic Closure</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>6.11 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>APPENDIX 6 .1 THE ALGEBRAIC DERIVATIONS</b>	<b>159</b>
A6.1.1 Demands for the Different Labour Categories	159
A6.1.2 Demands for Capital and Aggregate Labour	162
A6.1.3 Demands for the Domestic and Imported Intermediate Inputs	165

<b>A6.1.4 Consumer Demand</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>A6.1.5 The Import Demand Functions</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>A6.1.6 The Export Supply Function</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>7. DATA, MODEL IMPLEMENTATION AND CALIBRATION</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>7.1 Introduction</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>7.2 Data Base</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>7.3 Model Implementation</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>7.4 Model Calibration</b>	<b>182</b>
7.4.1 Calibration of the composite intermediate CES function	185
7.4.2 Calibration for the composite value added parameters	188
7.4.3 Calibration for the composite labour CES parameters	189
7.4.4 Calibration for the Armington CES function parameters	190
7.4.5 Calibration for the output CET function parameters	191
7.4.6 Calibration of the Linear Expenditure System (LES) parameters	192
<b>7.5 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>8. TERMS OF TRADE SHOCKS AND ECONOMIC POLICY: SIMULATION RESULTS</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>8.1 Introduction</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>8.2 Aspects of Kenya's Terms of Trade Shocks</b>	<b>196</b>
8.2.1 Effects of the negative terms of trade shock from the first oil-crisis	197
8.2.2 Effects of the positive terms of trade shock from the coffee boom	202
8.2.3 Combined effects of the terms of trade shocks	206
<b>8.3 Domestic Policy Simulations</b>	<b>208</b>
8.3.1 Fiscal adjustment through an increase in import tariffs	210
8.3.2 Fiscal adjustment through an increase in indirect taxes	215

8.3.3 Fiscal adjustment through increased government spending	220
<b>8.4 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>9. TERMS OF TRADE SHOCKS AND ALTERNATIVE ADJUSTMENT POLICY EFFECTS: SIMULATION RESULTS</b>	<b>226</b>
9.1 Introduction	226
9.2 Adjustments Through the Exchange Rate Policy	227
9.2.1 Effects of an overvalued exchange rate	227
9.2.2 Effects of a devaluation of the exchange rate	232
9.3 Adjustment Through a Contractionary Fiscal Policy	237
9.3.1 Fiscal adjustment through reduction in import tariffs	237
9.3.2 Fiscal adjustment through reductions in indirect taxes	243
9.3.3 Fiscal adjustment through reduced government spending	247
9.4 Sensitivity Analysis of the Key Parameters of the Model	252
9.4.1 Sensitivity analysis of the elasticities of transformation	252
9.4.2 Sensitivity analysis for the Armington elasticities	256
9.4.3 Sensitivity analyses of the wage indexation parameter	260
9.5 Concluding Remarks	264
<b>10. TERMS OF TRADE SHOCKS AND STRUCTURAL ADJUSTMENT POLICIES IN MID-1980S: SIMULATION RESULTS</b>	<b>266</b>
10.1 Introduction	266
10.2 Analysis of Effects of the External Shocks in the mid-1980s on the Kenyan Economy	267
10.3 An Analysis of the World Bank and IMF Policy Recommendations in the 1980s	273
10.3.1 Adjustment through fiscal austerity measures	273
10.3.2 Adjustment through trade liberalisation	278
10.3.3 Adjustment through reduced foreign borrowing	285

<b>10.4 Concluding Remarks</b>	<b>292</b>
<b>11. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS</b>	<b>294</b>
<b>11.1 Introduction</b>	<b>294</b>
<b>11.2 Summary and Conclusions</b>	<b>294</b>
11.2.1 The research problem and methodology	294
11.2.2 Effects of the terms of trade shocks in the mid-1970s	295
11.2.3 Government responses to the terms of trade shocks	298
11.2.4 Alternative policies to government's response to the external shocks	301
11.2.5 Analyses of some policy recommendations from the World Bank and the IMF	307
<b>11.3 Policy Implications</b>	<b>313</b>
<b>11.4 Contributions of the Study</b>	<b>319</b>
<b>11.5 Limitations of the Study</b>	<b>320</b>
<b>11.6 Areas for Further Research</b>	<b>321</b>
<b>APPENDIX A TABLO INPUT FILE FOR KEGEM</b>	<b>323</b>
<b>APPENDIX B TEXT DATA FILE USED WITH MODHAR</b>	<b>339</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>346</b>

