

## REFERENCES

- Abbott, D.H. (1978). The physical, hormonal and behavioural development of the common marmoset, *Callithrix jacchus jacchus*. In Rothe, H., Wolters, H-J. and Hearn, J.P. (eds): *Biology and behaviour of marmosets*. Göttingen, Eigenverlag Harmut Rothe. pp. 99-106.
- Adams, C.L., Molfese, D.L. and Betz, J.C. (1987). Electrophysiological correlates of categorical speech perception for voicing contrasts in dogs. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, **3** (3-4), 175-189.
- Adams-Curtis, L. and Fragaszy, D.M. (1994). Development of manipulation in capuchin monkeys during the first 6 months. *Developmental Psychobiology*, **27** (2), 123-136.
- Adelstein, A. and Crowne, D.P. (1991). Visuospatial asymmetries and interocular transfer in the split-brain rat. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, **105**, 459-469.
- Ades, C., Talebi-Gomes, M. and Strier, K.B. (1996). Hand preferences in food manipulation by wild muriquis (*Brachyteles arachnoides*): Preliminary data. *Proceedings of the XVIth Congress of the International Primatological Society/XIXth Conference of the American Society of Primatologists*, 346.
- Aitken, L.M., Merzenich, M.M., Irvine, D.R.F., Clarey, J.C. and Nelson, J.E. (1986). Frequency representation in auditory cortex of the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus jacchus*). *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, **252**, 175-185.
- Allen, M. (1983). Models of hemispheric specialization. *Psychological Bulletin*, **93**, 73-104.
- Anderson, J.R., Degiorgio, C., Lamarque, C. and Fagot, J. (1996). A multi-task assessment of hand lateralization in capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Primates*, **37** (1), 97-103.
- Andrew, R.J., Mench, J. and Rainey, C. (1982). Right-left asymmetry of response to visual stimuli in the domestic chick. In Ingle, D.J., Goodale, M.A. and Mansfield, R.J.W. (eds): *Analysis of visual behavior*. Cambridge, MIT Press, pp. 197-209.
- Andrew, R.J. and Brennan, A. (1983). The lateralization of fear behaviour in the male domestic chick: A developmental study. *Animal Behaviour*, **31**, 1166-1176.

- Andrew, R.J. (1988). The development of visual lateralization in the domestic chick. *Behavioural Brain Research*, **29**, 201-209.
- Andrew, R.J. (1991). The nature of behavioural lateralization. In Andrew, R.J. (ed.): *Neural and behavioural plasticity: The use of the domestic chick as a model*. Oxford, Oxford University Press. pp. 536-554.
- Annett, M. and Annett, J. (1991). Handedness for eating in gorillas. *Cortex*, **27**, 269-175
- Arguette, M. S., Ely, E. and King , J.E. (1992). Laterality in spontaneous motor activity of chimpanzees and squirrel monkeys. *American Journal of Primatology*, **27**, 177-188.
- Atkinson, J. and Egeth, H. (1973). Right hemisphere superiority in visual orientation matching. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, **27**, 152-158.
- Bard, K.A., Hopkins, W.D. and Fort, C.L. (1990). Lateral bias in infant chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **4**, 309-321.
- Bauer, R.H. (1993) Lateralization of neural control for vocalization by the frog (*Rana pipiens*). *Psychobiology*, **21 (3)**, 243-248.
- Beattie, J. (1927). The anatomy of the marmoset (*Hapale jacchus kuhl*). *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, **2**, 593-718.
- Beck, B.B. (1980). *Animal tool behavior: The use and manufacture of tools by animals*. New York, Garland STPM Press.
- Bennett, A.J., Ward, J.P., Milliken, G.W. and Stafford, D.K. (1995). Analysis of the lateralized components of feeding behavior in the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **109(1)**, 27-33.
- Berntson, G.G., Boysen, S.T. and Torello, M.W. (1993). Vocal perception- Brain event-related potentials in a chimpanzee. *Developmental Psychobiology*, **26 (6)**, 305-319.
- Bianki, V.L. (1982). Lateralization of concrete and abstract characteristics analysis in the animal brain. *International Journal of Neuroscience*, **17**, 233-241.
- Bianki, V.L. (1983). Simultaneous and sequential processing of information by different hemispheres in animals. *International Journal of Neuroscience*, **22**, 1-6.

- Bianki, V.L. (1988). The right and left hemispheres: Cerebral lateralization of function. *Monographs in Neuroscience, Volume 3*. New York: Gordon and Breach.
- Bishop, P.O., Jeremy, D. and Lance, J.W. (1953). The optic nerve. Properties of a central tract. *Journal of Physiology*, **121**, 415-432.
- Bogen, J.E. and Gordon, H.W. (1971). Musical tests for functional lateralization with intracarotid amobarbitol. *Nature*, **230**, 524-525.
- Box, H.O. (1975). Quantitative studies of behaviour within captive groups of marmoset monkeys (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Primates*, **16** (2), 155-174.
- Box, H.O. (1977a). Observations on spontaneous hand use in the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Primates*, **18**, 395-400.
- Box, H.O. (1977b). Quantitative data on the carrying of young captive monkeys (*Callithrix jacchus*) by other members of their family groups. *Primates*, **18** (2), 475-484.
- Box, H.O. (1978). Social behaviour in the common marmoset monkey (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Biology and Human Affairs*, **43**, 51-64.
- Box, H.O. (1982). Individual and intergroup differences in social behaviour among captive marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*) and tamarins (*Saguinus mystax*). *Social Biology and Human Affairs*, **47**, 49-68.
- Box, H.O. and Hubrecht, R.C. (1987). Long-term data on the reproduction and maintenance of a colony of common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus jacchus*). 1972-1983. *Laboratory Animals*, **21**, 249-260.
- Box, H.O. and Röhrhuber, B. (1987). Observations of cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus oedipus*) in different conditions of housing. *International Journal of Primatology*, **8**, 553.
- Box, H.O. and Röhrhuber, B. (1993). Differences in behaviour among adult males, female pairs of cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus oedipus*) in different conditions of housing. *Animal Technology*, **44**, 19-30.
- Box, H.O. (1995). Biological propensities of the Callitrichidae: A much used-little known group. *Laboratory Animals*, **29**, 237-243.

- Bradshaw, J.L., Gates, E.A. and Nettleton, N.C. (1977). Bihemispheric involvement in lexical decisions: Handedness and a possible sex difference. *Neuropsychologia*, **15**, 277-286.
- Bradshaw, J.L. and Nettleton, N.C. (1981). *Human cerebral asymmetry*. Englewood Cliffs NJ, Prentice Hall Inc.
- Bradshaw, J.L. and Rogers, L.J. (1993). *The evolution of lateral asymmetries, tool use and intellect*. San Diego, Academic Press.
- Bradshaw, J.L. and Rogers, L.J. (1996). Tool use and the evolutionary development of manual asymmetry. In Elliot, D., Roy, E.A. (eds): *Manual asymmetries in motor performance*. Boca Raton, CRC Press. pp. 33-54.
- Brooker, R.J., Lehman, R.A.W., Heimbuch, R.C. and Kidd, K.K. (1981). Hand usage in a colony of bonnett monkeys (*Macaca radiata*). *Behaviour Genetics*, **11** (1), 49-56.
- Brown, E.R. and Taylor, P (1988). Handedness, footedness and eyedness. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, **66**, 183-186.
- Bryden, M.P. and MacRae, L. (1989). Dichotic laterality effects obtained with emotional words. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychology, and Behavioral Neurology*, **1**, 171-176.
- Bullock, S.P. and Rogers, L.J. (1986). Glutamate-induced asymmetry in the sexual and aggressive behavior of young chickens. *Physiology, Biochemistry and Behavior*, **24**, 549-554.
- Burke, K.A., Letsos, A. and Butler, R.A. (1994). Asymmetric performances in binaural localization of sound in space. *Neuropsychologia*, **32** (11), 1409-1417.
- Butler, R.A. (1994). Asymmetric performances in monaural localization of sound in space. *Neuropsychologia*, **32** (2), 221-229.
- Byrne, R.W. and Byrne, J.M.E. (1991). Hand preferences in the skilled gathering tasks of mountain gorillas (*Gorilla g. beringei*). *Cortex*, **27**, 521-546.
- Cadalbert, A., Landis, T., Regard, M. and Graves, R.E. (1994). Singing with and without words- Hemispheric asymmetries in motor control. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, **16** (5), 664-670.

- Cantalupo, C., Bisazza, A. and Vallortigara, G. (1995). Lateralization of predator-evasion response in a teleost fish (*Girardinus falcatus*). *Neuropsychologia*, **33** (12), 1637-1646.
- Carmon, A. and Nachshon, I. (1973). Ear asymmetry in perception of emotional non-verbal stimuli. *Neuropsychologia*, **13**, 289-295.
- Casperd, J.M. and Dunbar, R.I.M. (1996). Asymmetries in the visual processing of emotional cues during agnostic interactions by gelada baboons. *Behavioural Processes*, **37** (1), 57-65.
- Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. and Liska, J. (1993). Tool use by wild and captive elephants. *Animal Behaviour*, **46**, 209-219.
- Chhibber, S.R. and Singh, I. (1972). Asymmetry in muscle weight in the human upper limbs. *Acta Anatomica*, **81**, 462-465.
- Clarke, J.M. (1994). The common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). *ANZCCART News*, **7**(2), 1-8.
- Clayton, N.S. and Krebs, J.R. (1993). Lateralization in Paridae: comparison of a storing and a non-storing species on a one-trial associative memory task. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A: Sensory, Neural and Behavioral Physiology*, **171**, 807-815.
- Clayton, N.S. and Krebs, J.R. (1994). Lateralization and unilateral transfer of spatial memory in marsh tits: are two eyes better than one? *Journal of Comparative Physiology A: Sensory, Neural and Behavioral Physiology*, **174**, 769-773.
- Cole, J. (1957). Laterality in the use of the hand, foot and eye in monkeys. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **50**, 296-299.
- Colell, M., Segarra, M.D. and Pi, J.S. (1995). Hand preferences in chimpanzees (*Pan paniscus*), and orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus*) in food-reaching and other daily activities. *International Journal of Primatology*, **16** (3), 413-434.
- Collins, R.L. (1975). When left-handed mice live in right-handed worlds. *Science*, **18**, 181-184.
- Collins, R.L. (1985). On the inheritance of direction and degree of asymmetry. In Glick, S.D. (ed.): *Cerebral lateralization in nonhuman species*. New York, Academic Press, pp. 41-71.

- Collins, R.L. (1991). Reimpressed selective breeding for lateralization of handedness in mice. *Brain Research*, **564**, 194-202.
- Corballis, M.C. (1991). *The lopsided ape: Evolution of the generative mind*. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Coren, S. and Porac, C. (1976). Size accentuation in the dominant eye. *Nature*, **260**, 527-528.
- Costello, M. B. and Fragaszy, D.M. (1988). Prehension in *Cebus* and *Saimiri*: 1. Grip type and hand preference. *American Journal of Primatology*, **15**, 235-245.
- Cowell, P.E., Waters, N.S. and Denenberg, V.H. (1997). The effects of early environment on the development of functional laterality in morris maze performance. *Laterality*, in press.
- Cowey, A. and Dewson, J.H.III. (1972). Effects of unilateral ablation of superior temporal cortex on auditory discrimination in *Macaca mulatta*. *Neuropsychologia*, **10**, 279-289.
- Cunningham, D., Forsythe, C. and Ward, J.P. (1989). A report of behavioral lateralization in an infant orangutan (*Pongo pygmaeus*). *Primates*, **30**, 249-253.
- Curcio, C.A., Sloan, K.R., Packer, O., Hendrickson, A.E. and Kalina, R.E. (1987). Distribution of cones in human and monkey retina: Individual variability and radial asymmetry. *Science*, **236**, 579-582.
- Curry, F.K.W. (1968). A comparison of performances of a right hemispherectomized subject and 25 normals on four dichotic listening tasks. *Cortex*, **4**, 144-153.
- Dargent-Páre, C., De Agostini, M., Mesbah, M. and Dellatolas, G. (1992). Foot and eye preferences in adults: Relationship with handedness, sex and age. *Cortex*, **28**, 343-351.
- Davidson, R.J. and Tomarken, A.J. (1989). Laterality and emotion: an electrophysiological approach. In Boller, F. and Grafman, J. (eds): *Handbook of Neuropsychology, Volume 3*. Amsterdam, Elsevier. pp. 419-441.
- Day, L.B. and MacNeilage, P.F. (1996). Postural asymmetries and language lateralization in humans (*Homo sapiens*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **110** (1), 88-96.
- DeBruyn, E.J., Wise, V.L. and Casegrande, V.A. (1980). The size and topographic arrangement of retinal ganglion cells in the galago. *Vision Research*, **20**, 315-327.

- Deckel, A.W. (1995). Laterality of aggressive responses in *Anolis*. *The Journal of Experimental Zoology*, **272**, 194-200.
- Denenberg, V.H., Garbanati, J.A., Sherman, G., Yutzey, D.A. and Kaplan, R. (1978). Infantile stimulation induces brain lateralization in rats. *Science*, **201**, 1150-1152.
- Denenberg, V.H. (1981). Hemispheric laterality in animals and the effects of early experience. *The Behavioural and Brain Sciences*, **4**, 1-49.
- Dépy, D.H., Fagot, J. and Vauclair, J. (1996). Humans and baboons categorize distances in the same way. *International Journal of Psychology*, **31 (3-4)**, 284-10.
- Deuel, R.K. and Dunlop, N.L. (1980). Hand preferences in the rhesus monkey: Implications for the study of cerebral dominance. *Archives of Neurology*, **37**, 217-221.
- Devleeschouwer, K., van Elsacker, L., and Verheyen, R.F. (1995). Effect of posture on hand preferences during experimental food reaching in bonobos (*Pan paniscus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **109**, 27-33.
- Dewson, J.H.III. (1977). Preliminary evidence of hemispheric asymmetry of auditory function in monkeys. In Harnad, S., Doty, R.W., Goldstein, L., Jaynes, J. and Krauthamer, G. (eds): *Lateralization in the nervous system*. New York, Academic Press, pp. 63-71.
- Dhall, U. and Singh, I. (1977). Anatomical evidence of one-sided forelimb dominance in the rhesus monkey. *Anatomischer Anzeiger*, **141**, 420-425.
- Dharmaretnam, M. and Andrew, R.J. (1994). Age and stimulus-specific use of right and left eyes by the domestic chick. *Animal Behaviour*, **48**, 1395-1406.
- Diamond, M.C. (1985). Age, sex and environmental influences. In Geschwind, N. and Galaburda, A.M. (eds): *Cerebral lateralization in nonhuman species*. New York:, Academic Press. pp. 73-87.
- Diamond, A.C. and McGrew, W.C. (1994). True handedness in the cotton-top tamarin (*Saguinus oedipus*). *Primates*, **35 (1)**, 69-77.
- Diniz, L.S.M. and da Costa, E.O. (1995). Health problems of *Callithrix jacchus* in captivity. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, **28**, 61-64.
- Dittrich, W. (1990). Representation of faces in longtailed macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*). *Ethology*, **85**, 265-278.

- Dodson, D.L., Stafford, D.K., Forsythe, C., Seltzer, C.P. and Ward, J.P. (1992). Laterality in quadrupedal and bipedal prosimians: Reach and whole-body turn in the mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus*) and the galago (*Galago moholi*). *American Journal of Primatology*, **26**, 191-202.
- Edwards, A.L. (1963). *Statistical methods for the behavioural sciences*. New York, Holt, Reinhardt and Winston.
- Ehret, G. (1987). Left hemisphere advantage in the mouse brain for recognising ultrasonic communication calls. *Nature*, **325**, 249-251.
- Epple, G. (1968). Comparative studies on vocalization in marmoset monkeys (Hapalidae). *Folia Primatologica*, **8**, 1-40.
- Fabre-Thorpe, M., Fagot, J., Lorincz, E., Levesque, F. and Vauclair, J. (1993). Laterality in cats: Paw preference and performance in a visuo-motor activity. *Cortex*, **29**, 15-24.
- Fagot, J and Vauclair, J. (1988). Handedness and manual specialization in the baboon. *Neuropsychologia*, **26 (6)**, 795-804.
- Fagot, J. and Vauclair, J. (1991). Manual laterality in nonhuman primates: A distinction between handedness and manual specialization. *Psychological Bulletin*, **109 (1)**, 76-89.
- Fagot, J., Drea, C.M. and Wallen, K. (1991). Asymmetrical hand use in rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) in tactually and visually regulated tasks. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **105 (3)**, 260-268.
- Fagot, J., Bard, K.A. and Fort, C.L. (1992). Lateralization of the grasping reflex in neonatal chimpanzees. *Folia Primatologica*, **58**, 168.
- Fagot, J. and Deruelle, C. (1997). Processing of global and local information and hemispheric specialization in humans (*Homo sapiens*) and baboons (*Papio papio*). *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, **23 (2)**, 429-442.
- Falk, D., Pyne, L., Helmkamp, R.C. and deRousseau, C.J. (1988). Directional asymmetry in the forelimb of *Macaca mulatta*. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, **77**, 1-6.
- Ferrari, S.F. (1993). Ecological differentiation in the callitrichidae. In Rylands, A.B. (ed): *Marmosets and tamarins; Systematics, behaviour and ecology*. Oxford, Oxford University Press. pp. 314-328.

- Finch, G. (1941). Chimpanzee handedness. *Science*, **94**, 117-118.
- Fitch, R.H., Brown, C.P., O'Connor, K. and Tallal, P. (1993). Functional lateralization for auditory temporal processing in male and female rats. *Behavioural Neuroscience*, **107 (5)**, 844-850.
- Flannery, R.C. and Balling, J.D. (1979). Developmental changes in hemispheric specialization for tactile spatial ability. *Developmental Psychobiology*, **15**, 364-372.
- Forsythe, C. and Ward, J.P. (1987). The lateralized behavior of the ruffed lemur (*Varecia variegata*). *American Journal of Primatology*, **12**, 342.
- Forsythe, C. and Ward, J.P. (1988). Black lemur (*Lemur macaco*) hand preference in food reaching. *Primates*, **29**, 75-77.
- Fox, P.T., Ingham, R.J., Ingham, J.C., Hirsch, T.B., Downs, J.H., Martin, C., Jerabek, P., Glass, T. and Lancaster, J.L. (1996). A PET study of the neural systems of stuttering. *Nature*, **382 (6587)**, 158-162.
- Fragszy, D.M. (1986). Activity budgets and foraging behavior in wedge-capped capuchins (*Cebus olivaceus*): Age and sex differences. In Taub, D. and King, F. (eds): *Current perspectives in primate social dynamics*. New York, Van Nostrand, pp. 159-174.
- Fragszy, D. M. and Mitchell, S.R. (1990). Hand preference and performance on unimanual and bimanual tasks in capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **104**, 275-282.
- Fragszy, D.M., Visalberghi, E. and Robinson, J.G. (1990). Variability and adaptability in the genus *Cebus*. *Folia Primatologica*, **54**, 114-118.
- Fragszy, D. M., Baer, J. and Adams-Curtis, L. (1991). Behavioral development and maternal care in tufted capuchins (*Cebus apella*) and squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*) from birth through seven months. *Developmental Psychobiology*, **24 (6)**, 375-393.
- Fragszy, D. M. and Adams-Curtis, L.E. (1993). An exploration of manual preference and performance in crabeating macaques. In Ward, J.P., Hopkins, W.D. (eds): *Primate laterality: Current behavioral evidence of primate asymmetries*. New York, Springer-Verlag. pp. 75-105.

- Fritsches, K.A. and Rosa, M.G.P. (1996). Visuotopic organisation of striate cortex in the marmoset monkey (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, **372** (2), 264-282.
- Gabbard, C. and Iteya, M. (1996). Foot laterality in children, adolescents, and adults. *L laterality*, **1** (3), 199-205.
- Gaffan, D. and Harrison, S. (1991). Auditory-visual associations, hemispheric specialization and temporal-frontal interaction in the rhesus monkey. *Brain*, **114**, 2133-2144.
- Gainotti, G. (1989). Disorders of emotions and affects in patients with unilateral brain damage. In Boller, F. and Grafman, J. (eds): *Handbook of Neuropsychology, Volume 3*. Amsterdam, Elsevier, pp. 345-361.
- Gandour, J., Dechongkit, S., Ponglarpisit, S. and Khunadorn, F. (1994). Speech timing at the sentence level in Thai after unilateral brain damage. *Brain and Language*, **46** (3), 419-438.
- Garbanati, J.A., Sherman, G.F., Rosen, G.D., Hoffman, M., Yutzy, D.A. and Denenberg, V.H. (1983). Handling in infancy, brain laterality and muricide in rats. *Behavioural Brain Research*, **71**, 351-359.
- Garber, P.A. (1993). Feeding ecology and behaviour of the genus *Saguinus*. In Rylands, A.B. (ed): *Marmosets and tamarins; Systematics, behaviour and ecology*. Oxford, Oxford University Press. pp. 273-295.
- Garber, P.A. and Leigh, S.R. (1997). Ontogenetic variation in small-bodied new world primates: Implications for patterns of reproduction and infant care. *Folia Primatologica*, **68**, 1-22.
- Gates, A. and Bradshaw, J.L. (1977). Music perception and cerebral asymmetries. *Cortex*, **13**, 390-401.
- Gentry, V. and Gabbard, C. (1995). A developmental perspective of foot preference behavior. *Journal of General Psychology*, **122** (1), 37-45.
- Glick, S.D. and Ross, D.A. (1981). Right-sided population bias and lateralization of activity in normal rats. *Brain Research*, **205**, 222-225.
- Gomperts, S. and Costello, M. (1991). Preliminary results of hand use in free-ranging capuchins (*Cebus capucinus*). *American Journal of Primatology*, **24** (2), 104.

- Gordon, H.W. and Bogen, J.E. (1974) Hemispheric lateralization of singing after intracorticoid sodium amylobarbitone. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, **37**, 727-730.
- Graves, R., Landis, T. and Simpson, C. (1985). On the interpretation of mouth asymmetry. *Neuropsychologia*, **23 (1)**, 121-122.
- Graves, R.E., Strauss, E.H. and Wada, J. (1990). Mouth asymmetry during speech of epileptic patients who have undergone carotid amytal testing. *Neuropsychologia*, **28 (10)**, 1117-1121.
- Gross, M.M. (1972). Hemispheric specialization for processing visually presented verbal and spatial stimuli. *Perception and Psychophysics*, **12**, 357-363.
- Guerra, R.F. and Da Silva, C.H. (1996). Hand preference in two species of common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus* and *C. Penicillata*). *Proceedings of the XVIth Congress of the International Primatological Society/XIXth Conference of the American Society of Primatologists*, 345.
- Guiard, Y., Diaz; G. and Beaubaton, D. (1983). Left hand advantage in right handers for spatial constant error: Preliminary evidence in a unimanual ballistic aimed movement. *Neuropsychologia*, **21**, 111-115.
- Hager, J.C. and van Gelder, R.S. (1985). Asymmetry of speech actions. *Neuropsychologia*, **23 (1)**, 119-120.
- Haggard, M.P. and Parkinson, A.M. (1971). Stimulus and task factors as determinants of ear advantage. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, **23**, 168-177.
- Hamilton, C.R. and Lund, J.S. (1970). Visual discrimination of movement: Midbrain or forebrain? *Science*, **170**, 1428-1430.
- Hamilton, C.R. and Vermeire, B.A. (1988). Complementary hemispheric specialization in monkeys. *Science*, **242**, 1691-1694.
- Harris, A.R. and Lovegrove, W.J. (1984). Visible persistence as a function of viewing condition and eye-handedness relationship. *Cortex*, **20**, 55-66.
- Hauser, M., Perry, S., Manson, J.H., Ball, H., Williams, M., Pearson, E. and Berard, J. (1991). It's all in the hands of the beholder: New data on free ranging rhesus monkeys. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, **14**, 342-347.

- Hauser, M.D. (1993). Right hemisphere dominance for the production of facial expression in monkeys. *Science*, **261**, 475-477.
- Hauser, M.D. and Andersson, K. (1994). Left hemisphere dominance for processing vocalizations in adult, but not infant, rhesus monkeys: Field experiments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science (USA)*, **91**, 3946-3948.
- Heffner, H.E. and Heffner, R.S. (1984). Temporal lobe lesions and the perception of species-specific vocalizations by macaques. *Science*, **226**, 75-76.
- Hellige, J.B. (1993). *Hemispheric asymmetry*. London, Harvard University Press.
- Hershkovitz, P. (1977). *Living new world monkeys with an introduction to the primates, Volume 1, Platyrhini*. Chicago, Chicago University Press,
- Hermelin, B. and O'Connor, N. (1971). Functional asymmetry in reading of braille. *Neuropsychologia*, **9**, 431-435.
- Hewes, G.W. (1976). The current status of the gestural theory of language origins. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **289**, 482-504.
- Hollman, S.D. and Hutchison, J.B. (1994) Is sexual-aggressive vocal communication related to asymmetric mechanisms in the brain? *Aggressive Behaviour*, **20**, 223-234.
- Hoogmartens, M. (1986). Left-right ratio of the stretch reflex amplitude in the human masseter muscles: A methodological approach. Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of " Doctor in de medische wetenschappen", at the University of Leuven.
- Hook-Costigan, M.A. and Rogers, L.J. (1995). Hand, mouth and eye preferences in the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Folia Primatologica*, **64**, 180-191.
- Hopkins, W.D. and Morris, R.D. (1989). Laterality for visuospatial processing in two language-trained chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Behavioral Neuroscience*, **103**, 227-239.
- Hopkins, W.D., Washburn, D.A., Berke, I., and Williams, M. (1992). Behavioural asymmetries of psychomotor performance in rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*): A dissociation between hand preference and performance. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **106**, 392-397.

- Hopkins, W.D. (1993). Posture and reaching in chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) and orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **107** (2), 162-168.
- Hopkins, W.D., Bennett, A.J., Bales, S.L., Lee, J. and Ward, J.P. (1993). Behavioral laterality in captive bonobos (*Pan paniscus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **107** (4), 403-410.
- Hopkins, W.D. and Bennett, A.J. (1994). Handedness and approach-avoidance behaviour in chimpanzees (*Pan*). *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Animal Behaviour Processes*, **20**: 413-418.
- Hopkins, W.D. and Washburn, D.A. (1994). Do right- and left-handed monkeys differ on cognitive measures? *Behavioural Neuroscience*, **108** (6), 1207-1212.
- Hopkins, W.D. and De Waal, F.B.M. (1995). Behavioral laterality in captive bonobos (*Pan paniscus*): Replication and extension. *International Journal of Primatology*, **16** (2), 261-276.
- Hopkins, W.D., Bard, K.A. and Griner, K.M. (1997). Locomotor adaptation and leading limb asymmetries in neonatal chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *International Journal of Primatology*, **18** (1), 105-114.
- Horine, L.E. (1968). An investigation of the relationship of laterality groups to performance on selected motor ability tests. *Research Quarterly*, **39** (1), 90-95.
- Horster, W. and Ettlinger, G. (1985). An association between hand preference and tactile discrimination performance in the rhesus monkey. *Neuropsychologia*, **23**, 411-413.
- Hunt, G.R. (1996). Manufacture and use of hook tools by New Caledonian crows. *Nature*, **379**, 249-251.
- Hunt, K.D., Cant, J.G.H., Gebo, D.L., Rose, M.D., Walker, S.E. and Youlatos, D. (1996). Standardized descriptions of primate locomotor and postural modes. *Primates*, **37** (4), 363-387.
- Ifune, C.K., Vermeire, B.A. and Hamilton, C.R. (1984). Hemispheric differences in split-brain monkeys viewing and responding to videotape recordings. *Behavioral and Neural Biology*, **41**, 231-235.