## List of Tables

---

Table 2.1: Small-holders Contribution in Export Earnings through Coffee .....	19
Table 2.2: Small-holders Contribution in Export Earnings through Tea.....	20
Table 2.3: Food Security and Maize Production. ....	30
Table 2.4: Wheat Production and Food Self-Sufficiency. ....	31
Table 2.5: Milk Production and Self-Sufficiency in Kenya.....	32
Table 3.1: Growth Rates of Real GDP.....	37
Table 3.2: Summary of Balance of Payments Indicators (Kenya Pounds in Millions). ....	43
Table 3.3: Indices of Export and Import Prices and Terms of Trade, 1972-1981 .....	44
Table 4.1: Production Technologies in Developing Countries CGE Models .....	72
Table 4.2: Demand Specification in Developing Countries CGE Models.....	74
Table 4.3: Trade Specification in Developing Countries CGE Models.....	77
Table 6.1: Equation System for Real KEGEM.....	151
Table 6.2: Variables of KEGEM.....	155
Table 6.3: Coefficients of KEGEM.....	157
Table 6.4: List of Variables Treated as Exogenous in KEGEM Closure .....	158
Table 7.1: Sector Aggregation of the SAM and Input-Output Tables to KEGEM .....	178
Table 7.2: Total Supply of Resources (Kshs million).....	180
Table 7.3: Total Use of Resources (Kshs millions).....	181
Table 7.4: Distribution of Private Consumption Among Households <sup>a</sup> (Kshs Millions) .....	181
Table 7.5: Sectoral Parameters .....	184
Table 7.6: Household Consumption Parameters (Income Elasticities) .....	184
Table 7.7: Calculated Distribution and Efficiency Parameters in the Composite Intermediate Input.....	187
Table 7.8: Calculated Distribution and Efficiency Parameters in the Composite Primary Input.....	188

Table 7.9: Calculated Distribution and Efficiency Parameters in the Effective Labour Input.....	190
Table 7.10: Calculated Distribution and Efficiency Parameters in the Armington CES Function.....	191
Table 7.11: Calculated Distribution and Efficiency Parameters in the Output CET Function .....	192
Table 7.12: Calculated Households <sup>a</sup> Subsistence Expenditures (Kshs '000) .....	194
Table 7.13: Calculated Marginal Budget Shares for Different Households <sup>a</sup> .....	194
Table 8.1: Effects of Terms of Trade Shocks (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	199
Table 8.2: Terms of Trade Shocks Effects on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes From the Initial Solution) .....	201
Table 8.3: Effects of Higher Tariffs on the Outcome of External Shocks (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution).....	212
Table 8.4: Impact of Higher Tariffs on the Income Distribution Effects of External Shocks (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	215
Table 8.5: Impacts of an Increase in Indirect Taxes on Effects of the Terms of Trade Shocks (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	217
Table 8.6: Effects of Indirect Tax Increases on External Shocks' Impacts on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution). .....	220
Table 8.7: Effects of Increased Government Spending on the Impacts of External Shocks (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	222
Table 8.8: Effects of Increased Government Spending on Impacts of External Shocks on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes) .....	224
Table 9.1: Effects of an Overvalued Shilling on Terms of Trade Shocks' Impacts (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	229
Table 9.2: Effects of an Overvalued Shilling on Terms of Trade Shocks' Impacts on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	231
Table 9.3: Effects of a Devaluation on the Outcome of Terms of Trade Shocks (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	234
Table 9.4: Effects of a Devaluation on the Impacts of Terms of Trade Shocks on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution). .....	236
Table 9.5: Effects of a Fall in Import Tariffs on the Impacts of External Shocks (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	239

Table 9.6: Effects of Lower Tariffs on the Outcome of External Shocks on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	242
Table 9.7: Effects of a Reduction in Indirect Taxes on External Shocks’ Impacts (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	245
Table 9.8: Effects of Lower Indirect Taxes on the Impacts of External Shocks on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution). ....	247
Table 9.9: Effects of Lower Government Spending on the Impacts of External Shocks (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	250
Table 9.10: Effects of Lower Government Spending on Impacts of External Shocks on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution).....	251
Table 9.11: Different Values for Elasticities of Transformation Used to Re-Run the Joint Terms of Trade Simulation.....	253
Table 9.12: Effects of the Terms of Trade Shocks for Different Values of the Elasticities of Transformation (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	254
Table 9.13: Income Distribution Effects of External Shocks for Different Values of the Elasticities of Transformation (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution) .....	256
Table 9.14: Different Values for Armington Elasticities Used to Re-run the Joint Terms of Trade Simulation .....	257
Table 9.15: Effects of the Terms of Trade Shocks for Different Values of the Armington Elasticities (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution).....	258
Table 9.16: Income Distribution Effects of the External Shocks for Different Values of the Armington Elasticities (Percentage Changes from Initial solution).....	260
Table 9.17: Effects of the Terms of Trade Shocks for Different Values of the Wage Indexation Parameter <sup>a</sup> (Percentage Changes From Initial Solution) .....	262
Table 9.18: Income Distribution Effects of the External Shocks for Different Values of the Wage Indexation Parameter <sup>a</sup> (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution) .....	263
Table 10.1: Short Run Effects of the External Shocks in the mid-1980s on the Kenyan Economy (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution).....	269
Table 10.2: Short Run Impacts of External Shocks in mid-1980s on Income Distribution in Kenya (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution).....	272
Table 10.3: Impacts of Fiscal Austerity Measures on the Kenyan Economy in the mid-1980s (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution).....	276