- Ingram, J.C. (1977). Interactions between parents and infants and the development of independence in the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Animal Behaviour*, **25**, 811-827.
- Jason, G.W., Cowey, A. and Weiskrantz, L. (1984). Hemispheric superiority for a visuospatial task in monkeys. *Neuropsychologia*, **22**, 777-784.
- Jennings, J.A.M. and Charman, W.N. (1981). Off-axis image quality in the human eye. *Vision Research*, **21**, 445-455.
- Johnson, L.D., Petto, A.J. and Sehgal, P.T (1991). Survival and reproduction as measures of psychological well-being in cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*). In Novak, M.A. and Petto, A.J. (eds): *Through the looking glass*. Washington, American Psychological Association. pp. 93-102.
- Jones, B. (1979). Sex and visual field effects on accuracy and decision making when subjects classify male and female faces. *Cortex*, **15**, 551-560.
- Kelly, K. (1993). Environmental enrichment for captive wildlife through the simulation of gum-feeding. *Animal Welfare Information Center Newsletter*, **4** (3), 1-10.
- Kimura, D. (1976). The neural basis of language qua gesture. In Whittaker, H. and Whittaker, H. (eds): *Studies in neurolinguistics (Volume 1)*. New York, Academic Press.
- King, F.L. and Kimura, D. (1972). Left-ear superiority in dichotic perception of vocal nonverbal sounds. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, **26**, 111-116.
- King, J.E. and Landau, V.I. (1993). Manual preference in varieties of reaching in squirrel monkeys; In Ward J.P and Hopkins W.D (eds): *Primate laterality: Current behavioral evidence of primate asymmetries*. New York, Springer-Verlag, pp. 107-123.
- King, J.E. (1995). Laterality in hand preferences and reaching accuracy of cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **109** (1), 34-41.
- Kingston, W.R. (1967). Marmosets and tamarins. *Laboratory Animals Handbook*, **4**, 243-250.
- Kitchen, A.M. and Martin, A.A. (1996). The effects of cage size and complexity on the behaviour of captive common marmosets, *Callithrix jacchus jacchus*. *Laboratory Animals*, **30**, 317-326.

- Kounin, J.S. (1938). Laterality in monkeys. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, **52**, 375-393.
- Kowner, R. (1995). Laterality in facial expressions and its effect on attributions of emotion and personality: A reconsideration. *Neuropsychologia*, **33** (5), 539-559.
- Kruper, D.C., Boyle, B.E. and Patton, R.A. (1966). Eye and hand preferences in rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). *Psychonomic Science*, **5**, 277-278.
- Lacreuse, A. and Fraga, D.M. (1996). Hand preferences for a haptic searching task by tufted capuchins (*Cebus apella*). *International Journal of Primatology*, **17** (4), 613-632.
- Laska, M. (1996a). A study of correlates of hand preferences in squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*). *Primates*, **37** (4), 457-465.
- Laska, M. (1996b). Manual laterality in spider monkeys (*Ateles geoffroyi*) solving visually and tactually guided food-reaching tasks. *Cortex*, **32** (4), 717-726.
- Larson, C.F., Dodson, D. and Ward, J.P. (1989). Hand preferences and whole-body turning biases of lesser bushbabies (*Galago senegalensis*). *Brain, Behaviour and Evolution*, **33**, 261-267.
- Lehman, R.A.W. (1978). The handedness of rhesus monkeys I: Distribution. *Neuropsychologia*, **16**, 32-42.
- Lehman, R.A.W. (1980). Distribution and changes in strength of hand preferences of cynomolgus monkeys. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, **17**, 209-217.
- Lehman, R.A.W. (1989). Hand preferences of rhesus monkeys on differing tasks. *Neuropsychologia*, **27** (9), 1193-1196.
- Lemon, R.E. (1973). Nervous control of the syrinx of white-throated sparrows (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *Journal of Zoology*, **171**, 1131-140.
- Ley, R.G. and Bryden, M.P. (1982). A dissociation of right and left hemispheric effects for recognizing emotional tone and verbal content. *Brain and Cognition*, **1**, 3-9.
- Lieberman, P. (1985). On the evolution of human syntactic ability: its pre-adaptive bases- motor control and speech. *Journal of Human Evolution*, **14**, 657-668.
- Lipp, H.P. (1978). Aggression and flight behaviour of the marmoset monkey *Callithrix jacchus*: an ethogram for brain stimulation studies. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, **15**, 241-259.

- Lorincz, E. and Fabre-Thorpe, M. (1996). Shift of laterality and compared analysis of paw performances in cats during practice of a visuomotor task. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **110** (3), 307-315.
- MacNeilage P.F., Studdert-Kennedy M.J. and Lindblom B. (1987). Primate handedness reconsidered. *The Behavioural and Brain Sciences*, **10**, 247-303.
- MacNeilage P.F. (1991). The "postural origins" theory of primate neurobiological asymmetries. In Krasnegor, N., Rumbaugh, D.M., Schiefelbusch, R.L. and Studdert-Kennedy, M.G. (eds): *The biological foundations of language development*. Hillsdale, N.J., Erlbaum, pp. 165-188.
- MacNeilage P.F. (1997). The frame/content theory of the evolution of speech production. *The Behavioural and Brain Sciences*, in press.
- Maddess, R.J. (1975). Reaction time to henniretinal stimulation. *Neuropsychologia*, **13**, 213-218.
- Marchant, L.F. and McGrew, W.C. (1996). Laterality of limb function in wild chimpanzees of Gombe National Park: Comprehensive study of spontaneous activities. *Journal of Human Evolution*, **30**, 427-443.
- Martin, P. and Bateson, P. (1993). *Measuring behaviour: An introductory guide*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Masataka, N. (1989). Population-level asymmetry of hand preference in lemurs. *Behaviour*, **110**, 244-247.
- Masataka, N. (1990). Handedness of capuchin monkeys. *Folia Primatologica*, **55**, 189-192.
- Mason, A.M., Wolfe, L.D. and Johnson, J.C. (1995). Hand preference in the sifaka (*Propithecus verreauxi coquereli*) during feeding in captivity. *Primates*, **36** (2), 275-280.
- Matoba, M., Masataka, N. and Tanioka, Y. (1991). Cross-generational continuity of hand-use preferences in marmosets. *Behaviour*, **117** (3-4), 281-286.
- McFerran, J. (1992). *Head-cocking and visual exploration in cotton-top tamarins*. Honours Thesis, University of Stirling.
- McGrew, W.C and Marchant, L.F. (1992) Chimpanzees, tools, and termites: hand preference or handedness? *Current Anthropology*, **33**, 114-119.

- McGrew, W.C and Marchant, L.F. (1993). Primate ethology: A perspective on human and nonhuman handedness. In Bock, P.K. (ed): *Handbook of Psychological Anthropology*. Greenwood Press.
- Mehta, Z. and Newcombe, F. (1991). A role for the left hemisphere in spatial processing. *Cortex*, **27**, 153-167.
- Merigan, W.H. and Katz, L.M. (1990). Spatial resolution across the macaque retina. *Vision Research*, **30**, 985-991.
- Metalis, S.A. and Niemec, A.J. (1984). Assessment of eye dominance through response time. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, **59**, 539-544.
- Milliken, G.W., Forsythe, C. and Ward, J.P. (1989). Multiple measures of hand use lateralization in the ring tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **103** (3), 262-268.
- Milliken, G.W., Stafford, D.K., Dodson, D.L., Pinger, C.D. and Ward, J.P. (1991a). Analyses of feeding lateralization in the small-eared bushbaby (*Otolemur garnettii*): A comparison with the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **105** (3), 274-285.
- Milliken, G.W., Ward, J.P. and Erickson, C.J. (1991b). Independent digit control in foraging by the aye-aye (*Daubentonia madagascariensis*). *Folia Primatologica*, **56**, 219-224.
- Milliken, G.W. (1995). Right hand preference and performance biases in the foraging behavior of the aye-aye. In Alterman, L., Doyle, G.A. and Izard, M.K. (Eds), *Creatures of the dark.: The nocturnal prosimians*. Plenum Press. pp. 261-292.
- Minucci, P.K. and Connors, M.M. (1964). Reaction time under three viewing conditions: binocular, dominant eye and nondominant eye. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, **67**, 268-275.
- Missler, M., Wolff, J.R., Rothe, H., Heger, W., Merker, H-J., Treiber, A., Scheid, R. and Crook, G.A. (1992). Developmental biology of the common marmoset: Proposal for a "postnatal staging". *Journal of Medical Primatology*, **21**, 285-298.
- Mittleman, G., Whishaw, I.Q. and Robbins, T.W. (1988). Cortical lateralization of function of rats in a visual reaction time task. *Behavioural Brain Research*, **31**, 29-36.

- Molfese, V.J., Molfese, D.L. and Parsons, C. (1983). Hemispheric processing of phonological information. In Segalowitz, S.J. (ed): *Language functions and brain organization*. Academic Press, New York, pp 29-49.
- Morse, P.A., Molfese, D., Laughlin, N.K., Linnville, S. and Wetzel, F. (1987). Categorical perception for voicing contrasts in normal and lead treated rhesus monkeys: Electrophysiological indices. *Brain and Language*, **30**, 63-80.
- Nachson, I. and Denno, D. (1986). Birth order and laterality preferences. *Cortex*, **22**, 567-578.
- Napier, J.R. and Napier, P.H. (1967). *A handbook of living primates: morphology, ecology and behaviour of nonhuman primates*. New York: Academic Press.
- Napier, J.R. (1976). Primate Locomotion. In Head, J.J. (ed): *Oxford Biology Readers*. London, Oxford University Press, No. 41.
- Nash, L.T. (1986). Dietary, behavioral and morphological aspects of gumnivory in primates. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology*, **29**, 113-137.
- Nishida, T. and Nakamura, M. (1993). Chimpanzee tool use to clear a blocked nasal passage. *Folia Primatologica*, **61**, 218-220.
- Nottebohm, F. (1970). Ontogeny of bird song. *Science*, **167**, 950-956.
- Nottebohm, F. and Nottebohm, M.E. (1976). Left hypoglossal dominance in the control of canary and white-crowned sparrow song. *Journal of Comparative Physiology*, **108**, 171-192.
- Nottebohm, F., Stokes, T.M. and Leonard, C.M. (1976). Central control of song in the canary, *Serinus canarius*. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, **165**, 457-486.
- Nottebohm, F. (1989). From bird song to neurogenesis. *Scientific American*, **Feb.**, 55-61.
- Nudo, R.J., Jenkins, J.M., Merzenich, M.M., Prejean, T. and Grenda, R. (1992). Neurophysiological correlates of hand preference in primary motor cortex of adult squirrel monkeys. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, **12** (8), 2918-2947.
- Overman, W.H. and Doty, R.W. (1982). Hemispheric specialization displayed by man but not macaques for analysis of faces. *Neuropsychologia*, **20**, 113-128.

- Packer, O., Hendrickson, A.E. and Curcio, C.A. (1989). Photoreceptor topography of the retina in the adult pigtail macaque (*Macaca nemestrina*). *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, **288**, 165-183.
- Pande, B.S. and Singh, I. (1971). One-sided dominance in the upper limbs of human fetuses as evidenced by muscle and bone weight. *Journal of Anatomy*, **109**, 457-459.
- Parr, L.A., Hopkins, W.D. and De Waal, F.B.M. (1997). Haptic discrimination in capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*): Evidence of manual specialization. *Neuropsychologia*, **35(2)**, 143-152.
- Penden, J.K. and Von Bonin, G. (1942). The neocortex of the hapale. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, **86**, 37-63.
- Peters, M. (1988a). Footedness: Asymmetries in foot preference. *Psychological Bulletin*, **103**, 179-192.
- Peters, M. (1988b). The primate mouth as an agent of manipulation and its relation to human handedness. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, **11(4)**, 729.
- Petersen, M., Beecher, B., Zoloth, S., Moody, D. and Stebbins, W. (1978). Neural lateralization of species-specific vocalizations by Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*). *Science*, **202**, 324-327.
- Petersen, M.R., Beecher, M.D., Zoloth, S.R., Green, S., Marler, P.R., Moody, D.B. and Stebbins, W.C. (1984). Neural lateralization of vocalizations by Japanese macaques: Communicative significance is more important than acoustic structure. *Behavioural Neuroscience*, **98**, 779-790.
- Petit, O. and Thierry, B. (1993). Use of stones in a captive group of Guinea baboons (*Papio papio*). *Folia Primatologica*, **61**, 160-164.
- Phillips, R.E. and Youngren, O.M. (1986). Unilateral kainic acid lesions reveal dominance of right archistriatum in avian fear behaviour. *Brain Research*, **377**, 216-220.
- Pohl, P. (1983). Central auditory processing V: Ear advantages for acoustic stimuli in baboons. *Brain and Language*, **20**, 44-53.
- Poole, T.B. and Evans, R.G. (1982). Reproduction, infant survival and productivity of a colony of common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus jacchus*). *Laboratory Animals*, **16**, 88-97.

- Porac, C and Coren, S. (1976). The dominant eye. *Psychological Bulletin*, **83**, 880-897.
- Porac, C and Coren, S. (1981). *Lateral preferences and human behaviour*. New York. Springer-Verlag.
- Porac, C. and Coren, S. (1982). The relationship between sighting dominance and the fading of a stabilised retinal image. *Perception and Psychophysics*, **32**, 371-375.
- Porac, C. (1996). Hand and foot preferences in young and older adults: A comment on Gabbard and Iteya. *Laterality*, **1** (3), 205-213.
- Preilowski, B. (1979). Performance differences between hands and lack of transfer of finger posture and sensory-motor skill in intact rhesus monkeys: possible model for the origin of cerebral asymmetry. *Neuroscience Letters*, **3**, S89.
- Preilowski, B. (1983). Is there a cerebral hemispheric specialization in nonhuman primates? In Seth, P.K. (ed.): *Perspectives in Primate Biology*. New Delhi, Today and Tomorrow's Printers. pp. 109-117.
- Preilowski, B. (1993). Cerebral asymmetry, interhemispheric interaction and handedness: Second thoughts about comparative laterality research with nonhuman primates, about a theory and some preliminary results. In Ward, J.P., Hopkins, W.D. (eds): *Primate laterality: Current behavioral evidence of primate asymmetries*. New York, Springer-Verlag. pp. 125-148.
- Rashid, N. and Andrew, R.J. (1989). Right hemisphere advantage for topographical orientation in the domestic chick. *Neuropsychologia*, **27**, 937-948.
- Ridgway, S.H. (1986). Physiological observations on the dolphin brain. In Schusterman, R., Thomas, J. and Wood, F. (eds). *Dolphin cognition and behaviour: A comparative approach*. Hillsdale NJ, Erlbaum, pp. 31-59.
- Robertson, L.C. (1995). Hemispheric specialization and cooperation in processing complex visual patterns. In Kitterle, F.L (ed.): *Hemispheric communication: Mechanisms and models*. Hillsdale NJ, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc., pp. 301-318.
- Rogers, L.J. and Kaplan, G. (1993). Koehler and tool use in orang-utans. *International Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **6** (4), 234-241.
- Rogers, L.J. and Kaplan, G. (1996). Hand preferences and other lateral biases in rehabilitated orang-utans, *Pongo pygmaeus pygmaeus*. *Animal Behaviour*, **51**, 13-25.

- Rogers, L.J., Ward, J.P. and Stafford, D. (1994). Eye dominance in the small-eared bushbaby, *Otolemur garnettii*. *Neuropsychologia*, **32**, 257-264.
- Rogers, L.J. and Bradshaw, J.L. (1996). Motor asymmetries in birds and nonprimate mammals. In Elliot, D., Roy, E.A. (eds): *Manual asymmetries in motor performance*. Boca Raton, CRC Press. pp. 3-32.
- Roney, L.S. and King, J.E. (1993). Postural effects on manual reaching laterality in squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*) and cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **107** (4), 380-385.
- Rosenberger, A.L. (1978). Loss of incisor enamel in marmosets. *Journal of Mammalogy*, **59**, 207-208.
- Ross, P. (1983). Cerebral specialization in deaf individuals. In Segalowitz, S.J. (ed): *Language functions and brain organization*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 287-313.
- Ross, E.D. (1985). Modulation of affect and nonverbal communication by the right hemisphere. In Mesulam, M. (ed.): *Principles of behavioral neurology*. Philadelphia, F.A. Davis, pp. 239-258.
- Rothe, H. (1973). Handedness in the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Journal of Physical Anthropology*, **38**, 561-566.
- Rowe, M.H. (1991). Functional organization of the retina. In Dreher, B. and Robinson, S.R. (eds.): *Vision and visual dysfunction (3): Neuroanatomy of the visual pathways and their development*. London, The Macmillan Press Ltd, pp. 1-58.
- Rylands, A.B. (1985). Tree-gouging and scent-marking by marmosets. *Animal Behaviour*, **33**, 1365-1367.
- Rylands, A.B. (1993). The ecology of the lion tamarins, *Leontopithecus*: some intrageneric differences and comparisons with other callitrichids. In Rylands, A.B. (ed): *Marmosets and tamarins; Systematics, behaviour and ecology*. Oxford, Oxford University Press. pp. 296-313.
- Rylands, A.B. and de Faria, D.S. (1993). Habitats, feeding ecology and home range size in the genus *Callithrix*. In Rylands, A.B. (ed): *Marmosets and tamarins; Systematics, behaviour and ecology*. Oxford, Oxford University Press. pp. 262-269.

- Saavedra, J.P. and Mazzuchelli, A.L. (1969). A stereotaxic atlas of the brain of the marmoset (*Hapale jacchus*). *Journal Feur Hirnforschung*, **11**, 105-122.
- Sackeim, H.A., Gur, R.C., and Saucy, M.C. (1978). Emotions are expressed more intensely on the left side of the face. *Science*, **202**, 434-436.
- Sackeim, H.A., Weiman, A.L. and Forman, B.D. (1984). Asymmetry of the face at rest: Size, area and emotional expression. *Cortex*, **20**, 165-178.
- Sanford, C., Guin, K. and Ward, J.P. (1984). Posture and laterality in the bushbaby (*Galago senegalensis*). *Brain ,Behavior and Evolution*, **25**, 217-224.
- Savaki, H.E., Kennedy, C., Sokoloff, L. and Mishkin, M. (1993). Visually guided reaching with the forelimb contralateral to a blind hemisphere- a metabolic mapping study in monkeys. *Journal of Neuroscience*, **13** (7), 2772-2789.
- Schaeffel, F., Howland, H.C. and Farkas, L. (1986). Natural accommodation in the growing chicken. *Vision Research*, **26**, 1977-1993.
- Schiff, B.B. and MacDonald, B. (1990). Facial asymmetries in the spontaneous response to positive and negative emotional arousal. *Neuropsychologia*, **28**, 777-785.
- Schwartz, J. and Tallal, P. (1980). Rate of acoustic change may underlie hemispheric specialization for speech perception. *Science*, **207**, 1380-1381.
- Scott, C. (1991). Environmental enrichment for single housed common marmosets. In Box, H.O. (ed): *Primate responses to environmental change*. London, Chapman and Hall. pp. 165-274.
- Searleman, A. (1980). Subject variables and cerebral organization for language. *Cortex*, **16**, 239-254.
- Segalowitz, S.J. and Bryden, M.P. (1983). Individual differences in hemispheric representation of language. In Segalowitz, S.J. (ed.): *Language functions and brain organization*. New York, Academic Press, pp. 341-372.
- Seller, T.J. (1979). Unilateral nervous control of the syrinx in Java sparrows. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, **129**, 281-288.
- Seltzer, C., Forsythe, C. and Ward, J.P. (1990). Multiple measures of motor lateralization in human primates (*Homo sapiens*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **104** (2), 159-166.

- Sergent, J., Ohta, S. and MacDonald, B. (1992). Functional neuroanatomy of face and object processing: A positron emission tomography study. *Brain*, **115**, 15-36.
- Sergent, J. (1995). Visualizing the working cerebral hemispheres. In Kitterle, F.L (ed.): *Hemispheric communication: Mechanisms and models*. Hillsdale NJ, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc., pp. 189-210.
- Sherman, G.F., Garbanati, J.A., Rosen, G.I.D., Yutzey, D.A., and Denenberg, V.H. (1980). Brain and behavioral asymmetries for spatial preference in rats. *Brain Research*, **192**: 61-67.
- Shyue, S.K., Hewettemmett, D., Sperling, H.G., Hunt, D.M., Bowmaker, J.K., Mollon, J.D. and Li, W.H. (1995). Adaptive evolution of color vision genes in higher primates. *Science*, **269 (5228)**, 1265-1267.
- Sidtis, J.J. (1981). The complex tone test: Implications for the assessment of auditory laterality effects. *Neuropsychologia*, **19**, 103-112.
- Siegel, S. and Castellan, N.J. Jr. (1988). *Nonparametric statistics for the behavioural sciences, 2nd edition*. New York: McGraw- Hill Book Company.
- Singh, I. (1971). One-sided dominance in the limbs of rabbits and frogs as evidenced by asymmetry in bone weight. *Journal of Anatomy (London)*, **109**, 271-274.
- Smith, L. (1970). Eye dominance in a monkey. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, **31**, 657-658.
- Snowdon, C.T., Savage, A. and McConnell, P.B. (1985). A breeding colony of cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*). *Laboratory Animal Science*, **35**, 477-480.
- Snowdon, C.T. (1993). Linguistic phenomena in the natural communication of animals. In Roitblat, H.L., Herman, L.M. and Nachtigall, P.E. (eds): *Language and communication: comparative perspectives*. Hillsdale NJ, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp. 175-194.
- Spellacy, F. and Blumstein, S. (1970). The influence of language set on ear preference in phoneme recognition. *Cortex*, **6**, 430-439.
- Sperry, R.W. (1964). The great cerebral commissure. *Scientific American*, **210**, 42-52.
- Stafford, D.K., Milliken, G.W. and Ward, J.P. (1990). Lateral bias in feeding and brachiation in *Hylobates*. *Primates*, **31**, 407-414.

- Stafford, D.K., Milliken, G.W. and Ward, J.P. (1993). Patterns of hand and mouth lateral biases in bamboo leaf shoot feeding and simple food reaching in the gentle lemur (*Hapalemur griseus*). *American Journal of Primatology*, **29**, 195-207.
- Stelkis, H.D. and Marchant, L.F. (1987). Primate handedness: reaching and grasping for straws? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, **10**, 288-289.
- Stellar, E. (1960). Learning and problem-solution in the marmoset. *Science*, **132**, 1501.
- Stevenson, M.F. and Poole, T.B. (1976). An ethogram of the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*): General behavioural repertoire. *Animal Behaviour*, **24**, 428-451.
- Stevenson, M.F. and Rylands, A.B. (1988). The marmosets, genus *Callithrix*. In Mittermeier, R.A., Rylands, A.B., Coimbra-Filho, A. and Fonseca, G.A.B. (eds): *Ecology and behavior of neotropical primates (Volume 2)*. Washington, World Wildlife Fund. pp. 131-222.
- Sugiyama, Y., Fushimi, T., Sakura, O. and Matsuzawa, T. (1993). Hand preference and tool use in wild chimpanzees. *Primates*, **34** (2), 151-159.
- Tallal, P., Miller, S. and Fitch, R.H. (1995). Neurobiological basis of speech- A case for the preeminence of temporal processing. *Irish Journal of Psychology*, **16** (3), 194-219.
- Tardif, S.D., Harrison, M.L. and Simek, M.A. (1993). Communal infant care in marmosets and tamarins: relation to energetics, ecology, and social organization. In Rylands, A.B. (ed): *Marmosets and tamarins; Systematics, behaviour and ecology*. Oxford, Oxford University Press. pp. 220-234.
- Taylor, A.M. and Warrington, E.K. (1973). Visual discrimination in patients with localized cerebral lesions. *Cortex*, **9**, 82-93.
- Thexton, A. and Hiiemae, K.M. (1997). The effect of food consistency upon jaw movement in the macaque- A cineradiographic study. *Journal of Dental Research*, **76** (1), 552-560.
- Tokida, E., Tanaka, I., Takefushi, H. and Hagiwara, T. (1994). Tool-using in Japanese macaques: Use of stones to obtain fruit from a pipe. *Animal Behaviour*, **47**, 1023-1030.

- Tonooka, R. and Matsuzawa, T. (1995). Hand preferences of captive chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) in simple reaching for food. *International Journal of Primatology*, **16**(1), 17-35.
- Vallortigara, G., Zanforlin, M. and Cailotto, M. (1988). Right-left asymmetry in position learning of male chicks. *Behavioural Brain Research*, **27**, 189-191.
- Vallortigara, G. and Andrew, R.J. (1994). Differential involvement of right and left hemisphere in individual recognition in the domestic chick. *Behavioural Processes*, **33**, 41-58.
- Van Essen, D.C. and Gallant, J.L. (1994). Neural mechanisms of form and motion processing in the primate visual system. *Neuron*, **13**, 1-10.
- Vauclair, J. and Fagot, J. (1987). Spontaneous hand usage and handedness in a troop of baboons. *Cortex*, **23**, 265-274.
- Vauclair, J. and Fagot, J. (1993). Manual specialization in gorillas and baboons. In Ward, J.P., Hopkins, W.D. (eds): *Primate laterality: Current behavioural evidence of primate asymmetries*. New York, Springer-Verlag. pp. 193- 205.
- Wade, N.J. (1975). Fragmentation of monocular afterimages in individuals with and without normal binocular vision. *Perception and Psychophysics*, **18**, 328-330.
- Wallman, J. and Pettigrew, J.D. (1985). Conjugate and disjunctive saccades in two avian species with contrasting oculomotor strategies. *Journal of Neuroscience*, **5**, 1418-1428.
- Ward, J.P., Milliken, G.W., Dodson, D.L., Stafford, D.K. and Wallace, M. (1990). Handedness as a function of sex and age in a large population of *Lemur*. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **104** (2), 167-173.
- Ward, J.P., Milliken, G.W. and Stafford, D.K. (1993). Patterns of lateralized behaviour in prosimians. In Ward, J.P., Hopkins, W.D. (eds): *Primate laterality: Current behavioural evidence of primate asymmetries*. New York, Springer-Verlag. pp. 43-75.
- Ward, J.P. and Cantalupo, C. (1997). Origins and functions of laterality: Interactions of motoric systems. *Laterality*, in press.
- Warren, J.M. (1953). Handedness in the rhesus monkey. *Science*, **118**, 622-623.