Table 10.4: Impacts of Fiscal Austerity Measures on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution).....	278
Table 10.5: Effects of the Trade Liberalisation Measures on the Kenyan Economy (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution). ....	281
Table 10.6: Effects of the Alternative Trade Liberalisation Measures on Income Distribution (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution). ....	284
Table 10.7: Effects of Reducing Net Foreign Capital Inflows on the Kenyan Economy (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution). ....	287
Table 10.8: Impacts of Reduced Foreign Capital Inflows on Income Distribution in Kenya (Percentage Changes from Initial Solution).....	290

## List of Figures

---

Figure 1.1: Kenya's Balance of Payments: 1972-1990 .....	3
Figure 1.2: Central Government Finance Balances .....	4
Figure 1.3: Agricultural Sector's Share of GDP (Current Prices).....	5
Figure 1.4: Agricultural Sector's Share of Total Domestic Exports .....	6
Figure 2.1: Terms of Trade of the Agricultural Sector (1982=100) .....	25
Figure 2.2: General Indices of Agricultural Output and Input Prices (1982=100).....	27
Figure 2.3: Quantum and Price Indices of Agricultural Inputs (1982=100).....	29
Figure 2.4: Per Caput Food and Agricultural Output Production Indices (1981=100).....	33
Figure 3.1: Kenya's Overall Terms of Trade: 1972-90 .....	38
Figure 3.2: Quantum Indices of the Volume of Kenya's External Trade .....	39
Figure 7.1: Structure of the Total Dimension Version of Kenya's Input-Output Tables .....	174
Figure 7.2: Structure of Domestic and Import Dimensions Version of Kenya's Input-Output Tables.....	175

## Acknowledgements

---

This study would not have been possible without the invaluable assistance that I received from various sources. Accordingly, I would like to acknowledge the financial assistance that I received from the Australian Government through the Australian Agency for International Development which has enabled me complete postgraduate studies at the University of New England. I would also like to acknowledge the financial assistance received from the Rockefeller Foundation which made it possible for me to meet the expenses involved in gathering both the data and information on the Kenyan economy used in the study.

The involvement of my supervisors, Dr. Mahinda Siriwardana and Dr. Phil Simmons, from the beginning of this research to its conclusion has been superb and motivating. Therefore, I would like to gratefully acknowledge the time they spent discussing with me the conduct and progress of this study and also the time they spent reading through the various drafts that this thesis has gone through. To each of them I express sincere thanks. With regard to assistance in reading the thesis drafts, I would also like to acknowledge the kind help that I received from Dr. David Schulze.

Comments made regarding early findings of this study presented in two conference papers contributed immensely in its improvement. In this regard, I would like to thankfully acknowledge the comments and contributions received from the participants of the *Developing Countries Session* at the 1997 Conference of Economists of the Economic Society of Australia in Hobart, Tasmania. Also, I would like to gratefully acknowledge comments received from the participants of the *Macroeconomic Policy Session* at the 42nd Australian Agricultural and Resource Economics Society Conference in Armidale, New South Wales. In addition, comments made regarding the study and contributions during annual seminar presentations under the Graduate Students' Seminar series of the Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics and those of the Department of Economics at the University of New England contributed significantly in the improvement and successful completion of the study. Therefore, I would like to acknowledge both staff and graduate students of these two departments for their suggestions on how this study could be improved as it progressed during the seminars.

I would also like to acknowledge the prayers and encouragement that I have received during the course of the study from my family and friends. In particular, I would like to acknowledge with heartfelt thanks, the encouragement, prayers and support that I have received from my wife Angelica. Also, I would like to fondly acknowledge the inspiration, especially towards the end of the study from my daughter Samantha. To both of them and to everyone else that has not been mentioned by name and who in their own ways offered me support through prayers or encouragement I say a big thank you. Last but by no means the least, I give thanks and praise to God for His grace which has sustained me as I carried out this study and to Him be glory and honour.