- Warren, J.M. (1958). The development of paw preferences in cats and monkeys. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, **93**, 229-236.
- Warren, J. M. (1977). Handedness and cerebral dominance in monkeys. In Harnad, S., Doty, R.W., Goldstein, L., Jaynes, J., Krauthamer, G. (eds.): *Lateralization in the nervous system*. New York, Academic Press. pp. 151-172.
- Warren, J.M. (1980). Handedness and laterality in humans and other animals. *Physiological Psychology*, **8**, 351-359.
- Wässle, H., Grünert, U., Röhrenbeck, J. and Boycott, B.B. (1990). Retinal ganglion cell density and cortical magnification factor in the primate. *Vision Research*, **30** (11), 1897-1911.
- Watson, S.L. and Ward, J.P. (1996). Temperament and problem-solving in the small-eared bushbaby (*Otolemur garnettii*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **110**, 377-385.
- Weisz, J., Balazs, L. and Adam, G. (1994). The effect of monocular viewing on heartbeat discrimination. *Psychophysiology*, **31**, 370-374.
- Wendt, P.E. and Risberg, J. (1994). Cortical activation during visuospatial processing: relation between hemispheric asymmetry of blood flow and performance. *Brain and Cognition*, **24**, 87-103.
- Westergaard, G.C. and Fragaszy, D.M. (1985). Effects of manipulatable objects on the activity of captive capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Zoo Biology*, **4**, 317-327.
- Westergaard, G.C. and Fragaszy, D.M. (1987). The manufacture and use of tools by capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **101** (2), 159-168.
- Westergaard, G. C. (1991). Hand preference in the use and manufacture of tools by tufted capuchin (*Cebus apella*) and lion tailed macaque (*Macaca silenus*) monkeys. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **105**, 172-176.
- Westergaard, G. C. and Suomi, S.J. (1993a). Hand preference in capuchin monkeys varies with age. *Primates*, **34**, 295-299.
- Westergaard, G. C. and Suomi, S.J. (1993b). Hand preference in the use of nut cracking tools by tufted capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Folia Primatologica*, **61**, 38-42.

- Westergaard, G. C. and Suomi, S.J. (1994). Asymmetrical manipulation in the use of tools by tufted capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Folia Primatologica*, **63**, 96-98.
- Westergaard, G.C. and Suomi, S.J. (1996a). Stone-throwing by capuchins (*Cebus apella*): A model of throwing capabilities in *Homo habilis*. *Folia Primatologica*, **65**, 234-238.
- Westergaard, G.C. and Suomi, S.J. (1996b). Lateral bias for rotational behavior in tufted capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **110** (2), 199-202.
- Westergaard, G.C., Kuhn, H.E., Lundquist, A.L. and Suomi, S.J. (1997). Posture and reaching in tufted capuchins (*Cebus apella*). *L laterality*, **2** (1), 65-74.
- Wilder, H.D., Grünert, U., Lee, B.B. and Martin, P.R. (1996). Topography of ganglion cells and photoreceptors in the retina of a new world monkey: The marmoset *Callithrix jacchus*. *Visual Neuroscience*, **13**, 335-352.
- Wishaw, I.Q. (1992). Lateralization and reaching skill related: results and implications from a large sample of Long Evans rats. *Behavioral Brain Research*, **52**, 45-48.
- Wittling, W. and Pflüger, M. (1990). Neuroendocrine hemisphere asymmetries: Salivary cortisol secretion during lateralized viewing of emotion-related and neutral films. *Brain and Cognition*, **14**, 243-265.
- Wolf, M.E. and Goodale, M.A. (1987). Oral asymmetries during verbal and nonverbal movements of the mouth. *Neuropsychologia*, **25**, 375-396.
- Woolard, H.H. (1926). Notes on the retina and lateral geniculate body in *Tupala*, *Tarsius*, *Nycticebus* and *Hapale*. *Brain*, **49**, 77-104.
- Yamamoto, M.E. (1993). From dependence to sexual maturity: the behavioural ontogeny of callitrichidae. In Rylands, A.B. (ed): *Marmosets and tamarins; Systematics, behaviour and ecology*. Oxford, Oxford University Press. pp. 235-250.
- Yamamoto, M.E., Box, H.O., Albuquerque, F.S. and Arruda, M.D. (1996). Carrying behaviour in captive and wild marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*): A comparison between two colonies and a field site. *Primates*, **37** (3), 297-304.
- Yuanye, M., Yunfen, T and Ziyun, D. (1986). Handedness of golden monkeys and leaf monkeys in picking up food. *Primate Report*, **14**, 248.

- Zhang, H.Q., Murray, G.M., Turman, A.B., Mackie, P.D., Coleman, G.T. and Rowe, M.J. (1996). Parallel processing in cerebral cortex of the marmoset monkey—effect of reversible SI inactivation on tactile responses in SII. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, **76** (6), 3633-3655.

## APPENDIX A

A	SIMPLE HAND USE FOR HOLDING FOOD (% left hand use)									
	0-2	5-8	10-12	14	15-18	22	25-30	31-40	41-50	51-60
L.BLUE		5 6	5 2	<b>6 7</b>	4 6	4 3	<b>7 2</b>	<b>6 1</b>	<b>6 4</b>	
GOLD		5 8	5 3	<b>2 1</b>	<b>1 8</b>	<b>1 0</b>	<b>1 3</b>	<b>2 4</b>	<b>1 1</b>	
RED		<b>2 5</b>	5 4	<b>6 9</b>	<b>6 1</b>	<b>6 7</b>	<b>7 1</b>	<b>7 0</b>	<b>2 5</b>	
BLUE		4 5	4 9	<b>8 4</b>	5 7	<b>7 5</b>	<b>7 9</b>	<b>7 4</b>	<b>8 6</b>	
BLACK		<b>7 8</b>	<b>6 7</b>	<b>9 3</b>	<b>7 9</b>	<b>8 6</b>	<b>9 1</b>	<b>9 4</b>	<b>8 1</b>	
SILVER		<b>1 3</b>	<b>1 0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1 6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1 0</b>	
SAGE	4 2	<b>1 4</b>	<b>1 3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1 0</b>	<b>1 4</b>	<b>3 7</b>	<b>2 6</b>	<b>2 1</b>	
OOOO	<b>2 6</b>	<b>1 5</b>	<b>1 5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1 2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	
MAYLIN	3 3	<b>9 8</b>	<b>9 6</b>	<b>1 0 0</b>	<b>9 7</b>	<b>9 8</b>	<b>9 7</b>	<b>9 4</b>	<b>9 8</b>	
SUNGA	7 7	<b>9 8</b>	<b>9 2</b>	<b>9 1</b>	<b>8 6</b>	<b>7 9</b>	<b>8 2</b>	<b>7 0</b>	<b>7 4</b>	
SNAP	7 0	<b>9 4</b>	<b>9 9</b>	<b>9 6</b>	<b>9 2</b>	<b>9 5</b>	<b>9 4</b>	N/A		
CRACKLE	6 5	<b>8 7</b>	<b>9 2</b>	<b>9 1</b>	<b>7 9</b>	<b>9 3</b>	<b>9 0</b>	<b>8 8</b>		
POP	6 6	<b>9 2</b>	<b>9 4</b>	<b>9 4</b>	<b>9 9</b>	<b>9 7</b>	<b>9 6</b>	<b>9 3</b>		
CRASSUS	4 6	<b>7 6</b>	<b>8 1</b>	<b>8 8</b>	<b>7 9</b>	<b>7 2</b>	<b>7 6</b>	<b>6 7</b>		
POMPEY	4 1	4 7	<b>8 9</b>	<b>9 1</b>	<b>8 9</b>	<b>9 0</b>	<b>8 9</b>	<b>8 8</b>		
ASH	<b>2 6</b>	<b>2 0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2 2</b>	<b>1 8</b>			
WATTLE	4 2	<b>2 0</b>	<b>1 2</b>	<b>1 1</b>	<b>1 8</b>	<b>2 0</b>	<b>2 0</b>			
ZHEN	4 5	5 9	<b>6 5</b>	<b>6 0</b>	<b>7 6</b>	<b>7 1</b>				
XING	<b>3 3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1 4</b>				
DELTA	5 1	<b>3 2</b>	<b>1 3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1 0</b>				
OMEGA	5 5	<b>8 1</b>	<b>9 2</b>	<b>9 2</b>	<b>9 3</b>	<b>9 4</b>				

The % left-hand use for simple food holding is tabulated. The ages (months) of the subjects are presented in the columns. Bolded percent left scores indicate that the preference displayed was significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). See following page for corresponding z scores. N/A indicates that this subject could not be tested (deceased). For each % left calculated, n was equal to 100-130 scores. These data are reported in Chapter 3.

B	SIMPLE HAND USE FOR HOLDING FOOD (% left hand use)									
	0-2	5-8	10-12	14	15-18	22	25-30	31-40	41-50	51-60
L.BLUE			1.20	0.40	<b>3.50</b>	-0.79	-1.80	<b>4.54</b>	<b>2.18</b>	2.77
GOLD			1.60	0.58	<b>-6.59</b>	<b>-6.87</b>	<b>-8.76</b>	<b>-7.46</b>	<b>-5.27</b>	<b>-7.80</b>
RED			<b>-5.00</b>	0.85	<b>4.85</b>	<b>2.57</b>	<b>4.91</b>	<b>5.40</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>-5.00</b>
BLUE			-1.00	-0.10	<b>7.24</b>	1.47	<b>5.89</b>	<b>6.09</b>	<b>4.80</b>	7.20
BLACK			<b>5.60</b>	<b>3.27</b>	<b>8.37</b>	<b>5.80</b>	<b>7.39</b>	<b>8.20</b>	<b>9.07</b>	<b>6.34</b>
SILVER			<b>-7.40</b>	<b>-8.00</b>	<b>-9.36</b>	<b>-6.70</b>	<b>-10.10</b>	<b>-11.19</b>	<b>-9.95</b>	<b>-7.98</b>
SAGE	-0.78	<b>-7.20</b>	<b>-7.82</b>	<b>-10.13</b>	<b>-8.16</b>	<b>-7.26</b>	-2.57	<b>-4.90</b>	<b>-5.87</b>	
OCO	<b>-2.07</b>	<b>-7.12</b>	<b>-7.55</b>	<b>-10.49</b>	<b>-10.68</b>	<b>-9.45</b>	<b>-7.66</b>	<b>-9.51</b>	<b>-8.40</b>	
MAYLIN	-1.15	<b>9.60</b>	<b>9.83</b>	<b>10.10</b>	<b>9.56</b>	<b>9.65</b>	<b>9.29</b>	<b>8.80</b>	<b>9.31</b>	
SUNGA	1.94	<b>10.30</b>	<b>8.52</b>	<b>8.38</b>	<b>7.20</b>	<b>5.87</b>	<b>6.53</b>	<b>4.08</b>	<b>4.75</b>	
SNAP	1.88	<b>8.80</b>	<b>10.05</b>	<b>9.25</b>	<b>8.57</b>	<b>9.11</b>	<b>8.46</b>	N/A		
CRACKLE	1.57	<b>7.81</b>	<b>8.46</b>	<b>8.43</b>	<b>5.87</b>	<b>8.77</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>7.65</b>		
POP	1.95	<b>8.91</b>	<b>8.86</b>	<b>8.97</b>	<b>9.90</b>	<b>9.66</b>	<b>9.05</b>	<b>8.77</b>		
CRASSUS	-0.41	<b>5.37</b>	<b>6.34</b>	<b>7.72</b>	<b>5.87</b>	<b>4.40</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>3.45</b>		
POMPEY	-1.41	-0.69	<b>7.92</b>	<b>8.32</b>	<b>7.86</b>	<b>8.12</b>	<b>7.80</b>	<b>7.60</b>		
ASH	<b>-3.68</b>	<b>-6.00</b>	<b>-8.00</b>	<b>-9.05</b>	<b>-8.57</b>	<b>-5.67</b>	<b>-6.47</b>			
WATTLE	-1.34	<b>-6.01</b>	<b>-7.78</b>	<b>-7.86</b>	<b>-6.47</b>	<b>-6.00</b>	<b>-6.00</b>			
ZHEN	-0.56	1.89	<b>3.08</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>5.27</b>	<b>4.20</b>				
XING	<b>-2.21</b>	<b>-8.57</b>	<b>-9.60</b>	<b>-9.28</b>	<b>-9.20</b>	<b>-7.33</b>				
DELTA	0.15	<b>-3.73</b>	<b>-7.51</b>	<b>-9.22</b>	<b>-8.52</b>	<b>-8.00</b>				
OMEGA	0.75	<b>6.27</b>	<b>8.52</b>	<b>8.46</b>	<b>8.71</b>	<b>8.80</b>				

The z scores tabulated correspond to the scores for % left-hand use on the previous page. Bolded numbers indicate that z is significant (two tailed,  $z \geq 1.96$ ,  $p \leq 0.05$ ). A positive z score denotes a left-hand preference and a negative score greater right-hand use. See Table A. These data are reported in Chapter 3.

	VISUOSPATIAL REACHING PREFERENCES (% left hand use)							
	Bowl	Bowl	Bowl	Plate	String	Rotating Disc	Rotating Disc	
	(total)	(retrieve)	(reach)			(3.5 rev./min)	(7 rev./min)	
L.BLUE	<b>75</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	
GOLD	43	43	43	14	0	1	<b>19</b>	
RED	<b>80</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>85</b>	54	49	
BLUE	<b>84</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>77</b>	49	<b>9</b>	<b>64</b>	59	
BLACK	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>37</b>	44	<b>94</b>	<b>100</b>	
SILVER	58	<b>72</b>	46	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>100</b>	
SAGE	<b>96</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>33</b>	
OOOO	<b>65</b>	<b>76</b>	57	2	1	<b>19</b>	8	
MAYLIN	<b>69</b>	<b>80</b>	61	<b>92</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>100</b>	
SUNGA	<b>32</b>	<b>21</b>	40	<b>9</b>	1	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	
SNAP	<b>62</b>	66	60	<b>82</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>66</b>	73	
CRACKLE	<b>90</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	
POP	43	5	52	2	1	17	23	
CRASSUS	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	44	<b>71</b>	1	<b>83</b>	<b>94</b>	
POMPEY	<b>97</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>84</b>	
ASH	<b>63</b>	<b>65</b>	61	<b>98</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>15</b>	
WATTLE	<b>12</b>	7	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	1	50	<b>35</b>	
ZHEN	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	—	—	—	
XING	51	45	53	<b>95</b>	—	—	—	
DELTA	<b>15</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>	—	—	—	—	
OMEGA	<b>89</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>90</b>	—	—	—	—	

The percentage left-hand use on each of the visuospatial reach tasks are tabulated. The tasks are specified in the column headings and each individual is represented by a row. For most percentages, n was between 100 and 120 scores. However, the columns labeled bowl (retrieve) and bowl (reach) present derivative data of the column entitled bowl (total) and, as such, the n for these columns ranged from 20-90 scores (see Chapter 4). The dashes indicate that these scores were not collected as the subjects would not participate in the tests. See also Table A. These data are reported in Chapter 4.

D

**VISUOSPATIAL REACHING PREFERENCES (% left hand use)**

	Bowl (total)	Bowl (retrieve)	Bowl (reach)	Plate	String	Rotating Disc (3.5 rev./min)	Rotating Disc (7 rev./min)
L.BLUE	<b>5.10</b>	<b>4.45</b>	<b>2.71</b>	<b>10.05</b>	<b>10.20</b>	<b>9.95</b>	<b>10.15</b>
GOLD	-1.50	-1.35	-0.65	<b>-7.20</b>	<b>-10.05</b>	<b>-10.15</b>	<b>-6.21</b>
RED	<b>6.07</b>	<b>4.99</b>	<b>3.48</b>	<b>-2.38</b>	<b>7.13</b>	0.86	-0.28
BLUE	<b>7.07</b>	<b>6.12</b>	<b>3.75</b>	-0.30	<b>-8.49</b>	<b>2.92</b>	1.85
BLACK	<b>-4.00</b>	<b>-3.15</b>	<b>-2.47</b>	<b>-2.63</b>	1.29	<b>8.86</b>	<b>10.44</b>
SILVER	1.60	<b>2.95</b>	-0.54	<b>9.22</b>	<b>9.53</b>	<b>9.48</b>	<b>10.63</b>
SAGE	<b>9.20</b>	<b>7.09</b>	<b>5.86</b>	<b>10.10</b>	<b>10.05</b>	<b>8.12</b>	<b>-3.40</b>
OOOO	<b>3.00</b>	<b>3.39</b>	1.05	<b>-9.70</b>	<b>-9.85</b>	<b>-6.20</b>	<b>-8.46</b>
MAYLIN	<b>3.76</b>	<b>3.79</b>	1.79	<b>8.60</b>	<b>10.05</b>	<b>9.90</b>	<b>10.04</b>
SUNGA	<b>-3.60</b>	<b>-3.70</b>	-1.58	<b>-8.47</b>	<b>-10.00</b>	<b>-3.65</b>	<b>-3.08</b>
SNAP	<b>2.40</b>	1.95	1.52	<b>6.40</b>	<b>10.15</b>	<b>3.20</b>	<b>4.88</b>
CRACKLE	<b>8.00</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>6.64</b>	<b>9.81</b>	<b>9.65</b>	<b>10.04</b>	<b>9.65</b>
POP	-1.40	<b>-3.90</b>	0.33	<b>-9.70</b>	<b>-9.90</b>	<b>-6.80</b>	<b>-5.63</b>
CRASSUS	<b>-2.22</b>	<b>-2.25</b>	-0.85	<b>4.24</b>	<b>-10.00</b>	<b>6.60</b>	<b>9.13</b>
POMPEY	<b>9.61</b>	<b>7.02</b>	<b>6.56</b>	<b>10.30</b>	<b>9.60</b>	<b>8.83</b>	<b>6.60</b>
ASH	<b>2.60</b>	<b>2.10</b>	1.57	<b>9.96</b>	<b>10.30</b>	<b>-2.77</b>	<b>-7.19</b>
WATTLE	<b>-7.60</b>	<b>-5.90</b>	<b>-4.90</b>	<b>-10.68</b>	<b>10.25</b>	0.00	<b>-3.10</b>
ZHEN	<b>-10.05</b>	<b>-6.24</b>	<b>-7.87</b>	<b>-10.05</b>	-	-	-
XING	0.20	-0.43	0.45	<b>9.11</b>	-	-	-
DELTA	<b>-7.00</b>	<b>-2.84</b>	<b>-6.41</b>	-	-	-	-
OMEGA	<b>7.80</b>	<b>4.64</b>	<b>6.47</b>	-	-	-	-

The z scores tabulated correspond to the scores for % left-hand use on the previous page. Bolded numbers indicate that z is significant (two tailed,  $z \geq 1.96$ ,  $p \leq 0.05$ ). A positive z score denotes a left-hand preference and a negative score greater right-hand use. See Table B. These data are reported in Chapter 4.

E	SIDE OF MOUTH		%Use centre of mouth in bar test	Hand used to hold bar (% left hand use)		
	(% left side)					
	Twig	Bar				
L.BLUE	<b>62</b>	<b>17</b>	48	<b>14</b>		
GOLD	48	55	49	<b>6</b>		
RED	48	62	31	<b>30</b>		
BLUE	46	<b>17</b>	14	<b>75</b>		
BLACK	55	<b>92</b>	13	<b>81</b>		
SILVER	43	<b>14</b>	19	<b>14</b>		
SAGE	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	4	<b>27</b>		
OOOO	49	39	3	<b>0</b>		
MAYLIN	59	<b>71</b>	0	<b>100</b>		
SUNGA	<b>27</b>	<b>97</b>	9	<b>88</b>		
SNAP	<b>72</b>	<b>98</b>	2	<b>98</b>		
CRACKLE	53	45	4	<b>67</b>		
POP	<b>78</b>	<b>79</b>	6	<b>79</b>		
CRASSUS	43	58	2	<b>83</b>		
POMPEY	<b>29</b>	<b>78</b>	43	<b>94</b>		
ASH	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	14	<b>7</b>		
WATTLE	<b>76</b>	<b>6</b>	15	<b>0</b>		
ZHEN	<b>72</b>	53	28	<b>100</b>		
XING	<b>30</b>	<b>14</b>	18	<b>0</b>		
DELTA	41	<b>33</b>	40	<b>12</b>		
OMEGA	<b>72</b>	<b>78</b>	14	<b>96</b>		

Side of mouth preferences when chewing are tabulated. The column labelled twig denotes side of mouth preferences when hand use is not required and in the column labelled bar hand use was required. The percent left-hand use for holding the chewable bar is presented in the fourth column. Table E presents the percentage use of the left-side of the mouth in chewing and Table F, on the following page, the corresponding z scores. The percentages and z scores presented for the twig, bar and hand use columns were calculated using only left and right-side of mouth use. For the twig tests, n was between 100 and 110. For the bar test, the n for left and right side of mouth use was between 50 and 60 scores and degree of use of the centre of the mouth was variable. See Table A. These data are reported in Chapter 4.

F	SIDE OF MOUTH Hand used to		
	(% left side)		hold bar (% left hand use)
	Twig	Bar	
L.BLUE	<b>2.33</b>	<b>-5.87</b>	<b>-5.60</b>
GOLD	-0.47	0.69	<b>-6.46</b>
RED	-0.49	1.66	<b>-2.88</b>
BLUE	-0.88	<b>4.00</b>	<b>3.74</b>
BLACK	0.98	<b>6.18</b>	<b>4.53</b>
SILVER	-1.45	<b>-5.89</b>	<b>-5.09</b>
SAGE	<b>-2.96</b>	<b>-3.85</b>	<b>-3.33</b>
OOOO	-0.29	-1.72	<b>-7.55</b>
MAYLIN	1.85	<b>3.10</b>	<b>7.42</b>
SUNGA	<b>-4.63</b>	<b>7.89</b>	<b>5.78</b>
SNAP	<b>4.48</b>	<b>6.93</b>	<b>6.93</b>
CRACKLE	0.59	-0.70	<b>2.38</b>
POP	<b>5.77</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>4.46</b>
CRASSUS	-1.48	1.24	<b>4.81</b>
POMPEY	<b>-4.31</b>	<b>3.96</b>	<b>6.22</b>
ASH	<b>-6.40</b>	<b>-6.64</b>	<b>-6.64</b>
WATTLE	<b>5.27</b>	<b>-6.45</b>	<b>-7.28</b>
ZHEN	<b>4.48</b>	0.53	<b>7.62</b>
XING	<b>-3.96</b>	<b>-5.35</b>	<b>-7.48</b>
DELTA	-1.80	<b>-2.38</b>	<b>-5.46</b>
OMEGA	<b>4.50</b>	<b>2.99</b>	<b>6.80</b>

The z scores corresponding to Table E are tabulated. The z scores tabulated correspond to the scores for % left-hand use on the previous page. Bolded numbers indicate that z is significant (two tailed,  $z \geq 1.96$ ,  $p \leq 0.05$ ). A positive z score denotes a left side-of-mouth preference and a negative score greater right side-of-mouth use. These data are reported in Chapter 4 (Part 2).

G	LEADING LIMB IN LOCOMOTION (%left)						%left hand use (hold food)
	Hand in leaping	Foot in leaping	Hand in landing	Foot in landing	Hand in walking	Foot in walking	
L.BLUE	5 2	4 0	3 9	<b>3 2</b>	5 1	4 7	5 2
GOLD	4 3	4 2	<b>3 1</b>	<b>3 2</b>	4 7	5 6	<b>1 6</b>
RED	<b>3 2</b>	<b>3 7</b>	4 1	5 0	<b>3 8</b>	<b>6 0</b>	<b>2 5</b>
BLUE	4 0	4 2	<b>3 2</b>	4 1	5 6	4 4	<b>8 6</b>
BLACK	<b>6 8</b>	<b>6 9</b>	5 6	<b>6 6</b>	5 1	4 8	<b>8 4</b>
SILVER	<b>6 8</b>	<b>7 3</b>	<b>6 3</b>	<b>6 5</b>	4 6	5 9	<b>9</b>
SAGE	4 6	5 2	<b>3 5</b>	<b>3 9</b>	4 5	4 7	<b>3 7</b>
OOOO	6 0	4 6	4 8	5 0	5 1	4 9	<b>1 2</b>
MAYLIN	4 0	4 2	4 6	<b>3 6</b>	5 1	4 5	<b>9 8</b>
SUNGA	3 8	<b>3 9</b>	3 9	4 2	5 4	4 6	<b>7 8</b>
SNAP	4 8	4 1	<b>2 6</b>	<b>3 1</b>	<b>6 6</b>	<b>3 6</b>	<b>9 4</b>
CRACKLE	4 1	<b>3 1</b>	4 1	<b>3 5</b>	5 3	4 2	<b>9 8</b>
POP	5 1	<b>3 9</b>	<b>2 9</b>	4 2	5 9	4 9	<b>9 6</b>
CRASSUS	4 6	5 2	5 7	5 9	4 2	5 6	<b>7 6</b>
POMPEY	6 0	5 5	4 4	4 3	4 7	5 1	<b>8 9</b>
ASH	<b>2 2</b>	4 5	<b>3 3</b>	<b>3 8</b>	4 7	4 2	<b>1 8</b>
WATTLE	<b>3 2</b>	4 3	4 9	5 8	4 3	5 3	<b>2 8</b>

Table G presents the percentage left-limb use (of total left+right limb use) for each type of locomotion. Bold numbers indicate significant preferences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), as demonstrated in Table H on the following page. The n values for % left-limb use in the leaping and landing columns are presented in Table I; although 100 incidences of each type of locomotory activity were analyzed unilateral limb use for initiating leaping and landing were not always observed. Walking was always initiated with one limb with 100-105 scores collected for both hand and foot use. These data are reported in Chapter 5.

H	LEADING LIMB IN LOCOMOTION (%left)						%left hand use (hold food)
	Hand in leaping	Foot in leaping	Hand in landing	Foot in landing	Hand in walking	Foot in walking	
L.BLUE	0.26	-1.77	-1.89	<b>-3.31</b>	0.10	-0.60	0.39
GOLD	-0.76	-1.17	<b>-3.00</b>	<b>-2.73</b>	-0.60	1.20	<b>-6.87</b>
RED	<b>-2.97</b>	<b>-3.48</b>	-1.04	0.00	<b>-2.48</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>-5.00</b>
BLUE	-1.46	-1.46	<b>-3.02</b>	-1.59	1.20	-1.20	<b>7.20</b>
BLACK	<b>2.95</b>	<b>3.50</b>	1.13	<b>3.04</b>	0.20	-0.40	<b>6.93</b>
SILVER	<b>2.78</b>	<b>4.15</b>	<b>2.11</b>	<b>2.77</b>	-0.80	1.80	<b>-8.43</b>
SAGE	-0.41	0.43	<b>-2.59</b>	<b>-1.96</b>	-0.90	-0.60	<b>-2.57</b>
OOOO	0.89	-0.59	-0.25	0.00	0.20	-0.20	<b>-7.66</b>
MAYLIN	-1.51	-1.57	-0.70	<b>-2.68</b>	0.20	-1.00	<b>9.65</b>
SUNGA	-1.58	<b>-2.09</b>	-1.78	-1.32	0.81	-0.80	<b>5.74</b>
SNAP	-0.37	-1.65	<b>-3.71</b>	<b>-3.58</b>	<b>2.50</b>	<b>-2.25</b>	<b>8.46</b>
CRACKLE	-1.50	<b>-3.50</b>	-1.36	<b>-2.71</b>	0.60	-1.60	<b>8.00</b>
POP	0.23	<b>-2.09</b>	<b>-3.84</b>	-1.43	1.80	-0.20	<b>9.05</b>
CRASSUS	-0.62	0.44	1.24	1.71	-1.60	1.20	<b>5.20</b>
POMPEY	1.52	0.87	-1.05	-1.39	-0.60	0.20	<b>7.80</b>
ASH	<b>-3.86</b>	-0.99	<b>-2.77</b>	<b>-2.21</b>	-0.60	-1.60	<b>-6.47</b>
WATTLE	<b>-2.90</b>	-1.15	-0.24	1.49	-1.40	0.60	<b>-6.00</b>

The z score for the % left-limb preferences, presented in Table A, are tabulated. A positive score is indicative of a left-limb bias and a negative score a right bias. The bolded numbers indicate that the z score is significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). See Tables B. These data are reported in Chapter 5.

I

**LEADING LIMB IN LEAPING AND LANDING (n)**

	Hand in leaping				Foot in leaping		Hand in landing		Foot in landing	
	L+R	Both	No hand use	Nb score	L+R	Both	L+R	Both	L+R	Both
L.BLUE	58	13	21	8	82	18	72	29	82	19
GOLD	28	4	56	13	59	42	64	37	59	42
RED	71	4	7	18	83	17	75	25	90	10
BLUE	57	8	6	29	79	21	74	26	78	22
BLACK	66	8	3	24	89	12	78	22	91	9
SILVER	57	2	0	42	84	17	65	36	88	15
SAGE	24	5	50	23	86	16	73	28	75	26
OOOO	20	6	74	0	70	30	65	36	48	53
MAYLIN	53	5	26	19	91	12	74	27	87	14
SUNGA	40	9	20	31	83	18	71	30	69	31
SNAP	67	12	6	16	83	18	63	38	90	11
CRACKLE	75	4	0	22	89	12	55	46	85	16
POP	74	5	12	11	92	10	84	18	83	19
CRASSUS	65	4	18	13	82	18	79	21	88	12
POMPEY	62	11	11	16	84	16	73	27	87	13
ASH	49	7	30	14	83	17	69	30	82	18
WATTLE	63	10	15	12	76	24	70	34	88	12

Tabulated are the number of scores collected for the initiation of leaping and landing activities. The data are categorized according to whether unilateral limb use (L+R), bilateral limb use (both) or the type of limb use was absent (none) in the initiation of the activity. A total of 100-105 scores were collected for both hand and foot use. These data are reported in Chapter 5.

<b>J</b>	<b>EYE PREFERRED</b>			
	( % left eye use )			
	5-8	10-12	15-18	20+
L.BLUE				<b>20</b>
GOLD				<b>20</b>
RED				<b>34</b>
BLUE				<b>15</b>
BLACK				<b>16</b>
SILVER				<b>22</b>
SAGE	<b>85</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>71</b>
OOO	<b>91</b>	57	56	<b>29</b>
MAYLIN	<b>37</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>
SUNGA	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>32</b>
SNAP	49	<b>31</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>33</b>
CRACKLE	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>35</b>
POP	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>
CRASSUS	<b>18</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>10</b>
POMPEY	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>28</b>
ASH	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21</b>
WATTLE	<b>14</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29</b>
ZHEN	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>12</b>
XING	<b>19</b>	7	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>
DELTA	<b>14</b>	52	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>
OMEGA	<b>14</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>19</b>

The monocular viewing preferences of the marmosets when viewing banana are tabulated with respect to age (months). The ages at which the subjects were tested are presented in the columns and the individuals denoted in the rows. The bolded numbers indicate that the preference for viewing with one eye rather than the other was significant. Corresponding z scores are presented on the following page. 100-120 scores were collected for each subject per test. These data are reported in Chapter 6.

K	EYE PREFERRED			
	(% left eye use)			
	5-8	10-12	15-18	20+
L.BLUE				<b>-6.14</b>
GOLD				<b>-6.07</b>
RED				<b>-3.20</b>
BLUE				<b>-7.00</b>
BLACK				<b>-6.87</b>
SILVER				<b>-5.67</b>
SAGE	<b>7.13</b>	<b>2.65</b>	<b>5.94</b>	<b>4.28</b>
OOOO	<b>8.58</b>	1.54	1.20	<b>-4.27</b>
MAYLIN	<b>-2.67</b>	<b>3.25</b>	<b>-5.74</b>	<b>-5.51</b>
SUNGA	<b>-4.86</b>	<b>-2.77</b>	<b>-6.34</b>	<b>-3.66</b>
SNAP	-0.20	<b>-3.76</b>	<b>-6.47</b>	<b>-3.37</b>
CRACKLE	<b>-2.86</b>	<b>-2.66</b>	<b>-4.55</b>	<b>-3.14</b>
POP	<b>-2.91</b>	<b>-3.88</b>	<b>-6.14</b>	<b>-5.15</b>
CRASSUS	<b>-6.40</b>	<b>-4.28</b>	<b>-5.94</b>	<b>-8.12</b>
POMPEY	<b>-6.73</b>	<b>-5.60</b>	<b>-6.67</b>	<b>-4.48</b>
ASH	<b>-6.60</b>	<b>-7.59</b>	<b>-6.47</b>	<b>-5.80</b>
WATTLE	<b>-7.20</b>	<b>-6.80</b>	<b>-5.15</b>	<b>-4.20</b>
ZHEN	<b>-6.40</b>	<b>-7.59</b>	<b>-6.01</b>	<b>-7.84</b>
XING	<b>-6.34</b>	<b>-8.77</b>	<b>-5.87</b>	<b>-6.80</b>
DELTA	<b>-7.33</b>	0.40	<b>-4.12</b>	<b>-6.01</b>
OMEGA	<b>-7.39</b>	<b>-3.76</b>	<b>-6.67</b>	<b>-6.21</b>

Tabulated are the z scores corresponding to the percentage left-eye use scores for viewing banana (see Table J). A positive score indicates greater left-eye use and a negative score more right-eye use. A significant preference, indicated by the bolded numbers, was accepted if  $z \geq 1.96$ ,  $p \leq 0.05$ . These data are reported in Chapter 6.

	EYE PREFERRED TO VIEW OTHER STIMULI							
	Test Series One				Test Series Two			
	Mirror	Watch	Beetle	Banana	Hand	Statue	Banana	
L.BLUE	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>41</b>	
GOLD	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>31</b>	
RED	43	<b>40</b>	42	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>27</b>	
BLUE	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>4</b>	
BLACK	<b>30</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>5</b>	
SILVER	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	
SAGE	<b>68</b>	56	<b>70</b>	48	<b>71</b>	<b>66</b>	56	
COO	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	57	<b>19</b>	
MAYLIN	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21</b>	43	<b>17</b>	
SUNGA	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	56	<b>20</b>	
SNAP	7	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	
CRACKLE	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>	46	<b>19</b>	
POP	<b>22</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>	43	52	<b>36</b>	
CRASSUS	<b>15</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	53	<b>23</b>	
POMPEY	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>17</b>	

Tabulated is the percentage left-eye use for each subject (rows) when viewing different stimuli (columns). N/A indicates that this subject was not available for testing (deceased). A total of 100 to 110 score were collected for most subjects on each test. However, Snap did not reach this criterion instead 45-60 scores were obtained before she became ill. See Table A. These data are reported in Chapter 6.

M	EYE PREFERRED TO VIEW OTHER STIMULI							
	Test Series One				Test Series Two			
	Mirror	Watch	Beetle	Banana	Hand	Statue	Banana	
L.BLUE	<b>-4.24</b>	<b>-3.56</b>	<b>-5.74</b>	<b>-3.59</b>	<b>-4.00</b>	<b>2.29</b>	<b>-1.77</b>	
GOLD	<b>-6.40</b>	<b>-6.47</b>	<b>-4.63</b>	<b>-3.70</b>	<b>-3.24</b>	1.58	<b>-3.96</b>	
RED	-1.47	<b>-2.09</b>	-1.69	-2.15	-2.77	<b>2.63</b>	<b>-4.63</b>	
BLUE	<b>-6.60</b>	<b>-7.46</b>	<b>-7.66</b>	<b>-7.55</b>	<b>-8.12</b>	-1.80	<b>-9.31</b>	
BLACK	<b>-3.87</b>	<b>-5.89</b>	<b>-3.88</b>	<b>-2.87</b>	<b>-8.71</b>	<b>-4.95</b>	<b>-9.05</b>	
SILVER	<b>-6.00</b>	<b>-4.36</b>	<b>-5.42</b>	<b>-5.58</b>	<b>-4.55</b>	<b>-4.55</b>	<b>-4.75</b>	
SAGE	<b>3.56</b>	1.29	<b>3.76</b>	-0.50	<b>4.31</b>	<b>3.28</b>	1.19	
OOOO	<b>-6.07</b>	<b>-7.46</b>	<b>-6.73</b>	<b>-7.06</b>	<b>-7.06</b>	1.49	<b>-6.34</b>	
MAYLIN	<b>-5.87</b>	<b>-4.08</b>	<b>-5.07</b>	<b>-6.47</b>	<b>-5.94</b>	-1.37	<b>-6.60</b>	
SUNGA	<b>-5.67</b>	<b>-4.95</b>	<b>-4.68</b>	<b>-5.47</b>	<b>-6.14</b>	1.20	<b>-6.29</b>	
SNAP	<b>-5.81</b>	<b>-5.68</b>	<b>-3.87</b>	<b>-4.91</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	
CRACKLE	<b>-3.88</b>	<b>-5.27</b>	<b>-5.35</b>	<b>-5.54</b>	<b>-5.00</b>	-0.78	<b>-6.20</b>	
POP	<b>-5.67</b>	<b>-4.48</b>	<b>-5.54</b>	<b>-3.88</b>	-1.40	0.40	<b>-2.89</b>	
CRASSUS	<b>-7.86</b>	<b>-5.67</b>	<b>-4.28</b>	<b>-4.68</b>	<b>-4.48</b>	0.60	<b>-5.40</b>	
POMPEY	<b>-4.88</b>	<b>-4.28</b>	<b>-4.68</b>	<b>-4.48</b>	<b>-4.63</b>	<b>-2.00</b>	<b>-6.67</b>	

Tabulated are the z scores corresponding to the percentage left-eye use scores for viewing a variety of stimuli (Table L). A positive score indicates greater left-eye use and a negative score more right-eye use. A significant preference, indicated by the bolded numbers, was accepted if  $z \geq 1.96$ ,  $p \leq 0.05$ . These data are reported in Chapter 6.

N	FEAR EXPRESSION (WITHOUT VOCALIZATION)			
	Area		Distance	
	Left	Right	Left	Right
L.BLUE	0.39±0.14	0.35±0.22	<b>1.26±0.31</b>	<b>1.04±0.29</b>
GOLD	<b>0.45±0.10</b>	<b>0.34±0.09</b>	1.48±0.23	1.26±0.29
BLUE	<b>0.64± 0.09</b>	<b>0.49± 0.08</b>	<b>1.56± 0.12</b>	<b>1.23± 0.22</b>
BLACK	0.65± 0.13	0.57± 0.10	<b>1.31± 0.06</b>	<b>1.03± 0.09</b>
SAGE	<b>0.43±0.09</b>	<b>0.26±0.06</b>	<b>1.47± 0.25</b>	<b>0.83± 0.12</b>
OOO	<b>0.58± 0.17</b>	<b>0.50± 0.18</b>	<b>1.58± 0.18</b>	<b>1.37± 0.18</b>
MAYLIN	<b>0.51± 0.13</b>	<b>0.44± 0.11</b>	<b>1.28± 0.15</b>	<b>1.10± 0.15</b>
SUNGA	0.44± 0.10	0.40± 0.13	<b>1.26± 0.16</b>	<b>0.99± 0.12</b>
SNAP	<b>0.49± 0.07</b>	<b>0.35± 0.06</b>	<b>1.14± 0.09</b>	<b>0.81± 0.08</b>
CRACKLE	<b>0.81± 0.26</b>	<b>0.59± 0.19</b>	<b>1.64± 0.14</b>	<b>1.23± 0.13</b>
POP	<b>0.72± 0.17</b>	<b>0.61± 0.19</b>	<b>1.32± 0.12</b>	<b>1.15± 0.14</b>

O	FEAR EXPRESSION (WITH VOCALIZATION)			
	Area		Distance	
	Left	Right	Left	Right
L.BLUE	0.87±0.35	0.78±0.20	1.52±0.32	1.32±0.17
GOLD	<b>0.93±0.13</b>	<b>0.83±0.10</b>	1.56±0.13	1.59±0.19
BLUE	<b>0.85±0.14</b>	<b>0.69±0.22</b>	<b>1.35±0.11</b>	<b>1.13±0.15</b>
SAGE	0.96±0.19	0.93±0.19	1.57±0.20	1.52±0.12
OOO	<b>1.48±0.28</b>	<b>1.28±0.29</b>	<b>1.79±0.07</b>	<b>1.42±0.09</b>
MAYLIN	<b>1.94±0.57</b>	<b>1.86±0.55</b>	1.39±0.19	1.36±0.21
SUNGA	1.39±0.45	1.34±0.50	<b>1.60±0.31</b>	<b>1.53±0.35</b>
CRACKLE	<b>1.75±0.44</b>	<b>1.44±0.38</b>	<b>1.67±0.25</b>	<b>1.48±0.24</b>
POP	1.44±0.48	1.29±0.38	<b>1.67±0.18</b>	<b>1.50±0.13</b>

The means ( $\pm$ SEM) are tabulated for each individual and each expression; fear without vocalization (Table N), fear with vocalization (Table O), contact vocalization (Table P). Table P is presented on the following page. The bolded numbers indicate that the bias for the individual was significant (Wilcoxon signed rank test). These data are reported in Chapter 7.

P	CONTACT VOCALIZATION (TWITTER)			
	Area		Distance	
	Left	Right	Left	Right
L.BLUE	<b>0.25±0.20</b>	<b>0.30±0.22</b>	0.88±0.44	0.93±0.32
GOLD	<b>0.15±0.08</b>	<b>0.18±0.09</b>	<b>0.76±0.41</b>	<b>0.97±0.38</b>
BLACK	<b>0.14±0.09</b>	<b>0.18±0.10</b>	0.64±0.19	0.65±0.20
SAGE	0.30±0.20	0.32±0.23	0.97±0.34	0.95±0.33
CCCO	0.35±0.35	0.39±0.45	1.10±0.42	1.06±0.49
MAYLIN	0.45±0.32	0.45±0.30	1.12±0.40	1.05±0.34
SUNGA	<b>0.26±0.27</b>	<b>0.32±0.29</b>	0.77±0.28	0.76±0.27
CRACKLE	<b>0.12±0.02</b>	<b>0.14±0.02</b>	0.62±0.09	0.64±0.09
POP	<b>0.11±0.06</b>	<b>0.13±0.06</b>	0.63±0.25	0.68±0.21

## APPENDIX B

A. WEIGHT OF SUBJECTS IN FAMILY GROUP 1										
Age (Mths)	SUBJECT									
	L.	Blue	Gold	Sage	Coco	Maylin	Sunga	Snap	Crack	Pop
1				42.6	42.4	39.5	41.3	41.4	39.0	38.9
2				84.2	85.0	72.5	75.0	80.3	76.5	75.8
3				129.8	130.0	112.5	116.0	124.0	120.0	121.8
4				164.3	166.8	153.7	156.0	169.0	163.0	163.6
5				203.0	209.4	186.3	191.0	215.5	204.0	205.5
6	213.0	213.3	231.3	241.0	237.5	233.8	232.3	222.5	228.3	
7	244.3	243.3	255.3	275.5	264.3	296.7	248.5	237.8	242.0	
8	268.0	269.4	277.8	302.0	291.5	283.3	265.8	258.8	263.3	
9	274.8	292.8	287.3	320.3	306.4	311.2	290.3	275.7	284.7	
10	288.3	306.5	302.7	368.3	333.0	347.0	315.0	304.5	308.0	
11	318.5	321.5	341.5	390.5	344.0	358.8	331.8	311.8	325.5	
12	343.8	340.0	355.0	407.3	351.8	367.8	360.8	335.3	350.0	
13	361.2	352.4	367.8	413.3	375.8	387.8	382.0	347.0	369.5	
14	379.7	361.3	393.2	429.6	394.0	411.0	398.3	367.8	392.0	
15	404.0	376.0	400.0	455.0	421.0	439.7	406.0	385.0	401.3	
16	424.5	387.5	394.8	450.5	432.0	446.5	412.2	389.8	401.6	
17	471.8	399.3	395.8	465.8	454.8	459.5	420.0	389.0	407.3	
18	384.3	379.6	417.8	481.0	468.0	474.0	432.3	396.0	412.8	
19	372.8	404.5	436.0	478.3	489.0	487.8	426.0	416.0	416.3	
20	397.4	422.0	446.3	495.5	489.0	489.0	422.0	402.3	379.0	
21	431.0	435.5	459.5	482.0	491.6	485.6	440.6	413.8	390.6	
22	467.8	445.0	470.0	495.0	488.3	478.0	464.0	422.0	391.0	
23	412.2	420.0	474.0	504.5	491.3	484.5	460.0	431.0	396.0	
24	389.7	423.0	481.3	500.5	492.0	471.0	482.0	450.0	440.0	
25	401.4	432.8	476.7	507.3	466.5	450.8	489.0	457.3	450.0	
26	445.0	423.0	484.6	507.8	471.0	469.0	488.5	453.0	480.0	
27	520.3	440.3	479.7	502.3	467.0	465.0	478.5	455.5	471.0	
28	418.7	462.0	482.0	503.8	473.0	466.0	423.8	470.0	469.0	
29	424.0	465.7	484.7	502.0	469.0	490.0	404.0	469.0	449.0	
30	426.5	442.8	451.0	496.3	488.7	496.0			482.0	472.5
31	451.6	448.4	470.8	516.6	506.0	503.0			470.5	464.0
32	462.5	444.5	470.0	508.0	507.0	499.0			432.0	436.0
33	468.3	435.5	475.0	502.0	508.0	503.0			438.0	454.0
34	461.3	446.0	496.0	532.0	509.0	487.0			456.0	467.0
35	469.0	467.3	515.3	537.0	520.0	495.0			450.0	482.0
36	463.0	464.3	526.5	540.5	505.0	489.0			476.0	518.0
37	470.0	468.5	521.5	533.5	496.0	484.0			466.0	516.0
38	477.4	468.0	518.0	526.0	501.0	488.0			466.0	492.0
39	487.8	469.0	508.0	522.0	510.0	495.0				
40	492.5	464.5	518.0	546.5	503.0	487.0				
41	506.8	472.3	504.0	522.0	534.0	524.0				
42	507.3	470.7	500.0	491.0	520.0	518.0				
43	506.8	473.2	495.0	491.0	507.0	490.0				
44	497.7	460.3	495.0	493.0						
45	497.8	454.3	487.0	489.0						
46	501.3	446.5	520.0	515.0						
47	473.0	434.3	519.0	516.0						
48	471.5	455.0	516.0	501.0						

The age is tabulated in months and the weights are in grams. This table is continued over the page.

<b>B. WEIGHT OF SUBJECTS IN FAMILY GROUP 2</b>						
<b>Age (Mths)</b>	<b>Red</b>	<b>Blue</b>	<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Crass</b>	<b>Pompey</b>	<b>Ash</b>
1				37.2	36.7	47.5
2				82.5	78.3	92.0
3				131.0	123.0	140.8
4				161.8	151.5	181.0
5				197.0	189.3	221.8
6	170.0	186.0	236.5	231.0	258.3	248.3
7	188.3	206.7	248.3	248.5	283.5	274.0
8	258.8	215.8	269.5	270.5	301.5	296.5
9	236.8	227.8	279.5	284.5	322.0	319.2
10	247.5	248.8	299.3	298.8	333.0	321.0
11	264.8	267.0	319.0	320.7	338.0	336.8
12	282.3	284.5	325.0	338.5	357.0	367.3
13	293.0	296.4	321.0	341.5	379.0	392.0
14	304.7	305.7	329.8	349.8	385.0	400.0
15	314.8	316.0	337.0	365.0	432.7	435.3
16	332.0	322.3	341.8	367.0	430.0	463.0
17	345.0	332.8	359.3	386.0	446.5	468.0
18	372.8	339.5	365.5	396.0	477.5	466.5
19	359.0	338.7	362.0	396.0	470.0	449.0
20	368.2	339.0	390.0	420.3	464.0	463.0
21	386.0	337.3	395.5	432.0	482.5	460.0
22	396.3	344.7	426.0	450.5	485.0	467.5
23	380.6	326.0	439.5	439.5	478.0	452.0
24	360.8	340.5	440.0	417.0	466.0	446.0
25	356.3	352.5	437.0	426.0	485.0	458.0
26	355.0	344.0	452.5	434.0	485.0	457.0
27	361.0	352.0	458.0	449.0	514.0	500.0
28	389.5	369.5	456.0	440.0	513.0	498.0
29	406.7	375.0	453.0	433.0	510.0	485.0
30	424.8	368.3	459.0	469.0		
31	458.2	367.6	462.0	469.0		
32	376.7	375.3	471.0	490.0		
33	380.5	380.5	488.0	501.0		
34	385.0	368.9	480.0	482.0		
35	393.3	353.3				
36	449.3	355.8				
37	393.3	341.0				
38	402.3	344.0				
39	380.3	355.3				
40	382.0	352.0				
41	396.7	356.5				
42	402.0	353.0				
43	404.3	354.0				
44	392.5	346.0				
45	359.2	345.8				
46	376.3	351.5				
47	380.8	354.3				
48	412.5	370.6				

See Table A. Table B continued over page.

**C. WEIGHT OF SUBJECTS IN FAMILY GROUP 3**

Age (Mths)	SUBJECT				Delta	Omega
	Black	Silver	Zhen	Xing		
1			31.5	31.5	41.5	44.8
2			63.2	64.0	87.5	91.5
3			99.0	99.0	139.0	138.0
4			157.8	156.8	178.0	180.0
5			191.5	189.0	214.0	216.0
6	189.0	239.0	217.3	218.7	220.0	224.0
7	208.7	255.7	229.0	235.0	270.0	269.3
8	232.2	268.0	245.0	250.0	280.0	281.0
9	255.5	279.5	270.0	274.0	297.0	299.0
10	272.0	283.5	290.0	315.0	320.0	305.0
11	288.5	295.8	309.5	343.5	315.0	306.5
12	309.8	308.0	308.0	365.0	344.0	339.0
13	314.0	323.0	328.0	391.0	357.0	345.0
14	318.7	353.5	335.0	398.0	361.0	348.0
15	324.0	343.8	340.0	405.0	355.0	343.0
16	332.3	348.0	368.0	423.0	395.0	389.0
17	340.3	353.5	364.0	410.5	415.0	399.0
18	354.6	365.8	378.0	414.0	416.0	405.0
19	360.0	375.0	390.0	424.0		
20	363.0	376.8	395.0	420.0		
21	372.5	382.5	412.0	450.0		
22	386.3	393.7	450.0	460.0		
23	373.8	369.6	459.0	472.0		
24	358.5	363.5	465.0	469.0		
25	361.0	378.5				
26	351.5	381.3				
27	368.5	388.0				
28	401.5	402.3				
29	412.3	408.0				
30	410.5	407.0				
31	408.2	400.0				
32	417.0	418.7				
33	418.0	417.7				
34	419.3	405.3				
35	406.0	397.5				
36	402.5	399.0				
37	377.0	407.0				
38	397.8	422.3				
39	384.0	429.0				
40	402.0	436.5				
41	431.3	444.3				
42	456.0	454.0				
43	408.0	449.5				
44	411.5	444.0				
45	414.8	435.6				
46	440.5	433.0				
47	453.0	418.3				
48	394.0	434.8				

See Table A. Table C continued over page

(Table A. Cont'd)

<b>WEIGHT</b>		
<b>Age (Mths)</b>	<b>SUBJECT</b>	
	L. Blue	Gold
49	465.0	465.0
50	460.0	460.0
51	500.0	462.5
52	497.5	461.5
53	504.0	452.5
54	487.0	448.0
55	489.0	445.0
56	478.0	439.0
57	484.0	452.5
58	489.5	436.5
59	483.0	428.0
60	456.0	418.0
61	467.0	414.0
62	444.0	412.0
63	502.0	440.0
64	406.0	441.0
65	492.0	434.0

See Table A.

(Table C. Cont'd)

<b>WEIGHT</b>		
<b>Age (Mths)</b>	<b>SUBJECT</b>	
	Black	Silver
49	382.0	445.0
50	391.0	452.0
51	404.0	480.0
52	439.5	507.5
53	440.0	515.5
54	443.0	511.5
55	445.0	521.0
56	440.0	520.0
57	444.0	497.0
58	426.0	478.5
59	433.0	446.0
60	430.0	463.0
61	423.0	475.0
62	435.0	490.0
63	465.0	506.0
64	466.0	504.0
65	448.0	507.0

See Table A.

(Table B. Cont'd)

<b>WEIGHT</b>		
<b>Age (Mths)</b>	<b>SUBJECT</b>	
	Red	Blue
49	428.5	375.5
50	430.0	389.0
51	453.3	414.0
52	459.0	423.0
53	488.0	407.0
54	491.5	414.0
55	492.0	420.0
56	469.0	402.0
57	477.0	421.5
58	481.5	407.0
59	430.0	393.0
60	452.0	400.0
61	460.0	406.0
62	472.0	408.0
63	497.0	410.0
64	496.0	409.0
65	487.0	408.0

See Table A.